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## Zusammenfassung

Doty, Nakano und Peters definierten 1996 eine Familie endlich dimensionaler Algebren, die sogenannten infinitesimalen Schur-Algebren, um polynomielle Darstellungen von $G_{r} T$ zu untersuchen, wobei $G_{r}$ der $r$-te Frobeniuskern der allgemeinen linearen Gruppe $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ und $T \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ der maximale Torus der Diagonalmatrizen über einem Körper $k$ positiver Charakteristik $p$ sind. In weiteren Arbeiten führte Doty polynomielle Darstellungen in allgemeinem Rahmen ein und nutzte dies zum Studium polynomieller Darstellungen anderer reduktiver Gruppen $G$.
Farnsteiner folgte einem anderen Ansatz zur Untersuchung von $G_{r} T$-Moduln für reduktive Gruppen $G$, indem er sie als $X(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graduierte $G_{r}$-Moduln ansah, wobei $X(T)$ die Charaktergruppe des maximalen Torus $T$ von $G$ ist. Da die Kategorie der $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graduierten $G_{r}$-Moduln fast zerfallende Folgen hat, erlaubt dies das Studium unzerlegbarer $G_{r} T$-Moduln und ihrer Morphismen mittels Auslander-Reiten-Theorie.
In dieser Arbeit verbinden wir beide Ansätze, indem wir die allgemeine Definition von Doty nutzen, um polynomielle Darstellungen von $G_{r} T$ zu betrachten, wobei $G$ eine reduktive Gruppe oder eine Borel-Untergruppe einer solchen Gruppe ist, und diese als volle Unterkategorie der Kategorie der $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graduierten $G_{r}$-Moduln ansehen. Es ist unser Ziel, Resultate über $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ auf diesen Fall zu verallgemeinern und das Wechselspiel zwischen der Auslander-Reiten-Theorie von $\bmod G_{r} T$ und der infinitesimalen Schur-Algebren zu untersuchen, indem wir den polynomiellen Teil von Komponenten des stabilen Auslander-Reiten-Köchers $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ der Kategorie der endlich dimensionalen $G_{r} T$-Moduln betrachten. Wir geben hinreichende Bedingungen an die Gruppe $G$, die eine Klassifikation der einfachen polynomiellen Moduln ähnlich wie im Fall $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ ermöglichen und nutzen dies, um einen neuen Beweis des entsprechenden Resultats für $\mathrm{GL}_{n} \mathrm{zu}$ geben und es auf die Gruppe der symplektischen Ähnlichkeitsabbildungn, Levi-Untergruppen von $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ und, in schwächerer Form, die Gruppe der orthogonalen Ähnlichkeitsabbildungen in ungerader Dimension zu verallgemeinern. Wir zeigen, dass Komponenten $\Theta \subseteq \Gamma_{s}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ der Komplexität 1 nur endlich viele polynomielle Moduln enthalten. Ist $G$ die BorelUntergruppe der oberen Dreiecksmatrizen von $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ und $T \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$ der Torus der Diagonalmatrizen, so können wir dieses Resultat of Moduln, die ein äußeres Tensorprodukt $M \otimes_{k} N$ mit einem Modul $M$ der Komplexität 1 sind, ausdehnen. In dieser Situation korrespondieren $G_{r} T$-Moduln zu Moduln über elementar abelschen $p$-Gruppen vom Rang $r$. Als Anwendung zeigen wir, dass die Komponenten einfacher Moduln in diesem Fall nur endlich viele polynomielle Darstellungen enthalten. Im Fall $G=\mathrm{GL}_{2}, r=1$ nutzen wir Premets Klassifikation der unzerlegbaren Moduln über der restringierten einhüllenden Algebra $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ von $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$, um den polynomiellen Teil der Komponenten von $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ sowie den Auslander-Reiten-Köcher der zugehörigen infinitesimalen Schur-Algebren vollständig zu beschreiben.


#### Abstract

In 1996, Doty, Nakano and Peters defined a family of finite-dimensional algebras, the socalled infinitesimal Schur algebras, to study polynomial representations of $G_{r} T$, where $G_{r}$ is the $r$-th Frobenius kernel of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ and $T \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ the torus of diagonal matrices over a field $k$ of positive characteristic $p$. In subsequent work, Doty gave a general framework for polynomial representations and applied this to study polynomial representations of other reductive groups. Farnsteiner followed a different approach to $G_{r} T$-modules for reductive groups $G$, viewing them as $X(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded $G_{r}$-modules, where $X(T)$ is the character group of the maximal torus $T$ of $G$. Since the category of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded $G_{r}$-modules possesses almost split sequences, this allows the study of indecomposable $G_{r} T$-modules and their morphisms via Auslander-Reiten theory. In this thesis, we use the general framework given by Doty to consider polynomial representations of $G_{r} T$ for $G$ either a reductive group or a Borel subgroup of such a group and view the category of polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules as a full subcategory of the category of $X(T)$-graded $G_{r}$-modules, combining the aforementioned approaches. It is our aim to extend results on $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ to this case and to study the interplay of the Auslander-Reiten theory of $\bmod G_{r} T$ and of the infinitesimal Schur algebras by considering the polynomial parts of components of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ of the category of finite-dimensional $G_{r} T$-modules. We give sufficient conditions on $G$ making a classification of simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules similar to the case $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ possible and apply this to recover the corresponding result for $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ with a different proof, extending it to symplectic similitude groups, Levi subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ and, in a weaker form, to odd orthogonal similitude groups. For components $\Theta \subseteq \Gamma_{s}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ of complexity 1, we show that $\Theta$ only contains finitely many polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules. If $G$ is the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ and $T \subseteq G$ the torus of diagonal matrices, we extend this result to modules arising as outer tensor $M \otimes_{k} N$, where $M$ has complexity 1 . In this situation, $G_{r} T$-modules correspond to graded modules over an elementary abelian $p$-group of rank $r$. As an application, we show that the components of simple modules contain only finitely many polynomial modules in this case. For $G=\mathrm{GL}_{2}, r=1$, we use Premets classification of indecomposable modules for the restricted enveloping algebra $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ to fully determine the polynomial parts of the components of $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ and the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the corresponding infinitesimal Schur algebras.
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## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

### 1.1 Introduction

When considering rational representations of $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ over an infinite field, one can reduce many questions to polynomial representations. These representations correspond to representations of certain finite-dimensional algebras, the so-called Schur algebras (see for example [23, 29]). Letting $T$ be a maximal torus of $G$ and $k$ be an infinite field of positive characteristic, one can also study the category of $G_{r} T$-modules to get information on the representation theory of $G$ (see [26, II.9]). In [9], Doty, Nakano and Peters combined these approaches and considered polynomial representations of $G_{r} T$ for $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$. They proved that these representations correspond to representations of the so-called infinitesimal Schur algebras which are subalgebras of the ordinary Schur algebras. In [5], Doty defined a general notion of polynomial representations and analogues of Schur algebras for algebraic groups contained in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$. Using his definition, one can study polynomial representations of $G_{r} T$ for other algebraic groups $G$.
In [18], Farnsteiner studied the Auslander-Reiten theory of $\bmod G_{r} T$ by considering $G_{r} T$-modules as $X(T)$-graded $G_{r}$-modules, where $X(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is the character group of $T$. For reductive $G$, he proved that every component of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ of $\bmod G_{r} T$ is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right], \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}^{\infty}\right]$ or $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mathrm{D}_{\infty}\right]$ and that all components of complexity $\neq 2$ are of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$.
In this thesis, we follow these approaches and study the category of polynomial representations of $G_{r} T$ as a full subcategory of the category of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded $G_{r}$-modules. Here, $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ is either reductive or a Borel subgroup of a reductive group and contains the center $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$. It is our goal to extend results obtained in the case $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ to this more general situation and to study the relative Auslander-Reiten theory of the category of polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules, that is the polynomial parts of components of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ of $\bmod G_{r} T$.
If $G$ is a reductive group and $T$ is a maximal torus of $G$, general theory provides a simple $G_{r} T$-module $\widehat{L}_{r}(\lambda)$ for every element $\lambda \in X(T)$ of the character group $X(T)$ of $T$ and every simple $G_{r} T$-module arises this way. It is a natural question for which $\lambda$ these modules are polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules and lift to some infinitesimal Schur algebra. For $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, this problem was solved in [9], but the proof does not translate to other reductive groups. We give sufficient conditions on $G$ making a classification of simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules similar to this case possible. This allows us to rederive the corresponding result for $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ with a different proof and extend it to symplectic similitude groups and Levi subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$. A weak form giving conditions on weights of simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules is proved for odd orthogonal similitude groups. Our method
does not apply to all reductive groups and we illustrate this by considering the example of even orthogonal similitude groups. By studying orbits of the appropriate weights under the dot-action of the affine Weyl group, we construct a Morita-equivalence between certain blocks of polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules for $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$.
If $\Theta \subseteq \Gamma_{s}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ is a component of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of $G_{r} T$, we are interested in the shape and the size of the intersection of $\Theta$ with the category of polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules. For components of complexity 1, we show that this intersection is always finite. The restrictions of these components to $G_{r}$ consist of so-called periodic modules and they occur for every reductive group $G$ and every $r$, see [18, 8.1.5]. For $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ or $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices, we show that if a component of complexity 1 contains a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module of sufficiently large quasi-length, then the polynomial part of the component contains a unique module of maximal quasi-length.
Since $\bmod G_{r} T$ is a Frobenius category and the category of polynomial representations is not, the polynomial part of $\Theta$ also contains natural types of $G_{r} T$-modules whose position in $\Theta$ one can determine, namely modules which are Ext-projective or have finite projective dimension in the full subcategory of polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules. Furthermore, the polynomial part of a component is part of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of an infinitesimal Schur algebra. We use this approach and the classification of indecomposable $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ modules due to Premet ([31]) to fully determine the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the infinitesimal Schur algebras $S_{d}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ for $G=\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ and $T \subseteq G$ the torus of diagonal matrices. It turns out that upon deleting projective-injective modules, the underlying directed graphs of all components in this situation are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{2 s+1}\right] /\left\langle\tau^{2 s+1}\right\rangle$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. By reducing to the case $r=1$, we are able to determine the polynomial part of all $G_{r} T$-components of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}^{\infty}\right]$ whose restriction to $G_{r}$ has type $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tilde{A}_{12}\right]$ for higher $r$.
Let $B$ be a Borel subgroup of a reductive group $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$. We show that in contrast to the case pertaining to $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ (cf. [9, Section 7]), the algebras $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ are directed quasihereditary algebras. For $B \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$ the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices, we can extend some results about modules of complexity 1 to modules which are outer tensor products of two modules, one of which has complexity 1 . This case is of interest since representations of $B_{r} T$ can be viewed as graded modules over the truncated polynomial ring $k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)$, linking them to representations of elementary abelian $p$-groups of rank $r$. We also consider the algebra $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ as a subalgebra of $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ and describe the corresponding induction functor via several canonical isomorphisms. The thesis is subdivided as follows.
In the first chapter, we collect the necessary results on $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded algebras and their connection to $G_{r} T$-modules and give the basic definitions on polynomial representations. In Section 1.3, we provide results on almost split sequences in subcategories in the more general situation of subcategories of the category of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded modules over an algebra $\Lambda$ closed with respect to certain operations. Since we do not give an introduction to algebraic groups and Auslander-Reiten theory, we refer the reader to [26] for representations of algebraic groups and $G_{r} T$-modules and to [1], [2] for details on Auslander-Reiten theory and representations of associative algebras.

In the second chapter, we consider simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules and prove our classification result.
In the third chapter, we extend results from [9] to other groups and prove our results about polynomial parts of Auslander-Reiten components.
In the fourth chapter, we turn to polynomial representations of $B_{r} T$ for a Borel subgroup $B$ of a reductive group $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$. We prove that $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ is always directed quasi-hereditary and study outer tensor products for $B \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$ the subgroup of upper triangular matrices. The last section is independent of the rest of the thesis and deals with Auslander-Reiten components of $B_{r}$ containing regular modules.

## $1.2 \mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded algebras and $G_{r} T$-modules

In this section, we are going to establish basic notation and results for $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded algebras and $G_{r} T$-modules.
Let $k$ be a field and $\Lambda$ be a finite-dimensional $k$-algebra. The algebra $\Lambda$ is called $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ graded if there is a decomposition

$$
\Lambda=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \Lambda_{i}
$$

into $k$-subspaces such that $\Lambda_{i} \Lambda_{j} \subseteq \Lambda_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Letting $\bmod \Lambda$ be the category of finite-dimensional $\Lambda$-modules, $M \in \bmod \Lambda$ is called $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded if there is a decomposition

$$
M=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} M_{i}
$$

such that $\Lambda_{i} M_{j} \subseteq M_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. An element $m \in M \backslash\{0\}$ is called homogeneous of degree $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ if $m \in M_{i}$. In that case, we write $\operatorname{deg}(m)=i$ for the degree of $m$. A $\Lambda$-submodule $N \subseteq M$ is called homogeneous if

$$
N=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} N \cap M_{i} .
$$

In that case, $N$ and the factor module $M / N$ have a natural structure as $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded $\Lambda$ modules. If $M=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} M_{i}, N=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} N_{i}$ are $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded modules, then a morphism of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded modules $M \rightarrow N$ is a $\Lambda$-linear map $f: M \rightarrow N$ such that $f\left(M_{i}\right) \subseteq N_{i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. We denote the space of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded morphisms $M \rightarrow N$ by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr\Lambda }}(M, N)$ and the category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded $\Lambda$-modules by $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$.

We denote by $F: \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda \rightarrow \bmod \Lambda$ the forgetful functor. The modules in $F(\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda)$ are called gradable. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, there is a functor $[i]: \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda \rightarrow \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$, where $M[i]_{j}:=M_{j-i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and all $M \in \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ and the morphisms are left unchanged. Then $[i]$ is an auto-equivalence of $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ and we have $F \circ[i]=F$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
The following results about $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded algebras were first obtained in [21], [22] for $n=1$
and it has been known for a long time that they hold for $n>1$. Proofs for $n>1$ can be found in [12].

Proposition 1.2.1. (1) A module $M \in \bmod g r \Lambda$ is indecomposable iff $F(M)$ is indecomposable in $\bmod \Lambda$.
(2) If $M, N \in \bmod g r \Lambda$ are indecomposable such that $F(M) \cong F(N)$, there is a unique $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that $M \cong N[\lambda]$.
(3) If $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a minimal projective resolution of $M$, then $\left(F\left(P_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a minimal projective resolution of $F(M)$.

Theorem 1.2.2. (1) The category mod gr $\Lambda$ has almost split sequences.
(2) If $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is an almost split sequence in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$, then $0 \rightarrow$ $F(M) \rightarrow F(E) \rightarrow F(N) \rightarrow 0$ is almost split in $\bmod \Lambda$.

For $M \in \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$, we define the support of $M$ as $\operatorname{supp}(M)=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \mid M_{\lambda} \neq 0\right\}$. If $J \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, we denote by $\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ the full subcategory of $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ whose objects are those $M \in \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(M) \subseteq J$. Note that $\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ is a torsion class and a torsion-free class in the sense of Section 1.3 below. The following result is useful for extending results about $\bmod \Lambda$ to $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$.

Proposition 1.2.3. Let $J \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ be finite. Then there is a finite-dimensional algebra $A$ and an equivalence of categories $\bmod _{J} \mathrm{gr} \Lambda \rightarrow \bmod A$.

We illustrate the use of 1.2 .3 by extending the Auslander-Reiten formula to $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded modules. Note that our approach does not show functoriality in $M, N$ of the isomorphisms. Alternatively, the result can also be proved using [28, Theorem 1.1]. We denote by $\tau_{\text {modgr } \Lambda}$ resp. $\tau_{\Lambda}$ the Auslander-Reiten translations of $\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda$ resp. $\bmod \Lambda$, see for example [1, IV.2.3] and note that $F \circ \tau_{\text {modgr } \Lambda}=\tau_{\Lambda} \circ F$ by 1.2.2.

