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Abstract

In light of increasing anthropogenic influences on natural waters and climate change, it is important to advance our understanding of the intricate interactions between biological, geochemical and physical processes that control constituent dynamics within aquatic systems. Among those constituents, dissolved oxygen (O$_2$) is a well-established indicator for biological activity and is also involved in most biogeochemical processes in both the water column as well as in the upper region of the sediment. While the different aspects of O$_2$ dynamics and their flux pathways are well investigated with the boundaries of each discipline, interdisciplinary studies are, at present, still relatively scarce. Within this multidisciplinary thesis, O$_2$ dynamics in the water column and at the sediment-water interface (SWI) were investigated using state-of-the-art high-resolution tools on seasonally stratified shelf seas (central North Sea) as well as on cold seep habitats at continental margins (off Chile). The aim of those studies is that of further investigating the role of the hydrodynamics in modulating constituent transport, with emphasis on O$_2$ transport.

The central North Sea process study on thermocline mixing and O$_2$ fluxes, which was performed with a turbulence profiler, fast O$_2$ microsensors and moored current measurements, revealed the occurrence of a second-mode, near-inertial internal wave. Zones of enhanced vertical shear of horizontal velocity and concomitant strong stratification were observed at the upper and lower limits of the interior layer where turbulence levels were also found to be a factor of ten higher than in the central interior region. High-resolution O$_2$ measurements further revealed a well-established O$_2$ maximum which occurred at the lower limit of the interior layer, from which a considerable, yet overlooked, O$_2$ flux towards the bottom boundary (BBL) was observed. It was hypothesized that due to this additional O$_2$ source, the carbon turnover between the thermocline and the BBL is much larger than previously regarded.

To overcome the shallow-depth rating limitations of fast O$_2$ sensor systems such as that used on the presented North Sea study, a newly designed fast O$_2$ system with deep sea ratings was developed based on pressure-compensated Clark-type microsensors. The system was embedded on a microstructure profiler and successfully tested at the Chilean continental margin. The O$_2$
gradients above the O$_2$ minimum were twice as high as reported by the standard O$_2$ sensor from the ship-operated CTD (Conductivity-Temperature-Depth). The fast O$_2$ system also proved to be accurate and fast enough to detected step-like structures in water-column O$_2$ profiles. Those were similar to the step-like structures observed in temperature and salinity that characterize a double-diffusive system (i.e., finger regime).

Controls on benthic O$_2$ and hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S) fluxes were also investigated on two cold-seeps habitats at the Chilean continental margin using a state-of-the-art in-situ microprofiling transecting unit and corresponding flow measurements. The first habitat was characterized by recurrent bacterial mat coverage and the frequent occurrence of sulfide; conversely, the second habitat was less sulfidic with limited bacterial mat coverage. While H$_2$S fluxes were found to vary little between the habitats, the average diffusive O$_2$ uptake rate ($DOU$) was a factor of two higher in the more sulfidic habitat. The major contributions to the observed $DOUs$ were seemingly dominated by sulfide oxidation and, to a lesser extent, by the particulate organic matter input from the overlaying water. Both habitats showed the occurrence of periods of transport limitation resulting from a flow-driven diffusive boundary layer (DBL) which modulated $DOU$. This limitation was more pronounced in the more sulfidic habitat, suggesting that increased geochemical activity might lead to increased physically-driven dampening of O$_2$ uptake. The implications of this transport limitation are therefore not only of importance for seep O$_2$ dynamics but also for organically enriched continental margins and shelves characterized by enhanced O$_2$ uptake rates.

The results of this thesis also showed that the combination of high-resolution constituent measurements and accurate physical characterization is currently the best approach to further advance the knowledge on the O$_2$ dynamics.
Kurzzusammenfassung

In Anbetracht des zunehmenden anthropogenen Einflusses auf natürliche Gewässer und das Klima, ist es wichtig, unser Verständnis der komplexen Wechselwirkungen zwischen biologischen, geochemischen und physikalischen Prozessen, die die Dynamik der Bestandteile in aquatischen Systemen steuern, voranzutreiben. Zu den Bestandteilen zählt gelöster Sauerstoff \((\text{O}_2)\) als ein gut etablierter Indikator für biologische Aktivität; \(\text{O}_2\) ist an den meisten biogeochemischen Prozessen in der Wassersäule sowie im oberen Sedimentbereich beteiligt. Die verschiedenen Aspekte der Sauerstoffdynamik und ihres Flussverlaufs wurden zwar innerhalb der Grenzen der jeweiligen Disziplinen intensiv untersucht, jedoch sind komplexe interdisziplinäre Studien noch selten. Innerhalb der vorliegenden multidisziplinären Arbeit wurde die Sauerstoffdynamik in der Wassersäule als auch an der Sediment-Wasser Grenzschicht (SWI) untersucht. Das Ziel ist, der Einfluss der Hydrodynamik bei der Steuerung des Sauerstoffflusses weiter zu untersuchen. Der Forschungsschwerpunkt lag hierbei auf saisonal geschichteten Schelfmeeren (zentrale Nordsee) sowie auf cold seeps Habitaten an Kontinentalrändern (offshore Chile); verwendet wurde hochauflösende Messtechnik entsprechend dem neuesten Stand der Technik.


Die Ergebnisse dieser Studie zeigen darüber hinaus, dass die Kombination von hochauflösender Stoffmessungen und detaillierter physikalischer Charakterisierung der momentan beste Ansatz ist, die komplexe O₂ Dynamik in den Ozeanen zu entschlüsseln.
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I. Chapter

Introduction
I.1. Oxygen distribution and dynamics in the ocean and shelf seas

*Global oxygen distribution on marine systems*

In aquatic as well as in terrestrial environments, oxygen is a main constituent of the ecosystem as it is linked to the major nutrient cycles and biogeochemical processes. In aquatic systems, oxygen is primarily produced as a by-product of photosynthesis in the euphotic zone, which is the depth range of water in which enough sunlight penetrates to support photosynthesis, or it diffuses into the surface water from the atmosphere. Photosynthesis is performed by algae and other photosynthesis-capable organisms and requires light, nutrients and carbon dioxide (CO₂). Conversely, oxygen diffusion from the atmosphere to the surface water depends on physical forcing, e.g., from wind and waves, at the air-water interface.

The distribution of dissolved oxygen (O₂) in the ocean is not homogenous and depends on a balance between biological processes (producing and consuming oxygen) and physical processes (transporting and exporting oxygen) occurring within the water column as well as in the benthic compartment (see Glud 2008). For instance, the O₂ concentration at every ocean interior depth is the resulting balance of the O₂ supply from the surface waters through ventilation, transport and mixing, paired with O₂ consumption by biological processes. If the strength or extent of these processes changes, the O₂ distribution will shift, thus making O₂ a very sensitive indicator of variations in oceanic ecosystems (Joos et al. 2003). As shown in Fig. I.1, well-defined regions of high and low O₂ concentrations can be identified by looking at the global ocean interior oxygen distribution; these areas are defined by ventilated (i.e., oxygenated) regions and oxygen minimum zones (OMZs). The major ventilated regions, characterized by well-oxygenated waters, encompass the Labrador Sea, the Greenland Sea and the Antarctic Intermediate Zone. The major OMZs are located in the tropical region of the Pacific and Atlantic oceans, as well as in the Arabian Sea and the Bay of Bengal (see Paulmier and Ruiz-Pino 2009); these regions are characterized by strong depletion or even absence of O₂ in defined regions of the water column.
Importance of the degree of oxygenation

Because O$_2$ plays a major role in marine ecosystem dynamics, both in the water column and at the sea floor, changes in the degree of oxygenation have significant repercussions for ecosystem health and functioning, especially when O$_2$ is depleted. Studies have shown that O$_2$ concentrations below than 62.5 µmol L$^{-1}$ (i.e., hypoxic regime; Vaquer-Sunyer and Duarte 2008) can produce significant stress on aquatic communities leading to increased mortality among fish communities and obvious repercussions on fisheries (Diaz 2001). Further decreases in O$_2$ concentrations to $\leq$ 10 µmol L$^{-1}$ (i.e., suboxic regimes; Naqvi et al. 2010) can trigger the shift between aerobic and anaerobic reactions of several key biogeochemical processes. An example of that is the increased production of nitrogen oxide (N$_2$O) reported on OMZ, which is attributed to an increase in denitrification (e.g. Bianchi et al. 2012).

Changes in the degree of oxygenation in marine systems

The global spatial distribution of O$_2$ depleted zones is considerable (Fig. I.1) and, perhaps even more significantly, studies have revealed that the degree of oxygenation is decreasing in several marine systems. Most notable are the expansions of the OMZs of the Atlantic and Pacific Ocean (Stramma et al. 2008), as well as the emergence of hypoxia in several shelf seas and coastal
regions (Grantham et al. 2004; Chan et al. 2008; Crawford and Pena 2013). On a global scale, observations and modeling approaches also suggest a decline in the $O_2$ concentrations, both in the ocean interior (i.e., global deoxygenation; Keeling et al. 2010) as well as in coastal regions (Diaz and Rosenberg 2008). In order to understand why OMZs are increasing, how they are maintained and how they will react to local and global changes (ocean warming; Rabalais et al. 2010), emphasis has to be placed on advancing our knowledge of the processes controlling the $O_2$ distribution within marine systems.

**Processes controlling $O_2$ distribution on marine systems**

In the open ocean interior, the main factors controlling $O_2$ distribution are the surface water $O_2$ concentration, the rate of organic matter oxidation (referred to as the oxygen utilization rate; OUR), and the time required for a surface water parcel to reach the interior, which is often referred to as the ventilation time or ventilation age.

The surface water $O_2$ concentration depends on the amount of photosynthesis (primary production) and on the air-water exchange. Primary production rates are determined by the availability of light and macronutrients (e.g., carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus), as well as micronutrients (e.g., iron) and photosynthetic organisms. The air-water exchange, in contrast, depends mainly on the local near-surface wind dynamics (e.g., Wanninkof 1992) and the difference between the $O_2$ concentration at equilibrium with the atmosphere (i.e., saturation concentration) and the surface water $O_2$ concentration. While it is commonly accepted that open-ocean surface waters are typically at near-saturation $O_2$ concentrations due to rapid air-water gas exchange, at higher latitudes substantial winter under-saturation and summer over-saturation has been reported (Ito et al. 2004).

The OUR is mainly determined by the oxidation of organic particles sinking from the surface water, which is estimated from the fraction of primary production that is exported from the surface water into the ocean interior (i.e., particulate organic matter flux; Suess 1980; Martin et al. 1987; Dunne et al. 2007).

Ventilation facilitates $O_2$ replenishment from $O_2$-rich surface waters to the ocean interior. These processes can occur locally, where $O_2$ is transported from the surface water to the interior across density surfaces (diapycnal transport), or on a larger scale, where surface waters from higher
latitudes (outcrop areas) are transported with the main currents along regions of equal density to the ocean interior at lower latitudes (isopycnal transport). The ratio between ventilation time and OUR is therefore critical to the degree of oxygenation sustained in interior water.

As reviewed by Keeling et al. (2010), recent climate modeling approaches focusing on ocean warming suggest a reduction of oceanic $O_2$ concentrations of 1 – 7% in the next century. Factors contributing to this decrease include decreased $O_2$ saturation, increased strength of stratification, and subsequently reduced resupply to the interior (i.e., increased ventilation time). There is, however, an ongoing debate on whether a global oceanic deoxygenation process is already occurring and whether the global models can actually reproduce the processes regulating the $O_2$ budgets at a more localized scale, such as those defining OMZs. Based on half a century of $O_2$ time series, Stramma et al. (2008) showed a significant expansion of the OMZs in the tropical ocean, i.e., increased OMZ thickness, as well as a decrease in the lowest $O_2$ concentrations within the Atlantic OMZ. In the Pacific OMZs, no further reduction in the lowest $O_2$ concentrations was reported as the core of the OMZ is already anoxic.

As further expansion of OMZs is likely to threaten the habitat of economically valuable pelagic fishes (Stramma et al. 2012), emphasis was given to the processes governing $O_2$ dynamics within OMZs (e.g., Karstensen et al. 2008; Brandt al. 2010). OMZs are established due to high surface primary production, long ventilation times and limited circulation (see Helly and Levin 2004, Stramma et al. 2008). High surface productivity is often associated with wind-driven upwelling. Upwelling occurs at continental shelves due to surface-water movement from wind, which leads to sub-surface water rising up from beneath the surface to replace the water that was pushed away. In contrast to surface water, upwelled water tends to be colder, has decreased $O_2$ concentrations, and is rich in nutrients. Upwelling provides nutrients, which support high productivity; in facts, on the eastern boundary currents of both the Atlantic and Pacific oceans, upwelling-enhanced fish populations support up to 1/5 of the global fishery income (Pauly and Christensen 1995).

Limited ventilation of OMZs is mainly due to “shadow zones” created by their location, which is typically outside the main gyre or tropical current (e.g., Karstensen et al. 2008; Brandt al. 2010), and not ventilated at the basin-scale by wind-driven circulation (Luyten et al. 1983). A recent
study on O$_2$ replenishment to the tropical North Atlantic OMZ by Fischer et al. (2012) reported that the O$_2$ diapycnal flux contributes to a third of the OUR. The source of this O$_2$, however, was attributed to lateral replenishment by advective processes and eddies and, therefore, the overall O$_2$ supply to the OMZ was found to occur by remote pathways rather than by local processes. Similar conclusions were also found by Karstensen et al. (2008), who suggest a direct relationship between reduced outcrop ventilation and the occurrence of OMZs. They also argue that if the lower thermocline of the North Atlantic is not yet suboxic, it is because of the supply of O$_2$ from well-ventilated South Atlantic waters.

In contrast to the Atlantic and Pacific OMZs, the Arabian OMZ is not located within an upwelling system (Morrison et al. 1999) and the system dynamics, both in term of ventilation and biological activity, are strongly affected by seasonal monsoons. However, no significant changes in O$_2$ concentrations are observed at the annual and seasonal levels, thus suggesting a solid balance between O$_2$ sinks and sources (Morrison et al. 1999). A recent coupled biological-physical modeling study by Resplandy et al. (2012) showed that on an annual scale, biological O$_2$ consumption is counterbalanced by mesoscale structures such as eddies and filaments.

Upwelling, especially on the eastern boundary current system, can also strongly influence O$_2$ dynamics of shelf and coastal regions by fueling surface primary production with nutrient-rich bottom waters. This, together with reduced bottom water currents, can lead to shelf hypoxia. A well-known example of upwelling-induced hypoxia is the North-American Oregon shelf. Since 2000, basin-scale changes in the atmosphere-ocean dynamics in this region have lead to a decrease of the O$_2$ concentration in the upwelled waters. Paired with intensified upwelling, this resulted in an unprecedented occurrence of intense hypoxic conditions (Grantham et al. 2004). A similar situation was also reported on the British Columbia continental shelf by Crawford and Pena (2013). Upwelling is, however, not the only source of coastal and shelf hypoxia. Eutrophication, resulting from increased natural and anthropogenic nutrient-loading from land and riverine systems (e.g. Nixon 1995), also plays a significant role. In fact, the global distribution of coastal O$_2$ depletion is very often associated with intense coastal urbanization and land farming (Diaz and Rosenberg 2008). Of course, other processes such as horizontal advection of O$_2$ depleted waters also play an important role in the occurrence of hypoxia; this is particularly evident within the Northern California current system (see Connolly et al. 2010).
In stratified shelf seas, hypoxia is generally the result of significant productivity and strong stratification. The productivity leads to large amounts of organic matter from eutrophication, algal blooms being exported to the bottom waters or deep chlorophyll maxima (DCM; Weston et al. 2005). In addition, seasonal stratification results in a strong and stable pycnocline, which limits mixing of O$_2$ to the bottom water, thus isolating the surface O$_2$-reach water from the nutrient-rich bottom water (e.g., Sharples et al. 2001). Due to the general semi-enclosed nature of shelf seas, the ventilation from isopycnal processes is also limited. This is particularly evident on the North Dogger and Oyster Ground sites in the British central North Sea, where the large banks prevents advection of more oxygenated waters from the shallower regions (Queste et al. 2013). O$_2$ replenishment may occur diapycnally through the temporal disruption of the pycnocline during storms (Greenwood et al. 2010) or via diapycnal turbulent mixing across the pycnocline as the result of shear instability (Rippeth et al. 2009; Sharples et al. 2007). As detailed by Rippeth (2005), shear instability in shelf-sea stratified interiors results from internal tides, near-inertial oscillations, and breaking of internal waves over sloping boundaries. While, as mentioned above, hypoxia was reported in several shelf and coastal zones, there are still shelf seas such as the North Sea where hypoxia is not yet occurring, although low O$_2$ concentrations in the bottom water have been reported (Greenwood et al. 2010; Queste et al. 2013). However, model simulations on the O$_2$ dynamics in the bottom water of the Oyster Grounds, in the central North Sea, suggest an increased risk of hypoxia over the next century (Meire et al. 2013). A strengthening of stratification was identified to be the dominant factor (58%) leading to the simulated decrease of the bottom water O$_2$ levels followed by a decrease in O$_2$ solubility due to water warming (27%); an increase of the metabolic rates due to bottom-water warming was also found to contribute, although to a minor extent (15%). Because the North Sea ecosystem supports a large fish stock, the threat of hypoxia has highlighted the need for more extensive studies investigating the interaction between biological and physical processes modulating O$_2$ dynamics in the water column and at the sea floor.

Near-bottom and sediment O$_2$ dynamics

In order to obtain a complete overview of the O$_2$ dynamics in marine systems, e.g., for O$_2$ budgets estimations, not only water column processes but also near-bottom and sedimentary biological, geochemical and physical processes have to be taken into account. The main
processes influencing the amount of O$_2$ within the bottom waters of marine systems are carbon remineralisation (Suess 1980), benthic O$_2$ uptake (Glud 2008), and O$_2$ replenishment from, e.g., the surface waters or the interior. The bottom waters of marine systems are generally well mixed as the result of energy introduced to the system by tides (Wunsch and Ferrari 2004) and thus turbulence-driven transport is generally the dominant diapycnal transport process. However, when approaching the seafloor (cm-scale), flow first becomes laminar due to viscosity effects (i.e., the establishment of the viscous sublayer; VSL) and then becomes limited by molecular diffusion (mm-scale; diffusion boundary layer (DBL); Jørgensen and Revsbech 1985) immediately above the sediment-water-interface. The DBL thickness ($\delta_{\text{DBL}}$) represents the bottleneck of benthic transport (Lorke et al. 2003) with benthic O$_2$ uptake being controlled by a balance between physical forcing, transport constraints, and sediment O$_2$ consumption processes (Jørgensen and Boudreau 2001). Physical forcing of benthic fluxes includes, e.g., near-bottom current (U) modulation and turbulence-induced variation in $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ (Steinberger and Hondzo 1999; Lorke et al. 2003). O$_2$-consuming processes in the sediment include oxic diageneis (aerobic organic matter degradation; see Froelich et al. 1979) as well as the reoxidation of reduced compounds (Canfield et al. 1993). Primary species involved with reoxidation reactions include ammonium (Kaplan 1983), hydrogen sulfide (Jørgensen and Nelson 2004), manganese (Mandernack et al. 1995), iron (Thamdrup 2000), iron sulfides (Aller 1980) and methane (Berner 1980). It is still however unknown whether O$_2$ uptake in marine sediments is more strongly controlled by water-side physical forcing or by sediment-side processes (see Kelly-Gerreyn et al. 2005; Glud et al. 2007).