Theorem 1.2.4. Let $M, N \in \operatorname{modgr} \Lambda$. Then there exist isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}^{1}(M, N) & \cong D \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathrm{gr} \Lambda}\left(\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{-1}(N), M\right) \\
& \cong D \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathrm{gr} \Lambda}\left(N, \tau_{\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}(M)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D$ is the standard duality $M \mapsto M^{*}$.
Proof. Let $J^{\prime}=\operatorname{supp}(M) \cup \operatorname{supp}(N) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(M)\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}^{-1}(N)\right)$. As $J^{\prime}$ is finite, 1.2.3 implies that we can identify the category mod ${ }_{J^{\prime}} \mathrm{gr} \Lambda$ of graded modules with support in $J^{\prime}$ with the category of finite-dimensional modules over some finitedimensional $k$-algebra. Thus, there are only finitely many simple modules $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{l}$ in mod ${ }_{J^{\prime}}$ gr $\Lambda$. Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{l}$ be their projective covers and $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{l}$ be their injective envelopes in modgr $\Lambda$. Set $J=J^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \operatorname{supp}\left(P_{i}\right) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \operatorname{supp}\left(I_{i}\right)$. Then $J$ is finite and by 1.2.3, there is a finite-dimensional algebra $A$ such that $\bmod _{J}$ gr $\Lambda$ can be identified
with $\bmod A$. Since all extensions of $M$ by $N$ have support in $J$, the Auslander-Reiten formula in $\bmod A$ (see e.g. [1, 2.13]) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{1}(M, N) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}^{1}(M, N) \\
& \cong D \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}\left(\tau_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}^{-1}(N), M\right) \\
& \cong D \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\bmod J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda\left(N, \tau_{\bmod J g r} \Lambda(M)\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have to show that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}\left(\tau_{\bmod J}^{J g r} \Lambda(N), M\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr\Lambda } \Lambda}\left(\tau_{\bmod g r \Lambda}^{-1}(N), M\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}\left(N, \tau_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}(M)\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda}\left(N, \tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(M)\right)$. By definition of $J$, we have $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(M) \cong \tau_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}(M)$ in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$, so that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}\left(N, \tau_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}(M)\right) \cong$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr\Lambda } \Lambda}\left(N, \tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(M)\right)$. Suppose that $f=h \circ g: N \rightarrow I \rightarrow \tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(M)$ is a graded morphism factoring through an injective graded module $I \in \operatorname{modgr} \Lambda$. Then $f$ factors through the injective envelope $I^{\prime} \subseteq I$ of $\operatorname{im} g$ in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$. As $\operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{im} g) \subseteq J^{\prime}$, the construction of $J$ implies that $I^{\prime} \in \bmod _{J} \mathrm{gr} \Lambda$. Since $\bmod _{J} \mathrm{gr} \Lambda$ is a full subcategory of $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda, I^{\prime}$ is also the injective envelope of $\operatorname{im} g$ in $\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$. Thus, $f$ factors through an injective module in $\bmod _{J}$ gr $\Lambda$. If on the other hand $f=h \circ g$ factors through an injective object in $\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda, f$ factors through the injective envelope $I^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{im} g$ in $\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$. As above, the injective envelope $I$ of $\operatorname{im} g$ in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ belongs to $\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$, so that $I=I^{\prime}$ is also injective in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$. This shows $\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}\left(N, \tau_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}(M)\right) \cong$ $\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathrm{gr} \Lambda}\left(N, \tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(M)\right)$. The other isomorphism can be shown analogously.

Now let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$. We want to apply results about $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded algebras to the category $\bmod G_{r} T$, where $G$ is a smooth connected algebraic group scheme over $k, T \subseteq G$ is a maximal torus and $G_{r}$ the $r$-th Frobenius kernel of $G$. The next proposition collects some results on this situation in a slightly more general context.

Proposition 1.2.5. Let $H$ be an affine group scheme, $G, T \subseteq H$ be closed subgroup schemes such that $T$ is a torus and $G$ is infinitesimal and normalized by $T$. Then the following statements hold:
(1) The category $\bmod G \rtimes T$ is equivalent to the category of $X(T)$-graded $G$-modules.
(2) The category $\bmod G T$ is a sum of blocks of $\bmod G \rtimes T$. If $M \in \bmod G T, \lambda \in X(T)$, then $M[\lambda] \in \bmod G T$ iff $\lambda$ is trivial on $G \cap T$.
(3) The forgetful functor induces a morphism of stable translation quivers $\Gamma_{s}(G T) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma_{s}(G)$ mapping components of $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ to components of $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{r}\right)$.

Proof. This can be proved as [16, 2.1, 2.2].

### 1.3 Almost split sequences in subcategories

In this section, we want to establish basic results on almost split sequences and Auslander-Reiten theory in subcategories. This allows us to treat some results in the
following sections in a uniform way. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded algebra and $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}$ be full subcategories of $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ closed with respect to finite direct sums. Recall that $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is called a torsion pair if $\mathcal{T}=\left\{V \in \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr\Lambda } \Lambda}(V, N)=0\right.$ for all $N \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and $\mathcal{F}=\left\{V \in \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr} \Lambda}(N, V)=0\right.$ for all $\left.N \in \mathcal{T}\right\}$. In that case, $\mathcal{T}$ is called a torsion class and $\mathcal{F}$ is called a torsion-free class in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$. By [1, VI.1.4], $\mathcal{T}$ is a torsion class if and only if $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under images, finite direct sums and extensions and $\mathcal{F}$ is a torsion-free class if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under submodules, direct products and extensions. This is also equivalent to the existence of a subfunctor $t$ of the identity functor $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda \rightarrow \bmod g r \Lambda$ called the torsion radical such that $t \circ t=t$ and $\mathcal{T}=\{M \mid t M=M\}, \mathcal{F}=\{M \mid t M=0\}$. Additionally, we can define a functor $u: \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda \rightarrow \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ mapping $M \in \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ to $M / t M$, the largest factor module of $M$ belonging to $\mathcal{F}$.
Since not all subcategories we consider in later sections are extension-closed, we formulate the results of this section for more general subcategories $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}$ whenever possible.

We will need the following lemma and a dual version which were proved for $\bmod \Lambda$ in [25, Lemma 2, Lemma 3]. They can be translated to our setting using 1.2.3. We say that $M \in \mathcal{T}$ is Ext-projective resp. Ext-injective in $\mathcal{T}$ if $\left.\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{1}(M,-)\right|_{\mathcal{T}}=0$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Ext}_{\text {mod gr } \Lambda}^{1}(-, M)\right|_{\mathcal{T}}=0$.

Lemma 1.3.1. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a torsion pair in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ and $V \in \mathcal{T}$ be indecomposable.
(1) The module $V$ is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$ iff $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(V) \in \mathcal{F}$.
(2) Suppose $V$ is not Ext-projective. Then $t\left(\tau_{\bmod g r}(V)\right)$ is indecomposable and if $0 \rightarrow$ $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(V) \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} V \rightarrow 0$ is the almost split sequence in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ ending in $V$, then the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow t\left(\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(V)\right) \rightarrow t(E) \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ is the almost split sequence in $\mathcal{T}$ ending in $V$.

Proof. (1) Let $V \in \mathcal{T}$ be Ext-projective and let $J=\operatorname{supp}(V) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{\bmod g r}(V)\right)$. Then $J$ is finite and $\left(\mathcal{T} \cap \bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda, \mathcal{F} \cap \bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda\right)$ is a torsion pair in $\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$. As $V$ is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T} \cap \bmod _{J}$ gr $\Lambda$, a consecutive application of 1.2.3 and [25, Lemma 2] implies $\tau_{\text {mod gr } \Lambda}(V)=\tau_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}(V) \in \mathcal{F} \cap \bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$.
For the other direction, let $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(V) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $W \in \mathcal{T}$. Let $J=\operatorname{supp}(V) \cup$ $\operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(V)\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}(W)$. Then all extensions of $V$ by $W$ belong to $\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ and $\tau_{\bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}(V) \in \mathcal{F} \cap \bmod _{J} \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$. Thus, [25, Lemma 2] yields $\operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{1}(V, W)=$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{\bmod _{j} \operatorname{gr}^{\prime} \Lambda}^{1}(V, W)=0$, so that $V$ is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$.
(2) Let $X \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\alpha: X \rightarrow V$ not a split epimorphism. Set $J=\operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(V)\right) \cup$ $\operatorname{supp}(V) \cup \operatorname{supp}(X)$. Then $0 \rightarrow t\left(\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(V)\right) \rightarrow t(E) \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ is the image of $0 \rightarrow \tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(V) \rightarrow E \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ under the torsion radical of $\mathcal{T} \cap \bmod _{J}$ gr $\Lambda$, so that the sequence is almost split in $\mathcal{T} \cap \bmod _{J}$ gr $\Lambda$ by [25, Lemma 2]. As $\alpha$ is not a split epimorphismus in $\mathcal{T} \cap \bmod _{J}$ gr $\Lambda, \alpha$ factors through $t(g)$, so that $t(g)$ is right almost split in $\mathcal{T}$. Analogously, one shows that $t(f)$ is left almost split in $\mathcal{T}$, so that the sequence is almost split in $\mathcal{T}$.

If $\mathcal{T}$ is closed with respect to submodules and factor modules, we can define functors $t, u: \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda \rightarrow \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ by letting $t(V)$ be the largest submodule and $u(V)$ be the largest factor module in $\mathcal{T}$ of $V \in \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$. Then standard arguments show that $t$ is right adjoint to the inclusion functor $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$, so that $t$ is left exact and maps injectives in mod gr $\Lambda$ to injective objects in $\mathcal{T}$, while $u$ is left adjoint to the inclusion functor, so that $u$ is right exact and maps projectives in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ to projective objects in $\mathcal{T}$. Thus, $\mathcal{T}$ has enough projectives and enough injectives in this case and the notions of Ext-projective resp. -injective and projective resp. injective object in $\mathcal{T}$ coincide. The notions of top, socle and radical in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ and $\mathcal{T}$ also coincide in this case and $t$ resp. $u$ maps the injective envelope resp. projective cover of $V \in \bmod g r \Lambda$ to the injective envelope of $t(V)$ resp. projective cover of $u(V)$ in $\mathcal{T}$. We call $V \in \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda t$-acyclic resp. $u$-acyclic if the higher right derived functors of $t$ resp. left derived functors of $u$ vanish on $V$. If $M \in \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ is $t$-acyclic, we can compute minimal injective resolutions and Heller shifts in $\mathcal{T}$ from those in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$.

Proposition 1.3.2. Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is closed with respect to submodules and factor modules. Let $V \in \mathcal{T}$ be $t$-acyclic.
(1) If $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow I_{0} \rightarrow I_{1} \rightarrow \ldots$ is a minimal injective resolution of $V$ in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$, then $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow t\left(I_{0}\right) \rightarrow t\left(I_{1}\right) \rightarrow \ldots$ is a minimal injective resolution of $V$ in $\mathcal{T}$.
(2) We have $\Omega_{\mathcal{T}}^{-i}(V)=t\left(\Omega_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{-i}(V)\right)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Proof. This can be proved with the same arguments as [9, Theorem 7.3], noting that the authors use positive superscripts instead.

We leave it to the reader to formulate a dual version about projective resolutions and positive Heller shifts for a $u$-acyclic $V \in \mathcal{T}$. Recall that the injective resp. projective dimension of a module $V$ is the length of a minimal injective resp. projective resolution of $V$, i.e. the smallest natural number $i$ such that $\Omega^{-(i+1)}(V)=0$ resp. $\Omega^{i+1}(V)=0$. We write $i d_{\mathcal{T}}(V)$ resp. $p d_{\mathcal{T}}(V)$ for the injective resp. projective dimension of $V \in \mathcal{T}$.

Corollary 1.3.3. Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is closed with respect to submodules and factor modules. Let $M \in \mathcal{T}$ be $t$-acyclic such that $s=i d_{\mathcal{T}}(M)<\infty$. Then $\mathcal{T} \cap\left\{\Omega_{\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda}^{-i}(M) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}$ is finite and all elements of this set have finite injective dimension in $\mathcal{T}$.

Proof. By 1.3.2.(2), we have $t\left(\Omega_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{-l}(M)\right)=0$ for $l>s$, so that $\Omega_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{-l}(M) \notin \mathcal{T}$ or $\Omega_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{-l}(M)=0$. Since minimal injective resolutions for $M$ in $\mathcal{T}$ induce minimal injective resolutions for $t(M)$ in $\mathcal{T}$, this also shows that all modules in $\mathcal{T} \cap\left\{\Omega_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{-i}(M) \mid\right.$ $\left.i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}$ have finite injective dimension in $\mathcal{T}$.

In the remainder of this section, let $\Theta$ be a regular component of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$ of the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma(\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda)$ of $\bmod g r \Lambda$. By definition, $\Theta$ does not have any projective vertices and consequently, the arrows of $\Theta$ pointing downwards correspond to irreducible epimorphisms and the arrows pointing upwards correspond to irreducible monomorphisms. The next two results determine the position of Ext-projective modules
in $\mathcal{T}$ inside $\Theta$. Recall that $V \in \Theta$ is called quasi-simple if it belongs to the bottom layer of $\Theta$. In our context, this means that the middle term of the almost split sequence starting in $V$ is indecomposable. For each $M \in \Theta$, there is a unique quasi-simple module $N \in \Theta$ such that $N \subseteq M$, the quasi-socle of $M$. A path inside $\Theta$ is a sectional path if no vertex on the path is a $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}$-shift of another vertex on the path. For a regular component of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$, this is equivalent to all arrows being surjective or all arrows being injective. We denote by $q l(M)$ the quasi-length of $M \in \Theta$, that is the number of vertices on a sectional path from the quasi-socle of $M$ to $M$. Thus, if $M$ is quasi-simple, we have $q l(M)=1$.
Proposition 1.3.4. Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is a torsion class and closed with respect to submodules. Let $M \in \Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$ such that $M$ is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$ and $N$ be the quasi-socle of $M$. Then all modules on the sectional path from $N$ to $M$ are Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$. If $q l(M)>1$, then $N$ is simple.

Proof. By 1.3.1, $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(M)$ has no nontrivial $\mathcal{T}$-submodules. If $M^{\prime}$ is a predecessor of $M$ on the sectional path from $N$ to $M$, then $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ has no nontrivial $\mathcal{T}$-submodules as it embeds into $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(M)$. By 1.3.1, $M^{\prime}$ is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$. Now let $q l(M)>1$. Then there is an almost split sequence in $\mathcal{T}$ starting in $N$ such that the middle term of the sequence is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$. We use arguments dual to those of [2, V.3.3] to show that $N$ is simple. Let $B$ be the middle term and $C$ the right term of the almost split sequence. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $N$ is a submodule of $B$. Since the sequence is almost split, $N$ is a proper submodule of $B$. As $B$ is projective indecomposable in $\mathcal{T}$, this implies $N \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(B)$. Thus, the sequence $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Top}(N) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Top}(B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Top}(C) \rightarrow 0$ is not exact. Hence a dual version of [2, V.3.2] shows that $N$ is simple.
Corollary 1.3.5. Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is a torsion class and closed with respect to submodules. Let $M \in \Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$ such that $M$ is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$. Suppose there is a duality $D: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ such that $D(S) \cong S$ for every simple module $S \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $M$ is quasi-simple.

Proof. If $q l(M)>1,1.3 .4$ provides a simple module $S \in \mathcal{T}$ which is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$. Since $D(S) \cong S$, the remarks preceding 1.3.2 yield that $S$ is also Ext-injective in $\mathcal{T}$, so the almost split sequence starting in $S$ splits, a contradiction.
Lemma 1.3.6. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be closed with respect to submodules and factor modules. Suppose the number of quasi-simple modules in $\Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$ is finite. Then $\Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$ is finite.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is closed with respect to taking submodules and factor modules, our assumption implies that the number of modules of any given quasi-length in $\Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$ is finite and that the quasi-length of modules in $\Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$ is bounded. Hence $\Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$ is finite.

For $M \in \Theta$ of quasi-length $m$, we denote the quasi-socle of $M$ by $M(1)$ and the modules on the sectional path from $M(1)$ to $M$ by $M(1), M(2), \ldots, M(m)=M$. We denote by $\mathcal{W}(M)$ the wing of $M$, namely the mesh-complete full subquiver of $M$ containing the vertices $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{r}(M(s))$ with $1 \leq s \leq m$ and $0 \leq r \leq m-s$, see [33, 3.3] and the following figure.


Figure 1.1: The red vertices in this $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$-component form the wing of the top red vertex $M$.

Lemma 1.3.7. Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is closed with respect to submodules and factor modules and let $M \in \Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$. Then every module in the wing of $M$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}$.

Proof. We show this by induction on the quasi-length $q l(M)$ of $M$. If $q l(M)=1$, i.e. $M$ is quasi-simple, the statement is clear. Now let $m=q l(M)>1$. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is closed with respect to submodules and factor modules, $M(m-1), \tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{-1}(M(m-1)) \in \mathcal{T}$. As the remaining vertices in $\mathcal{W}(M)$ belong to $\mathcal{W}(M(m-1)) \cup \mathcal{W}\left(\tau_{\text {mod gr } \Lambda}^{-1}(M(m-1))\right)$, the result now follows by induction.

The assumptions on $M$ in the following lemma mean that two wings in $\mathcal{T} \cap \Theta$ have adjacent quasi-simple modules. We use this to show that the modules between the wings also belong to $\Theta$ and construct a module $N \in \mathcal{T} \cap \Theta$ such that the wing of $N$ contains the wing of $M$ and $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{s}(M)$.

Lemma 1.3.8. Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is a torsion class closed with respect to submodules. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M \in \Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$ such that $2 q l(M)>s$ and $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{s}(M) \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{i}(M) \in \mathcal{T}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and there exists a module $N \in \Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$ such that $q l(N)=q l(M)+s$.

Proof. As $q l(M)=q l\left(\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{s}(M)\right)>\frac{s}{2}$, the wings of $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{s}(M)$ and $M$ either intersect or the rightmost quasi-simple module $Y_{1}$ in the wing of $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{s}(M)$ and the leftmost quasi-simple module $Y_{2}$ in the wing of $M$ satisfy $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}\left(Y_{2}\right)=Y_{1}$. As $\mathcal{T}$ is extensionclosed, this shows that $\tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{i}(M) \in \mathcal{T}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.
Using again that $\mathcal{T}$ is extension-closed, we get that in the layer above a horizontal line $A, \tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}(A), \ldots, \tau_{\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda}^{l}(A)$ of $(l+1)$ modules in $\mathcal{T}$, there is a horizontal line of the same form of $l$ modules in $\mathcal{T}$. As the horizontal line in our situation has at least $(s+1)$ modules in $\mathcal{T}$, the existence of $N$ now follows inductively.

Recall that a homogeneous tube is a component isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right] /\langle\tau\rangle$. If $\Theta$ becomes a homogeneous tube upon forgetting the grading, we can determine when the almost split sequence in $\mathcal{T}$ ending in $N \in \mathcal{T} \cap \Theta$ is also almost split in $\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda$.

Proposition 1.3.9. Let $F: \bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda \rightarrow \bmod \Lambda$ be the forgetful functor and $\mathcal{T}$ be a torsion class. Suppose that $F(\Theta)$ is a homogeneous tube and $N \in \Theta \cap \mathcal{T}$ is not Extprojective in $\mathcal{T}$. Let

$$
(0) \rightarrow V \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow(0)
$$

be the almost split sequence in $\mathcal{T}$ ending in $N$. Then the sequence is almost split in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ if and only if $l(V)=l(N)$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{k} V=\operatorname{dim}_{k} N$.

Proof. By 1.3.1, $V$ is a submodule of the left term of the almost split sequence in $\bmod \operatorname{gr} \Lambda$ ending in $V$. Since $F(\Theta)$ is a homogeneous tube, the left term of that sequence has the same length and dimension as $N$ by 1.2.2.

We say that $V, W \in \Theta$ belong to the same column if there are almost split sequences $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{l}$ such that $V$ is a non-projective direct summand of the middle term of $\xi_{1}, W$ is a non-projective direct summand of the middle term of $\xi_{l}$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq l-1$, the middle terms of $\xi_{i}$ and $\xi_{i+1}$ have a common non-projective direct summand. This defines an equivalence relation on $\Theta$. We call the equivalence classes the columns of $\Theta$.

Proposition 1.3.10. Suppose there is a a duality $(-)^{0}: \operatorname{modgr} \Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{modgr} \Lambda$. If $\Theta$ contains a module $V$ such that $V^{o} \cong V$, then $N^{o} \cong N$ for all $N$ in the column of $V$. The column of $V$ is a symmetry axis with respect to $(-)^{o}$.

Proof. As $(-)^{o}$ is a duality, $\Theta^{\circ}$ is a component of $\Gamma(\operatorname{modgr} \Lambda)$ and $\Theta \cap \Theta^{o}=\emptyset$ or $\Theta=\Theta^{o}$. Thus, $V \in \Theta \cap \Theta^{o}$ implies $\Theta=\Theta^{o}$. Since ( -$)^{o}$ does not change the quasilength of modules, any almost split sequence in $\Theta$ such that the middle term has a self-dual summand is mapped to itself by $(-)^{\circ}$ and every direct summand of a middle term of such a sequence is self-dual.

### 1.4 Polynomial representations and infinitesimal Schur algebras

In this section, we will introduce our main objects of study. For algebraic groups, we follow the notation and terminology of [26]. For an introduction to algebraic monoids, we refer the reader to [32].
Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$ and $\mathrm{Mat}_{n}$ be the monoid scheme of $(n \times n)$-matrices over $k$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and denote by $A(n, d)$ the space generated by all homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$ in the coordinate ring $k\left[\mathrm{Mat}_{n}\right]=k\left[X_{i j} \mid\right.$ $1 \leq i, j \leq n]$ of $\operatorname{Mat}_{n}$. Then $k\left[\mathrm{Mat}_{n}\right]=\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} A(n, d)$ is a graded $k$-bialgebra with comultiplication $\Delta: k\left[\mathrm{Mat}_{n}\right] \rightarrow k\left[\mathrm{Mat}_{n}\right] \otimes_{k} k\left[\mathrm{Mat}_{n}\right]$ and counit $\epsilon: k\left[\mathrm{Mat}_{n}\right] \rightarrow k$ given by $\Delta\left(X_{i j}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{n} X_{i l} \otimes_{k} X_{l j}, \epsilon\left(X_{i j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ and each $A(n, d)$ is a finite-dimensional subcoalgebra. As $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ is dense in $\mathrm{Mat}_{n}$, the canonical map $k\left[\mathrm{Mat}_{n}\right] \rightarrow k\left[\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right]$ is injective, so that $k\left[\mathrm{Mat}_{n}\right]$ and each $A(n, d)$ can be viewed as a subcoalgebra of $k\left[\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right]$. Now let $G$ be a closed subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ and $\pi: k\left[\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right] \rightarrow k[G]$ the canonical projection. Set $A(G)=\pi\left(k\left[\mathrm{Mat}_{n}\right]\right)$ and $A_{d}(G)=\pi(A(n, d))$ as well as $S_{d}(G)=A_{d}(G)^{*}$. Since $\pi$ is a homomorphism of Hopf algebras, $A(G)$ is a subbialgebra of $k[G]$ and each $A_{d}(G)$
is a subcoalgebra of $A(G)$. Thus, $S_{d}(G)$ is a finite-dimensional associative algebra in a natural way. Following [5], we say that $G$ admits a graded polynomial representation theory if the sum $\sum_{d \geq 0} A_{d}(G)$ is direct and we say that a rational $G$-module $V$ is a polynomial $G$-module if the corresponding comodule map $V \rightarrow V \otimes_{k} k[G]$ factors through $V \otimes_{k} A(G)$. If the comodule map factors through $V \otimes_{k} A_{d}(G)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we say that $V$ is homogeneous of degree $d$. Clearly, every $A_{d}(G)$-comodule is an $A(G)$-comodule and every $A(G)$-comodule is a $G$-module in a natural way. As $A(G)$ is a factor bialgebra of $k\left[\mathrm{Mat}_{n}\right]$, it corresponds to a closed submonoid $M$ of Mat ${ }_{n}$. Since $A(G) \subseteq k[G]$ is a subbialgebra, $G \subseteq M$ is a dense subscheme, so that $M$ is the closure of $G$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{n}$. Hence polynomial representations of $G$ can be regarded as rational representations of the algebraic monoid scheme $M=\bar{G}$. Note that all these notions depend on the given embedding $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}$.
We record some properties of polynomial representations from [5, 1.2] in the following proposition.

Proposition 1.4.1. (1) Suppose $G$ admits a graded polynomial representation theory and $V$ is a polynomial $G$-module. Then $V=\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} V_{d}$, where each $V_{d}$ is homogeneous of degree $d$. Furthermore, the category of homogeneous modules of degree $d$ is equivalent to the category of $S_{d}(G)$-modules.
(2) If $G$ contains the center $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, then $G$ admits a graded polynomial representation theory.

Two important special cases are the algebras $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ and $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$, where $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, $T \subseteq G$ is the maximal torus of diagonal matrices and $B \subseteq G$ is the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices. The algebra $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ is the infinitesimal Schur algebra introduced in [9] and $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ can be viewed as an infinitesimal analogue of the BorelSchur algebra $S_{d}(B)$ introduced in [24]. Note that all results about $B_{r} T$ in this thesis can also be proved for the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices instead. If $D=$ $\bar{T} \subseteq$ Mat $_{n}$ is the monoid scheme of diagonal matrices, a comparison of coordinate rings shows $\overline{G_{r} T}=M_{r} D$, where $M_{r} D=\left(F_{\text {Mat }_{n}}^{r}\right)^{-1}(D)$ with $F_{\text {Mat }_{n}}^{r}$ being the $r$-th iteration of the Frobenius homomorphism on $\mathrm{Mat}_{n}$, see [9]. By the same token, we get for $L=$ $\bar{B} \subseteq$ Mat $_{n}$ the monoid scheme of upper triangular matrices that $\overline{B_{r} T}=L_{r} D$, where $L_{r} D=\left(F_{L}^{r}\right)^{-1}(D)$ with $F_{L}^{r}$ the restriction of $F_{\mathrm{Mat}_{n}}^{r}$ to $L$. We have $k\left[M_{r} D\right]=k\left[X_{i j} \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $i, j \leq n] /\left(X_{i j}^{p^{r}} \mid i \neq j\right)$ and $k\left[L_{r} D\right]=k\left[X_{i j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\right] /\left(X_{i j}^{p^{r}} \mid i \neq j\right)$.
This can fail for general $G$ : If $G$ is the full orthogonal similitude group in dimension two and $T$ the torus of diagonal matrices in $G$, then $G_{r} T=T$, but $M_{r} D$ defined analogously to the case $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ is strictly larger than $D=\bar{T}$. However, we always have $\overline{G_{r} T} \subseteq M_{r} D$. We do not know whether $M_{r} D=\overline{G_{r} T}$ e.g. for connected $G$.

## 2 Simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules

### 2.1 Classification of simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules

In this section, let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ be a closed connected reductive subgroup scheme containing $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right), T \subseteq G$ be a maximal torus contained in a maximal torus $T^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}, M$ be the closure of $G$ in Mat ${ }_{n}, D$ be the closure of $T, D^{\prime}$ be the closure of $T^{\prime}$ and $P(D) \subseteq X(T)$ be the character monoid of $D$, i.e. the set of polynomial weights, $W$ the Weyl group of $G$. Let $R$ be the root system of $G$ with respect to $T$ and $S \subseteq R$ a set of simple roots. Denote by $\langle-,-\rangle: X(T) \times Y(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the canonical perfect pairing of $X(T)$ and the cocharacter group $Y(T)$. For $\alpha \in R$, let $\alpha^{\vee}$ be the coroot of $\alpha$. Let $X_{0}(T)=\left\{\lambda \in X(T) \mid\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle=0\right.$ for all $\alpha \in R\}$ as well as $X_{r}(T)=\left\{\lambda \in X(T) \mid 0 \leq\left\langle\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq p^{r}-1\right.$ for all $\left.\alpha \in S\right\}$.

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We want to determine the simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules. Recall that the isomorphism classes of simple $G_{r} T$-modules are parametrized by $X(T)$ via $\lambda \mapsto \widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$, where $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ has highest weight $\lambda$, see [26, II.9]. As a motivation for our approach, consider the case where $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ and $T \leq G$ is the maximal torus of diagonal matrices. According to $\left[9\right.$, Section 3], the simple $G_{r} T$-module $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ is a polynomial $G_{r} T$ module iff $\lambda \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)$, where $P_{r}(D)=\left\{\lambda \in P(D) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i+1} \leq p^{r}-1\right.$ for $\left.1 \leq i \leq n-1,0 \leq \lambda_{n} \leq p^{r}-1\right\}$. A character $\lambda \in X(T)$ belongs to $P(D)$ iff $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, that is, iff $\min \left\{\lambda_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Taking this minimum is compatible with multiplication by natural numbers, in particular with multiplication by $p^{r}$. Given characters $\lambda, \mu \in X(T)$, we can of course find a permutation $w \in W \cong S_{n}$ such that this minimum is attained at the same coordinate for $w . \lambda$ and $\mu$, so that $\min \left\{(w \cdot \lambda+\mu)_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}=\min \left\{\lambda_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}+\min \left\{\mu_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Writing $d=\left.\operatorname{det}\right|_{T}=(1, \ldots, 1)$, we have $X_{0}(T)=\langle d\rangle, \min \left\{d_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}=1$ as well as $X_{r}(T)=\left\{\lambda \in X(T) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i+1} \leq p^{r}-1\right.$ for $\left.1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$ and we can write $P_{r}(D)=\left\{\lambda \in X_{r}(T) \cap P(D) \mid \lambda-p^{r} d \notin P(D)\right\}$. We axiomatize these properties of det $\left.\right|_{T}$ and the function $X(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \mapsto \min \left\{\lambda_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ for general $G$ in the following

Assumption 2.1.1. Suppose there exists a function $\varphi: X(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ with the following properties:
(1) $\forall \lambda \in X(T): \varphi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{l} \Longleftrightarrow \lambda \in P(D)$,
(2) $\forall \lambda \in X(T): \varphi\left(p^{r} \lambda\right)=p^{r} \varphi(\lambda)$,
(3) $\forall \lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in X(T): \exists w \in W: \varphi\left(w \cdot \lambda+\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(\lambda)+\varphi\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$,
(4) $\left.\varphi\right|_{X_{0}(T)}: X_{0}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ is bijective.

Since $W$ acts trivially on $X_{0}(T),(1),(3)$ and (4) imply that the restriction to $X_{0}(T)$ of any function $\varphi$ as in 2.1.1 is an isomorphism mapping $P(D) \cap X_{0}(T)$ to $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{l}$. It will turn out that 2.1.1 is sufficient for a classification similar to the case $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$. We first show that such a function is essentially unique.

Proposition 2.1.2. If $\varphi, \psi: X(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ are functions as in 2.1.1, there is a permutation of coordinates $\sigma: \mathbb{Z}^{l} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ such that $\varphi=\sigma \circ \psi$.

Proof. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{l}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{l}$ and $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{l}$ resp. $d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{l}^{\prime}$ be the preimages of the $e_{i}$ in $X_{0}(T)$ for $\varphi$ resp. $\psi$. Then every element of $X_{0}(T) \cap P(D)$ can be written as a linear combination in the $d_{i}$ with non-negative coefficients. Writing the $d_{i}^{\prime}$ as such a linear combination and then writing the $d_{i}$ as such a linear combination in the $d_{i}^{\prime}$, we see that the base change matrix for the two bases is a permutation matrix. Thus, there is $\sigma \in S_{l}$ such that $d_{i}=d_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Now let $\lambda \in X(T)$ and set $\tilde{\lambda}=\lambda-\sum_{i=1}^{l} \varphi(\lambda)_{i} d_{i}$. Using (3) and that $W$ acts trivially on $X_{0}(T)$ for the first equality and the fact that $\left.\psi\right|_{X_{0}(T)}$ is an isomorphism for the second equality, we get $\psi(\tilde{\lambda})=$ $\psi(\lambda)+\psi\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{l} \varphi(\lambda)_{i} d_{i}\right)=\psi(\lambda)-\sum_{i=1}^{l} \varphi(\lambda)_{i} \psi\left(d_{i}\right)=\psi(\lambda)-\sum_{i=1}^{l} \varphi(\lambda)_{i} \psi\left(d_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\psi(\lambda)-\sum_{i=1}^{l} \varphi(\lambda)_{i} e_{\sigma(i)}$. Applying the same arguments to $\varphi$, we get $\varphi(\tilde{\lambda})=0$ and $\varphi\left(\tilde{\lambda}-d_{i}\right)=-e_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Thus, $\tilde{\lambda} \in P(D)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}-d_{i}=\tilde{\lambda}-d_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime} \notin P(D)$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, so that $\psi(\tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{l}$ and $\psi(\tilde{\lambda})-e_{i} \notin \mathbb{N}_{0}^{l}$. This shows $\psi(\tilde{\lambda})=0$, so that $\psi(\lambda)=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \varphi(\lambda)_{i} e_{\sigma(i)}$, hence $\varphi(\lambda)_{i}=\psi(\lambda)_{\sigma(i)}$.

Definition 2.1.3. Suppose $\varphi$ is a function as in 2.1.1 for $G$. Letting $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{l}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{l}$ and $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{l}$ be the preimages of the $e_{i}$ in $X_{0}(T)$ with respect to $\varphi$, we set $P_{r}(D)=\left\{\lambda \in P(D) \cap X_{r}(T) \mid \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}: \lambda-p^{r} d_{i} \notin P(D)\right\}$.

It follows from the proof of 2.1.2 that $P_{r}(D)$ does not depend on the choice of $\varphi$.
Lemma 2.1.4. Let $\lambda=\lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}=\lambda_{0}^{\prime}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}^{\prime}$ with $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{0}^{\prime} \in X_{r}(T), \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\lambda}^{\prime} \in X(T)$. Then there is $\mu \in X_{0}(T)$ such that $\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{0}^{\prime}+p^{r} \mu, \tilde{\lambda^{\prime}}=\tilde{\lambda}+\mu$.