From the above, it is clear that the influence of O$_2$ dynamics in both the water column and sediment cannot be neglected. Characterization and quantification of O$_2$ transport is thus required for the understanding of the ecological functioning of aquatic systems. Several methods to estimate O$_2$ fluxes from the atmosphere, within the water column and at the sediment-water-interface have been developed to support this research.
I.2. Oxygen flux pathways and flux estimations

Various methods of O$_2$ flux estimation are used and each involve different assumptions of where the O$_2$ is measured or estimated as constant, e.g. water column, water-air or sediment-water interfaces, and the different processes involved in the flux pathway. The time scale taken into consideration also varies between methods, ranging from few seconds to years.

The O$_2$ gas exchange with the atmosphere at the air–water interface is inferred from the near-surface apparent oxygen utilization (AOU) and the local wind magnitude; this follows the parameterization initially provided by Wanninkhof (1992) for carbon dioxide (CO$_2$). More recently, other parameterizations for the wind-driven gas transfer velocity across the air-water interface have been suggested (Nightingale et al. 2000; Ho et al. 2006).

In the water column, diapycnal turbulent O$_2$ fluxes are estimated using the gradient method proposed by Osborn (1980), where concentration gradients are multiplied by the turbulent diapycnal eddy diffusivity ($K_p$) for mass. $K_p$ can be inferred from microstructure profiles (Osborn 1980; Schafstall et al. 2010), deliberate tracer release experiments (Ledwell and Bratkovich 1995; Banyte et al. 2012), acoustic current measures and density profiles (Kunze et al. 2006; Fischer et al. 2012), as well as from temperature or density profiles alone (Thorpe 1997; Dillon 1982; Galbraith and Kelley 1996). The significance of flux estimations with the gradient method strongly depends on the accuracy of the concentration gradients, as well as on the number of observations, e.g., microstructure profiles (Davis 1996). Ideally, hundreds of microstructure profiles and high-resolution constituent profiles would be required to provide well-resolved gradients and samples of the natural variability of turbulence.

Diapycnal transport is, however, only one of several transport pathways contributing to the observed O$_2$ distribution; isopycnal transport and lateral transport processes are also major contributors (Marshall et al. 1993). Isopycnal transport, such as that from surface outcrop areas to the ocean interior, can be approximated assuming steady-state conditions where the O$_2$ net consumption equals O$_2$ transport to the study sites. Net consumption can be inferred from the AOU and the ventilation time (e.g. Karstensen et al. 2008) or from sediment traps and O$_2$ to carbon ratios (e.g. Suess 1980).
In the well-mixed bottom waters, turbulent diffusion represents the primary transport pathway; however, as there is no significant stratification, the Osborn (1980) method is not applicable. Here the turbulent fluxes can be estimated using the flux-ratio method (Holtappels et al. 2011b), which relies on the ratio between constituent gradients and their respective fluxes. The method can be coupled with momentum fluxes and velocity gradients from hydroacoustic measurements, used directly with near-bottom turbulent diffusivity values (Holtappels et al. 2011a), or benthic constituent fluxes, estimated from other techniques, the eddy correlation (EC) technique.

While the EC technique is a well-known, non-invasive, method for measuring constitutes fluxes in the field of atmospheric science (Lee et al. 2004), its application for turbulent benthic \( \text{O}_2 \) flux estimations is also becoming rapidly established (Berg et al. 2003; Lorrai et al. 2010; McGinnis et al. 2011; Reimers et al. 2012; Rheuban and Berg 2013). The main advantage of the EC technique over more traditional flux measurement methods, e.g., microprofiling or benthic flux chambers, is that EC records undisturbed fluxes with high temporal resolution with no disruption of the local hydrodynamics.

Diffusive benthic fluxes can be estimated via ex-situ or in-situ acquisition of high-resolution concentration profiles across the sediment-water interface by using microsensors and applying Fick’s first and second law of diffusion (Jørgensen and Des Marais 1990; Berg et al. 1998; Bryant et al. 2010). Benthic flux chambers are standard equipment for in-situ measurements of the total benthic flux for several constituents including \( \text{O}_2 \), methane and nutrients (Tengberg et al. 1996). The total flux obtained with this method encompasses diffusive fluxes, bioturbation and bioirrigation, as well as advective processes and is therefore often used for benthic constituent budgets, or for in-situ incubation and measurements under controlled conditions (Sommer et al. 2008). It is, however, an invasive method, that isolates a portion of the sediment surface from natural near-bottom hydrodynamics, which are an established control on sediment-water flux.

The main challenge marine researchers are currently facing when investigating dynamics is the integration and up-scaling of the interactions between the different processes and flux pathways from the process-level to the ecosystem level and from there to a more global perspective. In order to achieve such tasks, a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach is required.
I.3 Thesis overview

Within the framework of this thesis on O₂ dynamics in marine system, benthic and water column O₂ flux pathways were investigated in seasonally stratified shelf seas (Central North Sea) as well as in the water column above and within the sediment at cold seep habitats along the central Chilean Subduction Zone, located at the boarder of the Tropical Pacific OMZ. The aim of these studies was to further investigate the role of the hydrodynamics and biogeochemical processes in modulating O₂ dynamics, with emphasis on O₂ transport pathways, and to provide a better insight of the state-of-the-art methodological approach to advance the understanding of the implication of processes for the studied ecosystems.

At the central North Sea site (“Tommeliten”; see Chapter II), emphasis was placed on thermocline mixing on seasonal stratified shelf seas considering the replenishment of O₂ depleted bottom waters in the summer time through vertical turbulent O₂ fluxes from the thermocline. In this process study, high-resolution hydroacoustic measurements were used to characterize the semidiurnal lunar tide (Otto et al. 1990) and near-inertial oscillations, which were often observed in the central North Sea during summer (e.g. van Haren et al. 1999). Turbulent mixing was investigated by coupling microstructure profiler data (see Lueck et al. 2002) with a state-of-the-art fast galvanic O₂ microsensor (0.2 s response time; AMT, Analysenmesstechnik GmbH, Rostock, Germany). This novel approach enabled the resolution of O₂ gradients at the cm scale, which was currently not possible with standard oceanographic tools, and is therefore well-suited to 1) resolve the sharp oxyclines observed during the summertime and 2) estimate the associated O₂ fluxes. At a later stage, a tentative bottom water O₂ budget was evaluated based on the estimated downward O₂ flux from the thermocline, benthic O₂ uptake rates from benthic flux chamber, and carbon remineralisation rates inferred from bottom water AOU. The findings were then discussed with respect to the bottom water carbon budget and its implication for ecosystem functioning.

The cold seep habitats at the continental margin within the central Chilean Subduction Zone were investigated to assess the role of the local hydrodynamics (i.e., DBL) and sediment processes on controlling benthic O₂ uptake rates (see Chapter III). It is known that benthic uptake
rates are controlled by physical forcing, enhancing or limiting molecular diffusion across the SWI, and by sediment aerobic oxidation of organic matter and reoxidation of reduced organic compounds (Jørgensen and Boudreau 2001). The extent of those contributions was subject to several modeling studies (Kelly-Gerreyn et al. 2005; Glud et al. 2007; Brand et al. 2009), but the controlling processes are still not defined. To add supporting data from actual field measurements to the knowledge base of this critical issue, a transecting in-situ microprofiler unit was deployed on two cold seeps habitats, where O$_2$ and hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S) profiles were taken in close proximity to microbial mats, together with hydroacoustic measurements of the near-bottom current regime. The contribution to the estimated O$_2$ fluxes from measured changes in $\delta_{DBL}$ and sulfide oxidation (from total sulfide fluxes), in addition to effects of ammonium (NH$_4^+$) oxidation and organic matter degradation estimated from reference particulate organic matter export the seafloor, were subsequently evaluated and discussed with respect to transport-limiting conditions.

At the same location, the water column O$_2$ distribution was investigated in regard to the feasibility of high-resolution O$_2$ profiles for flux estimations (see Chapter IV). Commercially available fast O$_2$ systems are currently not well-suited to investigate the O$_2$ transport from oxygenated surface waters above the OMZs or from bottom waters to the depleted OMZs core, because they are either designed for shallow-water use (i.e., lacking oceanic depth rating) or do not have the required resolution for providing robust gradients. To fill the instrumental gap on oceanic high-resolution, water-column O$_2$ measurements, a prototype of a fast Clark-type O$_2$ microsensor system (<0.5 s response time) was mounted on a microstructure profiler and its performance in resolving O$_2$ gradient were evaluated and discussed.
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Abstract

Vertical mixing processes during the summer stratification period are explored in the central North Sea. At the Tommeliten site, we performed moored fine-scale and microstructure observations of oxygen (O$_2$), currents, hydrography and turbulence. While current variability was dominated by the lunar semi-diurnal tide (M$_2$), a second vertical mode near-inertial internal wave was also present during the three-day observational period, with current amplitudes > 0.1 m s$^{-1}$. Regions of enhanced near-inertial vertical shear of horizontal current were situated in the upper and lower thermocline, coinciding with regions of strong stratification. Turbulent dissipation rates ($\varepsilon$) determined from microstructure shear profiles were moderate ($\sim 10^{-9}$ W kg$^{-1}$) in the thermocline but increased to $10^{-7} – 10^{-6}$ W kg$^{-1}$ approaching the sea floor. In the regions of enhanced shear in the upper and lower thermocline values of $\varepsilon$ were a factor of ten higher than within the thermocline. O$_2$ profiles measured with a fast galvanic O$_2$ sensor (0.2 s response time) mounted on the microstructure probe fully resolved the extent of the oxycline and revealed that the oxycline in the lower thermocline is a significant O$_2$ source to the otherwise seasonally isolated bottom waters, with a downward average flux of 54 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (9 – 134 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$). This additional O$_2$ source suggests that there is a large carbon turnover between the central North Sea thermocline and bottom waters.
II.1. Introduction

North Sea
Cultural eutrophication, oil and gas production and climate change effects on the North Sea is a major concern. High nutrient inputs, increasing water temperature, and subsequent decreasing dissolved oxygen (O₂) concentrations threaten to alter the North Sea ecosystem (e.g., Greenwood et al. 2010; OSPAR 2010; Meyer et al. 2011). As shelf seas are directly adjacent to land, they are increasingly susceptible to eutrophication due to surface nutrient runoff and pollutants (OSPAR 2009). O₂ monitoring has been shown to be a robust indicator of these ocean changes (Joos et al. 2003).

Since 1984, surface water temperatures in the North Sea have increased by 1 – 2°C, greater than the global mean (OSPAR 2010; Meyer et al. 2011). On seasonal time scales, climate projections indicate an earlier start of stratification, longer duration, and stronger stratification of the thermocline (Lowe et al. 2009). Earlier onset and longer temperature stratification means increased periods of isolation of deep water, leading to potentially lower O₂ concentrations (Greenwood et al. 2010). Additionally, stronger density gradients will alter vertical transport rates of O₂ and nutrients during the stratification period.

O₂ is an indicator of eutrophication and ecosystem functioning, i.e., for aquatic organisms (Best et al. 2007). While the North Sea is not anoxic, low O₂ levels have been reported at several periods in the past (Anon 1993; Greenwood et al. 2010). Growing concerns of the occurrence of hypoxia in the North Sea (O₂ concentration less than 62.5 µmol L⁻¹ or 2 mg L⁻¹; Vaquer-Sunyer and Duarte 2008) have highlighted the need for more specific studies on the O₂ dynamics and driving forces (Kemp et al. 2009).

The central North Sea typically stratifies in April around day 100 (e.g., Meyer et al. 2011). The extensive thermocline (around 1 – 5 × 10⁵ km²; Meyer et al. 2011) and the semi-enclosed nature of the North Sea leads to isolated bottom waters (Greenwood et al. 2010). The lower thermocline is an important zone for the establishment of primary production and the O₂ maximum layer (see Pingree et al. 1978). In fact, the North Sea deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) is estimated to account for 58% of the water column primary production and 37% of the annual new production.
for the summer stratified North Sea (Weston et al. 2005). The development of the associated O$_2$ maximum due to this production is thus important and so far not considered in the overall O$_2$ balance of the central North Sea.

*Physical processes*

Concentrations of dissolved constituents such as nutrients, O$_2$ and carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) within aquatic systems are largely dictated by physical transport processes. Among the different physical processes, turbulent mixing is frequently found to dominantly contribute to constituent balances (*see* Rippeth 2005). Mixing processes depend on the amount and sources of energy input to the system, e.g., wind and tidal motions (Wüest et al. 2000; Wunsch and Ferrari 2004), but also on the local water column stratification. In seasonally stratified shelf seas, for instance, the occurrence of sharp thermoclines acts as an important physical barrier separating surface layers from nutrient-rich deeper waters (Sharples et al. 2001). Investigating the processes that drive diapycnal (i.e., vertical) constituent fluxes across the thermocline throughout the stratification period is of major importance to advance understanding of the system biogeochemical dynamics (*e.g.*, Sharples et al. 2001).

Measurements of shear and stratification have provided supporting evidence that the thermocline of seasonally stratified shelf seas, such as the North Sea, is in a state of marginal stability (van Haren et al. 1999; McKinnon and Gregg 2005). Additional sources of shear could thus trigger shear instability leading to local production of turbulence within the thermocline and thus enhanced vertical fluxes of constituents. Already Itsweire (1989) showed that layers of strong shear are likely to be found where strong stratification occurs. In general, internal tides and near-inertial oscillations are considered to be the major sources of shear in the thermocline (*see* Rippeth 2005).

The importance of internal tides for driving diapycnal nutrient fluxes, for the overall productivity in the thermocline as well as for the associated carbon export to the bottom water has clearly been demonstrated by Sharples et al. (2007) for the shelf edge of the Celtic Sea. There, the dissipation of the internal tidal waves, generated over the shelf edge, was found to be responsible for the observed elevated thermocline mixing.
The occurrence of near-inertial oscillations in shelf seas during the stratified season has been reported in several studies from the North Sea (van Haren et al. 1999; Knight et al. 2002) as well as in other shelf seas (e.g., Rippeth et al. 2002; McKinnon and Gregg 2005). In general, they are the oceans’ response to sudden changes in wind forcing or are excited by a periodic wind forcing such as a sea breeze (Rippeth et al. 2002). In regions away from varying topography, like the central North Sea, they are often the dominant source of shear in the thermocline (van Haren et al. 1999; Knight et al. 2002). During the presences of baroclinic inertial waves in the water column, periods of enhanced shear taking the form of shear spikes that are separated by approximately one inertial period and occur in bursts lasting several days have been observed in the western Irish Sea (Rippeth et al. 2009), the Celtic Sea (Palmer et al. 2008) and the northern North Sea (Burchard and Rippeth 2009). A recent numerical study by Burchard and Rippeth (2009) provided an explanation for the dissipation of inertial oscillations and the associated mixing in the thermocline. The model results suggested that shear spikes arise from the alignment of the surface wind stress, bulk shear, and bed stress vectors due to tidal currents. Furthermore, the model results also highlighted the important role of the sense of rotation of the tide in determining the level of shear and diapycnal mixing, as previously suggested by Prandle (1982); and Simpson and Tinker (2009), as the alignment of wind stress is much more effective in generating thermocline shear spikes for anti-cyclonic tides than for cyclonic tides (Burchard and Rippeth 2009).

From the above it is clear that turbulence in the thermocline should often be enhanced. Thus, diapycnal fluxes of nutrients from the bottom boundary layer into the thermocline should play an important role in maintaining high primary production rates in seasonal stratified seas. On the other hand, diapycnal fluxes of O$_2$ and organic carbon from the thermocline (i.e., the O$_2$ maximum layer) into the O$_2$ depleted bottom boundary layer may also substantially contribute to the O$_2$ and carbon budgets. Adequate measurement programs to quantify the diapycnal O$_2$ flux contributions have not been performed, nor has this been considered in previous central North Sea O$_2$ budgets (e.g., Greenwood et al. 2010).

*Present study*

Our study focuses on the O$_2$ bottom boundary layer (BBL) balance and the hydrodynamics of the Tommeliten area in the central North Sea (Fig. II.1). The main goal is to advance the
understanding of the physical processes driving mixing in the stratified interior and its role in the ecological functioning of the central North Sea. In particular, the physical processes that are generating enhanced vertical mixing in the stratified water column (or cause shear in the water column) are analyzed. We present magnitude of dissipation rates of turbulent kinetic energy ($\varepsilon$) and diapycnal diffusivities ($K_{\rho}$) from the water column along with simultaneously measured high resolution O$_2$ data used to estimate vertical fluxes of O$_2$. Finally, we present our results in the prospective of ecological functioning by considering the implication of vertical O$_2$ transport in general and diapycnal O$_2$ fluxes specifically. Due to the location of the studied system, which is far away from the coast and from riverine inflows, the main constituent gradients are diapycnal and so a 1-dimensional approach will be used to quantify the O$_2$ transport; horizontal advective and diffusive transport processes will thus not be considered.

II.2. Methods

*Study site*

The data in this study were collected over a time period of three days (8 – 11 August 2009) during R/V *Celtic Explorer* cruise CE0913 (26 July to 14 August 2009) at the Tommeliten site (56°29'30" N, 2°59'00" E; Fig. II.1) in the Norwegian sector of the central North Sea (N. 1/9; Linke and Schmidt 2010). The site, which lays ~50 km northeast from the northern Dogger Bank (Greenwood et al. 2010), and its surrounding are characterized by shallow waters (~70 m) at a relatively long distance from coastal areas (on average 300 km) and is known since the 1980s for the presence of buried salt diapirs, methane (CH$_4$) seeps and bacterial mats (Hovland and Judd 1988). Bathymetric surveys from Schneider von Deimling et al. (2010) in the area revealed a rather flat sandy seabed with almost no features. The currents of the North Sea are predominantly controlled by the semi-diurnal lunar ($M_2$) tide (12.42 hrs period; Otto et al. 1990), which is also apparent in the data presented in Schneider von Deimling et al. (2010).

*Equipment*

We investigated the ‘Tommeliten’ site using a microstructure profiler with a fast O$_2$ sensor, a lander equipped with an acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) and conductivity-temperature-
depth (CTD) logger, optode O₂ sensors as well as with a ship operated CTD profiler and on-board chemical analyses.

![Map of the North Sea](image)

**Fig. II.1.** Map of the North Sea indicating the water depths and location of the Tommeliten site as well as the borders of the economical regions of the surrounding European countries.

**Turbulence and microstructure profiler:** Microstructure turbulence profilers are well-established oceanographic instruments (see Lueck et al. 2002) capable of profiling the water column with a very high resolution (mm scale) and collect turbulent shear and temperature fluctuation data. We deployed a MSS90-L profiler (ISW Wassermesstechnik, and Sea and Sun Technology, Trappenkamp, Germany).

The MSS90-L is a free-falling, loosely-tethered probe in which the cable is used for data transfer and probe recovery. The probe samples at 1024 Hz with 16 channels and is designed for an optimal sink rate of 0.5 – 0.6 m s⁻¹. During the field survey, the probe was equipped with two airfoil shear probes, an accelerometer (to correct for probe pitch, roll, and vibration), a fast temperature sensor (FP07, 7–12 ms response time) and standard CTD sensors (temperature, pressure, conductivity, Oxyguard® membrane O₂). In addition, we tested a fast (0.2 s response time) galvanic O₂ sensor (AMT, Analysenmesstechnik GmbH, Rostock, Germany). The absolute
O₂ concentrations were computed based on the measured O₂ saturation levels and the in-situ high resolution temperature measurements following the saturation equation of Garcia and Gordon (1992).

**POZ-lander:** For current characterization, we deployed the Paleoceanography (POZ) lander; a relatively compact benthic lander equipped with an upward-looking ADCP and a CTD. The lander was deployed using a video guided launcher (Pfannkuche and Linke 2003). The 300 kHz ADCP was deployed and recorded 3-dimensional current velocity profiles and acoustic backscatter information up to 80 m from the bottom (Workhorse Sentinel, Teledyne RD Instruments) and sampled every 15 s with a bin size of 0.5 m (first bin at 2.75 m from the bottom). The logging CTD (XR-420 CT logger, RBR, Kanata, Canada) measured temperature, conductivity and pressure with 2 s resolution and was equipped with a high-precision pressure sensor (Digiquarz, Paroscientific, Redmond, United States).

**Profiling CTD:** CTD profiles were routinely taken to provide background information on water column stratification with depth, and other scalar parameters. We deployed the shipboard Seabird SBE9plus CTD system, which samples at 24 Hz and was equipped with standard temperature, conductivity and pressure as well as O₂ and light transmission. The SBE9 was equipped with a 12 10L-Niskin-bottles Rosette for discrete water sampling that was used to calibrate the fast O₂ profiles via Winkler titrations.

**Benthic chamber:** For this study, a benthic chamber was also deployed, with the remotely-operated-vehicle (ROV Kiel 6000, Schilling Robotics, Davis, United States; see http://www.geomar.de/en/institute/central-facilities/technology-logistics-centre/rovkiel6000/). The chamber mainly consists of a 5 L Plexiglas canister with an internal diameter of 19 cm that is inserted up to ~15 cm in the sediment, thus isolating the water inside that chamber from the surroundings. The chamber is equipped with 2 Optodes (Aanderaa, Bergen, Norway) that are located inside and outside the chamber, respectively. Once the system is deployed on the seafloor, the chamber is firstly flushed for 30 min using a pump to ensure that the initial concentration within the chamber is equal to the one of the surrounding water. After the flushing, the pumped is switched off and changes in the O₂ concentration within the chamber are measured at 1 min intervals until the chamber recovery. To prevent the occurrence of
stratification, the enclosed water is lightly agitated by a chamber-mounted slow rotating plastic stirrer. The sediment O\textsubscript{2} uptake rate (SUR) is then estimated by dividing the apparent O\textsubscript{2} loss during the deployment time over the enclosed sediment area. In contrast to the other much larger and complex GEOMAR benthic chambers (Sommer et al. 2008, 2010) the deployed chamber system is small enough to be ROV operated. The main advantage is that the system can be deployed with precision in the designated spot.

*Wind measurements:* The true wind directions and magnitudes were obtained from the onboard BATOS weather station (Meteo-France). The station features a static ultrasonic anemometer (Windsonic, Gill Instruments)

*Physical analyses*

The collected physical data were analyzed with regard to wind, tidal and near-inertial forcing, water column stratification as well as turbulence and diapycnal (vertical) fluxes.

*Current characterization:* To understand the contribution and implication of tidal and non-tidal forcing on water column measurements, the tidal regime was characterized by considering changes in the hydrostatic pressure, determining barotropic (approximated as depth-average) and baroclinic (variable with depth) velocity contributions, computing theoretical particle displacement tracks and performing spectral analysis to identify the tidal components and to quantify non-tidal contributions.

The variance analysis is determined by rotating the coordinate system of the current velocities $u$ and $v$ over a stepwise increasing rotation angle ($r$) as $u_{rot} = u \cdot \cos(-r) - v \cdot \sin(-r)$ respectively $v_{rot} = u \cdot \sin(-r) - v \cdot \cos(-r)$, and by computing the current velocity variance at each step. It is thus possible to identify the major and minor axis of the tidal ellipsoid.

Barotropic and baroclinic flow contributions of tides were separated by least-square fitting the detrended velocity time series to harmonics $u = A \cdot \cos(\omega \cdot t + \varphi)$ with $A$, $\omega$, $\varphi$ being the amplitude, frequency, and the phase lag, respectively. In the analysis below, barotropic semi-diurnal principle lunar tide ($M_2$) and diurnal declination tide ($K_1$) contributions having frequencies of 1.93227 cycles per day (cpd) and 1.00274 cpd, respectively were subtracted from the time series to analyze residual flow. For barotropic contributions, the fit was applied to the
depth average of the time series, while baroclinic contributions were obtained by fitting the harmonics to the velocities time series from each 0.5m ADCP bin.

To investigate the occurrence of enhanced shear in the stratified water column, vertical shear of horizontal velocity was calculated from the ADCP data by computing the vertical gradients between adjacent bins of East and North velocity (0.5 m resolution).

**Spectral analysis:** Frequency spectra of the time series of horizontal velocity and vertical shear of horizontal velocity were calculated using fast-Fourier transforms combined with a ½-cosine taper (Hanning window) that was applied to the first and last 10% of the time series data.

**Water column stability:** The water column stability $N^2$ is the buoyancy frequency $N$ squared. $N$ is the oscillatory motion experienced by a perturbed, i.e., upward or downward adiabatically displaced, water parcel as it tends to return to its equilibrium position. $N^2$ is also used to describe the degree of stratification in fluids, (water column stability) and is defined as $N^2 = -g\left(\rho^{-1}\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} - g/c^2\right)$, where $\rho$ is density, $g$ the earth’s gravitational acceleration and $c$ speed of sound. High values of $N^2$ are found in the thermocline. If the water column is well mixed, the $N^2$ values will approach $0 \text{ s}^{-2}$ while $N^2$ will turn negative in case of unstable stratification, i.e., in overturns. Throughout this study, $N^2$ is calculated from temperature, salinity and pressure data using the adiabatic method (Fofonoff, 1985).

**Temperature gradient:** Unstable stratified regions (i.e., overturns) having $N^2<0$ within the stratified water column are due to turbulent eddies. If density is predominately determined by temperature, a graphical representation of the temperature gradient (dT/dz) can be used to visualize, on a qualitative scale, overturns. At the boundaries, however, as temperature is homogenous, intermittent positive and negative values on small scales just represent measurement inaccuracies.

**Dissipation rates of turbulent kinetic energy:** Turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate ($\varepsilon$) can be quantified from the airfoil shear readings by integrating shear wavenumber spectra assuming isotropic turbulence (Batchelor 1953):

$$\varepsilon = 7.5 \mu \int_{k_{\text{min}}}^{k_{\text{max}}} E_{uu}(k) \frac{d\psi}{dz}(k) dk$$

(II.1)
where $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity of seawater. Shear spectra $E_{\Delta u'/\Delta v'}(k)$ were calculated from one-second ensembles (1024 values) and integrated between a lower $k_{\text{min}} = 3$ cycles per minute (cpm) and an upper wavenumber $k_{\text{min}}$ that varied between 14 cpm and 30 cpm depending on the Kolmogorov wavenumber. Here, a Bartlett window was applied to the whole ensemble prior to spectral decomposition. Loss of variance due to the limited wavenumber band was taken into account by fitting the observed shear spectra to the universal Nasmyth spectrum. Similarly, corrections for the loss of variance due to finite sensor tip of the airfoil probes were applied (see Schafstall et al. 2010). The detection limit, or noise level, for $\varepsilon$ is inferred to be $1 \times 10^{-9}$ W kg$^{-1}$ for the used profiler (Schafstall et al. 2010); the upper detection limit, however, is a function of the shear sensor geometry (up to $10^{-4}$ W kg$^{-1}$; Prandke and Stips 1998).

Microstructure measurements were performed from the stern while steaming with about 1 knot into the wind. Unfortunately, it was found that the bow thruster of R/V Celtic Explorer circulated surface water up to 25 m deep. R/V Celtic Explorer is equipped with an omnidirectional 700kW Gill Jet at the bow that is used to accelerate and maneuver the vessel by pumping water through a rotatable vended discharge defector. The water jet from this propulsion was occasionally captured by our microstructure probe while sampling at the vessels stern at several depths within the surface layer. The water jet was associated with very high turbulence (above $1 \times 10^{-5}$ W kg$^{-1}$), strong intermittent temperature gradients and strong echo amplitude signals in the vessel-mounted ADCP data presumable caused by small entrained air bubbles.

The data from the microstructure profiler collected within the water jet from the thrusters was carefully flagged on the basis of the three parameters above. Additionally, a consistency check in which the Thorpe scales (Thorpe 1977) calculated from the microstructure temperature data were compared with the Ozmidov scales, $L_O = (\varepsilon / N^3)^{1/2}$ determined from microstructure shear data, was performed within turbulent patches. In turbulent patches arising from the thrusters, Thorpe scales were strongly elevated relative to Ozmidov scales as surface waters having the respective surface temperature characteristic were advected into the deeper water column. In a final editing step, all data sampled from the surface to 5m below the deepest jet flagged data point were additionally flagged to ensure that no contaminated data are used in this study. Additional editing of the data was performed to account for collisions of the shear sensors with small particles and...
rarely occurring cable spooling problems during the descent of the profiler (e.g., cast 7). It should be noted that cast 36 was not successful and casts 16 to 23 were not performed down to the sea floor providing a gap in the BBL dissipation rate data.

Although mixing events are often of low magnitude and short duration, rarely occurring energetic events will cause substantial irreversible changes in the water column. Here, average quantities for $\varepsilon$ and related parameters are determined by arithmetical averages (Davis 1996) and not by maximum likelihood estimate of a log-normal distribution (Baker and Gibson 1987) as departures of log-normal distributions of these quantities have been reported (Davis 1996).

**Vertical fluxes**

There are several well-established methods for estimations of the turbulent eddy diffusivities ($K_\rho$). Some rely on temporal and spatial gradients (Budget-gradient methods; Powell and Jassby 1974) other on direct measurements of the vertical concentration changes with time during deliberate tracers release experiments (Ledwell and Bratkovich 1995). The method used in this study depends on measurements of dissipation rates of turbulent kinetic energy, $\varepsilon$, and water column stability, $N^2$, and is based on the assumption of a local equilibrium of production and dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy (Osborn 1980).

*Flux gradient method:* As suggested by Osborn (1980), the turbulent eddy diffusivity of mass $K_\rho$ can be approximated as $K_\rho = \gamma \varepsilon / N^2$ where $\gamma$ is the mixing efficiency. As horizontal density gradients at the study site are small compared to vertical gradients, we equate diapycnal eddy diffusivities with vertical diffusivities (i.e., $K_\rho = K_z$). The vertical constituent fluxes are then obtained from $K_z$ and the concentration gradient for the measured solute ($\theta$) as $F_\theta = K_z \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}$. In order to provide robust $K_z$ values, time and depth variability as well as the methods limitations, have to be considered. The Osborn (1980) approximation and thus the gradient method are not applicable for $N^2$ values approaching 0, as $K_z$ would be undefined. In stratified waters the mixing efficiency $\gamma$ is $0.15 \pm 0.05$ (Ivey and Imberger 1991) and decreases in weakly stratified waters such as the BBL (Lorke et al. 2008). To account for this decrease, Shih et al. (2005) provided a parameterization for $\gamma$ and hence $K_z$ based on turbulence activity parameter $\varepsilon / v N^2$, with $v$ being
the kinematic viscosity. In energetic regimes, that were defined for $\varepsilon / \nu N^2 > 100$, they found
that the eddy diffusivity is better estimated as $K_p = 2\nu(\varepsilon / \nu N^2)^{1/2}$.

The water column stability, $N^2$, as well as $\varepsilon$ are the main variables affecting $K_z$ values in the
stratified ocean. To obtain representative mean turbulent eddy diffusivities, both variables have
to be averaged in depth and time to reduce uncertainties due to the patchiness of turbulence and
temporal fluctuation of $N^2$. Additionally, the mixing efficiency is not constant for a given
environment but varies over time (see Smyth et al. 2001). To provide more robust results, the
microstructure data will be evaluated in ensembles of three to four consecutive profiles. As
proposed by Ferrari and Polzin (2005), the level of uncertainty of the averaged $K_z$ can be
quantified as:

$$
\Delta K_z = K_z \left[ \left( \frac{\Delta \Gamma}{\Gamma} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta N^2}{N^2} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (\text{II.2})
$$

with $\Delta$ being the absolute uncertainty of the various average terms. Here, the uncertainties are
evaluated in the region of strong vertical $O_2$ gradients (see below) and in 2 m depth bins. The
absolute uncertainty from the mixing efficiency ($\Delta \Gamma$) was assumed to be 0.04 (see St. Laurent
and Schmitt 1999). The absolute uncertainty on $N^2$ ($\Delta N^2$) was determined by the standard error
of the 2 m averaged $N^2$. The standard error here refers to the standard deviation divided by the
square root of the number of estimates. Finally, the statistical uncertainty of $\varepsilon$ for each bin is
calculated using a bootstrap method ($10^4$ resamples; Efron 1979). Accordingly, the uncertainty
on the estimates of averaged turbulent $O_2$ fluxes is given by:

$$
\Delta \text{Flux}_{O_2} = \text{Flux}_{O_2} \left[ \left( \frac{\Delta K_z}{K_z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta \partial_z [O_2]}{\partial_z [O_2]} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (\text{II.3})
$$

where $\Delta \partial_z [O_2]$ denotes the standard error of mean vertical gradients of $O_2$ concentrations. It
should be noted that the analysis does not include biases or uncertainties due to measurement
error. The total error of the flux calculation may thus be larger than the statistical error presented
above.
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II.3. Results

*Water column characteristics:* CTD casts were collected to obtain background information on the water column structure (Fig. II.2).

![Fig. II.2. Water column physical and chemical background information. (A) potential temperature and (B) light transmission profiles obtained during CTD cast 18. The temperature profile was used to identify the water column layers assuming a 0.2°C and 1.5°C decrease from a 3–6 m average for the lower end of the surface boundary layer and transition layer respectively; a 0.2°C increase from the 50–60 m average was also used to identify the upper end of the bottom boundary layer. The average salinity was 35.08 with little variation throughout the water column (35.04 to 35.1).](image)

At the time of the cruise the ~70 m deep water column displayed four well-defined vertical layers (Fig. II.2A); a well-mixed surface boundary layer (SBL) and BBL, 15 m and 30 m thick respectively, separated by a weakly-stratified transition layer (~15–25 m depth) and a strongly stratified interior layer (~25–40 m depth). The layers were identified by changes of temperature
over defined depth intervals. The bottom depth of the SBL and the transition layer were taken as the depth where surface temperature (3–6 m average) had decreased by 0.2°C and 1.5°C, respectively. The upper depth of the BBL was defined as the depth where temperature had increase by 0.2°C relative to the BBL average determined from temperature between 50–60 m depth. The interior layer was characterized by two very sharp thermoclines situated in the upper (27–30 m depth) and lower (36–39 m depth) region of the layer and exhibiting vertical temperature gradients of up to 2°C m⁻¹. These two layers were found to persist during the whole measurement campaign.

The light transmission ranged from 89% to 96%, thus indicating low water turbidity. The most turbid layer was observed at the lower limit of the interior layer (at 40 m depth) suggesting the presence of phytoplankton, zooplankton and suspended particles.

Current characterization: The POZ-Lander was deployed for three days in which both velocity data and CTD data were collected (Fig. II.3A). Changes in the water level as the result of the tide were found to range from 0.6 to 0.9 m. Although the velocity data (Fig. II.3B, C) clearly showed a dominance of the barotropic semi-diurnal tide at all depths, there was an indication of the presence of baroclinic velocity contributions superimposed on the tidal currents. A variance analysis on the velocity data identified the major and minor axis of the tidal ellipsoid components to occur at 45° and 135° from true north respectively. Along those axis, the current amplitudes were 0.21 m s⁻¹ and 0.04 m s⁻¹, indicating a narrow tidal current ellipse. These amplitudes agree well with previous measurements and model results from the central North Sea (e.g., Otto et al. 1990). From least-square fitting of the depth-averaged velocity time series to the tidal harmonic, east (zonal) and north (meridional) barotropic M₂-current amplitudes of 0.12 m s⁻¹ and 0.17 m s⁻¹, and K₁-current amplitudes of 0.005 m s⁻¹ and 0.03 m s⁻¹ were obtained, respectively. The site is characterized by a negative (clockwise) tide polarity for the semi-diurnal tides. As shown by Simpson and Tinker (2009) for Celtic Sea sites, tide polarity is related to the strength of mixing in the BBL and thus its vertical extension (see discussion below).
Fig. II.3. (A) Sea surface elevation relative to average level during the observational period (elevation = 0 m) and schedule of different instrument deployments. Tidal induced oscillations in the water level were 0.6 to 0.9 m. (B,C) Horizontal velocities, showing 20 min averaged east (B) and north (C) velocities. Note that although the velocities field is dominated by barotropic $M_2$ variability, there is a clear presence of a baroclinic oscillation having lower frequencies. Note that panels A to C have the same time axis. (D) Frequency spectra of horizontal velocity calculated from the ADCP data for selected depth ranges for the SBL (red line), interior (blue line), and BBL (black line). The inertial $f$ as well as the $K_1$, $M_2$ and $M_4$ frequencies are marked. Note that near-inertial currents $f$ are evident in the thermocline.

To elucidate velocity contributions from other velocity driving components, spectra of horizontal velocity, i.e., east and north currents, were calculated for different layers (Fig. II.3D). Although
the limited length of the ADCP velocity time series did not allow for full separation of the semi-diurnal and inertial frequencies, the spectral density functions indicate maximum energy at frequencies of about the semi-diurnal tide. This maximum varies little with depth indicating barotropic $M_2$ motions. Additionally, the spectra show the presence of near-inertial motions having lower frequencies that are most pronounced in the thermocline (32 – 39 m). Lower but still elevated energy densities at the near-inertial band were also found in the SBL and BBL, suggesting a baroclinic nature of these fluctuations. Average amplitudes of the near-inertial fluctuations in the thermocline obtained from least-square fitting were 0.11 m s$^{-1}$. In the BBL and SBL, average amplitudes were 0.06 m s$^{-1}$ and 0.04 m s$^{-1}$, respectively. Somewhat elevated energy is also visible at higher frequency in the range of 0.11 cph to 0.25 cph that may originate from wave-wave interaction of the dominant fluctuations (e.g., van Haren 1999).