Proof. We have $\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{0}^{\prime}=p^{r} \mu$, where $\mu=\tilde{\lambda}^{\prime}-\tilde{\lambda}$. For all $\alpha \in R$, we have $p^{r}\left\langle\mu, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{0}^{\prime}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle=\left\langle\lambda_{0}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle-\left\langle\lambda_{0}^{\prime}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle$. As $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{0}^{\prime} \in X_{r}(T)$, we have $-\left(p^{r}-1\right) \leq\left\langle\lambda_{0}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle-$ $\left\langle\lambda_{0}^{\prime}, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \leq p^{r}-1$, forcing $\left\langle\mu, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle=0$. Thus, $\mu \in X_{0}(T)$ and the result follows.

Theorem 2.1.5. Suppose 2.1.1 holds for $G$. If $\lambda \in X_{r}(T)+p^{r} X(T)$, then there is a unique decomposition $\lambda=\lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}$ with $\lambda_{0} \in P_{r}(D), \tilde{\lambda} \in X(T)$. Furthermore, if all weights of the simple $G_{r} T$-module $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ belong to $P(D)$, then $\lambda \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda=\lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}$ with $\lambda_{0} \in X_{r}(T), \tilde{\lambda} \in X(T)$. As $d_{i} \in X_{0}(T)$, we get that for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{l}, \lambda_{0}+p^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i} d_{i}+p^{r}\left(\tilde{\lambda}-\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i} d_{i}\right)$ is another decomposition such that $\lambda_{0}+p^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i} d_{i} \in X_{r}(T)$. Since $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{l}$ generate $X_{0}(T), 2.1 .4$ shows that every decomposition of $\lambda$ arises in this fashion. By (1), (3), (4) and since $W$ acts trivially on $X_{0}(T)$, there is a unique $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ such that $\lambda_{0}+p^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i} d_{i} \in P_{r}(D)$. Thus, there is a unique decomposition $\lambda=\lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}$ such that $\lambda_{0} \in P_{r}(D)$. We show by contraposition
that if all weights of $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ are polynomial, then $\tilde{\lambda} \in P(D)$. Suppose that $\tilde{\lambda} \notin P(D)$, so that $\varphi(\tilde{\lambda}) \notin \mathbb{N}_{0}^{l}$. Then there is $i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that $\varphi(\tilde{\lambda})_{i}<0$. Since $\lambda_{0}-p^{r} d_{i} \notin P(D)$, (1), (3), (4) yield $\varphi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)_{i}<p^{r}$. Using (2) and (3), we see that there is $w \in W$ such that $\varphi\left(w \cdot \lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}\right)_{i}=\varphi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)_{i}+p^{r} \varphi(\tilde{\lambda})_{i}<0$, so that $w \cdot \lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda} \notin P(D)$ by (1). Since $\widehat{L_{r}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ lifts to a simple $G$-module by [26, II.9.6.(g)], its character is $W$-invariant, so that $w . \lambda_{0}$ is a weight of $\widehat{L_{r}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ and $w \cdot \lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}$ is a weight of $\widehat{L_{r}}\left(\lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}\right) \cong \widehat{L_{r}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \otimes_{k} p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}$, so that not all weights of $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ are polynomial.

For $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, it was shown in the Appendix of [30] that a $G_{r} T$-module such that all of its weights are polynomial is a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module. It is not known for which general $G$ a similar statement holds. However, if $M$ is normal as a variety, we can at least prove this for some simple modules.

Proposition 2.1.6. Suppose that $M$ is a normal variety. If $\lambda=\lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda} \in\left(X_{r}(T) \cap\right.$ $P(D))+p^{r} P(D)$, the simple $G_{r} T$-module $\widehat{L}_{r}(\lambda)$ is a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module.

Proof. We have $\widehat{L}_{r}(\lambda) \cong \widehat{L_{r}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \otimes_{k} p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}$ and $\widehat{L_{r}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ lifts to $G$ by [26, II.9.6]. Since $M$ is normal and $\lambda_{0}$ is a dominant weight contained in $P(D), \widehat{L_{r}}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ lifts to $M$ by [6, 3.5], so that $\widehat{L}_{r}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ is a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module. As $\tilde{\lambda}$ is a $D$-module, $p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}$ is an $F^{-r}(D)$ module, where $F^{r}$ is the $r$-th iteration of the Frobenius morphism, and thus a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module by restriction. Hence $\widehat{L}_{r}(\lambda)$ is a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module.

Corollary 2.1.7. Let $M$ be normal and suppose that 2.1.1 holds for $G$. If $\lambda \in X_{r}(T)+$ $p^{r} X(T)$, then the simple module $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ is a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module iff $\lambda \in P_{r}(D)+$ $p^{r} P(D)$.

Proof. This follows directly from 2.1.5 and 2.1.6.
Corollary 2.1.8. Let the derived subgroup of $G$ be simply connected and $M$ be normal. Suppose 2.1.1 holds for $G$. Then a system of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules is given by $\left\{\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)\right\}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in X(T)$. Since the derived subgroup of $G$ is simply connected, $X_{r}(T)$ contains a set of representatives of $X(T) / p^{r} X(T)$, so that we have $\lambda \in X_{r}(T)+p^{r} X(T)$. By 2.1.5, all weights of $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ are polynomial iff $\lambda \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)$ and by 2.1.6, $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ lifts to $G_{r} T$ in this case. Since all weights of polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules are polynomial and a polynomial module is a simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-module iff it is simple as a $G_{r} T$ module, the result follows.

We now give an explicit construction for a function as in 2.1.1 under certain assumptions.

Theorem 2.1.9. Suppose there is an action of $W$ on $X\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ such that the canonical projection $\pi: X\left(T^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow X(T)$ is $W$-equivariant and there are $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s} \in \pi^{-1}\left(X_{0}(T)\right) \cap$ $P\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ such that
(a) $\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}:\left(b_{i}\right)_{j} \in\{0,1\}$,
(b) the sets $M_{i}=\left\{j \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \mid\left(b_{i}\right)_{j}=1\right\}$ form a partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$,
(c) the image of $W$ in $S_{X\left(T^{\prime}\right)}$ is contained in the image of $W^{\prime} \cong S_{n}$ and contains the subgroup $S_{M_{1}} \times \ldots \times S_{M_{s}}$,
(d) $\exists d_{1}, \ldots, d_{l} \in\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right\}: \pi\left(d_{1}\right), \ldots, \pi\left(d_{l}\right)$ is a basis of $X_{0}(T)$ such that each $\pi\left(b_{i}\right)$ is a linear combination of the $\pi\left(d_{i}\right)$ with non-negative coefficients.

Then there is a function $\varphi: X(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ with the properties of 2.1.1.
Proof. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, write $\pi\left(b_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{l} n_{i j} \pi\left(d_{j}\right)$ with $n_{i j} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Define $\varphi: X\left(T^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{l}, \lambda \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \min \left\{\lambda_{a} \mid a \in M_{i}\right\} n_{i j} e_{j}$. We show that $\varphi$ induces a funtion $\bar{\varphi}: X(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ with the properties of 2.1.1. For this, we show the following statements $(i)-(v)$.
(i) $\forall \lambda \in X\left(T^{\prime}\right), \mu \in \operatorname{ker}(\pi): \varphi(\lambda+\mu)=\varphi(\lambda):$

Let $\mu \in \operatorname{ker}(\pi)$. Since the sets $M_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint, (a) implies that the element $\mu^{\prime}=\mu-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \min \left\{\mu_{a} \mid a \in M_{i}\right\} b_{i}$ belongs to $P\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ and that for every $i$, there is $a \in M_{i}$ such that $\mu_{a}^{\prime}=0$. By 2.1.10 below and (a), we get $\mu_{l}^{\prime}=0$ for all $l \in M_{i}$. As the $M_{i}$ form a partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we get $\mu^{\prime}=0$, so that $\mu=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \min \left\{\mu_{a} \mid a \in\right.$ $\left.M_{i}\right\} b_{i}$. Thus, (a) and the definition of the $M_{i}$ imply that for each $i$ and each $\lambda \in X\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, the minima $\min \left\{\lambda_{a} \mid a \in M_{i}\right\}$ and $\min \left\{(\lambda+\mu)_{a} \mid a \in M_{i}\right\}$ are attained at the same coordinate $a \in M_{i}$. By definition of $\varphi$, we get $\varphi(\lambda+\mu)=\varphi(\lambda)+\varphi(\mu)$ for all $\lambda \in X\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. As $\mu \in \operatorname{ker}(\pi)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\pi(\mu) & =\sum_{i=1}^{s} \min \left\{\mu_{a} \mid a \in M_{i}\right\} \pi\left(b_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_{i j} \min \left\{\mu_{a} \mid a \in M_{i}\right\}\right) \pi\left(d_{j}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_{i j} \min \left\{\mu_{a} \mid a \in M_{i}\right\}=0$ for every $j$ and $\varphi(\mu)=0$. Hence $(i)$ holds.
(ii) $\forall \lambda \in X\left(T^{\prime}\right): \varphi\left(p^{r} \lambda\right)=p^{r} \varphi(\lambda)$ :

Clear by definition.
(iii) $\forall \lambda \in X\left(T^{\prime}\right): \varphi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{l} \Longleftrightarrow \lambda \in P(D)+\operatorname{ker}(\pi)$,:

Suppose $\varphi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{l}$. We have $\lambda-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \min \left\{\lambda_{a} \mid a \in M_{i}\right\} b_{i} \in P\left(D^{\prime}\right)$, so that $\pi(\lambda)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \min \left\{\lambda_{a} \mid a \in M_{i}\right\} \pi\left(b_{i}\right) \in \pi\left(P\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Hence $\pi(\lambda) \in \pi\left(P\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)+$ $\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \min \left\{\lambda_{a} \mid a \in M_{i}\right\} n_{i j} \pi\left(d_{j}\right)$. By assumption, the coefficients in the linear combination are non-negative, so that this set is contained in $\pi\left(P\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\lambda \in P\left(D^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{ker}(\pi)$. Now let $\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}+\mu \in P\left(D^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{ker}(\pi)$. Since $\varphi(\lambda)=\varphi\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ by (i) and since $\lambda^{\prime}$ has no negative coordinates and $n_{i j} \geq 0$, we have $\varphi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{l}$.
(iv) $\forall \lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in X\left(T^{\prime}\right): \exists w \in W: \varphi\left(w \cdot \lambda+\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(\lambda)+\varphi\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ :

Using (c), we permute the coordinates in each $M_{i}$ for $\lambda$ in such a way that the lowest coordinate for $w \cdot \lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ in each $M_{i}$ occurs at the same place. Then clearly $\varphi\left(w \cdot \lambda+\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(\lambda)+\varphi\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$.
(v) $\varphi\left(d_{i}\right)=e_{i}$ :

This follows directly from (d) and the definition of $\varphi$.

The statement $(i)$ implies that there is a function $\bar{\varphi}: X(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ such that $\varphi=\bar{\varphi} \circ \pi$. Now $(i i)-(v)$ imply that $\bar{\varphi}$ has the properties of 2.1.1.

Lemma 2.1.10. In the situation of 2.1.9, let $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $\mu \in \operatorname{ker}(\pi)$. Then $\mu_{k}=\mu_{l}$ for all $l, k \in M_{i}$.

Proof. Let $\mu \in \operatorname{ker}(\pi)$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Suppose there are $k, l \in M_{i}$ such that $\mu_{k} \neq \mu_{l}$. Let $w=(k l)$ be the transposition with respect to $k$ and $l$. We have $w \in W$ by (c). Then $\mu-w \cdot \mu=\left(\mu_{k}-\mu_{l}\right)\left(e_{k}-e_{l}\right) \in \operatorname{ker}(\pi)$. As the restriction of this element is zero in $X(T)$ and $X(T)$ is torsion-free, $\left.\left(e_{k}-e_{l}\right)\right|_{T}=0$, so that $\left.e_{k}\right|_{T}=\left.e_{l}\right|_{T}$. It follows that $w$ acts trivially on $T$, a contradiction.

The function $\varphi$ defined in the proof of 2.1 .9 provides an easy way to check whether the restriction of a character $\lambda \in X\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ to $T$ is a polynomial weight. We can also use it to determine whether the restrictions of two characters of $T^{\prime}$ to $T$ coincide.

Proposition 2.1.11. In the situation of 2.1.9, let $\lambda, \mu \in X\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $\left.\lambda\right|_{T}=\left.\mu\right|_{T}$,
(2) $\varphi(\lambda)=\varphi(\mu)$ and $\lambda-\mu$ is a linear combination of the $b_{i}$,
(3) $\varphi(\lambda)=\varphi(\mu)$ and $w \cdot(\lambda-\mu)=\lambda-\mu$ for all $w \in S_{M_{1}} \times \ldots \times S_{M_{s}}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): We have $\varphi(\lambda)=\bar{\varphi} \circ \pi(\lambda)=\bar{\varphi} \circ \pi(\mu)=\varphi(\mu)$. By assumption, we have $\pi(\lambda-\mu)=0$, so that the second part follows from 2.1.10.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ : Clear.
$(3) \Rightarrow(2)$ : Clear.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): By assumption, we may write $\lambda=\mu+\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} b_{i}$ for some $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. By definition of $\varphi$, we have $\varphi(\lambda)=\varphi(\mu)+\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} b_{i}\right)$, so that $\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} b_{i}\right)=0$. As $\varphi=\bar{\varphi} \circ \pi$, we get $\bar{\varphi} \circ \pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} b_{i}\right)=0$. By definition of the $\left.b_{i}, \pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} b_{i}\right)\right) \in X_{0}(T)$. As $\left.\bar{\varphi}\right|_{X_{0}(T)}$ is an isomorphism, we get $\left.\pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} b_{i}\right)\right)=0$. Hence $\pi(\lambda)=\pi(\mu)$.

We now apply our results to several examples. The first part of the following corollary is [10, Corollary 3.2]. For the orthogonal and symplectic similitude groups $G O_{2 l+1}$ and $G S p_{2 l}$, we adopt the definition and notation from [5, Sections 5, 7]. Recall that with suitable choice of defining form, the maximal torus of diagonal matrices in $G S p_{2 l}$ resp. $G O_{2 l+1}$ is given by $\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{2 l}\right) \mid t_{i i} t_{i^{\prime} i^{\prime}}=t_{j j} t_{j^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right.$ for $\left.1 \leq i, j \leq l\right\}$ resp. $\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{2 l+1}\right) \mid t_{i i} t_{i^{\prime} i^{\prime}}=t_{j j} t_{j^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right.$ for $\left.1 \leq i, j \leq l+1\right\}$, where $i^{\prime}=2 l-i+1$ resp. $i^{\prime}=2 l+1-i+1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 l$ resp. $1 \leq i \leq 2 l+1$.

Corollary 2.1.12. (a) For $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}, T$ the torus of diagonal matrices, a complete set of representatives of simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules is given by $\left\{\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in\right.$ $\left.P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)\right\}$, where $P_{r}(D)=\left\{\lambda \in P(D) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i+1} \leq p^{r}-1\right.$ for $1 \leq i \leq$ $\left.n-1,0 \leq \lambda_{n} \leq p^{r}-1\right\}$.
(b) Let $G=G S p_{2 l}, T$ the torus of diagonal matrices in $G$. Then a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules is given by $\left\{\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)\right\}$, where $P_{r}(D)=\left\{\lambda \in P(D) \cap X_{r}(T) \mid \lambda-p^{r} d \notin P(D)\right\}$ and $d$ is the character of $T$ given by $t \mapsto t_{11} t_{2 l 2 l}$.
(c) Let $G=G O_{2 l+1}, T$ the torus of diagonal matrices in $G$. If $\lambda \in X_{r}(T)+p^{r} X(T)$ and all weights of the module $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ are polynomial, then $\lambda \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)$, where $P_{r}(D)=\left\{\lambda \in P(D) \cap X_{r}(T) \mid \lambda-p^{r} d \notin P(D)\right\}$ and $d$ is the character of $T$ given by $t \mapsto t_{l+1}$.
(d) Let $n_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n=\sum_{i=1}^{l} n_{i}$ and embed $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n_{1}} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_{l}}$ into $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ as a Levi subgroup in the obvious way. Let $M_{i}=\left\{n_{1}+\ldots+n_{i-1}+1, \ldots, n_{1}+\ldots+n_{i}\right\}$ and $d_{i}=\sum_{j \in M_{i}} e_{j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Then a complete set of representatives of isomorphism classes of simple polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules is given by $\left\{\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)\right\}$, where $P_{r}(D)=\left\{\lambda \in X_{r}(T) \cap P(D) \mid \lambda-p^{r} d_{i} \notin P(D)\right.$ for $\left.1 \leq i \leq l\right\}$.