In stratified shelf seas, near-inertial oscillations are often reported to exhibit a baroclinic structure having one or more 180°-phase shifts between the upper and lower layers, which in turn results in elevated velocity shear in the interfaces (see Knight et al. 2002, van Haren et al. 1999). To better visualize the characteristics of the near-inertial feature, the dominant barotropic $M_2$ and $K_1$ tidal contributions were subtracted from the measured currents using the harmonic fit parameters. Fig. II.4A and B show the residual current for both east ($u'$) and north ($v'$) velocities. It is quite evident that the residual flow in the upper layer (8–30m depth) and in the thermocline (30–40m depth) is of opposite phase. Similarly although less pronounced, the flow in the thermocline exhibits a 180° phase shift compared to the flow in the BBL (40–70m depth) during the latter part of the time series. However, a thermocline – BBL phase shift is not so evident during the first 1.5 days. In fact, the phase difference between the residual thermocline and BBL flow gradually approaches 180° between mid-day of August 9 and morning of August 10 (not shown). Nevertheless, the dominant vertical structure of the near-inertial oscillation in the velocity data is best described as a second vertical mode feature. The lack of a clear 180°-phase shift in the lower water column may be due to superimposed oscillations or the fact that the mode was being set up during the observational period. It should be noted that the inertial oscillation in the data set here contrasts earlier observations of near-inertial waves in the northern
Fig. II.4. Non barotropic current velocities and vertical shear of horizontal velocity. (A, B) Current velocities as in Fig. II.3B and C, but without barotropic contributions for east (A) and north (B) velocities, respectively. (C, D) Vertical shear of horizontal velocity, S, for east (C) and north (D) velocity calculated from the ADCP data (see Fig. II.3B and C) indicating enhanced shear within the interior that is proposed to be the source of mixing in an otherwise well-stratified layer. Note that panels A to C have the same time axis. (E) Frequency spectra of vertical shear of horizontal velocity, S, calculated from the ADCP data for the maximum shear layers detected in (C, D). Note that the spectra clearly indicate near-inertial oscillation (f) to be the main contributor to the detected enhanced shear.
North Sea by Knight et al. (2002) who found a 1st vertical mode wave to be dominant from data collected in September and October 1998.

As already suggested by the vertical distribution of velocity (Fig. II.4) and by the phase shift analysis above, enhanced vertical shear of horizontal velocity (S) is found in the interfacial regions: between the interior layer and the transition layer as well as between the interior layer and the BBL (Fig. II.4C, D). The high vertical resolution (0.5 m) of the velocity data allows resolution of the interfacial shear layers, which are typically 2 to 3 m thick and exhibit elevated values of up to 0.05 s\(^{-1}\). Comparisons with CTD data showed that they are collocated with the two enhanced temperature gradients layers in the thermocline (between 27 to 30 m and 36 to 39 m depth, respectively). It should be emphasized that in between these interfacial shear layers, vertical shear is strongly reduced, while additional shear was also detected at the SBL-transition layer interface (18 – 20 m depth). As indicated by the spectral density function of the shear time series from the interior interfacial layers (Fig. II.4D), the shear is due to the inertial velocity fluctuations that were present during the measurement campaign. However, particularly the amplitude of shear in the upper thermocline is subjected to temporal variability as will be discussed below.

**Microstructure temperature and O\(_2\) profiles:** A total of 39 profiles were obtained at the same location within the Tommeliten site, but during different tidal phases (Fig. II.3A). As the profiler falls freely through the water column, high resolution temperature, O\(_2\), and shear measurements are not affected by cable drag from the vessels movement due to surface waves, thus allowing for a detailed high vertical resolution characterization of those properties. In addition, the galvanic O\(_2\) sensor was fast enough (0.2 s time constant) to resolve O\(_2\) fine-structure at the cm scale (10 cm resolution) even at the fast profiling speed (0.5 – 0.6 m s\(^{-1}\)) of the probe.

Fig. II.5 shows four selected MSS90 profiles. These profiles belong to the longest measurement cluster and were chosen as they cover a period of about half of the tidal and inertial cycle; the time interval between those profiles is 1.5 h. Most striking are the sharp vertical temperature gradients (dT/dz) in the two thermocline boundaries of the interior layer that exhibit temperature gradients of up to 4°C m\(^{-1}\) and little vertical displacement variability during the course of the tidal cycle. Stratification (N\(^2\)) in those layers calculated over 2 m intervals exceeds 10\(^3\) s\(^{-2}\). As
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Fig. II.5. Selected profiles from the MSS casts showing temperature (A), temperature gradient (dT/dz; B), O\textsubscript{2} in % saturation (% sat; C) and absolute concentration (D) as well as water column stability (N\textsuperscript{2}) profiles (D). The dashed lines represent the temperature layers as in Fig. II.2A. N\textsuperscript{2} profiles display averaged data; below the thermocline N\textsuperscript{2} values are ~ 0. Please note that the data in the upper 25m of the water column are contaminated by the vessels thrusters and thus disregarded from further analysis. Panel C also provides the Winkler derived saturation percentages that were used to correct the fast O\textsubscript{2} sensor readings noted above, the sharp thermoclines are also the regions of elevated velocity shear. In contrast, the O\textsubscript{2} profiles from the fast-responding galvanic sensor indicate that the lower thermocline was associated with an O\textsubscript{2} maximum that sharply decayed towards the BBL while somewhat reduced O\textsubscript{2} values were measured in the interior layer above. The oxycline at the interior-BBL interface was 2 – 3 m thick and displayed a highly enhanced O\textsubscript{2} vertical gradient on the order of 34 µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1} m\textsuperscript{-1}. Here, the temperature dependence of solubility resulting from the associated temperature gradient increases the vertical O\textsubscript{2} gradient by 3 – 4 µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1} m\textsuperscript{-1}. The temperature dependency of the O\textsubscript{2} sensor is accounted for during data acquisition using a sensor-specific factory correction, which is embedded in the microstructure profiler data collection algorithms (Dr. Andreas Schmuhl, AMT, pers. comm.). It should be noted that the interior O\textsubscript{2} values were persistently oversaturated reaching up to 114% at the O\textsubscript{2} maximum while in the SBL and BBL,
O$_2$ was near-saturation and 80% saturation, respectively (Fig. II.5). The fast O$_2$ sensor readings correction was performed based on O$_2$ saturations percentage obtained from Winkler titrations on discrete water sampling. As the O$_2$ maxima, which existed over 1 m, could not be properly sampled with the large 10 L bottles, SBL and BBL readings were considered for the correction. With the exception of the BBL, the fast thermistor data from the MSS90 profiles revealed slight temporal changes in temperature stratification in the upper region of the interior layer, which is probably related to the near-inertial oscillation. The water column stability $N^2$ (Fig. II.5) peaks ($10^{-3}$ s$^{-2}$) in the interior layer are concomitant with the stable thermocline. In the well-mixed BBL, $N^2 \sim 0$ as there are no detectable density gradients.

**Turbulent dissipation:** Turbulent dissipation rates ($\varepsilon$) determined from microstructure shear profiles (Prandke and Stips 1998) were particularly low ($2 \sim 5 \times 10^{-9}$ W kg$^{-1}$) in the center of the interior layer and strongly elevated (up to $10^{-6}$ W kg$^{-1}$) near the sea floor (Fig. II.6). In the interior layer, however, elevated dissipation rates were frequently observed at the upper and lower limits. These coincide with the depth range of the interfacial shear layers (Fig. II.4A) and the water column stability maxima (Fig. II.5).

To determine system representative dissipation rates during the observational period, all non-flagged $\varepsilon$ profiles were used to calculate a mean profile (Fig. II.6). 95%-confidence intervals were obtained from bootstrapping (Efron 1979). At the upper and lower interior layer limits, the dissipation rates were increased ($5 \times 10^{-9}$ W kg$^{-1}$ and $2 \times 10^{-8}$ W kg$^{-1}$, respectively). Those regions are separated by a region having strongly reduced $\varepsilon$ values of on average $2 \times 10^{-9}$ W kg$^{-1}$. In the BBL, the averaged profile showed a near-exponential increase with depth, and elevated values occurring during maximum tidal flow near the ocean floor.
Fig. II.6. Profiles overview and averaged turbulence profile. (A) Dissipation from all profiles (dots) together with the arithmetic mean (solid line). Note the dissipation peaks at the stratified upper and lower end of the interior (~ 30 and 40 m, respectively). The water level varied by ± 0.4 m due to the tide (Fig. II.3A). Note that values below the profiler noise level ($1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ W kg}^{-1}$) were not considered in the averaged profile. (B) Representative temperature profile showing the different temperature layers (thin and thick lines) as shown in Fig. II.2A.
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Fig. II.7. Tidal referenced temperature, O$_2$, and turbulence contour plots. (A) temperature, (B) O$_2$, and (C) turbulence contour plot of all MSS90 casts together with the temperature layers (thin and thick dashes for transition layer and BBL, respectively) defined from the temperature profiles as explained on Fig. II.2. Note that in the interior increased dissipation rates were detected at its upper and lower end, which is where the strongest stratification was also detected (see Fig. II.5). The empty gray spots in the ε contour plot are due to data that were flagged as possible ship thrusters contamination (SBL and transition layer) or collisions (interior and BBL), casts not reaching the bottom (casts 16 – 23) or unsuccessful casts (profile 36); to enable direct comparisons the same temperature and O$_2$ data were omitted as well. The vertical black lines indicate the transition (time gaps) between consecutive profile ensembles. (D) Background information on bottom current and sea surface elevation (see Fig. II.3) during the casts as collected by the deployed POZ lander. Note that as a result of the time gaps between the consecutive MSS90 casts (see Fig. II.3A) the time scale, which is the same for all panels, is not linear.
From the temporal evolution of the turbulent dissipation rates (Fig. II.7) the following can be elucidated:

- Turbulence patterns in the BBL suggest a phase lag between maximum bottom dissipation rates, which are in phase with maximum tidal flow and result from bottom friction, and the occurrence of the associated dissipation rate maxima further up in the BBL. This can be explained by upward-propagating shear stress that generates turbulence away from the bottom boundary and results in the phase lag between maximum dissipation rates near the bottom and in the interior BBL (see Simpson et al. 2000; Burchard et al. 2008).

- Temporal variability of the dissipation rates in the upper and lower boundary of the interior layer correlates with temporal variability of the magnitude of interfacial shear due to the inertial oscillations.

- Dissipation rates in the region of the elevated O\textsubscript{2} gradients in the lower interior layer are elevated due to the interfacial shear layers (e.g., profiles 4 – 22) but also due to upward-propagating shear stress from the bottom boundary (e.g., profiles 12 – 18 and 38 – 40).

Also evident are apparent displacements of the interior-BBL interface even between consecutive profiles that are likely due to issues with the pressure sensor. For determining eddy diffusivities and diapycnal O\textsubscript{2} fluxes, the pressure of individual profiles was offset such that elevated oxygen gradient zones between the interior layer and the BBL were located at the same depth.

_Eddy diffusivities:_ \( K_z \) values were found to range from \( 6 \times 10^{-7} \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) below the upper oxycline to \( 3 \times 10^{-6} \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) in the lower region of the transition layer (Fig. II.8A). At the interior-BBL interface where the vertical O\textsubscript{2} gradient was the strongest, \( K_z \) reached \( 2 \times 10^{-5} \) m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\). The error bars shown in the figure refers the uncertainty level in \( K_z \) resulting from the changes in the dissipation rates (Fig. II.6), \( N^2 \) fluctuations (Fig. II.4) as well as reductions of the mixing efficiency based on the Shih et al. (2005) parameterization (see Methods). In the lower interfacial layer (BBL - thermocline) increased turbulence (Fig. II.6) and weaker stratification (Fig. II.7) are responsible for the increased eddy diffusivities. In contrast, in the upper interface (thermocline - transition layer) where \( \varepsilon \) is elevated with respect to the central interior but reduced compared to the lower interfacial layer (Fig. II.6), larger \( N^2 \) values (Fig. II.5) reduce the eddy diffusivities. However, as most of the data above 25 m were flagged due to thruster contamination, these
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estimates are too heavily biased to be comparable to the remainder of the dataset; the result will be shown but disregarded from further analysis. Larger mixing events during the presence of the inertial wave may have been completely missed.

Fig. II.8. Vertical eddy diffusion coefficient and O\textsubscript{2} fluxes. (A) Average vertical eddy diffusion coefficient \( K_z \) with uncertainties bars as well as the \( K_z \) values for every ensemble (open squares), which represent the average over 3 to 4 consecutive profiles. Note that at the lower end of the interior (at the dissipation rate peaks, Fig. II.6) \( K_z \) values are up to a factor 10 higher than in the central interior. (B) Average O\textsubscript{2} flux over 2 m bins with the respective uncertainties intervals (solid square and black line). The values for each profile cluster are shown both downward and upward fluxes (solid and open squares, respectively).

**Oxygen fluxes:** Fig. II.8B shows the 2 m bin average for the lower transition layer (until about 25 m depth) and the interior (below about 25 m) O\textsubscript{2} fluxes based on the AMT sensor together with the averages from each ensemble. A substantial O\textsubscript{2} flux divergence of 54 mmol m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1} (ranging from 9 to 134 mmol m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}) was identified from the lower thermocline to the nutrient rich BBL. Considering the flux uncertainties (see Methods) the confidence interval associated with the average O\textsubscript{2} flux was 18 – 74 mmol m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}. On the contrary, small O\textsubscript{2} fluxes (~1 mmol m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}) were estimated for the other regions in the thermocline. This suggests that little oxygen is
transported upward from the oxygen maximum to the rest of the interior. How much of that O$_2$ is then transported from the interior to the transition layer remains unknown as on this study K$_z$ could not be estimated robustly enough due to the data flagging.

Fig. II.9. Main turbulent O$_2$ fluxes determined in this study. The ranges shown for the interior O$_2$ fluxes refer to the associated uncertainty and intermittency levels. The sediment uptake rate (SUR) was estimated from benthic chamber deployments (this study) or reported from parallel studies in the same working area (McGinnis et al., in prep.). In addition, based on a simple O$_2$ budget, the apparent BBL O$_2$ loss rates as well as the corresponding organic C export (and subsequent remineralization) were also estimated. Furthermore we provide a nitrate flux that would be required to reach the thermocline to support the steady-state new primary production to replace that which is exported.

The total benthic O$_2$ flux was inferred from one benthic chamber deployment; the chamber was deployed for 23 hrs and showed an average O$_2$ loss rate of 1.7 µmol L$^{-1}$ per hour from which a SUR of 7 mmol m$^{-2}$ L$^{-1}$ was derived.
II.4. Discussion

The importance of determining the turbulent processes and accurately resolving the O$_2$ gradient is immediately apparent for the O$_2$ interior dynamics and budget within this region of the North Sea. The formation of the O$_2$ maximum zone due to primary production at the base of the thermocline and the turbulence interactions have significant implications for the O$_2$ flux to the BBL and lead to some important insights regarding the carbon turnover within the system. Within these contexts, we will: 1) Discuss the turbulent mechanisms leading to these O$_2$ fluxes and those promoting the formation of the O$_2$ maximum zone in terms of primary productivity; 2) present an estimate of the BBL O$_2$ budget for our period of measurement; 3) discuss the implications for nitrate and organic carbon turnover.

Sources of mixing in the seasonal thermocline

As noted previously, the thermocline of the Central North Sea is often in a state of marginal stability (van Haren et al. 1999), i.e., Richardson number ($Ri = N^2/S^2$) ~ 1, and additional sources of shear could lead to local production of turbulence and thus to enhanced mixing – in affect apparent in our high values for O$_2$ fluxes. During the observational period, it was found that near-inertial oscillations in the interior were the main contributors to the detected enhanced shear (Fig. II.4). As recently shown in a model study by Burchard and Rippeth (2009) based on a two layer system, short-lived elevated shear maxima during the presence of baroclinic inertial oscillations and barotropic tidal currents (as were the conditions during our observational campaign) originate from an interaction of the surface wind stress with the shear vector of the baroclinic inertial oscillation and the bottom stress vector of the barotropic tide. In the model, the maximum shear production will occur when those vectors are aligned, while the actual shear maxima will occur at the turning point, so when the shear production will stop (i.e., $dS^2/dt = 0$). The authors report that the shear maxima usually occurs ~¼ of an inertial period after the initial vectors alignment and that their interfacial shear maxima were sensitive to both the direction and phase of the wind stress.

Although the state of the North Sea during the time of our measurements would need to be described by a more complex three (SBL, Interior, BBL) or four layer model and not a two layer
one, the data presented here indicate several of the ingredients that were required in their model. Changes in wind directions were frequently observed (Fig. II.10A) leading to the wind vector showing both clockwise and anticlockwise rotations (Fig. II.10B). An alignment with the clockwise rotating shear vector in the upper interfacial layer (Fig. II.10D) is indeed happening several times during the observational period. Furthermore, several shear spikes were detected in the upper interfacial layer and found to occur at perhaps the local inertial period (Fig. II.10C). Although variable in magnitude, those shear maxima provide some support for the mechanism described by Burchard and Rippeth (2009). Some of those shear spikes, however, were not associated with the vectors alignment and may arise from other processes associated with changes in the wind forcing. In terms of turbulence measurements and shear instability it is worth noting that most of the measurements where performed before or after those events (Fig. II.10C). Measurements during a shear spike (cast 10 to 23 on the 9 August) revealed that the upper interfacial layers moved from an initial state of marginal stability to shear instability ($Ri < 0.25$) during the shear maxima, thus supporting a possible local production of turbulence.

With this regard the lower interfacial layer, with the exception of a shear maxima occurring in the late afternoon of the 8th of August and lasting several hours, there was no clear evidence of shear spikes production as result of a Burchard and Rippeth (2009) mechanism actually occurring. Nevertheless, some of the increased O$_2$ fluxes detected, especially in the first half of the observational period, might still be a result of local turbulence production due to the occurrence of shear maxima. As a matter of fact, the enhanced turbulence in the lower interior during the first MSS90 ensembles (casts 2 – 9, first two MSS clusters) was associated with a shear maximum (late night of the 8th of August). In the later profile ensembles, however, BBL turbulence reached into the lower thermocline (Fig. II.7) and contributed to elevated mixing and increased O$_2$ fluxes. It should thus be stated that the turbulence dynamics and resulting fluxes reported here may not be representative for the general summer time period of the central North Sea as it is still unclear how often these shear maxima occur and how distinctive is the observed phenomenon.
Fig. II.10. Wind and shear spikes. (A) Wind data provided by the ship meteorological station showing wind direction (black line) and magnitude (gray line); the gap in the dataset was due to a malfunction. (B) Temporal changes in the wind direction between two consecutive measurements (10 min) with positive values defined as clockwise rotations; to remove the dataset noise and to enhance the readability a 60 min low-pass filter was applied to reduce the noise and the values are up-scaled to degrees per hour. (C) Shear variance ($S^2$) indicating the occurrence of several short-lived shear maxima that are more frequent and of larger magnitude in the upper interfacial layer (black line) than in the lower one (gray line). Note that also the MSS profiles are listed on the figure (filled circles) to provide a better identification of the measurements ensembles. (C) Direction of the clockwise rotating shear vector for both the upper and lower interfacial layer (filled and unfilled circles, respectively); the displayed data were filtered with a 120 min low-pass filter. Note that the time axis is the same for all three panels.
BBL $O_2$ budget

While it is too speculative to upscale the $O_2$ fluxes to the whole summer period, a simple BBL $O_2$ budget can be performed for the conditions encountered during the observational period. This requires, besides the average $O_2$ flux into the BBL and the SUR, also the apparent seasonal $O_2$ loss in the bottom water.

With the fast responding AMT galvanic $O_2$ sensor we were able to resolve the $O_2$ gradient with the highest resolution that is currently technically possible on a microstructure profiler. These measurements revealed higher $O_2$ concentrations at the maximum and much sharper gradients than those measured by the slow (5 s response time) Oxyguard sensor $O_2$ sensors (Fig. II.9). The Oxyguard sensor also showed a substantial disagreement in the SBL and transition layer (up to 25 m), by largely underestimating the $O_2$ concentrations. The disagreement was attributed to the increasing hydrostatic pressure acting on the Oxyguard sensor membrane and thus reducing the spacing between the membrane and the cathode until the membrane properly fits to the cathode. The AMT revealed a substantial $O_2$ flux divergence from the base of the thermocline into the BBL, with an average of $-54 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$.

The SUR based on the benthic chamber was $7 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$. A parallel study based on eddy correlation and in-situ $O_2$ microprofiling during the same observational period reported an average SUR of up to $12 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ (McGinnis et al., in prep.). Based on both studies, a SUR of $10 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ was chosen for further analysis.

The apparent $O_2$ loss in the BBL can be estimated considering the time elapsed from the onset of seasonal stratification (April, around day 100; Meyer et al. 2011) when the $O_2$ was $\sim100\%$ saturated to the time of this survey where the BBL $O_2$ was at $80\%$ saturation. Assuming a constant BBL temperature ($6.8^\circ\text{C}$; Fig. II.5), the 35 m thick BBL was then characterized by an apparent $O_2$ loss of $15 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. II.9) leading to a $O_2$ concentration difference of about $-50 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1}$ over $\sim120$ days ($-0.42 \text{ mmol m}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$), which is in good agreement with the study of Greenwood et al. (2010), conducted on the nearby North Dogger.

Performing a simple 1-d model of a slice of the BBL with a $1 \text{ m}^2$ area and 35 m height, and neglecting advective transport (a reasonable assumption given the large distance to the boundaries in the North Sea, the presumed homogeneous conditions, and thus the relative
isolation of the bottom water in this location), the 1 m² section of the North Sea BBL can be represented as a simple continuously mixed tank reactor. We further assume that the observed long-term water column loss rate remains constant over the studied period. The mass balance equation is then given as:

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} \frac{V}{A} = F_{in} - F_{out} + R \text{ (mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})
\]  

(II.5)

where \(C\) is the \(O_2\) concentration, \(t\) is time, \(V/A\) is the volume-to-area ratio of our model (35 m), \(F_{in}\) is the flux from the thermocline (54 mmol m² d⁻¹), \(F_{out}\) is the SUR (10 mmol m² d⁻¹), and thus \(R\) should represent our water column gain or loss flux. Rearranging and solving for \(R\) yields a water column flux loss rate of -60 mmol m² d⁻¹. Therefore, the total actual \(O_2\) loss rate in the BBL is -70 mmol m² d⁻¹. While there is considerable uncertainty in this estimate, the results suggest several factors higher than the value reported by Greenwood at al. (2010). This implies that the concentration loss is about 2 µmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹, which, without the thermocline as an \(O_2\) source (in addition to a carbon source), would result in a depletion of 240 µmol L⁻¹, and thus a BBL showing ~20% \(O_2\) saturation instead of the observed 80% saturation.

**Implications for nutrient fueling and carbon cycle**

Summer \(O_2\) dynamics in the thermocline of the central North Sea were also investigated by Weston at al. (2005) based on chlorophyll and primary production measurements. The authors reported the occurrence of a clear thermocline chlorophyll maximum (deep chlorophyll maximum) that, partly due to nutrient fluxes from the BBL, was responsible for a substantial and continuous primary production over the whole summer. Rippeth et al. (2009) went further and based their analysis on nitrate flux estimations from which the thermocline new production was inferred. They report a significant BBL-nitrate fuelled new production, comparable with that of spring blooms.

**Nitrate**: In the present study, nitrate distributions were not measured and thus fluxes and gradients cannot be calculated. An order-of-magnitude estimation, however, can still be performed assuming that primary production in the lower thermocline during the observational period is mainly BBL-nitrate fuelled. Therefore the turbulent \(O_2\) flux into the BBL needs to be balance by an upward turbulent nitrate flux sustaining primary production in the lower thermocline. With an \(O_2\) to nitrogen (N) ratio of 138:16 (Redfield et al. 1963) the average nitrate
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flux would amounts to ~6 mmol N m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, which is higher than reported by Rippeth et al. (2009) but comparable with the fluxes reported by e.g., Sharples et al. (2007) for the Celtic Sea. The authors reported average nitrate fluxes of 1.3 and 3.5 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ for neap and spring tide but also enhanced fluxes up to 9 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ as a result of short-lived mixing events associated with the passage of internal solitons. The nitracline is inferred, from the nitrate flux and the measured eddy diffusivities across the BBL-lower thermocline interface (Fig. II.8), to be up to 3 µmol L$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$. Assuming a summer BBL nitrate concentration of up to 7 µmol L$^{-1}$ and interior concentrations below 1 µmol L$^{-1}$ (Weston et al 2005), the nitracline would be ~2 m thick, and thus thinner and sharper than in other shelf seas (e.g., Hickman et al. 2009), but not out of the question as the thickness of the observed oxycline below the O$_2$ maximum is of similar vertical extent.

**Organic carbon:** The large O$_2$ demand in the BBL may also be the consequence of a ‘turbulent’ carbon flux occurring by the same mechanisms and route as the turbulent O$_2$ flux – a process that essentially results from the ejection of biomass, which thrives within the base of the thermocline and also produces the O$_2$ maximum. Thus, the organic matter exported to the BBL from the base of the thermocline is accompanied by the necessary O$_2$ to deal with its mineralization.

Assuming a 1:1 O$_2$ utilization for carbon re-mineralization (Canfield 1993), we can infer the carbon fluxes and remineralization based on our O$_2$ balance. With an average of 54 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ O$_2$ flux from the thermocline to the BBL, and thus the same amount of carbon, we estimate that 640 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ of organic carbon accompanies the transported O$_2$. Expressing the total O$_2$ loss flux ($R$) as carbon yields around 850 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ with about 120 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (15%) to the sediment and the remaining 85% in the water column. These amounts are well in agreement with the common assumption that only 15% – 50% of pelagic primary production reaches the sediment (Canfield 1993; Wollast 1998; Glud 2008). The calculated export of carbon is high, exceeding those values modeled by Sharples (2008) for a typical NW European shelf sea that were from ~35 to ~200 mg m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ for neap and spring tide, respectively. However, these modeled values did not include the daily tidal variation, and thus could be much higher on shorter timescales.

We acknowledge that extrapolating our results to carbon budgets is somewhat speculative within the context of this study. However, we believe that our estimates of the O$_2$ balance and carbon
budget emphasize that the exchange of organic carbon and rates of primary productivity may be significantly underestimated within the central North Sea BBL and thermocline. Of course a validation of these results would require a more extensive investigation of the upper water column processes with emphasis on the air-sea CO$_2$ flux and O$_2$ contributions from the DCM (unfortunately not quantifiable on this study) and the atmosphere. As net community production was found to be a major process controlling the distribution of dissolved inorganic carbon and CO$_2$ in the central and northern regions of the North Sea (see Bozec et al. 2006), the CO$_2$ contributions from the atmosphere needs to be taken into account to build up more robust O$_2$ and C budgets.

The results of this study suggest that the O$_2$ maximum zone resulting from primary production at the base of the thermocline is a significant source of O$_2$ (and by extension, organic carbon) to the bottom boundary layer. The occurrence of short-lived shear maxima and enhanced turbulence at the base of the thermocline resulting from upward shear stress propagation were determined to substantially enhance these fluxes over short time scales. Our results show an interesting interaction between the interior layer and the bottom boundary layer due to turbulence and hydrodynamics, and – due to the presence of algae (see Weston et al. 2005) in the bottom of the thermocline – the subsequent impact on the O$_2$ and carbon turnover. This has further implications for the nitrogen turnover rates, as nitrate fluxes into the O$_2$ maximum zone would likely be high during these periods of enhance turbulence at the base of the thermocline, to further sustain primary production. Resolving the nutrient concentration gradient over the small depth ranges reported here, will however pose a new challenge for future studies in the central North Sea region. Obviously, a more detailed, interdisciplinary and fine-scale study would be necessary to further explore these interesting interactions.
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Hydrodynamic effect on oxygen uptake from seep sediments
Abstract

The effects of hydrodynamics in controlling benthic diffusive oxygen ($O_2$) consumption in two cold-seep habitats on the Chilean continental margin were investigated in-situ using a state-of-the-art lander-based transecting profiler. A total of up to 54 $O_2$ and 27 hydrogen sulfides (H$_2$S) profiles were collected over each of the two 45-cm-length transects, in parallel with concurrent near-bottom current measurements and seafloor imaging. The first deployment (transect on seep habitat P1) revealed moderate coverage with sulfur-bacterial mats on the sediment surface, generally more sulfidic sediments, and an average diffusive $O_2$ uptake ($DOU$) rate of $7.9 \pm 4.5$ mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. The transect on the second deployment (seep habitat P2) was less sulfidic, with an average $DOU$ of $4.0 \pm 2.3$ mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, and had only sporadic bacterial mat coverage. $O_2$ fluxes scaled with DBL thickness (δ$_{DBL}$) variability, which indicates transport limitation for deep-sea sediments.

Sediment reactivity, defined here as the potential sediment metabolic $O_2$ requirements, was estimated considering sulfide oxidation rates based on observed total sulfide fluxes and estimates of organic matter (OM) degradation. While at seep P2 the $DOU$ could account for the sulfide oxidation and organic matter degradation, at seep P1 other processes such as small-scale topography and aerobic methane oxidation were estimated to account for up to 35% of the observed $DOU$. This study highlights that at reactive sedimentary environments, such as seeps or organic rich continental margin and shelf sediments where $O_2$ demand is very high, hydrodynamics can impede $O_2$ transport into the sediment. Consequently, in these environments, $DOU$ is significantly less than the potential $O_2$ demand as dictated by $O_2$ consumption processes in the sediment.
III. 1. Introduction

The availability and dynamics of dissolved oxygen (O\textsubscript{2}) are of major importance to benthic ecosystems. O\textsubscript{2} is not only an indicator of biological activity via respiration (Glud 2008) but also plays a major role in the degradation of organic matter (Emerson and Hedges 2004) as well as in the reoxidation of reduced compounds (Canfield et al. 1993). In order to understand and quantify sediment O\textsubscript{2} uptake, it is crucial to comprehensively understand controlling processes which include physical forcing as well as sediment O\textsubscript{2} consumption (Jørgensen and Boudreau 2001).

One of the primary controls on solute transport across the sediment-water-interface (SWI) is a thin, mm-scale layer immediately above the sediment, which is referred to as the diffusive boundary layer (DBL). In the DBL, transport occurs via molecular diffusion (Jørgensen and Revsbech 1985); thus, depending on its thickness (\(\delta_{DBL}\)) the DBL is regarded as an important barrier that can limit benthic O\textsubscript{2} transport across the SWI (e.g., Jørgensen and Revsbech 1985; Lorke et al. 2003). The \(\delta_{DBL}\) is modulated by the local hydrodynamic regime. Several studies on DBL dynamics have reported both strong correlations between current velocity in the bottom boundary layer (BBL) and \(\delta_{DBL}\) (Steinberger and Honzo 1999; Jørgensen and Des Marais 1990) as well as with the BBL turbulence regime (Higashino et al. 2003; Lorke et al. 2003; Bryant et al. 2010a; Wang et al. 2013). Depending on the bottom water current velocity, \(\delta_{DBL}\) varies on the scale of sub-millimetres under relatively high current velocities (e.g., > 2 cm s\textsuperscript{-1}) but becomes thicker as current velocity decreases. Under such conditions, thicker DBLs impede solute exchange between the sediment and the water column and biogeochemical processes in the sediment may become transport limited as a result. It is, however, still not fully understood to what extent hydrodynamic-induced changes in \(\delta_{DBL}\) effectively control the uptake or release of solutes at the SWI.

Studies on mesotrophic lakes have shown that these systems can become transport-limited during periods of reduced flow and consequently reduced BBL turbulence (Lorke et al. 2003; Brandt et al. 2009; Bryant et al. 2010a). The sediments of these lakes are characterized by high amounts of reactive organic matter (OM) with a high demand for O\textsubscript{2}.
Significant work has been done to assess the role of $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ on benthic $O_2$ fluxes, although the majority of these studies have been limited to modeling approaches showing that in systems characterized by reactive OM, the DBL plays a modulating role over the $O_2$ uptake (Kelly-Gerreyn et al. 2005; Brand et al. 2009). Conversely, in marine environments, where benthic $O_2$ consumption primarily results from reoxidation of reduced compounds, modeling studies inferred that the DBL had only a marginal role (Glud et al. 2007). Despite the fact that much work has been done on this topic, field assessments are extremely rare (Gundersen and Jørgensen 1990; Glud et al. 2003, 2009) and quantitative in-situ data are much needed.

The primary goals of this study were to use data obtained in-situ to evaluate the significance of hydrodynamics and corresponding variability in $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ on diffusive $O_2$ uptake ($DOU$) rates and to constrain the main biogeochemical processes sustaining the observed $DOU$. These goals were achieved with a comprehensive in-situ $O_2$ and hydrogen sulfide ($H_2S$) microprofiling survey which characterized both $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ and sediment geochemistry, including organic matter degradation and the reoxidation of $H_2S$. $H_2S$ was utilized as a primary indicator of redox activity as in methane seep habitats $H_2S$ represents a key species which is liberated into the porewater during the microbial anaerobic methane oxidation (AOM), representing an important sink for methane in these environments (Judd and Hovland 2007 and reference therein). To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first time controls on $DOU$ have been assessed in highly heterogeneous marine sediment using in-situ data.

### III. 2. Methods

**Study site**

$O_2$ and $H_2S$ flux measurements were performed along two ~ 40-cm-long transects within a cold-seep habitat at the Chilean continental margin. The site was selected due to the heterogeneity of the habitat characterized by localized occurrences of bacterial mats and reduced sediments. This allowed for flux measurements to be obtained over a “gradient” of mat-free sediment and bacterial mats of different coverage and thus over a range of sediment reactivities and hence $O_2$ demand. The $O_2$ and $H_2S$ microprofiles presented in this study were collected at the central Chilean subduction zone ($35^\circ S$ to $37^\circ S$; Klaucke et al. 2012) during the R/V *Sonne* SO210 cruise.
from 23 September to 1 November 2010. The sampling site (36°28.25' S / 73°40.75' W) is within a ~ 4 km² region referred to as the Concepción Methane Seep Area (CMSA) Box 2 within which bathymetric surveys and acoustic imaging from sidescan sonars and sub-bottom profilers have revealed active seepage (Klaucke et al. 2012). The sea floor displayed typical cold-seep features such as sediments covered with sulfide-oxidizing bacterial mats, reduced and sulfidic sediments, live tubeworms, and authigenic carbonates (Linke et al. 2011).

**Profiler Lander**

The Profiler lander (Fig. III.1) used for this study consists of a transecting microsensor-equipped profiling unit mounted on a standard GEOMAR lander (Pfannkuche and Linke 2003). A TV-guided launching system allowed smooth placement of the lander at selected sites on the sea floor.

The profiling unit in the lander consists of lower and upper glass-fiber frames, which are connected by four glass-fiber poles. The upper frame extends ~ 50 cm towards the front defining an area of ~ 0.15 m² across which the sensor array can be moved in mm increments along the x- and the y-axes, which are 450 mm and 330 mm long, respectively. Along the vertical z-axis, the sensor array can be moved in self-designated increments ranging from 100 µm to several mm, over a total vertical distance of 190 mm. The rear section of the profiling unit contains pressure housings for data logging, the control unit (i.e., for sensor array movement), and batteries. A total of four microsensors can be deployed simultaneously. Microsensors used for this study were Clark-type O₂ microelectrodes (Revsbech 1989) with a tip diameter of ~ 50-µm, as well H₂S microsensors (Jeroschewski 1996) and pH microsensors (Unisense, Aarhus, Denmark). The lateral distance between each of the microsensors on the profiling unit was 25 mm. Two optodes (Aanderaa; Bergen, Norway) were also mounted on the profiling unit to record time series of O₂ and temperature in the bottom water at ~ 1 m and ~ 18 cm above the seafloor.

The Profiler lander was further equipped with an upward-looking acoustic Doppler current profiler (300 KHz Workhorse Sentinel; RD Instruments, California, United States) and a Conductivity-Temperature-Depth logger (CTD; RBR, Ottawa, Canada). A camera system (Ocean Imaging System; Massachusetts, United States) was used to obtain images of the sediment surface.
Profile acquisition

Vertical microsensor-profile acquisition consisted of two steps: (1) a fast-search profile was performed in mm steps until the SWI was detected, then the sensor array was retracted and moved 3 mm forward along the x-axis where (2) the actual high resolution profile was performed. High-resolution profiling was performed in 100-µm steps from a programmable safety distance (19 mm for this study) above the depth of the previously detected SWI to a pre-selected profile length into the sediment (24 mm for this study). During each deployment, the sensor array was moved 16 mm along the x-axis between each successive profile. If the fast-search profile failed to detect the SWI, the array was set to again move 16 mm forward and perform another search profile. For each of the two deployments, profiling was programmed to last slightly less than 48 h.

Two deployments of the profiler were performed in relatively similar seep habitats. The first Profiler deployment, a transect across seep habitat P1, was carried out on 14th October 2012, while the second deployment, a transect across seep habitat P2, was conducted on 18th October 2012 approximately 100 m away from the P1 location. The P1 transect was performed using two O₂ sensors, an H₂S sensor and a pH sensor over a transect length of 45.0 cm. 37 O₂ and 27 H₂S profiles were obtained; however, the pH sensor failed. The P2 transect was conducted with the same H₂S and O₂ sensors used for P1 and collected 40 O₂ and 27 H₂S profiles over a length of 41.6 cm. Due to technical malfunctions, the sensor array performed shorter steps along the x-axis between profiles 6 – 7 and 13 – 14 as well as a larger step between profiles 26 – 27 on P2. The time period between the profiles, however, remained constant.
O₂ profile processing

Each O₂ profile was calibrated individually using O₂ concentrations in the well-mixed water overlaying the sediment based on Winkler-corrected optode measurements at the beginning of each profile and the anoxic sediment at the end of each profile (0 µmol L⁻¹). DOU rates were calculated from the calibrated profiles based on Fick’s first law of diffusion (Jørgensen and Des Marais 1990) as:

\[ \text{DOU} = -\varphi D_{O_2} \frac{\Delta O_2}{\delta_{DBL}} \]

with \( \varphi \) being sediment porosity, \( D_{O_2} \) the molecular diffusion coefficient of O₂ in seawater and \( \Delta O_2 = C_{bulk} - C_{SWI} \) being the O₂ concentration difference between the SWI (\( C_{SWI} \)) and the upper DBL boundary (\( C_{bulk} \)), respectively (see Jørgensen and Revsbech 1985; Bryant et al. 2010a,b). The upper DBL boundary was defined as the intersection of the linearly extrapolated concentration gradient within the DBL and \( C_{bulk} \) in the overlying water. The SWI was determined by considering distinct slope changes in the O₂ concentration profiles as the result of the change between \( D_{O_2} \) in water and the O₂ diffusion coefficient in porous media (Jørgensen and Des Marais 1990; Bryant et al. 2010b). The O₂ diffusion coefficient \( D_0 \) (1.57 x 10⁻⁹ m² s⁻¹ at 10°C;
Broeker and Peng (1974) was corrected for in-situ temperature and salinity following the Einstein-Stokes relationship (Li and Gregory 1974). As the O₂ flux was estimated from the water-side, a porosity value of \( \varphi = 1 \) was used.