Proof. (a) Let $d=\left.\operatorname{det}\right|_{T}=(1, \ldots, 1), M=\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Clearly $d$ and $M$ have the properties of 2.1.9 and $P_{r}(D)$ has the required form. As the derived subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ is simply connected and $\mathrm{Mat}_{n}$ is normal, the result follows from 2.1.8.
(b) We have $W \cong S_{l} \ltimes(\mathbb{Z} /(2))^{l}$. We can identify $W$ with a subgroup of $W^{\prime} \cong S_{2 l}$ by letting $\sigma \in S_{l}$ act on $\lambda \in X\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ via $(\sigma . \lambda)_{i}=\lambda_{\sigma^{-1}(i)},(\sigma . \lambda)_{i^{\prime}}=\lambda_{\left(\sigma^{-1}(i)\right)^{\prime}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and letting the $i$-th unit vector of $(\mathbb{Z} /(2))^{l}$ act as the transposition $\left(i i^{\prime}\right)$. With this action, the canonical projection $\pi: X\left(T^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow X(T)$ is $W$-equivariant. For $1 \leq i \leq l$, let $d_{i}=e_{i}+e_{i^{\prime}}$ and $M_{i}=\left\{i, i^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $\pi\left(d_{i}\right)=\pi\left(d_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq l$, the character $d=\pi\left(d_{1}\right)$ is a basis of $X_{0}(T)$ and the $M_{i}$ form a partition of $\{1, \ldots, 2 l\}$. Inside $W \cong S_{l} \ltimes(\mathbb{Z} /(2))^{l},(\mathbb{Z} /(2))^{l}$ corresponds to $S_{M_{1}} \times \ldots \times S_{M_{l}}$. Thus, 2.1.9 yields that $G$ has the properties of 2.1.1 and $P_{r}(D)$ has the desired form. The derived subgroup of $G$ is $S p_{2 l}$, hence simply connected, and by the remark below 2.11 in [7], $\bar{G}$ is normal. The result now follows from 2.1.8.
(c) For $1 \leq i \leq l$, let $d_{i}=e_{i}+e_{i^{\prime}}, M_{i}=\left\{i, i^{\prime}\right\}$ and let $d_{l+1}=e_{l+1}, M_{l+1}=\{l+1\}$. Then the $M_{i}$ form a partition of $\{1, \ldots, 2 l+1\}$, the character $d=\pi\left(d_{l+1}\right)$ is a basis of $X_{0}(T)$ and $\pi\left(d_{i}\right)=2 \pi(d)$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. The other properties of 2.1.9 can be checked as in the proof of $(b)$, so that $G$ has the properties of 2.1.1 and $P_{r}(D)$ has the desired form. The result now follows from 2.1.5.
(d) The $M_{i}$ form a partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. By definition of $G$, we have $W=S_{M_{1}} \times \ldots \times$ $S_{M_{l}} \subseteq W^{\prime}=S_{n}$ and the $d_{i}$ form a basis of $X_{0}(T)$. Thus, 2.1.9 shows that $G$ has the properties of 2.1.1, so that the result follows from 2.1.8.

We give an example showing that our approach does not work for the connected component $G$ of the even orthogonal similitude group $G O_{2 l}$. Let $l=4$ and choose $p, r$ such that $4 \mid p^{r}-1$. Let $T, T^{\prime}, d$ be as in 2.1.12.(b). Let $\varphi: X\left(T^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, t \mapsto$ $\sum_{i=1}^{l} \min \left\{t_{i}, t_{i^{\prime}}\right\}$. The same proof shows that with the exception of (iv), all statements in the proof of 2.1.9 hold for $\varphi$ and $d$. Let $\lambda_{0}, \tilde{\lambda} \in X\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ be the characters given by $\lambda_{0}=\left(\frac{p^{r}-1}{2}, \frac{p^{r}-1}{2}, \frac{p^{r}-1}{2}, \frac{p^{r}-1}{2}, \frac{p^{r}-1}{4}, \frac{p^{r}-1}{4}, \frac{p^{r}-1}{4}, \frac{p^{r}-1}{4}\right)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}=(0,0,0,0,1,1,-1,1)$. Then $\varphi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=p^{r}-1>0, \varphi\left(\lambda_{0}-p^{r} d\right)=-1<0$ and $\left.\lambda_{0}\right|_{T} \in X_{r}(T)$, so that $\left.\lambda_{0}\right|_{T} \in P_{r}(D)$. In $W \cong S_{l} \ltimes(\mathbb{Z} /(2))^{l-1}, S_{l}$ acts on $X\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ as in the proof of 2.1.12.(b) while $(\mathbb{Z} /(2))^{l-1}$ acts as the subgroup $\left\{x \in(\mathbb{Z} /(2))^{l} \mid x_{i} \neq 0\right.$ for an even number of $\left.i\right\}$ of $(\mathbb{Z} /(2))^{l}$. Thus, $\lambda_{0}$ is invariant under $S_{l}$ and we have $\varphi\left(\left.w \cdot \lambda_{0}\right|_{T}+\left.p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}\right|_{T}\right)>0$ and hence $\left.w \cdot \lambda_{0}\right|_{T}+\left.p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}\right|_{T} \in P(D)$ for all $w \in(\mathbb{Z} /(2))^{l-1}$. Hence the arguments in the proof of 2.1.5 are not applicable in this case. As the statement $\left.w \cdot \lambda_{0}\right|_{T}+\left.p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}\right|_{T} \in P(D)$ does not depend on $\varphi$ and there is no other choice for $d$ in this case, we cannot find other $\varphi$ or $d$ in this example to salvage the proof of 2.1.5. However, since we have not computed the weights of $\widehat{L_{r}}\left(\left.\lambda_{0}\right|_{T}+\left.p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}\right|_{T}\right)$, we do not know whether all weights of this module are in fact polynomial.

### 2.2 Equivalences between blocks of polynomial representations

We adopt the notation of the previous section. Suppose that $G$ has simply connected derived subgroup and that there is $0 \neq b \in X_{0}(T) \cap P(D)$ such that $\langle b\rangle=X_{0}(T)$. By [26, II.1.18], we have $X(G) \cong X_{0}(T)$ via restriction, so that we can regard $b$ as a character of $G$ and have a shift functor $[b]: \bmod G_{r} T \rightarrow \bmod G_{r} T, V \mapsto V[b]=V \otimes_{k} k_{b}$, where $k_{b}$ is the one-dimensional $G$-module induced by $b$. This shift functor is an autoequivalence of $\bmod G_{r} T$ with inverse $[-b]$, hence it induces equivalences between blocks of $\bmod G_{r} T$. Since $-b$ is not a polynomial weight, $[-b]$ does not restrict to an autoequivalence of the category of polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules. However, we will see in this section that the functor $[b]$ sometimes still induces an equivalence between blocks of this category. If $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\omega_{\alpha}$ is a representative of the corresponding fundamental dominant weight of the derived subgroup of $G$, we can choose a preimage $\omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ of $\omega_{\alpha}$ in $X\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Then there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}+\left.l \operatorname{det}\right|_{T^{\prime}} \in P\left(D^{\prime}\right)$, so that $\omega_{\alpha}+\left.\operatorname{det}\right|_{T} \in P(D)$. There also is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$-weight of $\omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}-l$ det $\left.\right|_{T^{\prime}}$ is negative, so that $\omega_{\alpha}-\left.l \operatorname{det}\right|_{T} \notin P(D)$. As $b$ generates $X_{0}(T)$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n b=\left.\operatorname{det}\right|_{T}$. Thus, we can choose representatives $\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ of the fundamental dominant weights of the derived subgroup such that for all $\alpha \in \Delta, \omega_{\alpha} \in P(D)$ and $\omega_{\alpha}-b \notin P(D)$. Then the $\omega_{\alpha}$ together with $b$ form a basis of $X(T)$. Let $\varphi: X(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the projection on the coordinate corresponding to $b$ and define $P_{r}(D)=\left\{\lambda \in X_{r}(T) \cap P(D) \mid 0 \leq \varphi(\lambda) \leq p^{r}-1\right\}$. As the derived subgroup of $G$ is simply connected, each $\lambda \in X(T)$ can be written uniquely as $\lambda=\lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}$ with $\lambda_{0} \in X_{r}(T), \lambda \in X(T)$. Since the sum of an element of $X_{r}(T)$ and $X_{0}(T)$ is again an element of $X_{r}(T)$, subtracting a suitable multiple of $p^{r} b$ from $\lambda_{0}$ and adding this multiple to $p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}$ yields a decomposition $\lambda=\lambda_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\lambda}$ with $\lambda_{0} \in P_{r}(D), \tilde{\lambda} \in X(T)$. As $\langle b\rangle=X_{0}(T)$, 2.1.4 implies that such a decomposition is unique. Let $W_{p} \cong W \ltimes p \mathbb{Z} R$ be the affine

Weyl group of $G$, let $R^{+}$be a set of positive roots and $\rho=\sum_{\alpha \in R^{+}} \frac{1}{2} \alpha$ be the half-sum of positive roots. We denote by $w \bullet \lambda=w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho$ the dot-action of $w \in W_{p}$ on $\lambda \in X(T)$. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $a=q p+r$ for $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0 \leq r \leq p-1$, we write $r=a$ $\bmod p$.

Lemma 2.2.1. Let the derived subgroup of $G$ be simply connected. Let $\lambda \in P_{r}(D)+$ $p^{r} P(D)$ and $a=\max _{w \in W}\{\varphi(w \cdot \lambda+w . \rho-\rho) \bmod p\}$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq p-a-1$, the shift [ib] defines a bijection

$$
W_{p} \bullet \lambda \cap\left(P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)\right) \rightarrow W_{p} \bullet(\lambda+i b) \cap\left(P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)\right)
$$

Proof. Let $(w, p l \mu) \in W_{p}$. We show that $(w, p l \mu) \bullet \lambda \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)$ iff $(w, p l \mu) \bullet$ $(\lambda+i b) \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)$. We have $(w, p l \mu) \bullet \lambda=w . \lambda+w . \rho-\rho+p l \mu$ and $(w, p l \mu) \bullet$ $(\lambda+i b)=(w, p l \mu) \bullet \lambda+i b$ by definition of the dot-action and since $w . b=b$. Writing $w \cdot \lambda+w . \rho-\rho+p l \mu=\nu_{0}+p^{r} \tilde{\nu}$ with $\nu_{0} \in P_{r}(D)$ and $\tilde{\nu} \in X(T)$, we have $\varphi\left(\nu_{0}\right) \bmod p=$ $\varphi(w . \lambda+w \cdot \rho-\rho) \bmod p \leq a$. It follows that $\left(\varphi\left(\nu_{0}\right) \bmod p\right)+i \leq a+p-a-1=p-1$. Thus, $\varphi\left(\nu_{0}+i b\right) \leq p^{r}-1$, so that $\nu_{0}+i b \in P_{r}(D)$. By unicity of decomposition, we get $(w, p l \mu) \bullet \lambda \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D) \Longleftrightarrow \tilde{\nu} \in P(D) \Longleftrightarrow(w, p l \mu) \bullet(\lambda+i b) \in P_{r}(D)+$ $p^{r} P(D)$.

For $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, we can choose $b=$ det and have $a=\max _{w \in W}\left\{(w \cdot \lambda)_{n}+(w \cdot \rho)_{n}-\right.$ $\left.\rho_{n} \bmod p\right\}$. We also have that every $G_{r} T$-module $V$ such that all weights of $V$ are polynomial lifts to $\overline{G_{r} T}=M_{r} D$ by Jantzen's result in [30, 5.4], implying $\operatorname{Ext}_{G_{r} T}^{1}(V, W) \cong$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{M_{r} D}^{1}(V, W)$ for all $V, W \in \bmod M_{r} D$. If $p^{r}>d$, we have $A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \cong A_{d}(G)$ by definition. Thus, for large $r$, the infinitesimal Schur algebra $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ is isomorphic to $S_{d}(G)$, so that the following proposition can also be applied to blocks of the ordinary Schur algebra of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$.

Proposition 2.2.2. Let $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}, T$ the torus of diagonal matrices. Let $\lambda \in P_{r}(D)+$ $p^{r} P(D)$ and $a=\max _{w \in W}\left\{(w . \lambda)_{n}+(w . \rho)_{n}-\rho_{n} \bmod p\right\}$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq p-a-1$, the functor [ $i \operatorname{det}]$ defines an equivalence between the blocks of $\bmod M_{r} D$ containing $\widehat{L}_{r}(\lambda)$ and $\widehat{L}_{r}(\lambda+i \operatorname{det})$.

Proof. Let $B$ be the block containing $\lambda$ and $B^{\prime}$ be the block containing $\lambda+i$ det. As [ $i$ det] maps nonsplit extensions in $\bmod M_{r} D$ to nonsplit extensions in $\bmod M_{r} D$, we have $B[i \operatorname{det}] \subseteq B^{\prime}$. Let $\mu \in B^{\prime}$. Suppose there is $\nu \in B[i \operatorname{det}]$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}_{M_{r} D}^{1}\left(\widehat{L_{r}}(\mu), \widehat{L_{r}}(\nu)\right) \neq 0$. Then $\mu \in W_{p} \bullet(\lambda+i$ det $)$ since $B^{\prime} \subseteq W_{p} \bullet(\lambda+i$ det $)$ by [26, II.9.19]. Now 2.2.1 yields $\mu-i \operatorname{det} \in P_{r}(D)+p^{r} P(D)$. Applying [ $-i$ det] to a nonzero element of $\operatorname{Ext}_{M_{r} D}^{1}\left(\widehat{L_{r}}(\mu), \widehat{L_{r}}(\nu)\right)$, we get $\operatorname{Ext}_{G_{r} T}^{1}\left(\widehat{L_{r}}(\mu-i \operatorname{det}), \widehat{L_{r}}(\nu-i\right.$ det $\left.)\right) \neq 0$. Since both simple modules lift to $M_{r} D$, Jantzen's result yields $\operatorname{Ext}_{G_{r} T}^{1}\left(\widehat{L_{r}}(\mu-i\right.$ det $), \widehat{L_{r}}(\nu-i$ det $\left.)\right) \cong$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{M_{r} D}^{1}\left(\widehat{L_{r}}(\mu-i \operatorname{det}), \widehat{L_{r}}(\nu-i\right.$ det $\left.)\right) \neq 0$, so that $\mu-i \operatorname{det} \in B$ and $\mu \in B[i \operatorname{det}]$.

We do not know whether an analogue of $[30,5.4]$ and hence a generalization of the foregoing result holds for other reductive group schemes.

## 3 Polynomial representations of $G_{r} T$

### 3.1 Contravariant duality

In this section, let $M \subseteq$ Mat $_{n}$ be a normal algebraic monoid with reductive unit group $G$ containing $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right), T \subseteq G$ a maximal torus, $B, B^{-} \subseteq G$ opposite Borel subgroups of $G$ containing $T$ and $D=\bar{T}$. We denote by $P(D)$ the monoid of characters of $D$ and identify $P(D)$ with a submonoid of the character group $X(T)$ of $T$. We want to define an analogue of contravariant duality (see [10, 2.1]) in this situation.

Proposition 3.1.1. There is an anti-automorphism $\eta: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\left.\eta\right|_{D}=\mathrm{id}_{D}$, $\eta^{2}=\operatorname{id}_{M}$ and $\eta\left(\overline{G_{r} T}\right)=\overline{G_{r} T}, \eta\left(\overline{B_{r} T}\right)=\overline{B_{r}^{-} T}$.

Proof. By [32, Theorem 5.2], the anti-automorphism $G \rightarrow G$ from [26, II.1.16] can be extended to an anti-homomorphism $\eta: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\left.\eta\right|_{D}=\operatorname{id}_{D}$. As $\left.\eta^{2}\right|_{G}=\operatorname{id}_{G}$, unicity of extension yields $\eta^{2}=\operatorname{id}_{M}$. As $\eta\left(G_{r} T\right)=G_{r} T$, we have $\overline{G_{r} T} \subseteq \frac{\eta\left(\overline{G_{r} T}\right) \text {. Using }}{\overline{B_{r}}}$. $\eta^{2}=\operatorname{id}_{M}$, we get $\eta\left(\overline{G_{r} T}\right) \subseteq \overline{G_{r} T}$. Analogous arguments show $\eta\left(\overline{B_{r} T}\right)=\overline{B_{r}^{-T}}$.

Definition 3.1.2. The anti-automorphism of 3.1.1 induces an involutary antiautomorphism of $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ mapping $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ to $S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right)$ and restricting to the identity on $S_{d}(T)$ for every $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, resulting in duality functors $\bmod S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \rightarrow \bmod S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ and $\bmod S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right) \rightarrow S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right)$ which by abuse of notation will be denoted by $(-)^{\circ}$. We call these functors contravariant dualities.

By [26, II.9.6(13)], we have $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)^{o} \cong \widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in X(T)$ and the simple module $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$. Taking $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ and $\eta$ to be the transposition map in the proof of 3.1.1, we recover the contravariant duality functor from [10, 2.1].

Now let $X \in\left\{B_{r} T, G_{r} T\right\}$. For $\mathcal{T}=\bmod \bar{X}$, we let $\mathfrak{F}_{\bar{X}}=t, \mathcal{G}_{\bar{X}}=u$ be the functors defined above 1.3.2. Applying the canonical isomorphism $\left(V^{o}\right)^{o} \cong V$ to the submodule $\left(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{X}}\left(V^{o}\right)\right)^{o}$ of $\left(V^{o}\right)^{o}$, we get the following connection between $\mathfrak{F}_{\bar{X}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{X}}$.