The measured \( \delta_{\text{DBL}} \) was also compared to the theoretical thickness estimated assuming Law-of-the-Wall (LOW; Imboden and Wüest 1995) as:

\[
\delta_{\text{DBL}} \approx \delta_v \left( \frac{D_{\text{O}_2}}{\nu} \right)^{1/3}
\]

(III.2)

where \( \delta_v \approx 10 \nu / u^* \) is viscous sublayer thickness, \( \nu \) is molecular viscosity at in-situ conditions, and \( u^* \) is friction velocity.

**H₂S profile calibration and processing**

The H₂S sensors were calibrated in the lab using a dilution of sodium sulfide – sodium hydroxide stock solution (total sulfide concentrations of 60 mmol L⁻¹ and 58.17 mmol L⁻¹ for P1 and P2, respectively) with phosphate buffer solution (pH 7). A linear relationship between the sensor reading and the H₂S concentration was assumed (Jeroschewski et al. 1996). By adding a specific amount of stock solution to 100-ml buffer solutions, four-point calibrations were performed prior to each deployment using four different solutions with known total sulfide concentrations (\( \Sigma \text{H}_2\text{S} \)). The concentration of H₂S in each of the pH 7 solutions was calculated following Jeroschewski et al. (1996) as:

\[
\text{H}_2\text{S} = \Sigma \text{H}_2\text{S} / (1 + 10^{-pK_1} / 10^{-pH})
\]

(III.3)

with \( pK_1 \) being the H₂S dissociation constant based on lab conditions (21°C; see Millero et al. 1988). The H₂S sensor calibration range for P1 was 3 – 31 \( \mu \)mol L⁻¹ H₂S. For the P2 calibration, the range was broadened to 3 – 123 \( \mu \)mol L⁻¹ to ensure increased accuracy at high concentrations. The hydrogen sulfide H₂S flux was then estimated for each calibrated profile as:

\[
F_{\text{H}_2\text{S}} = \varphi D_{\text{H}_2\text{S}} \frac{\Delta \text{H}_2\text{S}}{\Delta z}
\]

(III.4)

with \( D_{\text{H}_2\text{S}} \) being the in-situ H₂S diffusion coefficient in the sediment, and \( \Delta \text{H}_2\text{S} / \Delta z \) the concentration gradient estimated based on the region of the concentration profile between the depth where H₂S was detected and the end of the profile. The concentration gradient within this depth interval of interest was approximated to be linear. If the “linear” concentration profile displayed appreciable slope changes, the segment close to the H₂S detection depth was
considered for flux estimations; otherwise, the entire length of the measured H₂S profile was considered. \( D_{(s)H_2S} \) was defined as \( D_{(s)H_2S} = \varphi D_{_{H_2S}} \) with the H₂S diffusion coefficient in water, \( D_{_{H_2S}} \), estimated based on in-situ salinity and temperature from the reference value of \( 2.1 \times 10^{-9} \) m² s⁻¹ at 25°C (Boudreau 1997). Tortuosity was accounted for by multiplying \( D_{_{H_2S}} \) with \( \varphi \) (see Berg et al. 2003). An average sediment \( \varphi \) of 0.75 was also determined using the same core and following the method of Haeckel et al. (2001). Due to the failure of the pH microsensor, pH values were taken from ex-situ microsensor measurements of a sediment core collected in the study area.

Total sulfide concentrations were obtained using the sulfide dissolution equation (Eq. 3) for in-situ conditions. Total sulfide flux \( (F_{\Sigma H_2S}) \) was approximated based on the estimated H₂S fluxes as:

\[
F_{\Sigma H_2S} = F_{H_2S} \frac{\Sigma H_2S}{H_2S} \frac{D_{\Sigma H_2S}}{D_{(s)H_2S}}
\]

with \( \Sigma H_2S/H_2S \) being the total sulfide to hydrogen sulfide ratio. \( D_{\Sigma H_2S} \) is defined here as the weighted average of \( D_{(s)H_2S} \) and the sediment molecular diffusion coefficient of sulfide, \( D_{_{HS^-}} \) (\( 1.73 \times 10^{-9} \) m² s⁻¹ at 25°C; Li and Gregory 1974), accounting for both H₂S and HS⁻ ratios to \( \Sigma H_2S \) for the in-situ conditions. \( S^{2-} \) concentration was assumed to be negligible at the in-situ conditions and thus not considered in Eq. 6:

\[
D_{\Sigma H_2S} = \frac{H_2S}{\Sigma H_2S} D_{(s)H_2S} + \frac{HS^-}{\Sigma H_2S} D_{_{HS^-}}
\]
III. 3. Results

Deployment overview
The deployments were performed at similar water depths (~700 m) and in similar physical settings (i.e., temperature, salinity). ADCP-based current measurements, performed 5.8 m above the seafloor, also revealed comparable current velocities with average magnitudes of 8.5 and 9.3 cm s\(^{-1}\) for P1 and P2, respectively. On P2, the range was slightly broader (2.5 – 18.3 cm s\(^{-1}\)) than that of P1 (2.5 – 15.7 cm s\(^{-1}\)). Current direction ranged from 40 to 140° for P1 and 150 to 240° for P2 with an apparent periodicity of ~12 h.

The P1 transect was characterized by the frequent occurrence of sulfide oxidizing bacterial mats of varying spatial extents (Fig. III.2A). Microscale topography revealed a maximum difference in elevation of 23 mm (Fig. III.2B). Due to a technical malfunction, the sensor array made an increased step along the x-axis between profiles 10 and 11, thus leaving a 130-mm gap where no profiles were performed. Time elapsed between profiles 10 and 11, however, was the same as between other profiles. Due to this inconsistency in horizontal spacing, profiles will be plotted versus deployment time throughout this study. In contrast to P1, during the P2 transect bacterial mats were only present towards the end of the transect in a region where sulfidic sediments occurred (Fig. III.2C). A 21-mm-deep depression was also detected in this region (Fig. III.2D). No inconsistencies in spacing occurred during P2.

\(\text{O}_2\) profiles
Characteristic profiles for both transects are shown in Fig. III.3. The near-bottom \(\text{O}_2\) concentration measured at ~18 cm distance from the seafloor showed little variability on both transects (131 ± 3 \(\mu\)mol L\(^{-1}\) during P1 and 165 ± 5 \(\mu\)mol L\(^{-1}\) during P2; Fig III.4A, C). The \(\text{O}_2\) concentration offset between the deployments was attributed to calibration error in one of the sensors and was accounted for during analysis.

The \(\text{O}_2\) profiles typically displayed well-defined DBLs with \(\delta_{\text{DBL}}\) ranging from 0.1 to 1.6 mm (average 0.5 ± 0.4 mm) and from 0.2 to 1.3 mm (average 0.5 ± 0.5 mm) for P1 and P2, respectively. For a few profiles (eight on P1, four on P2), the location of the SWI and corresponding \(\delta_{\text{DBL}}\) could not be clearly determined although the presence of a DBL was evident.
For such cases where multiple estimates of $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ were possible for a single profile (due to, e.g., unclear determination of the SWI or scatter in near-sediment O$_2$ concentration data), an average $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ based on up to three estimates of $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ were considered for DOU quantification as well as for deployment averages; the range of these $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ estimates was also reported (Fig. III.5).

There were periods were trends in $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ followed an inverse relationship to trends in near-bottom current (Fig. III.5; shaded areas), as expected theoretically. Similarities were observed on P1 during the period 12 – 21 h and 24 – 35 h and on P2 for the periods 7 – 15 h and 20 – 28 h. On P1, average $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ during high flow (~11 cm s$^{-1}$ on average; period 16 – 20 h and 28 – 32 h after deployment) was 0.3 mm; during low flow (~5 cm s$^{-1}$ on average; period 20 – 24 h after deployment) $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ increased to 0.8 mm. Conversely, during P2, average $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ was 0.2 mm during high flow (~13 cm s$^{-1}$ on average; period 8 – 10 h and 44 – 48 h after deployment) and increased only slightly to 0.4 mm during low flow (~6 cm s$^{-1}$ on average; period 14 – 18 h and 38 – 44 h post-deployment).

On P1, where the sediment was more widely covered with bacterial mats, the depth of O$_2$ penetration into the sediment ($z_{\text{max}}$) displayed limited variability along the transect and was generally much shallower than that observed on P2 (1.7 mm as compared to 5.8 mm on average; Fig. III.4B). On P2, $z_{\text{max}}$ strongly decreased in close proximity to reduced, anoxic sediments covered with bacterial mats (Fig. III.4D). A clear suboxic zone, defined as the zone between $z_{\text{max}}$ and the depth of H$_2$S detection, was observed in all profiles where H$_2$S was present (see Fig. III.3). Suboxic-zone thickness ranged from 2.9 to 20.2 mm (average 14.3 ± 4.6 mm) for P1 and from 2.1 to 18.8 mm (average 10.6 ± 4.6 mm) for P2.

DOUs on P1 ranged from 2.0 to 21.6 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (Fig. III.6A) with an average DOU of 7.9 ± 4.5 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. In contrast, on P2 a narrower DOU range (1.0 to 13.1 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Fig. III.7A) was observed with an average DOU of 4.0 ± 2.3 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ during the final section of the transect with bacterial-mat coverage (Fig. III.2C).

$\text{H}_2\text{S profiles}$

The occurrence of H$_2$S was detected in 71% of the P1 profiles (15 of 21) and in 22% of the P2 profiles (6 of 27). H$_2$S concentrations reached up to 132 $\mu$mmol L$^{-1}$ (profile 23 at P2). H$_2$S fluxes
ranged from 0.3 to 1.5 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) for P1 and from 0.1 to 1.2 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) for P2 with average H\(_2\)S fluxes of \(\sim 0.4\) mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) for habitats. The corresponding total-sulfide-based F\(_{\Sigma H2S}\) fluxes (Eq. III.5 for the in-situ conditions shown in Table 1) were a factor of 4.08 higher than those of hydrogen-sulfide-based F\(_{H2S}\) for P1 and 4.09 higher for P2 (Fig. III.6B and Fig. III.7B).

Larger H\(_2\)S gradients (and thus higher fluxes) corresponded to both decreased O\(_2\) penetration and reduced variability in \(z_{\text{max}}\) (Fig. III.6C and III.7C). This was most evident on P2, where the average \(z_{\text{max}}\) in sediment with H\(_2\)S was \(\sim 52\%\) lower than sediment in which H\(_2\)S was not detected. On P1 the same trend is also present, although less distinct (16\%). In both transects, DOUs were on average \(\sim 27\%\) higher in the presence of H\(_2\)S (Table III.1) and similar trends in O\(_2\) and H\(_2\)S flux variability were observed. This correlation was stronger on P1 for profiles 1 – 4 and 14 – 18 (Fig. III.6) and on P2 for profiles 19 – 27 (Fig. III.7). However, an offset between the occurrence of the DOU and H\(_2\)S flux maxima was identified during both transects. On P1, the maximum DOU was detected during profile 9 while the highest H\(_2\)S flux peak occurred on profile 12. On P2, DOU and H\(_2\)S flux maxima occurred during profiles 25 and 23, respectively. This offset was attributed primarily to the arrangement of the sensor array, i.e., the lateral spatial separation between the O\(_2\) and H\(_2\)S sensors (see section III.4).

Due to (1) the relatively few profiles in which H\(_2\)S was not observed on P1 and (2) in which H\(_2\)S was observed on P2, the P1 transect was characterized as a more reactive, sulfidic habitat while the P2 transect was considered less reactive. This characterization was also evident when considering average DOU for each of the habitats (7.9 and 4.0 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\), for P1 and P2, respectively).
Fig. III.2. Profiling transect area and elevation profile from P1 (upper panels A, B) and P2 (lower panels C, D) deployments. The vertical thick lines indicate the location of each profile along the transect length. (A) P1 transect area image overlaid with the location of the measured O_2, H_2S and pH profiles. The arrow indicates the direction of the x-axis. The elevation profile was derived from the depth of the SWI as detected from sensor 1 and 2 for P1 and P2, respectively. Note that due to technical malfunctions, the system performed a step larger than the programmed 16 mm between P1 profiles 10 and 11, thus leaving a 130-mm section of the transect unsampled; also, shorter steps in the x direction were performed between P2 profiles 6 – 7 and 13 – 14, while a longer step was detected between P2 profiles 26 – 27.
Fig. III.3. Typical O$_2$ and H$_2$S profiles from P1 (panels A, B) and P2 (panels C, D) deployments. The dashed lines indicate the sediment water interface (SWI; depth=0). Well-resolved diffusive boundary layers (DBL) were typically observed with an average $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ of 0.5 ± 0.4 mm for P1 and 0.5 ± 0.5 for P2.
Fig. III.4. $O_2$ bottom water concentrations measured about 18 cm above the sea floor and maximum $O_2$ penetration depth ($z_{max}$) during deployments on P1 (A, B) and P2 (C, D). Numbers indicate profile number.
Fig. III.5. Near-bottom current magnitude and $\delta_{DBL}$ for P1 (A, B) and P2 (C, D). The vertical lines refer to the range of $\delta_{DBL}$ for profiles where $\delta_{DBL}$ could not be unequivocally determined for sensor 1 (squares) and sensor 2 (circles), respectively. Solid squares and circles identify profiles where $H_2S$ was detected during P1 and P2 transects, respectively. Numbers indicate profile number. Note that the y-axis on panel (D) was blended between 1.8 – 2 mm to provide better readability. Shaded areas identify the regions where trends between near-bottom current and $\delta_{DBL}$ were observed.
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Fig. III.6. Diffusive O\textsubscript{2} uptake (DOU) rates (A) and total sulfide (\(\Sigma\)H\textsubscript{2}S) fluxes (B) as a function of deployment time, and O\textsubscript{2} penetration depth (\(z_{\text{max}}\)) as a function of H\textsubscript{2}S gradient (C) for the P1 transect. The vertical lines in panel A refer to the DOU range for profiles where \(\delta_{\text{DBL}}\) could not be unequivocally determined for sensor 1 (squares) and sensor 2 (circles), respectively. Numbers indicate profile number.
Fig. 7. Diffusive $O_2$ uptake ($DOU$) rates (A) and total sulfide ($\Sigma H_2S$) fluxes (B) as a function of deployment time, and $O_2$ penetration depth ($z_{\text{max}}$) as a function of $H_2S$ gradient (C) for the P2 transect. The vertical lines in panel A refer to the $DOU$ range for profiles where $\delta_{\text{DBL}}$ could not be unequivocally determined for sensor 1 (squares) and sensor 2 (circles), respectively. Numbers indicate profile number.
### Table III.1. Results summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Transect on seep habitat P1</th>
<th>Transect on seep habitat P2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>36°28.290' S / 73°40.716' W</td>
<td>36°28.236' S / 73°40.704' W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depth (m)</strong></td>
<td>705</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature (°C)</strong></td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salinity</strong></td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pH</strong></td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current velocity (cm s⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>8.5 ± 3.0 [2.5 – 15.7]</td>
<td>9.3 ± 3.3 [2.5 – 18.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bottom O₂ (µmol L⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>131 ± 3 [122 – 135]</td>
<td>165 ± 5 [152 – 169]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analyzed profiles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>δDBL (mm)</strong></td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.4 [0.1 – 1.6]</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.5 [0.1 – 2.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ΔO₂ (µmol L⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>29 ± 22 [3.5 – 91.5]</td>
<td>16 ± 19 [1.2 – 85.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOU (mmol m² d⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>7.9 ± 4.5 [2.0 – 21.6]</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.3 [1.0 – 13.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O₂ penetration depth (mm)</strong></td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.6 [0.4 – 3.6]</td>
<td>5.8 ± 2.6 [1.2 – 11.5]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Presence of H₂S**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>H₂S gradient (µmol L⁻¹ mm⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>6 ± 5.8 [0.4 – 24]</td>
<td>6.5 ± 5.5 [1.9 – 17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H₂S Flux (mmol m² d⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.35 [0.3 – 1.5]</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.3 [0.1 – 1.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ΣH₂S Flux (mmol m² d⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.4 [0.1 – 5.9]</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.5 [0.5 – 4.7]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: Note that five and 14 O₂ profiles, for P1 and P2 respectively, were bad or strongly scattered and were therefore discarded from further analysis. The range of each parameter is given in the square brackets.

2: The averages, standard deviations, and ranges are provided for profiles obtained in regions where H₂S was and was not detected (H₂S was measured during 15 and 6 profiles for P1 and P2, respectively).
III.4. Discussion

**Physical forcing on DBL and DOU**

Near-bottom current velocity and $\delta_{DBL}$ were highly variable during both the deployment on P1 and P2 (Fig. III.5 and Table III.1). While there were similar trends in $\delta_{DBL}$ and near-bottom current velocity, the attempt to correlate these parameters was inconclusive for the full dataset (Fig. 8A; open circles). This was largely attributed to disturbances in the flow related to the orientation of the lander. Due to the lander design, lander-related flow disturbance is strongly reduced when current-flow direction is toward the front of the lander, i.e. approaching within a 120° window between the front legs of the lander (Fig. III.1). During the transect on P2, the lander was oriented towards 330° and against the main flow direction. Thus, the majority of profiles were obtained within the 120° window. Conversely, on the P1 transect the profiles were primarily performed outside that window and, as a result, flow into the profiling area may have been altered by the lander frame.

The observed trends scaled only partially with the theoretical DBL distribution under the Law-of-the-Wall assumption (Imberger and Wüest 1995). The strongest deviations from LOW were unexpectedly detected in the mid-range velocity (8 – 11 cm s$^{-1}$), where both P1 and P2 $\delta_{DBL}$ maxima occurred (Fig. III.8A, shaded area). Interestingly, regardless of the observed trends in variability in $\delta_{DBL}$ and current velocity, once current velocities increased to 12 cm s$^{-1}$, the $\delta_{DBL}$ appeared to stabilize at approximately 0.2 mm, which suggested that at this point $\delta_{DBL}$ was no longer influenced by flow variations. The lack of a stronger correlation was primarily attributed to flow disturbances from the lander and its orientation over the current velocity main axis. With the exception of the above mentioned mid-range velocity conditions, the removal of possible $\delta_{DBL}$ artefacts resulting from lander-induced flow disturbances did indeed reveal a better relationship between the measured $\delta_{DBL}$ and LOW (Fig. 8A, filled circles).

During most profiles, $\delta_{DBL}$ remained relatively thin (0.1 – 0.4 mm) and thereby supported rapid diffusive transport. Throughout both transects, there were only a few occasions during which $\delta_{DBL}$ exceeded 1 mm. On P1, the occurrences of increased $\delta_{DBL}$ often coincided with periods of reduced flow (Fig. III.8A). As the studied system was not limited by O$_2$ availability (near-bottom O$_2$ saturations remained above 40%), transport across the P1 SWI may have become transport-
limited under such situation with high δ_{DBL}. Though the correlation was less evident during periods of lower velocity, DOU for the periods of 2 – 6 hours and 24 – 32 hours (Fig. III.5 and Fig. III.6) appeared to scale directly with δ_{DBL} with increased fluxes observed during periods of reduced δ_{DBL}, which would be compatible with a transport-limited system. However, such a correlation was not observed on P2.

This became even more apparent when comparing the DOU distribution of P1 and P2 against the δ_{DBL} (Fig. III.8B). The DOU maxima determined for P1 were characterized by a hyperbolic trend as would be expected for a strictly physically controlled system, with δ_{DBL} being the main control parameter for Eq. III.1. Given the high number of O2 profiles, the maximum observed ΔO2 for P1 and P2 (Table III.1) were inferred to be representative for the overall habitat maximum and thus used to define the maximum DOU range possible within a physically controlled system (estimated by Eq. III.1 using a maximum ΔO2; Fig. III.8B, dashed and dotted lines). The closer the estimated DOUs are located to these endpoint-lines the stronger the likelihood that the system can become transport-limited. The fact that several P1 points approached this boundary supports that this mat-dominated transect was often a physically controlled, and thus limited, system. This is well in agreement with results from an ex-situ experiment focused on fluxes across bacterial mats by Jørgensen and Revsbech (1983), which showed that the DBL regulated solute fluxes. In contrast, P2 DOUs seemed to be less affected by δ_{DBL} variability (Fig. III.8B). Deviation from a physically controlled system, i.e., the scatter below the curves on Fig. III.8B, can be attributed to several factors including small-scale topography, sediment biogeochemical processes, infauna respiration.

**Biogeochemical implications of DBL transport limitations.**

We cannot disentangle the different physical and biogeochemical processes leading to the observed scatter in the DOU, which is beyond the scope of this study. However, geochemical processes generating the observed DOU will be explored with a particular focus on sulfide fluxes whose oxidation might involve O2 consumption. In both seep habitats P1 and P2, average DOU of 7.9 (maximum 21.6) and 4.0 mmol m^{-2} d^{-1} (maximum 13.1), respectively, strongly exceeds the O2 consumption that would be needed for the degradation of allochthonous organic matter from the sea surface.
Based on the empirical equation of Suess (1980) for carbon export and on a surface water primary production of 0.3 – 0.4 g C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Antoine et al. 1996), a benthic organic carbon supply of 1.2 – 1.7 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) was estimated. Assuming Redfield ratio (Redfield 1963) and a burial efficiency of 3% (Dunne et al. 2007), particulate organic matter mineralization was calculated to account for a DOU of up to 2.1 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) and an ammonium (NH\(_4^+\)) release of 0.24 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). Resultant NH\(_4^+\) oxidation accounted for an additional O\(_2\) flux of 0.5 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\).

As indicated by previous mass balance calculations for a seep site at Hydrate Ridge, Cascadia convergent margin, it has been shown that 60 to 73 % of DOU is typically used for sulfide oxidation (Suess 1999; Sommer et al. 2006). A recent compilation of in-situ fluxes at cold seeps (Boetius and Wenzhöfer 2013) further confirmed that at cold seeps a large proportion of benthic O\(_2\) uptake is explained by microbial oxidation of sulfide.

As reviewed by Jørgensen and Nelson (2004), there are multiple aerobic and anaerobic oxidation pathways for sulfide. Beyond sulfide release during sulfate reduction during anaerobic organic matter degradation, substantial amounts of sulfide are produced in seep environments via the anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) which is conducted by a consortium of methanogenic archaea and sulphate-reducing bacteria (Boetius et al. 2000) and represents a major sink for methane in cold seep environments (e.g. Judd and Hovland 2007; Reeburgh 2007 and references therein). In seep habitats (see Boetius & Wenzhöfer 2013) as well as in oxygen minimum zone (OMZ) sediments subjected to O\(_2\) depleted bottom water conditions (Gallardo 1977, Schmaljohann et al. 2001; Mosch et al. 2012) and elevated sulfide fluxes to the sediment surface often lead to the formation of dense mats of vacuolated sulfur bacteria belonging to the genera Beggiatoa sp. or Thioploca sp. These filamentous bacteria can accumulate nitrate/nitrite (NO\(_3^-\) /NO\(_2^-\)) inside their vacuoles and, in the absence of O\(_2\), can oxidize sulfide through dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonia (DNRA). This results in the release of large amounts of ammonium into the porewater (e.g. Farías et al. 1996; Graco et al. 2001; Bohlen et al. 2011), which will then be oxidized at the sediment surface when O\(_2\) is available.

The presence of a well-defined suboxic zone in all profiles (Fig. III.3) suggests that sulfide oxidation in the studied seep sediments proceeded anaerobically likely via DNRA. During DNRA, the oxidation of 1 mole of sulfide leads to the release of 1 mole of NH\(_4^+\) (Jørgensen and
Nelson 2004). At the sediment surface when O₂ becomes available, the oxidation of NH₄⁺ during nitrification requires approximately 1.5 moles of O₂ (VanCappellen and Wang 1996; Bohlen et al. 2011; Dale et al. 2013). Neglecting anaerobic oxidation of NH₄⁺ (Anammox), which is susceptible to the presence of sulfide (see Jensen et al. 2008), it can be assumed that all NH₄⁺ is oxidized at the sediment surface. At P1 and P2, maximum sulfide fluxes would require a maximum DOU of 8.9 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ (average 2.3 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) and 7.1 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ (average 2.7 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹), respectively. At P2, the O₂ needed to oxidize the maximum sulfide flux in combination with the aerobic C₉org degradation (2.6 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) would be sufficient to match the maximum O₂ flux of 13.1 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ (see Table III.1). This is in contrast to P1 where the maximum O₂ flux is about twice as much as that required to support sulfide oxidation and the C₉org degradation. One likely explanation for this offset is aerobic methane oxidation (AeOM) at the oxic sediment surface; yet, our data do not allow for quantifying AeOM. However, this process has been shown to occur in various magnitudes in a variety of cold seep habitats (Niemann et al. 2006; Sommer et al. 2006, 2010; Boetius and Wenzhöfer 2013).

Although sulfide oxidation appears to represent a prominent process contributing to O₂ uptake and consumption in both seeps, it needs to be mentioned that sulfide could be anaerobically oxidized without consuming O₂ at all. Similarly to DNRA, sulfide can be oxidized during chemolithotrophic denitrification using NO₃⁻/NO₂⁻; however, dinitrogen gas N₂ is released instead of NH₄⁺ (Cardoso et al. 2006). This process thus circumvents O₂ consumption. Although there are still many open research questions on when and where each of these sulphide-oxidation processes take place, several studies support that DNRA frequently takes place in organically enriched sediments covered with microbial mats such as those assessed in this study (Farias et al. 1996; Graco et al. 2001; Bohlen et al. 2011). Conversely, chemolithotrophic denitrification has been only observed at waste-treatment sites (see Cardoso et al. 2006) or along the African shelf (see Lavik et al. 2009).

Irrespective of the processes causing the high O₂ demand in both seep sediments, maximum O₂ uptake rates were only supported when δDBL is lower than about 0.4 and 0.5 mm for P1 and P2, respectively, otherwise, both seep habitats become transport limited (Fig. III.8A). According to the LOW, δDBL of 0.4 and 0.5 mm are achieved at flow conditions of 9 and 8 cm s⁻¹ or above. These conditions prevailed for about 44% of the P1 and 64% of the P2 48-hour-long
deployment. During the remaining time, the maximum $DOU$ in both seeps habitats was not maintained despite the fact that geochemical conditions and corresponding consumption processes within the sediment facilitated a relatively constant and high demand for $O_2$. Thus, it is evident that the maximum and average $DOU$ are dependent on hydrodynamics as well as on the patchiness of material turnover in the sediment (Glud et al. 2005; Jørgensen et al. 2005; Glud et al. 2009) which, as indicated by this study, can be very high in seep sediments. It is thus critical to fully characterize this variability when assessing $DOU$ and geochemical benthic fluxes.

**Outlook**

The scale at which the microprofiling technique operates (within a range of a few cm on the horizontal scale and few hundred mm on the vertical) makes it a viable tool for sampling the established heterogeneity of cold-seep habitats (e.g., de Beer et al. 2006). However, the representativeness of $DOU$ can be strongly influenced by the number of profiles and on the related spatial resolution. This is particularly important when the occurrence of a relatively thin DBL, which minimizes physical transport limitations at the SWI, occurs in a biogeochemically active region of sediment. As shown in Table 1, this study included an unusually large amount of profile data that includes simultaneous $O_2$ and $H_2S$ profile data. However, the large standard deviation on P2 suggests that the habitat was still slightly undersampled. This is a critical issue and raises further questions about the representativeness of $DOU$ studies, which rely on only a few $O_2$ profiles. Despite inherent statistical uncertainties, this study provides evidence that these seeps systems are highly transient and, in contrast to what it is generally assumed for deep-sea sediments, can become transport-limited. This is well in agreement with the results of previous studies, made ex-situ (Jorgensen and Revsbech 1983) or in lacustrine systems (Bryant et al. 2010a), and highlights the fact that, with increasing geochemical activity, benthic turnover becomes increasingly limited. Transport limitation not only affects benthic material turnover in cold-seep environments but may also have significant influence at organically enriched shelf and upper continental slope environments where oxygen consumption rates via $DOU$ are even higher ($>10$ mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) than those evaluated by the current study (see Wenzhöfer and Glud 2002; Glud 2008).
Fig. 8. Correlation plots. (A) $\delta_{DBL}$ as a function of near-bottom current for all profiles. The dashed line represents the estimated theoretical $\delta_{DBL}$ distribution assuming Law-of-the-Wall (LOW). The shaded area represents the region of mid-range velocity, where the strongest deviation from LOW was observed. (B) $O_2$ fluxes as a function of measured $\delta_{DBL}$. The solid lines represent the maximum $DOU$ distribution assuming a strictly physical system with constant $\Delta O_2$ and $\delta_{DBL}$ as sole variable (i.e., maximum $\Delta O_2$ for P1 and P2, respectively). Dotted and dashed lines represent the amount of $DOU$ attributed to sulfide oxidation and OM degradation, respectively. Filled circles and squares indicate $DOUs$ obtained in the presence of $H_2S$. 

III.5. Acknowledgments

We are thankful to the captain and crewmembers of the R/V Sonne for their outstanding collaboration and support during the survey. We are grateful to Sergiy Cherednichenko and Ralf Schwarz for the technical development and support in deployment of the transecting profiler; further thanks go to the GEOMAR’s Technical and Logistics Center for their building the transecting profiler system. Financial support was provided by the Sonderforschungsbereich 754 “Climate – Biogeochemistry in the tropical Ocean” (LR) and by the Cluster of Excellence 80/1 “The Future Ocean” (project 2009/1 CP 0915, LR), both supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). The R/V Sonne 210 cruise occurred within the framework of the Sonderforschungsbereich 574 “Volatile and Fluids in Subduction Zones”.

87
III.6. References


Chapter III | Hydrodynamic effect on oxygen uptake from seep sediments


IV. Chapter

Fine-structure oxygen measurements on microstructure CTD profilers: first field results from the Chilean margin

Lorenzo Rovelli, a Daniel F. McGinnis, a Marcus Dengler, a and Peter Linke a

a Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany
b University of Southern Denmark, Institute of Biology, Nordic Center for Earth Evolution (NordCEE), 5320 Odense M, Denmark

To be submitted to the Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology
Abstract

A fast-response, pressure compensated Clark type aperometric oxygen (O₂) microsensor system was custom designed and mounted on a microstructure profiler to enable undisturbed O₂ fine-structure measurements in the water column. While other commercially available systems have a similar response time (0.5 s or faster), this system has a much higher depth rating and it is thus more suitable for oceanic applications. The system was tested at a 700 m depth study site at the Chilean continental margin, where 6 profiles up to 480 m depth were collected. Above the O₂ minimum, O₂ gradients of ~5 µmol kg⁻¹ m⁻¹ were measured, which were two times higher than those reported by standard sensors for the same oxycline. Most striking was the detection of O₂ step-like structures in the deeper water column, between 360 m and 420 m depth, where double diffusion (finger regime) was found to occur. The sensor thus proved to be fast and accurate enough to detect double diffusion driven O₂ structures that would have been missed by other O₂ sensors. The sensor appears robust, with over 180 profiles (from this and other studies) obtained with a single sensor. The depth rating and measurement performance clearly show that such a system has several potential applications, especially in O₂ minimum zones and will lead better understanding of O₂ dynamics and budgets in the water column.
IV. 1. Introduction

Oxygen (O\textsubscript{2}) measurements with Clark type aperometric microsensors are established oceanographic tools (Taillefert et al. 2000; Prien 2007). These microsensors, based on the design of Baumgärnl and Lübbers (1973) with a guard cathode (Revsbech 1989) are routinely used in benthic studies to assess O\textsubscript{2} concentrations in porewater or O\textsubscript{2} fluxes at the sediment water-interface via benthic chambers (Glud et al. 1999), microprofiles into the sediment (Grundersen and Jorgensen 1990, Jorgensen and Des Marais 1990) or recently, with the eddy correlation technique (EC; Berg et al. 2003, McGinnis et al. 2008, Lorrai et al. 2010).

O\textsubscript{2} microsensor applications for water column measurements, however, are scarce. The first attempts were carried out by Atkinson (1988) on an estuary using a free-falling conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) device. Still, the first water column profiles with Clark type O\textsubscript{2} microsensors following the Revsbech (1989) design were provided by Oldham (1994). In order to fit on their microstructure CTD, the sensors and electronics had to be slightly modified. The system was then used to study O\textsubscript{2} patchiness in lakes (Oldham and Imberger 1995). Both studies, however, focused only on shallow sites (up to ~16 m depth) and mainly on fresh waters. To the author’s knowledge, no further studies utilizing fast membrane Clark type O\textsubscript{2} microsensors for high-resolution water column profiling have been published.

Among the reasons for the limited amount of studies are the strong pressure and temperature dependencies, slow response times, difficult field calibration, sensor drift (Carlson 2002) as well handling issues due to the sensors fragility. As the presence of a membrane was found to be the main contributor to pressure effects, to O\textsubscript{2} hysteresis (i.e., substantial disagreements between downcast and upcast readings) and to slow response time, sensor development was focused on membrane-free microelectrodes (Sosna et al. 2007; Sosna et al. 2008). Those sensors did provide very encouraging results even at the notable depth of ~3500 m (Sosna et al. 2008), but they are currently much more voluminous that the Clark type microsensors system used for EC (see Lorrai et al. 2010), and thus not suitable for microstructure profilers.

While the sturdiness of the glass body construction of the Clark type microsensors might still be an unresolved issue for more demanding applications, improvements in the sensor assembly has
made them very fast (90% response time up to 0.2 s) and more stable in terms of signal drift. Furthermore, in contrast to other commercially available fast O\textsubscript{2} sensors such as the shallow water AMT galvanic sensor (up to a 100 m; AMT Analysenmeßtechnik, Rostock, Germany), pressure compensated Clark type microsensors were successfully used in deep-sea deployments (Berg et al. 2009).

To fill this equipment gap for O\textsubscript{2} fine scale oceanographic measurements, we develop a fast pressure compensated Clark type O\textsubscript{2} microsensor system to be installed on a MSS microstructure profilers (Sea & Sun Technology, Trappenkamp, Germany), the idea of which is to both 1) resolve the fine scale oxygen structure while 2) simultaneously resolving turbulence, density and hence, diffusivity. Below, we describe the prototype field tests at the Chilean continental margin with the goal of assessing its ability to well-resolved oxygen gradients and O\textsubscript{2} fine-structures at the cm scale.

### IV. 2. Methods

**Study site**
The fast O\textsubscript{2} microsensor system performance was evaluated during the R/V Sonne 210 cruise at the central Chilean seduction zone (23 September to 1 November 2010). The Chilean location (36°28.2 S, 73°44.7 W) offered an optimal testing site for our fast O\textsubscript{2} system due to the presence of large oxyclines below and above the O\textsubscript{2} minimum and relatively deep waters (up to 700 m).

**Instrumental setup**

*Background measurements:* Water column background data were collected with a ship-based conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) system (SBE9plus CTD, Seabird, Washington, United States) equipped with a 24-bottle rosette. The CTD was deployed right before or after the MSS casts, and discrete water samples from the Niskin bottles were collected at specific depths and used to calibrate the O\textsubscript{2} sensor measurements via Winkler titrations.

*Microstructure profiler:* For this study we used a loosely-tethered MSS90-L multi-parameter profiler sampling at 1024 Hz over 16 channels. The profiler was equipped with 2x shear probes,
1x FP07 temperature sensor, 1x accelerometer, standard CTD sensors and an Oxyguard membrane O$_2$ sensor. One channel and a sensor slot were left unused to mount the custom designed fast O$_2$ system. The MSS is designed as a free-falling profiler to decouple the instrument from surface (wave) and ship motions. The profiler descends at 0.5 – 0.6 m/s, and besides high resolution CTD, also resolves water column turbulence (see Lueck et al. 2002).

**Fast O$_2$ microsensor system**

The O$_2$ microelectrode system (Fig. IV.1) consists of a pressure compensated in-situ connector system, a custom made stainless steel amplifier housing compatible with the MSS mounting ring, a custom-made amplifier and O$_2$ microsensor (0.3–0.5 s response time). The in-situ connector system is manufactured by Unisense (Unisense A/S, Aarhus, Denmark) and consists of a stainless steel sleeve and bulkhead, both rated for 6000 m depth.

The customized amplifier housing was built at GEOMAR’s technical facility to fit into the MSS sensor-mounting ring and interface with the internal electronics (Fig. IV.1); the housing length was kept short to provide both enough space for the amplifier board and limit the system overall length. Without the sensor, the system is 17.5 cm long and thus standard size O$_2$ microsensors, which are typically 15–18 cm in length, would result in the overall length substantially exceeding that of shear sensors, thus risking contamination of their readings. To avoid that, A. Glud (University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark) provided custom-built 6–7 cm long O$_2$ microsensors. Those sensors have the same performance as the longer versions but are slightly more robust, i.e. they have a bulkier rather than elongated design while still having the same response time.

The amplifier embeds a trimmer potentiometer to adjust the voltage output range of each sensor (from -2.5V to theoretically +5V) to the ±3V input channel range requirements of the MSS profiler. Furthermore, when the profiler is powered up, the amplifier provides the required polarization current (-0.78 V; Revsbech 1989) to keep the sensor operational. For this reason, however, the profiler has to be powered all the time to avoid gaps in the polarization and signal quality loss.
Fig. IV.1. The fast O$_2$ microsensor system. (A) The main system components: the amplifier housing (top), the in-situ connector system with pressure compensation and the O$_2$ Clark type microsensor (bottom). (B) Close-up of the MSS system microstructure profiler with mounted the fast O$_2$ microsensor system and other sensors (described below) prior to deployment.