Proposition 3.1.3. There is an isomorphism $\mathfrak{F}_{\bar{X}}(V) \cong\left(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{X}}\left(V^{o}\right)\right)^{o}$ natural in $V \in$ $\underline{\bmod X} X$, where we abuse notation for $X=B_{r} T$ by letting $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{X}}\left(V^{o}\right)$ denote the largest $\overline{B_{r}^{-} T}$-factor module of $V^{o}$.

Let $C$ be a finite-dimensional $k$-coalgebra and $A=C^{*}$ be the dual associative algebra. If $V$ is a finite-dimensional right $C$-comodule with comodule map $\rho: V \rightarrow V \otimes C$, then $V$ obtains the structure of a left $A$-module via

$$
f . v=\mu_{V} \circ\left(\operatorname{id}_{V} \otimes f\right) \circ \rho(v)
$$

for all $v \in V, f \in A$, where $\mu_{V}: V \otimes_{k} k \rightarrow V$ is the scalar multiplication, see [35, Proposition 2.2]. In particular, the comultiplication $C \rightarrow C \otimes_{k} C$ induces the structure of a left $A$-module on $C$.

Lemma 3.1.4. Let $C$ be a finite-dimensional $k$-coalgebra, $A=C^{*}$ be the dual associative algebra and $\eta: A \rightarrow A$ be an involutary anti-automorphism. Then the regular module $A$ is isomorphic to the contravariant dual of $C$ with respect to $\eta$ as an $A$-module.

Proof. We define

$$
(-,-): A \times C \rightarrow k,(f, c) \mapsto \eta(f)(c) .
$$

By general theory, we have to show that $(-,-)$ is a non-degeneratve contravariant form. Since $\eta$ is bijective, $(-,-)$ is non-degenerate. Now let $f, g \in A, c \in C$ and let $\mu_{k}$ be the multiplication map $k \otimes_{k} k \rightarrow k$, $\mu_{C}$ the scalar multiplication $C \otimes_{k} k \rightarrow C$. We have

$$
(f . g, c)=\eta(f g)(c)=\mu_{k} \circ(\eta(g) \otimes \eta(f)) \circ \Delta(c)
$$

and

$$
(g, \eta(f) . c)=\eta(g) \circ \mu_{C} \circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{C} \otimes \eta(f)\right) \circ \Delta(c)=\mu_{k} \circ(\eta(g) \otimes \eta(f)) \circ \Delta(c),
$$

so that $(f \cdot g, c)=(g, \eta(f) . c)$ and $(-,-)$ is contravariant.
We want to generalize [9, Theorem 5.4]. To formulate an analogous statement, we first need idempotents in $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ with a connection to characters of $T$.

Lemma 3.1.5. Let $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}$ be a basis of $A_{d}(T)$ consisting of characters and $\chi_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \chi_{n}^{*}$ the dual basis.
(1) The $\chi_{i}^{*}$ form a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents.
(2) An element $f \in S_{d}(T)$ is idempotent iff $f$ is a sum of some of the $\chi_{i}^{*}$.

Proof. (1) As the $\chi_{i}$ are group-like, we have by definition

$$
\chi_{i}^{*} \chi_{j}^{*}\left(\chi_{l}\right)=\mu \circ \chi_{i}^{*} \otimes \chi_{j}^{*} \circ \Delta\left(\chi_{l}\right)=\delta_{i l} \delta_{j l}=\chi_{i}^{*}\left(\chi_{l}\right) \chi_{j}^{*}\left(\chi_{l}\right),
$$

so that the $\chi_{i}^{*}$ are orthogonal idempotents. Since the $\chi_{i}^{*}$ form a basis, they are primitive.
(2) Clear.

If $\lambda \in P(D)$, we denote the element dual to $\lambda$ in $S_{d}(T)=A_{d}(T)^{*}=k P(D)_{d}^{*}$ by $\xi_{\lambda}$. Through the embedding $S_{d}(T) \rightarrow S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ induced by the projection $A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \rightarrow A_{d}(T)$, we can view $\xi_{\lambda}$ as an element of $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ which is then an idempotent (not necessarily primitive) in $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$.
Induction for algebraic monoids is defined in [11, Section 2.1]. Standard properties of this notion are proved in [6, Section 2].

Proposition 3.1.6. Let $\lambda \in P(D)$. There is an isomorphism of $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$-modules $\left(\operatorname{ind}_{D}^{\bar{G}_{r} T} \lambda\right)^{o} \cong S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \xi_{\lambda}$.
Proof. Let $(-,-): S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \times A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \rightarrow k,(\xi, c) \mapsto \eta(\xi)(c)$. Then $(-,-)$ is a nondegenerate contravariant form by the proof of 3.1.4. We show that the restriction to $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \xi_{\lambda} \times \operatorname{ind}_{D}^{\overline{\sigma_{r} T}} \lambda$ is non-degenerate. Let $\xi \in S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right), c \in A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ and let $\mu$ denote the multiplication map $k \otimes_{k} k \rightarrow k$. Then

$$
\left(\xi \xi_{\lambda}, c\right)=\eta\left(\xi \xi_{\lambda}\right)(c)=\left(\xi_{\lambda} \eta(\xi)\right)(c)=\mu \circ\left(\xi_{\lambda} \otimes \eta(\xi)\right) \circ \Delta(c)
$$

as $\eta\left(\xi_{\lambda}\right)=\xi_{\lambda}$. Let $\pi: k\left[G_{r} T\right] \rightarrow k[T]$ be the canonical projection. By definition, $\operatorname{ind}_{D}^{\overline{G_{r}}} \lambda$ is the $\lambda$-weight space of $A\left(G_{r} T\right)$ for the right action of left translation by elements of $D$. Thus, if $\pi: A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \rightarrow A_{d}(T)$ is the canonical projection and $c \in \operatorname{ind}_{D}^{\overline{\sigma_{r} T}} \lambda \backslash\{0\}$, we have $\left(\pi \otimes \operatorname{id}_{A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)}\right) \circ \Delta(c)=\lambda \otimes c$. By definition of $\xi_{\lambda}$, we have $\left(\xi_{\lambda} \otimes \eta(\xi)\right) \circ \Delta(c)=$ $\left(\xi_{\lambda} \circ \pi_{A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)} \otimes \eta(\xi)\right) \circ \Delta(c)=1 \otimes \eta(\xi)(c)$. Choosing $\xi \in S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ such that $\eta(\xi)(c) \neq 0$, we get $\left(\xi \xi_{\lambda}, c\right) \neq 0$, so that the restriction of $(-,-)$ is non-degenerate. By general theory (see for example $[29,3.4 .5]$ ), we get $\left(\operatorname{ind}_{D}^{\overline{G_{r} T}} \lambda\right)^{o} \cong S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \xi_{\lambda}$.

If $V, W$ are $G_{r} T$-modules with $V$ indecomposable, we write $[W: V]$ for the multiplicity of $V$ as a direct summand of $W$. For $\mu \in P(D)$ such that the simple $G_{r} T$-modules $\widehat{L}_{r}(\lambda)$ lifts to $\bmod \overline{G_{r} T}$, we denote by $\widehat{P}_{r}(\mu)$ and $\widehat{I}_{r}(\mu)$ the projective cover and the injective hull of $\widehat{L}_{r}(\mu)$ in $\bmod \overline{G_{r} T}$, respectively. The following is a generalization of $[9$, Theorem $5.4]$ to our setting.

Corollary 3.1.7. Let $\lambda \in P(D)$ be a weight of degree $d$. If $\mu \in P(D)$ such that the simple $G_{r} T$-module $\widehat{L}_{r}(\mu)$ is a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module, we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{ind}_{D}^{\overline{G_{r} T}} \lambda: \widehat{I_{r}}(\mu)\right]=\operatorname{dim}_{k} \widehat{L_{r}}(\mu)_{\lambda}=\left[S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \xi_{\lambda}: \widehat{P_{r}}(\mu)\right]
$$

Proof. Using 3.1.4 and 3.1.6, we can employ the same proof as in [9, Theorem 5.4].

### 3.2 Representations of infinitesimal Schur algebras

We fix an embedding $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ of a smooth connected algebraic group scheme and let $T \subseteq G$ be a maximal torus. Our first lemma shows that the category of polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules is closed with respect to canonical operations.

Lemma 3.2.1. The category of polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules is closed with respect to submodules, factor modules and finite direct sums.

Proof. Let $V$ be a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module and $W \subseteq V$ be a $G_{r} T$-submodule. Then the comodule map $\rho: V \rightarrow V \otimes_{k} k\left[G_{r} T\right]$ factors through $V \otimes_{k} A\left(G_{r} T\right)$. Since $W$ is a submodule of $V$, we get $\rho(W) \subseteq W \otimes_{k} k\left[G_{r} T\right] \cap V \otimes_{k} A\left(G_{r} T\right)=W \otimes_{k} A\left(G_{r} T\right)$, so that $W$ is a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module. Since $V / W$ has a natural structure as an $A\left(G_{r} T\right)$-comodule, the category of polynomial $G_{r} T$-modules is closed with respect to factor modules. The statement about direct sums can be proved similarly.

From now on, we always assume $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right) \subseteq G$. As any $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$-comodule is also a $\overline{G_{r} T}$-comodule, 1.4.1 yields

Corollary 3.2.2. If $V \in \bmod \overline{G_{r} T}$ is indecomposable, then $V=V_{d}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
The preceding result and 1.4 .1 show that the blocks of $\bmod \overline{G_{r} T}$ are just the blocks of the $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Thus, injective and projective indecomposables as well as almost split sequences in $\bmod S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ coincide with those in $\bmod \overline{G_{r} T}$. In particular, $\bmod \overline{G_{r} T}$ has almost split sequences.
We call the elements of $X\left(Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)\right)$ central characters. As $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right) \subseteq G$ is a torus contained in the center of $G_{r} T$, the $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$-weight spaces of a $G_{r} T$-module $V$ are $G_{r} T$-submodules of $V$. This shows that $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ acts via a single central character on indecomposable $G_{r} T$-modules $V$ and that indecomposable modules affording different central characters belong to different blocks. If $V$ is a module for $\overline{G_{r} T}$, these submodules are just the homogeneous components of 1.4.1. We say that $V \in \bmod G_{r} T$ is homogeneous of degree $d$ if $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ acts on $V$ via the central character given by $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $V=V_{d}, W=W_{d^{\prime}} \in \bmod G_{r} T$ are homogeneous such that $d \neq d^{\prime}$, then any exact sequence starting in $V$ and ending in $W$ splits as the middle term is the direct sum of its homogeneous constituents. As almost split sequences are non-split, we get
Proposition 3.2.3. Let $\Theta$ be a component of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\bmod G_{r} T$. Then there is $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that all modules in $\Theta$ are homogeneous of degree $d$.

For $G \neq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ with maximal torus $T \subseteq G$, it is not known whether a $G_{r} T$-module $V$ such that all $T$-weights of $V$ are polynomial is a polynomial $G_{r} T$-module. However, for $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, this was proved by Jantzen in [30, Appendix]. We can also prove it for $B_{r} T$, where $B \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ is the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices. In the remainder of this section, let $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}, T \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ the torus of diagonal matrices, $B \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices, $U \subseteq B$ the subgroup of unipotent lower triangular matrices and $X \in\left\{G_{r} T, B_{r} T\right\}, D \subseteq$ Mat $_{n}$ the monoid scheme of diagonal matrices and $P(D)$ the character monoid of $D$. We identify $P(D)$ with a submonoid of $X(T)$ and call this submonoid the set of polynomial weights.

Theorem 3.2.4. If $V$ is an $X$-module such that all $T$-weights of $V$ are polynomial, then $V$ lifts to $\bar{X}$.
Proof. For $X=G_{r} T$, this is Jantzens result in [30, Appendix]. Let $X=B_{r} T=U_{r} \rtimes T$, $\rho: V \rightarrow V \otimes_{l} k\left[B_{r} T\right]$ be the comodule map and $v \in V$. Since all $T$-weights of $V$ are polynomial, $\left.V\right|_{T}$ lifts to $D$, so there are $f_{i} \in k[D], v_{i} \in V$ such that

$$
t .(v \otimes 1)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} v_{i} \otimes f_{i}(t)
$$

for all $t \in T(A)$ and all commutative $k$-algebras $A$. Since $B_{r} T=U_{r} T=U_{r} \rtimes T$, there are $g_{i j} \in k\left[U_{r}\right], v_{i j} \in V$ such that

$$
(u t) .(v \otimes 1)=u .(t .(v \otimes 1))=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{l} v_{i j} \otimes g_{i j}(u) f_{i}(t)
$$

for all $t \in T(A), u \in U_{r}(A)$ and all commutative $k$-algebras $A$. Applying this to $A=$ $k\left[B_{r} T\right]$ and $\operatorname{id}_{A} \in B_{r} T(A)$, we see that

$$
\rho(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{l} v_{i j} \otimes g_{i j} \otimes f_{i} \in V \otimes_{k} k\left[U_{r}\right] \otimes_{k} k[D]=V \otimes_{k} k\left[L_{r} D\right],
$$

so that $V$ lifts to $L_{r} D$.
Viewing $X$-modules as $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-graded $G_{r}$ resp. $B_{r}$-modules, the theorem shows that $V \in$ $\bmod \bar{X}$ if and only if $V \in \bmod X$ and $\operatorname{supp}(V) \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$.

Corollary 3.2.5. The category $\bmod \bar{X}$ is extension-closed in $\bmod X$.
Thus, $\bmod \bar{X}$ is a torsion class and a torsion-free class, so that all results of Section 1.3 can be applied to $\bmod \bar{X}$ and we have $t=\mathfrak{F}_{\bar{X}}, u=\mathcal{G}_{\bar{X}}$ for the torsion radical $t$ and the functor $u(V)=V / t(V)$.

In the remainder of this section, let $\Theta$ be a regular component of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$ of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ of $G_{r} T$. By [26, II.9.6(13)], we have $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)^{o} \cong$ $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in X(T)$ and the simple module $\widehat{L_{r}}(\lambda)$. We can use this to determine the position of indecomposable Ext-projective modules $V \in \bmod M_{r} D$ in $\Theta$.

Proposition 3.2.6. Let $V \in \Theta \cap \bmod M_{r} D$ be Ext-projective in $\bmod M_{r} D$. Then $V$ is quasi-simple and lies at the left side of a wing in $\Theta \cap \bmod M_{r} D$.

Proof. This follows directly from 1.3.1, 1.3.5 and 1.3.7.
Since $\bmod G_{r} T$ is a Frobenius category, there are no non-projective $G_{r} T$-modules of finite projective dimension. However, there are $M_{r} D$-modules with finite projective dimension.

Corollary 3.2.7. Let $V \in \Theta \cap \bmod M_{r} D$ and $P$ be the projective cover of $V$ in $\bmod G_{r} T$. Suppose $P \in \bmod M_{r} D$ and $p d_{M_{r} D}(V)=1$. Then $V$ is quasi-simple and $\tau_{G_{r} T}(V) \notin$ $\bmod M_{r} D$.

Proof. We have $\tau_{G_{r} T}(V)=\Omega_{G_{r} T}^{2}(V)$ by [18, 7.2.3]. Since $p d_{M_{r} D}(V)=1$ and $P \in M_{r} D$, we have $\Omega_{G_{r} T}^{1}(V)=\Omega_{M_{r} D}^{1}(V)$ projective in $\bmod M_{r} D$. In particular, the projective cover of $\Omega_{G_{r} T}(V)$ in $\bmod G_{r} T$ is not an $M_{r} D$-module, so that $\Omega_{G_{r} T}^{2}(V) \notin \bmod M_{r} D$ by 3.2.4. As $\Omega_{G_{r} T}^{1}(\Theta)$ is a component isomorphic to $\Theta$ by [2, p. 338], 3.2.6 implies that $\Omega_{G_{r} T}^{1}(V)$ is quasi-simple. Hence $V$ is also quasi-simple.

Proposition 3.2.8. Suppose $V \in \bmod M_{r} D$ is indecomposable and $\mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D^{-}}$-acyclic such that $p d_{M_{r} D}(V)<\infty$. Then there are only finitely many $M_{r} D$-modules in $\left\{\tau_{G_{r} T}^{i}(V) \mid i \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}$ and they all have finite projective dimension.

Proof. By [18, 7.2.3], we have $\tau_{G_{r} T} \cong \Omega_{G_{r} T}^{2}$. Setting $s=p d_{M_{r} D}(V)$, a dual version of 1.3.2 shows that $\mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D}\left(\tau_{G_{r} T}^{l}(V)\right)=\mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D}\left(\Omega_{G_{r} T}^{2 l}(V)\right)=0$ for $l>\frac{s}{2}$, so that $\tau_{G_{r} T}^{l}(V) \notin \bmod M_{r} D$. Since minimal projective resolutions for $V$ in $\bmod G_{r} T$ induce minimal projective resolutions for $\mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D}(V)$ in $\bmod M_{r} D$, this also shows that all $M_{r} D$ modules in $\left\{\tau_{G_{r} T}^{i}(V) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}$ have finite projective dimension.

Corollary 3.2.9. Suppose $\Theta$ contains a $\mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D^{-}}$acyclic $M_{r} D$-module $V$ which is quasisimple such that $p d_{M_{r} D}(V)<\infty$ and a self-dual module $N$. Then $\Theta$ contains only finitely many $M_{r} D$-modules.

Proof. Since $X \in \bmod M_{r} D$ iff $X^{o} \in \bmod M_{r} D$ for all $X \in \bmod G_{r} T$, this follows from 3.2.8 and 1.3.10.

Proposition 3.2.10. Let $V \in \bmod M_{r} D$ be $\mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D}$-acyclic and indecomposable such that $p d_{M_{r} D}(V) \leq 2 n$ and $\tau_{G_{r} T}^{n}(V) \in \bmod M_{r} D$. Then $\tau_{G_{r} T}^{n}(V)$ is projective in $\bmod M_{r} D$. If moreover $V \in \Theta$, then $V$ is quasi-simple.

Proof. Let $\ldots \rightarrow P_{1} \rightarrow P_{0} \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal projective resolution in $\bmod G_{r} T$. As $V$ is $\mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D^{-}}$-acyclic, a dual version of 1.3.2 yields a minimal projective resolution $\ldots \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D}\left(P_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D}\left(P_{0}\right) \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ in $\bmod M_{r} D$. Since $p d_{M_{r} D}(V) \leq 2 n$, we get $\mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right)=0$. Now $\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}\left(\tau_{G_{r} T}^{n+1}(V)\right) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}\left(\Omega_{G_{r} T}^{2 n+2}(V)\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right) \cong$ $\mathcal{G}_{M_{r} D}\left(P_{2 n+1}\right)^{o}=0$ by 3.1.3 since $P_{2 n+1}^{o} \cong P_{2 n+1}$. By 1.3.1, $\tau_{G_{r} T}^{n}(V)$ is projective in $\bmod M_{r} D$. If $V \in \Theta, 3.2 .6$ shows that $V$ is quasi-simple.

In the next result, we adapt a standard Morita-equivalence between blocks of $\bmod G_{r} T$ to blocks of $\bmod M_{r} D$. Later we will also need that this equivalence is compatible with the restriction functor to $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)_{r}$. We denote by $S t_{s} \cong \widehat{Z}_{s}\left(\left(p^{s}-1\right) \rho\right)$ the $s$-th Steinberg module, where $\rho$ is the half-sum of positive roots and we choose the root system of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ as in [26, I.1.21]. If $V \in \bmod G_{r} T$, we denote by $V^{[s]}$ the module obtained by composing the module structure on $V$ with the $s$-th iteration of the Frobenius morphism and by $k_{1 /\left.2\left(p^{s}-1\right)(n-1) \operatorname{det}\right|_{G_{r} T}}$ the 1 -dimensional module given by the character $\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{s}-1\right)(n-$ 1) $\left.\operatorname{det}\right|_{G_{r} T}$ of $G_{r} T$.

Proposition 3.2.11. Suppose $p \geq 3$. Let $b$ be a block of $M_{r-s} D$. The functor $A$ : $\bmod G_{r-s} T \rightarrow \bmod G_{r} T, V \mapsto S t_{s} \otimes_{k} V^{[s]} \otimes_{k} k_{1 /\left.2\left(p^{s}-1\right)(n-1) \operatorname{det}\right|_{G_{r} T}}$ commutes with the forgetful functors $\bmod G_{r-s} T \rightarrow \bmod \left(\mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)_{r-s}, \bmod G_{r} T \rightarrow \bmod \left(\mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)_{r}$ and induces a Morita-equivalence from $b$ to a block of $M_{r} D$.

Proof. We have $\operatorname{Ext}_{M_{r} D}^{1}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{G_{r} T}^{1}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ for all $V_{1}, V_{2} \in \bmod M_{r} D$ by 3.2.4, so there is a block $b^{\prime}$ of $\bmod G_{r-s} T$ containing $b$. By [26, II.10.5], $V \mapsto S t_{s} \otimes_{k} V^{[s]}$ induces a Morita-equivalence between $b^{\prime}$ and a block of $G_{r} T$. Since shifting with a character of $G_{r} T$ is an equivalence of categories, $A$ also induces such an equivalence. We now show that $V \in \bmod M_{r-s} D$ iff $A(V) \in \bmod M_{r} D$. Since $S t_{s} \cong \widehat{Z}_{s}\left(\left(p^{s}-1\right) \rho\right)$, so that the set of weights of $S t_{s}$ is $W \cong S_{n}$-invariant by [26, II.9.16(1)] and $-(n-1)$ occurs as a coordinate of $2 \rho$, we have that for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, there is a weight $\lambda$ of $S t_{s}$ such that $\lambda_{i}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{s}-1\right)(n-1)$. Suppose there is a weight $\mu$ of $S t_{s}$ with
a smaller coordinate. Using the action of the Weyl group, we may assume that $\mu_{n}<$ $-\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{s}-1\right)(n-1)$. Then $\left[26\right.$, II.9.2(6)] implies that $\left(p^{s}-1\right) \rho-\mu$ is a sum of positive roots. As $\left(\left(p^{s}-1\right) \rho-\mu\right)_{n}>0$ and there is no positive root with a positive $n$-th coordinate, this is a contradiction. Thus, there is no weight $\mu$ of $S t_{s}$ with $\mu_{i}<-\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{s}-1\right)(n-1)$. As the grading on $V^{[s]}$ arises by multiplying all degrees in the grading of $V$ by $p^{s}$, we get that $V$ has a weight with a negative coordinate iff $A(V)$ has a weight with a negative coordinate by the definition of the grading on a tensor product. Thus, $V \in \bmod M_{r-s} D$ iff $A(V) \in \bmod M_{r} D$. Hence $A$ maps the class of simple $M_{r-s} D$-modules of the $G_{r-s} T$ block containing $b$ to the class of simple $M_{r} D$-modules in the block of $G_{r} T$ containing $A(b)$. As $\operatorname{Ext}_{M_{r} D}^{1}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{G_{r} T}^{1}\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ for all $V_{1}, V_{2} \in \bmod M_{r} D$, we see that $V_{1}, V_{2}$ belong to the same block of $M_{r-s} D$ iff $A\left(V_{1}\right), A\left(V_{2}\right)$ belong to the same block of $M_{r} D$, so that $A$ induces a Morita-equivalence from $b$ to a block of $M_{r} D$. Since det vanishes on $\mathrm{SL}_{n}$, the functor $A$ commutes with the forgetful functors.

### 3.3 Modules of complexity one

In this section, let $\operatorname{char}(k) \geq 3$. Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ be a reductive algebraic group over $k$ with $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right) \subseteq G, T \subseteq G$ a maximal torus, $R$ the root system of $G$ relative to $T$ and $B=U \rtimes T \subseteq G$ a Borel subgroup containing $T$ with unipotent radical $U$. Let $R^{+} \subseteq R$ be the set of positive roots determined by $B$. Recall that the complexity $c x(V)$ of a module $V$ is the polynomial rate of growth of a minimal projective resolution of $V$. Let $X \in\left\{G_{r} T, B_{r} T\right\}$ and $\Theta$ be a component of $\Gamma_{s}(X)$. By [15, Section 1] adn [18, 8.1], the complexity of a module coincides with the dimension of its rank variety and we have $c x_{G_{r} T}\left(V_{1}\right)=c x_{G_{r} T}\left(V_{2}\right)$ for all $V_{1}, V_{2} \in \Theta$, so that we may define $c x_{G_{r} T}(\Theta)=c x_{G_{r} T}\left(V_{1}\right)$. As in the previous section, we denote by $\bar{X}$ the closure of $X$ in Mat ${ }_{n}$. In this section, we will show that if $c x_{G_{r} T}(\Theta)=1$, then $\Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ is finite.

Lemma 3.3.1. Let $X \in\left\{B_{r} T, G_{r} T\right\}$ and $c x_{X}(\Theta)=1$.
(1) If $X=G_{r} T$, there are $\alpha \in R, 0 \leq s \leq r-1$ such that $\tau_{X}^{p^{s}}(V)=V\left[p^{r} \alpha\right]$ for all $V \in \Theta$.
(2) If $X=B_{r} T$, there are $\alpha \in R^{+} \cup\{0\}, 0 \leq s \leq r-1$ such that $\tau_{X}^{p^{s}}(V)=V\left[p^{r} \alpha-\right.$ $\left.2 p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \rho\right]$ for all $V \in \Theta$, where $\rho=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in R^{+}} \beta$ is the half-sum of positive roots.

Proof. (1) This follows directly from [18, 6.1.2, 7.2.3, 8.1.2], noting that the proof of [18, 8.1.2] only depends on the support variety and hence on the component of $V$.
(2) Let $X=B_{r} T$. Then [18, 7.2.2] yields that $\mathcal{N}(V)=V \otimes_{k} k_{\left.\lambda_{B}\right|_{B_{r} T}}\left[-\left.p^{r} \lambda_{B}\right|_{T}\right]$ for the Nakayama functor $\mathcal{N}$ of $\bmod B_{r} T$, where $\lambda_{B}$ is the character of $B$ given by $\lambda_{B}=$ det $\circ$ Ad. By the remarks preceding [18, 7.2.2], $\left.\lambda_{B}\right|_{T}=2 \rho$. As $B_{r} T \cong U_{r} \rtimes T$ with $U_{r}$ unipotent, tensoring with $k_{\lambda_{B} \mid B_{r} T}$ only changes the $T$-action on a module, so that $V \otimes_{k} k_{\left.\lambda_{B}\right|_{B_{r} T}} \cong V[2 \rho]$ and $\mathcal{N}(V)=V\left[-2\left(p^{r}-1\right) \rho\right]$. By [18, 6.1.2, 8.1.1],
there exist $\alpha \in R^{+} \cup\{0\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $\Omega_{B_{r} T}^{2 p^{s}}(V) \cong V \otimes_{k} p^{r} \alpha$, so that $\tau^{p^{s}}(V) \cong \mathcal{N}^{p^{s}}\left(V\left[p^{r} \alpha\right]\right) \cong V\left[p^{r} \alpha-2 p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \rho\right]$.

Lemma 3.3.2. Let $X \in\left\{B_{r} T, G_{r} T\right\}, V$ be an indecomposable $X$-module such that $c x_{X}(V)=1$. Then there are only finitely many polynomial $X$-modules in the $\tau_{X}$-orbit of $V$.

Proof. Let $X=B_{r} T$ and $R^{+} \subseteq R$ be the set of roots of $B$. By 3.3.1, (2), we have $\tau_{B_{r} T}^{p^{s}}(V)=V\left[p^{r} \alpha-2 p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \rho\right]$ for some $\alpha \in R^{+} \cup\{0\}, 0 \leq s \leq r-1$. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{B_{r} T}^{i p^{s}}(V)\right)=\operatorname{supp}(V)+i p^{r} \alpha-2 i p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \rho
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $p^{r} \alpha \neq 2 p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \rho$, we have $\operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{B_{r} T}^{i p^{s}}(V)\right) \neq \operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{B_{r} T}^{j p^{s}}(V)\right)$ for $i \neq j$. As $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right) \subseteq G$, the degree $d$ weights of a maximal torus of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ containing $T$ surject onto the degree $d$ weights of $T$. As all weights in the sets $\operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{B_{r} T}^{i p^{s}}(V)\right)$ have degree $d$ and there are only finitely many polynomial weights of a given degree $d$ for $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$, only finitely many of these sets consist entirely of polynomial weights. Thus, the $\tau_{B_{r} T^{-}}^{p^{s}}$-orbit of $M$ contains only finitely many polynomial $B_{r} T$-modules. As the $\tau_{B_{r} T}$-orbit of $V$ is the union of the $\tau_{B_{r} T}^{p^{s}}$-orbits of $V, \tau_{B_{r} T}(V), \ldots, \tau_{B_{r} T}^{p^{s}-1}(V)$, the result follows. For $X=G_{r} T$, apply 3.3.1, (1), and use analogous arguments.

We denote by $F$ the forgetful functor $\bmod G_{r} T \rightarrow \bmod G_{r}$ resp. $\bmod B_{r} T \rightarrow \bmod U_{r}$.
Proposition 3.3.3. Let $X \in\left\{B_{r} T, G_{r} T\right\}$ and $V$ be an indecomposable polynomial $X$ module such that $c x_{X}(V)=1$ and $\Theta$ be the component of $\Gamma_{s}(X)$ containing $V$. Then $\Theta$ contains only finitely many polynomial $X$-modules.

Proof. We first show that $\Theta$ is either regular or has only finitely many $\tau_{X}$-orbits.
Let $F$ be the forgetful functor. If $X=G_{r} T$, then $\Theta$ is regular; else there would be a projective indecomposable $G_{r} T$-module $P$ such that $\operatorname{Rad}(P) \in \Theta$ by [2, V.5.5], so that $c x_{G_{r} T}(\operatorname{Rad}(P))=1$ and the simple $G_{r} T$-module $S=\Omega_{G_{r} T}^{-1}(\operatorname{Rad}(P))$ would also have complexity 1. But then $c x_{G_{r}}(F(S))=1$, a contradiction to [19, Lemma 2.2]. If $X=$ $B_{r} T=U_{r} \rtimes T$ and $\Theta$ is a non-regular component of complexity 1 , the above arguments show that there is a simple $B_{r} T$-module $k_{\lambda}$ of complexity 1 , so that $c x_{U_{r}}\left(F\left(k_{\lambda}\right)\right)=$ $c x_{U_{r}}(k)=1$. As the rank variety of $\left(U_{r}\right)_{2}$ is contained in that of $U_{r}$, we get $c x_{\left(U_{r}\right)_{2}} \leq 1$ and [20, Theorem 2.7] shows that $U_{r}$ has finite representation type. Thus, $F(\Theta)$ is finite and $[15,5.6]$ implies $F(\Theta) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{p^{l}}\right] /(\tau)$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, so that there are two distinct vertices in $F(\Theta)$ with only one successor and that all other vertices in $F(\Theta)$ have exactly two predecessors. Since $N \in \bmod B_{r} T$ is projective iff $F(N) \in \bmod U_{r}$ is projective by 1.2.1, it follows from 1.2 .2 that there are two distinct $\tau_{B_{r} T}$-orbits in $\Theta$ such that every element of these orbits has exactly one predecessor and all other orbits consist of vertices with exactly two predecessors. Thus, $\Theta$ does not have tree class $A_{\infty}, A_{\infty}^{\infty}$ or $D_{\infty}$. Then [19, 3.4] (which does not depend on the group being reductive) implies that $\Theta$ has only finitely many $\tau_{B_{r} T}$-orbits.
Thus, $\Theta$ is either regular or has only finitely many $\tau_{X}$-orbits. In the second case, the
result follows from 3.3.2. In the first case, $[15,5.6]$ and $[18,8.2 .2]$ imply $\Theta \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$. Letting $\Theta \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$ and $N \in \Theta$ be quasi-simple, the set of quasi-simple modules in $\Theta$ is the $\tau_{X}$-orbit of $N$. Thus, 3.3.2 shows that there are only finitely many quasi-simple polynomial $X$-modules in $\Theta$, so that the result follows from 1.3.6.

In the remainder of this section, let $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ with the notation of Section 3.2, $T \subseteq G$ the maximal torus of diagonal matrices and $B \subseteq G$ the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices.

Proposition 3.3.4. Let $F(\Theta)=\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right] /\left\langle\tau^{p^{t}}\right\rangle$ and $V \in \Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ such that $q l(V)>$ $\frac{3 p^{t}-2}{2}$. Then the following statements hold:
(1) For every $N \in \Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ such that $q l(N)>\frac{p^{t}}{2}$, there is an undirected path from $V$ to $N$ in $\Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$.
(2) $\Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ contains a unique module of maximal quasi-length.