**Fast O$_2$ microsensors data processing**

Once the sensor is mounted to the in-situ connector, the fast O$_2$ system is essentially “plug-and-play” and only requires a free MSS channel to be operational; the data processing, however, requires several steps. The data processing mainly relies on the Microstructure Toolbox (MSTB, ISW Wassermesstechnik, Fünfseen, Germany) for the initial pressure sensor despiking and filtering (0.5 second running average) that removes pressure spikes to the overall signal disturbances for each MSS channel. The rest of the data processing is carried out by a self-written Matlab routine.
In the Matlab routine the raw O$_2$ data, which were converted from voltage to counts by the MSS 16-bit analog-to-digital conversion, are firstly despiked using the Despiking Toolbox of Mori et al. (2007). The Toolbox uses a modified 3D phase space method (Goring and Nikora 2002), which is based on a 3D Poincaré map in which the data variable, its first and second derivatives are plotted against each other. The data located outside of the ellipsoid in the Poincaré map are flagged as spikes; the process is repeated until no additional spikes are detected (Mori et al. 2007). The flagged data are successively replaced using a linear interpolation. Although it was mainly designed to despike acoustic Doppler velociments (ADV), it was found to be effective for our O$_2$ datasets as well.

The despiked data are then converted to O$_2$ concentration in % saturation based on Winkler corrected MSS-mounted Oxyguard data or ship CTD oxygen measurements (if applicable) assuming a linear relationship. As the Oxyguard sensor is mounted lower than our fast O$_2$ system (Fig. IV.1) and has a much slower response time (~10 seconds depending on the temperature), the Oxyguard readings are aligned to the fast O$_2$ sensor taking both response time and sensor placement driven time lag into account. Furthermore, given the 0.5 – 0.6 m s$^{-1}$ falling speed of the MSS profiler and the Oxyguard response time, only sections with relatively constant O$_2$ concentrations over several meters (such as SBL, O$_2$ minima and BBL) were considered for calibration values. The final step of the routine calculates the O$_2$ saturation concentrations (in $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$) at each depth from the calibrated fast temperature and salinity based on the Garcia and Gordon (1992) equations and the absolute O$_2$ concentrations. Based on the dataset, a running average over a 0.25–0.5 s window size (256–512 samples at the standard MSS sampling rate) or a Butterworth low-pass filter may be required to reduce the signal noise while still keeping the sensor resolution intact.

Data quality control

A quality control on the O$_2$ concentration profiles was also performed. This includes a preliminary response time test, spectral analysis to verify the actual sensor and amplifier cutoff frequency and response time as well as point-to-point noise analysis. The preliminary response time test is performed by considering the time the microsensor need to adjust the reading from air to water, when immersing the sensor into anoxic (nitrogen bubbled) water. The spectral
analysis relies on the power spectral density of the O$_2$ concentration profiles at their full resolution (1024 Hz). The point-to-point noise analysis relies on the frequency count of the concentration difference between consecutive data points of the high resolution O$_2$ profile that then displayed graphical via a histogram plot; for practical reason the plot is normalized dividing the frequency count by its maximum value. Such a plot is expected to display a Gaussian distribution. Deviations from that distribution are usually the result of noise caused by electrical interference, which is more evident when using Clark type microsensors in the lab.
IV. 3. Results

A total of 6 profiles were taken over two days 6th October (cast 1–2) 2010, 7th October (cast 3–6) during the R/V Sonne cruise in 2010. Emphasis was given to the depth rating assessment and capability to resolve O2 fine structure at the cm scales. As the MSS winch contained only around 600 m cable, the maximum deployment depth was ~480 m (due to ship drift); the average depth of the study site was ~700 m.

The MSS profiler was powered most of the time to keep the O2 microsensor polarized; the only power gaps were due to the MSS profiler being moved from the lab to the work deck a couple of hours before its deployment. As a result, no polarization driven sensor drift was detected. As one of the main aims of the field test was to resolve the O2 minimum region, the amplification range was at first (casts 1–2) tuned to the lower concentration range and thus the upper 70 m of the water column were out of the MSS channel range (65536 counts, i.e., raw voltage above 3 V); the amplification range was subsequently increased and for the remaining casts and only the first 20 m were out of range.

Fig. IV.2 shows an overview of the O2 measurements for each cast, together with temperature from the calibrated fast temperature sensor and salinity. Most apparent is the large hypoxic region located between ~150–250 m depth. The O2 concentration within that region was ~20 µmol kg⁻¹, which corresponded to a saturation level of ~7 %. Sharp oxyclines were detected above that region, at 120 – 140 m depth depending on the profile. In addition, all profiles indicated that the fast O2 sensor was able to resolve fine structures.
To provide more insight into the O$_2$ sensor capability and to rule out artifacts in the sensor reading, such as electric noise, cast 5 was selected for a closer look at the O$_2$ depleted region and the fine structure detected in the deeper parts of the water column (Fig. IV.3). The O$_2$ profile from the Oxyguard O$_2$ sensor, which is of course much slower but nevertheless robust and less subject to short term drift (hours to few days) and temperature effects, was also considered to better highlight the advantages of the fast system. To account for the different response times, the Oxyguard readings were shifted ~4 m in order to align with those of the fast O$_2$ sensor. The fast O$_2$ sensor shows a much sharper oxycline with gradients of up to 5.1 µmol kg$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$, which are a two times higher than those of the Oxyguard sensor (2.4 µmol kg$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$). Furthermore, below the O$_2$ depleted region, the O$_2$ data showed evidence of several fine structures, most prominent between 350 – 420 m depth. As Fig. IV.3 shows, these structures are step-like and resemble those seen in temperature and salinity profiles in double diffusive systems. In this regard, Fig. IV.2 does show that deeper regions of the water column were characterized by both decreasing temperature and decreasing salinity suggesting a temperature stabilized and salinity destabilized system, which could support double diffusion (finger regime; see Turner 1967).
Indeed, step-like structures were clearly identified in both temperature and salinity profiles, especially between 350 – 420 m depth (Fig. IV.3B); furthermore, the temperature and salinity changes reported within that depth zone fall in the double diffusive range (data not shown). As the depths of the 1 – 2 m thick O₂ concentration steps were found to coincide with those of the temperature and salinity profiles, there is enough evidence to suggest that the O₂ sensors report the same double diffusive signal. Temperature dependencies of the O₂ microsensor, which can account for ~2–3% of the microsensor signal per °C (Gundersen et al. 1998) were found to be of marginal relevance given the reduced temperature difference (~0.1 °C) and large O₂ concentration changes (~10 µmol kg⁻¹) between the steps.

The detection of water column O₂ fine structure is obviously linked to the response time of the microsensor system as well as from its noise level. At the MSS profiling speed and sampling rate (0.5 – 0.6 m s⁻¹ and 1024 Hz, respectively) and a 0.2 second response time Clark microsensor
should be able to provide a ∼10 cm resolution. The preliminary response time test performed on deck prior to the deployment suggested a 90% response time of ∼0.4 seconds. As the system electronics does not contain a filter, the signal cutoff frequency is given by the response time of the amplifier, which was estimated from the power spectral density analysis to be ∼5 × 10⁻³ seconds (Fig IV.4A). The spectra revealed that most of the signal strength was below 2 Hz, thus suggesting that the actual system response time (amplifier + microsensor) was ∼0.5 seconds allowing a resolution of ∼25 cm. With regard to noise level, the system benefits from the MSS grounding and fast sampling rate. The normalized frequency counts histogram (Fig IV.4B) showed no evidence of electrical interference and point-to-point noise was strongly reduced (± 0.04 μmol kg⁻¹).

Fig. IV.4. O₂ spectra and noise analysis for cast 5. (A) Power spectral density of the high resolution O₂ profile. (B) Point-to-point noise histogram based on the normalized frequency count of concentration changes between consecutive data points of the O₂ profiles.
IV.4. Discussion

The fast O$_2$ system performed well within the almost 500 m depth range of this study and showed very encouraging results. Besides the evident advantage of being able to provide better-resolved O$_2$ gradients for further O$_2$ flux estimations, the system also provides a better insight into the O$_2$ dynamics revealing O$_2$ fine structures that cannot be detected by standard O$_2$ measurements. With this regard, to detection of previously overlooked step-like O$_2$ changes in the O$_2$ concentration associated with a double diffusive regime clearly highlight the system scientific potential. As double diffusion can, depending on the study site characteristics, become a significant source of vertical mixing, the system might become a valid tool to better understand O$_2$ transport in such particular environments as well.

In addition, due to its reduced size, fast readings and depth rating, the system can be implemented in larger measurements devices such as CTDs or benthic landers. The amplifier used in this study is also suitable for hydrogen sulphide (H$_2$S) microsensors, which are based on a similar measuring principle but require a different polarization (+0.08 V; Kuhl et al. 1998); only minor adjustments to the amplifier board would be required. The H$_2$S microsensors, however, would have to be manufactured in a length suitable for the profiler (~7 cm).

With the improvements to the Clark type microsensors manufacturing both in terms of robustness and with regard to pressure compensation, the microsensors are not necessarily more fragile than other microstructure sensors such as the FP07 temperature sensor or the AMT O$_2$ sensor; with 180 profiles performed (from this and other studies) with the same microsensor. Together with other microstructure sensor such as the one listed above, the fast O$_2$ system shares, however, the same issues with regard to long-term stability and absolute accuracy. While during the rather short measuring time of this study, no evidence of significant signal drift was detected on the fast O$_2$ system, the stability of the sensor on longer time frames and the consequent absolute accuracy might become an issue and should be therefore addressed with specific experimental setups in future studies. However, the main purpose of our O$_2$ system is resolving local concentration gradients as well as the fine structure. With this regard the system proposed here is not a replacement for standard CTD O$_2$ measurements or Winkler titrations on discrete
water samples, but a valuable addition. For instance, in several aquatic environment, such as seasonally stratified shelf shear, the extent of the oxyclines is limited to few meters which cannot generally be properly sampled, either with standard ship operated CTD system and discrete sampling, or with standard O$_2$ system on a microstructure profiler. Thus, until other systems such as the fast micro-optodes currently under development (Chipman et al. 2012; Klimant et al. 1999) will evolved enough to be customarily deployed on a microstructure profiler, Clark type microsensors based system still represent the most valid alternative for water column high resolution O$_2$ profiling, with the potential of making O$_2$ fine scale measurements a routine procedure.
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V. Chapter

Summary and Outlook
V.I. Summary

This work presents, based on a continental margin and a shelf sea study site, an interdisciplinary approach on investigating O$_2$ dynamics. These studies considered both physical and biogeochemical processes directly or indirectly related with O$_2$ transport in the water column, the benthic regions and across the SWI.

The O$_2$ dynamics in the thermocline and BBL of seasonally stratified seas during the summer stratification were investigated in the central North Sea (Tomeliten site) using high resolution current measurements and turbulence microstructure profiling with concurrent O$_2$ fine-scale measurements. The results showed the occurrence of a second mode near-inertial wave that was superposed to the dominant semi-diurnal tide, as well as two 2 – 3 m thick regions of elevated near-inertial vertical shear and strong stratification at the upper and lower limit of the interior boundary, respectively. The turbulent dissipation rate in those regions was a factor ten higher than in the central interior ($10^{-9}$ W kg$^{-1}$). A shear spike mechanism (see Burchard and Rippeth 2009) was identified as possible process driving the observed increased turbulence at those limits. In contrast to previous North Sea studies, which reported a two-layer system, the water column during the observational period clearly showed a four-layer system. Such a system thus would require a slightly different approach than reported by those studies. In addition, the fast galvanic O$_2$ sensor revealed a very sharp oxycline in the lower thermocline (up to 34 µmol kg$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$) from which a significant source of O$_2$ replenishing the BBL water was identified; the O$_2$ fluxes toward the BBL were on average 54 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ ranging from 9 to 134 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. This substantial additional source of O$_2$ to the BBL has previously been overlooked and not accounted for when estimating the bottom water carbon remineralisation, which is routinely inferred assuming a 1:1 ratio with the apparent BBL O$_2$ concentrating loss. It was thus hypothesized that the carbon turnover is actually larger that previously accounted.

Despite the excellent results provided by the galvanic O$_2$ sensor on the Tommeliten study, the deep rating is limiting (100m). These shallow water sensors are therefore not useful for oceanic applications where profiling over several 100s of meters is required. To fill that instrumentation gap, a deep-sea rated fast Clark type O$_2$ microsensor system (0.5 s response time) was developed.
to be mounted on microstructure profilers. The first field measurements at the Chilean continental margin, where the system was tested up to 480 m depth, were very encouraging. The system resolved oxyclines, whose O$_2$ gradients (~5 mmol kg$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$) were found to be around a factor 2 higher than reported by standard O$_2$ sensors. Furthermore the system revealed step-like O$_2$ fine-structures occurring at depths between 360 to 420 m. These few meters thick steps were concurrent with those in the temperature and salinity profiles that were attributed to double diffusion (finger regime). As the temperature difference at the steps interfaces was limited to 0.1 °C, temperature dependencies of the microsensor were negligible thus suggesting that the fast O$_2$ system was fast and accurate enough to detect step-like O$_2$ changes associated with double diffusive regimes at the Chilean continental margin.

The Chilean continental margin was also investigated in terms of the interplay between sediment activity and hydrodynamic forcing on the benthic O$_2$ dynamics and on O$_2$ flux specifically. O$_2$ and H$_2$S fluxes were collected in habitats of the Concepción Methane Seep Area (CMSA) via microprofiling using the GEOMAR designed in-situ transecting profiler. The aim of the study was to disentangle the O$_2$ flux contributions of hydrodynamics, i.e., DBL variability, from sediment processes, i.e., organic matter degradation and reoxidation of reduced compounds. For that, ~40-cm-long transects were performed in a sulfidic sediment with frequent bacterial mat occurrence and on a less sulfidic sediment where bacterial mat coverage was reduced and limited to the last section of the transect. The habitats were characterized by comparable physical settings and similar near-bottom current flow (2.5 – 18.3 cm s$^{-1}$). DOUs were on average about a factor two higher (7.9 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) in the more sulfidic habitat than in the less sulfidic one, although H$_2$S fluxes were of equal magnitude (~0.4 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$). At the more sulfidic habitat, sulfide oxidation and organic matter degradation were estimated to account for 65% of the DOU whereas aerobic methane oxidation, reoxidation of other reduced compounds, infauna respiration and small-scale topography were inferred to cover the remaining O$_2$ uptake. The O$_2$ transport across the SWI in the more sulfidic habitat was found to be more prone to become DBL limited. These findings provided supporting evidence of transport limitation in the studied seeps habitats and, by extention, the probable occurrence of transport limited conditions in other highly active benthic system such as those along organically enriched continental margins and shelf.
While the result presented within the framework of this thesis offer both new tools and different approaches to aquatic system characterization in regard to O₂ dynamics, much still needs to be done. In particular, the integration and interplay of benthic and water column studies, which was often overlooked in the past, need to be more emphasized on future investigations.
V.II. Outlook

With the importance of O\textsubscript{2} dynamics for the ecosystem functioning (e.g., Glud 2008) and water quality, concerns about the spreading of hypoxia (Diaz 2001) and evidence of expanding oceanic O\textsubscript{2} minimum zones (Stramma et al. 2008), as well as the results presented within the framework of this thesis clearly highlight the need for more extensive interdisciplinary studies which include 1) high-resolution, fine-structure, constituent measurements, 2) comprehensive investigation of the physical processes modulating the constituent distribution as well as 3) biogeochemical process assessment with regard to constituent source and sinks.

In this regard the results of the interdisciplinary study presented on Chapter II, the suggested enhanced BBL O\textsubscript{2} replenishment has strong implication for the carbon turnover, and thus having the potential to advance the understanding of the North Sea system. However, much still needs to be done to verify how often the reported enhanced BBL O\textsubscript{2} replenishment is actually occurring during stratification, and thus to quantify its relevance for the O\textsubscript{2} and carbon budget at the seasonal time scale. For this, however, beside frequent measurement campaigns, monitoring studies relying on moored instruments will be required. In particular, emphasis should be given to the seasonal variability of the processes modulating the reported enhanced O\textsubscript{2} fluxes, in particular the occurrence of near-inertial waves and shear spikes production which would also imply adapting the model of Burchard and Rippeth (2009) to a three-layer of even four-layer system. Given the implication of nutrients transport to the DCM region for the sustainment of the thermocline O\textsubscript{2} maxima, future emphasis should also be given in refining the resolution of nitrate and phosphate measurements as the associated concentration gradients can be limited to few meters and thus difficult to resolve or to estimate, as deviation from the Redfield ratio are often reported (e.g., Geider and La Roche 2002). The study also revealed the importance of using high-resolution O\textsubscript{2} measurements to resolve the oxycline as standard sensors were found to be inadequate for flux estimations. Fast O\textsubscript{2} sensors, coupled with turbulence profilers, are very valuable tool, which have obviously a wide range of possible scientific application in both shelf seas and coastal regions. With the actual shallow waters depth rating of the commercially available fast O\textsubscript{2}, however, ocean application is strongly limited. For this reason, the deep-sea rated fast O\textsubscript{2} system proposed on Chapter IV, has the potential to fill the research gap on oceanic
O$_2$ fine structure measurements and flux estimations. In particular, this tool can lead to a better understanding of the dynamics at within the O$_2$ minimum zones by providing well-resolved oxyclines for both O$_2$ flux estimations and budgets.

In regard to benthic measurements, the results presented on chapter III provide real-world data to support the results of modeling approaches aiming to quantify the role of the hydrodynamics in controlling marine benthic O$_2$ dynamics. The results of this study support the relatively undocumented occurrence of transport limitation in highly active seeps habitats. Cold seeps habitats are well distributed along both active and passive continental margins and are characterized by strongly established habitat heterogeneity (see Sibuet and Olu 1998). In the presented study, sulfide oxidation based on H$_2$S measurements were found to be a key process in O$_2$ uptake; however, several additional key constituents directly or indirectly consuming O$_2$ were not quantified or quantifiable. Among these constituents, CH$_4$ was found to play a principal role in benthic O$_2$ dynamics at seeps habitats (Boetius and Wenzhöfer 2013) and thus future investigations should also quantify CH$_4$ fluxes and the associated oxidation rates. Obviously, beside CH$_4$, more constituent have to be concurrently measured to ensure comparable resolutions of the involved chemically and biologically mediated processes. Very promising results in this direction were reported on solid-state gold/mercury (Au/Hg) voltametric microelectrodes (Luther et al. 1998); those microelectrodes have the advantage to enable the simultaneous acquisition of O$_2$, manganese, iron, sulfide and iodine concentration profiles. In addition, robust nitrate microsensors have also been recently developed (Revsbech and Glud 2009); data from these microsensors will provide valuable information to identify the key processes occurring within the sediment suboxic zone and to better frame the coupling (or decoupling) between O$_2$ and nitrogen cycles. The validation of these measurements and inferred interactions may, however, still require the coupling of in-situ data acquisition with dynamic diagenetic models (see Berg et al. 2003; Glud et al. 2007).

The combination of high-resolution water column current and turbulence measurements with high-resolution constituent measurements, as well as adequate monitoring might thus be the best way to proceed to further advance the knowledge on the O$_2$ dynamics. On the long run, also profiting from the progress in the water column constituent measurements (e.g., Chapter IV) and constituents flux estimations in the BBL (e.g., flux-ratio method; Holtappels et al. 2011) and at
the SWI (e.g., eddy correlation technique) as well as from diagenetic models, the overarching
goal of future studies is that of combining benthic and water column measurements to gain a
better insight on the constituent flux pathways and their dynamics from the sediment to the
atmosphere.
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