Proof. We first show:
(*) If $\tau_{X}^{i p^{t}}(N) \notin \bmod \bar{X}$, then $\tau_{X}^{j p^{t}}(N) \notin \bmod \bar{X}$ for all $j>i$.
Let $X=B_{r} T$. Since $F(\Theta) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right] /\left\langle\tau^{p^{t}}\right\rangle$, we get that for each $N \in \Theta$, there is $\lambda_{N} \in X(T)$ such that $\tau_{X}^{p^{t}}(N)=N[\lambda]$. As shifting with $\lambda$ maps almost split sequences to almost sequences, we get $\lambda_{N}=\lambda_{N^{\prime}}$ for every $N^{\prime}$ which is a successor, predecessor or element of the $\tau_{X}$-orbit of $N$. Since $\Theta$ is a connected component, we inductively get that $\lambda_{N}=\lambda_{N^{\prime}}$ for all $N, N^{\prime} \in \Theta$. Thus, $\left(\left.\tau_{X}\right|_{\Theta}\right)^{p^{t}}=[\lambda]$ for some $\lambda \in X(T)$. By 3.3.1, (2), we also find suitable $\alpha \in R^{+} \cup\{0\}, s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $\left(\left.\tau_{X}\right|_{\Theta}\right)^{p^{s}}=\left[p^{r} \alpha-2 p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \rho\right]$ for all $V \in \Theta$. As $G=\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ and we have chosen the roots as in [26, II.1.21], the first coordinate of $p^{r} \alpha-2 p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \rho$ is negative and the last coordinate is positive, so that the same holds for $\lambda$. We get that if $N \in \bmod \bar{X} \cap \Theta$ and $\tau_{X}^{p^{t}}(N) \notin \bmod \bar{X}$, then $\tau_{X}^{j p^{t}}(N) \notin \bmod \bar{X}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, showing the statement for $B_{r} T$. For $X=G_{r} T$, use 3.3.1, (1), and argue as above.
Analogously, one shows: If $\tau_{X}^{-i p^{t}}(N) \notin \bmod \bar{X}$, then $\tau_{X}^{-j p^{t}}(N) \notin \bmod \bar{X}$ for all $j>i$.
(1) Since every module on the downward sectional path starting in $N$ or $V$ is also an $\bar{X}$ module, we may assume $q l(N)=\frac{p^{t}+1}{2}$ and $q l(V)=\frac{3 p^{t}-1}{2}$ (Bemerkung in Klammer gelöscht.). By following the downward sectional path starting in $V$ for $p^{t}-1$ steps, we get a module $N^{\prime} \in \Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ such that $q l\left(N^{\prime}\right)=q l(V)-\left(p^{t}-1\right)=q l(N)$. Then the modules $\tau_{G_{r} T}^{i}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ belong to the wing of $V$ for $1 \leq i \leq p^{t}-1$, so that they belong to $\bmod \bar{X}$ by 1.3.7. Thus, there are $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $0 \leq i \leq p^{t}-1$ such that $N=\tau_{X}^{i+l p^{t}}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$. By $(*), \tau_{X}^{l p^{t}}\left(\tau_{X}^{i}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right) \in \bmod \bar{X}$ implies $\tau_{X}^{k p^{t}}\left(\tau_{X}^{i}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right) \in \bmod \bar{X}$ for $1 \leq k \leq l$. Since $q l(N)=\frac{p^{t}+1}{2}, 1.3 .8$ shows that $\tau_{X}^{j}\left(N^{\prime}\right) \in \bmod \bar{X}$ for $1 \leq j \leq i+l p^{t}$. As $\bmod \bar{X}$ is extension-closed, the middle terms of the almost split sequences defined by these modules also belong to $\bmod \bar{X}$, so that we get an undirected path in $\Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ from $N^{\prime}$ to $N$. As there is also such a path from $V$ to $N^{\prime}$, the result follows.
(2) If $N, N^{\prime} \in \Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ have maximal quasi-length and $N \neq N^{\prime},(*)$ and 1.3.8 imply the existence of a module with greater quasi-length in $\Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$, a contradiction.

Corollary 3.3.5. Let $X \in\left\{B_{r} T, G_{r} T\right\}$. Suppose that $F(\Theta)$ is a homogeneous tube and $\Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X} \neq \emptyset$. Then there is a unique module $N \in \Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ such that $\Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ consists of the wing of $N$.

Proof. Taking $t=0$ in 3.3.4, we see that $\Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ is connected. Since $\bmod \bar{X}$ is extension-closed by 3.2.4, we get that $\Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ is equal to the wing of a module $V \in \Theta \cap \bmod \bar{X}$ with maximal quasi-length.

Note that for $X=G_{r} T$ and $r=1, F(\Theta)$ always is a homogeneous tube by 3.3.1.

### 3.4 The case $n=2, r=1$

In this section, let $p \geq 3, G=\mathrm{GL}_{2}, T \subseteq G$ be the torus of diagonal matrices. In [10], quiver and relations for the blocks of $S_{d}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ as well as the number of blocks were determined and it was shown that all blocks in this case are representation-finite. Our aim in this section is to determine the Auslander-Reiten quiver for the algebras $S_{d}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ by first considering the position of the relevant modules in the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of $G_{1} T$. Since $G_{1} T=\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{1} T$ and modules for $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{1}$ correspond to modules for the restricted enveloping algebra $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$, we have a restriction functor $F: \bmod G_{1} T \rightarrow$ $\bmod U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ and the results of Section 1.2 apply to this functor. Contrary to the $\mathbb{Z}$ grading obtained from the usual theory of $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{1}\left(T \cap \mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)$-modules, we obtain a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ grading on $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ and $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ given by $\operatorname{deg}(e)=(1,-1), \operatorname{deg}(f)=(-1,1)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(h)=$ $(0,0)$ for the standard basis $e, f, h$ of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$. The indecomposable $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$-modules were classified by Premet in [31]. For $d \geq 0$, let $V(d)$ be the Weyl module of highest weight $d$ for the group scheme $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$. Then $V(d)$ has a basis $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{d}$ such that for the standard basis $\{e, f, h\} \subseteq \mathfrak{s l}_{2}$, we have

$$
e . v_{i}=(i+1) v_{i+1}, f . v_{i}=(d-i+1) v_{i-1}, h . v_{i}=(2 i-d) v_{i}
$$

For $d=s p+a$, where $s \geq 1$ and $0 \leq a \leq p-2$, the space

$$
W(d):=\bigoplus_{i=a+1}^{d} k v_{i} \subseteq V(d)
$$

is a maximal $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$-submodule of $V(d)$. We record the classification of indecomposable $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$-modules in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4.1. The following statements hold:
(1) Let $C \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_{2}$ be a complete set of coset representatives of $\mathrm{SL}_{2} / B$, where $B \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_{2}$ is the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices. Then any nonprojective indecomposable $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$-module is isomorphic to exactly one of the modules of the following list:
(i) $V(d), V(d)^{*}$ for $d \geq p, d \not \equiv-1 \bmod p$;
(ii) $V(r)=: L(r)$ for $0 \leq r \leq p-1$;
(iii) $g . W(d)$ for $g \in C$ and $d=s p+a$ with $s \geq 1$ and $0 \leq a \leq p-2$.

In particular, the modules appearing in the list are pairwise nonisomorphic.
(2) Up to isomorphism, every indecomposable $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$-module $N$ is uniquely determined by the triple $\left(\operatorname{dim}_{k} N, \operatorname{Soc}_{\mathfrak{s l}_{2}}(N), V_{\mathfrak{s l}_{2}}(N)\right)$, where $V_{\mathfrak{s l}_{2}}(N)$ is the $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ - rank variety of $N$.

The stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ is the disjoint union of $p-1$ components of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tilde{A}_{12}\right]$ and infinitely many homogeneous tubes. The modules $g . W(d)$ lie in homogeneous tubes and $q l(g \cdot W(d))=s$, where $d=s p+a$ ([17, 4.1.2]). We first determine all indecomposable homogeneous submodules and factor modules of $V(s p+a)$. By definition (see for example [17, Section 4.1]), the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-structure on $V(s p+a)$ comes from a twist of the dual of the $d$-th symmetric power of the natural $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-module $L(1)=k^{2}$. This module is a $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$-module in a natural way and the differential of the $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$-action restricts to the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action on this module. Thus, we get an $X(T)$-grading given by $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=(i, s p+a-i)$ compatible with the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action. Applying contravariant duality, we see that $V(s p+a)^{o}$ has a basis with the same weights since the anti-automorphism $\eta$ of 3.1.1 is just transposition of matrices in this case. Letting $w_{0} \in G$ be a representative of the nontrivial element of the Weyl group $W$ of $G$, the submodules $W(s p+a)$ resp. $w_{0} . W(s p+a)$ of $V(s p+a)$ have bases $v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{s p+a}$ resp. $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{s p-1}$, so they are homogeneous. Other modules of the form $g . W(d)$ don't have $T$-invariant rank varieties and are thus not $G_{1} T$-modules. We have $w_{0} \cdot W(s p+a)=W(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$, the twist of $W(s p+a)$ by $w_{0}$. Since $w_{0} .\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\left(t_{2}, t_{1}\right)$ for all $t_{1}, t_{2} \in T$, the grading on $w_{0} . V$ is given by interchanging the coordinates of the grading of $V$ for all $V \in \bmod G_{1} T$. We denote the $G_{1} T$-structures defined above on $V(s p+a), V(s p+a)^{o}, W(s p+a)$ and $W(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$ by $\widehat{V}(s p+a), \widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}, \widehat{W}(s p+a)$ and $\widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$, respectively. For $0 \leq r \leq p-1$, we also write $\widehat{L}(r):=\widehat{V}(r)$. By the classification in 3.4.1, we have $V(d) \cong V(d)^{*} \cong V(d)^{o}$ for $0 \leq d \leq p-1$. This shows that $F\left(\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}\right) \cong V(s p+a)^{*}$.

Lemma 3.4.2. Let $V$ be a a nonprojective indecomposable $G_{1} T$-module. Then there are $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a basis $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{l}$ of $V$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=(x+i, y+l-i)$.

Proof. By 3.4.1 and its succeeding remarks, $F(V)$ is isomorphic to either of $V(s p+a) \cong$ $F(\widehat{V}(s p+a)), V(s p+a)^{*} \cong F\left(\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}\right)$ with $s \geq 0$ or $W(s p+a) \cong F(\widehat{W}(s p+a))$ or $W(s p+a)^{w_{0}} \cong F\left(\widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}\right)$ with $s \geq 1$. Let $F(V) \cong V(s p+a) \cong F(\widehat{V}(s p+a))$. An application of 1.2 .1 and 1.2.5 yields $\lambda \in X(T)$ such that $\left.\lambda\right|_{T \cap\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{1}}=1$ and $V \cong$ $\widehat{V}(s p+a)[\lambda]$. By the remarks above, $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ has a basis $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{s p+a}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=$ $(i, s p+a-i)$. In $\widehat{V}(s p+a)[\lambda]$, this basis has degrees $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}+i, \lambda_{2}+s p+a-i\right)$, so that $(x, y)=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ yields the claim in this case. As $\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}$ has a basis with the same degrees, the preceding arguments also apply in the case $F(V) \cong F\left(\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}\right)$. In the remaining two cases, we apply the same arguments to the bases $v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{s p+a}$
resp. $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{s p-1}$ of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$ resp. $\widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$, setting $(x, y)=\left(\lambda_{1}+a+1, \lambda_{2}\right)$ resp. $(x, y)=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}+a+1\right)$.

Using the lemma, we can now determine the $M_{1} D$-parts of the $G_{1} T$-components containing $\widehat{W}(s p+a), \widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}, \widehat{V}(s p+a)$ and $\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}$.

Proposition 3.4.3. Let $\Theta$ be the component of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\bmod G_{1} T$ containing the module $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$ resp. $\widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$. Then $\Theta$ is a $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$ component such that $\left.\tau_{G_{1} T}\right|_{\Theta}=[(p,-p)]$ resp. $\left.\tau_{G_{1} T}\right|_{\Theta}=[(-p, p)]$ and $\Theta \cap M_{1} D$ is the wing of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$ resp. $\widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$. The $i$-th predecessor of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$ resp. $\widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$ on the sectional path starting in its quasi-socle is $\widehat{W}((s-i) p+a)[(i p, 0)]$ resp. $\widehat{W}((s-$ i) $p+a)^{w_{0}}[(0, i p)]$. The quasi-socle $\left.\widehat{W}(p+a)[(s-1) p, 0)\right]$ resp. $\widehat{W}(p+a)^{w_{0}}[(0,(s-1) p)]$ is projective in $\bmod M_{1} D$.

Proof. We show the result for $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$. For $\widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$, the result then follows since twisting with $w_{0}$ is an equivalence of categories interchanging the two coordinates of the grading. Since $F(\Theta)$ is a homogeneous tube, we have $c x_{G_{1} T}(\Theta)=c x_{U_{0}\left(\mathrm{sl}_{2}\right)}(F(\Theta))=1$, so that $\Theta$ is of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$ by $[18,8.2 .2]$. We first consider the case $s>1$. In $\bmod U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$, we have an almost split sequence

$$
\xi:(0) \rightarrow W((s-1) p+a) \rightarrow W(s p+a) \oplus W((s-2) p+a) \rightarrow W((s-1) p+a) \rightarrow(0)
$$

by $[17,4.1 .2]$, where $W(a)=(0)$. Since $F(\widehat{W}((s-1) p+a)) \cong W((s-1) p+a), F(\widehat{W}((s-$ 2) $p+a)) \cong W((s-2) p+a)$ and $F(\widehat{W}(s p+a)) \cong W(s p+a), 1.2 .2$ and 1.2.1 show that there is an almost split sequence
$\xi^{\prime}:(0) \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-1) p+a)[\lambda] \rightarrow \widehat{W}(s p+a) \oplus \widehat{W}((s-2) p+a)[\nu] \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-1) p+a)[\mu] \rightarrow(0)$
in $\bmod G_{1} T$ with $\lambda, \nu, \mu \in X(T)$, where $\widehat{W}(a):=0$. Thus, $\left.\tau_{G_{1} T}(\widehat{W}((s-1) p+a))[\mu]\right) \cong$ $\widehat{W}((s-1) p+a)[\lambda]$. On the other hand, 3.3.1 yields $\alpha \in R$ such that $\left.\tau_{G_{1} T}\right|_{\Theta}=[p \alpha]$. Hence $\left.\tau_{G_{1} T}\right|_{\Theta}=[p \alpha]=[\lambda-\mu]$ and 1.2.1, (2) yields $p \alpha=\lambda-\mu$. In order to determine $\lambda, \mu$, we determine all submodules and factor modules of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$ isomorphic to a shift of $\widehat{W}((s-1) p+a)$. Let $A$ be such a submodule. In the canonical basis $v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{s p+a}$ of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$, each $v_{i}$ has degree $(i, s p+a-i)$, so that the subspace $\widehat{W}(s p+a)_{(i, s p+a-i)}$ is onedimensional with basis $v_{i}$. Hence any $G_{1} T$-submodule of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$ is the span of a subset of the $v_{i}$. As $\widehat{W}((s-1) p+a)$ is indecomposable and has dimension $(s-1) p, 3.4 .2$ provides a basis $v_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{(s-1) p-1}^{\prime}$ of $A$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right)=(x+i, y+s p-1-i)$. Comparing the degrees of these basis elements with the degrees of the $v_{i}$ shows that there is $0 \leq i \leq p$ such that $A$ is spanned by $v_{a+1+i}, \ldots, v_{(s-1) p+a+i}$. Suppose first that $0 \leq i \leq p-a-2$. Then $e \cdot v_{(s-1) p+a+i}=((s-1) p+a+i+1) v_{(s-1) p+a+i+1}$ yields $v_{(s-1) p+a+i+1} \in A$, a contradiction. Thus, $i \geq p-a-1$. If $p-a-1 \leq i \leq p-1$, then $f . v_{a+1+i}=(s p+i) v_{a+i}$ yields $v_{a+i} \in A$, a contradiction. As a result, we have $i=p$ and $A$ is spanned by $v_{p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{s p+a}$. Comparing the degrees of this basis of $A$ with the degrees of the canonical basis of $\widehat{W}((s-1) p+a)$, we see that $A \cong \widehat{W}((s-1) p+a)[(p, 0)]$,
so that $\lambda=(p, 0)$.
As $[\lambda-\mu]=[p \alpha]$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ has roots $(1,-1),(-1,1)$, we have $\mu=(2 p,-p)$ or $\mu=(0, p)$. Since $\widehat{W}((s-1) p+a)[\mu] \in \bmod M_{1} D, \mu \neq(2 p,-p)$; else the last base vector of the canonical basis of $\widehat{W}((s-1) p+a)[\mu]$ would have a negative coordinate. Thus, $\mu=(0, p)$. As a result, we have $\left.\tau_{G_{1} T}\right|_{\Theta}=[(p,-p)]$. By considering the degrees of the canonical basis of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$ again, we see that not all weights of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)[(p,-p)], \widehat{W}(s p+a)[(-p, p)]$ are polynomial, so that $\tau_{G_{1} T}(\widehat{W}(s p+a)), \tau_{G_{1} T}^{-1}(\widehat{W}(s p+a)) \notin \bmod M_{1} D$. Now 3.3.5 shows that $\Theta \cap \bmod M_{1} D$ is equal to the wing of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$. Now let $s=1$. The arguments above in particular yield an almost split sequence

$$
(0) \rightarrow \widehat{W}((p+a)[(p, 0)] \rightarrow \widehat{W}(2 p+a) \rightarrow \widehat{W}(p+a)[(0, p)] \rightarrow(0) .
$$

Applying the shift functor $[(-p, 0)]$ to this sequence, we see that $\tau_{G_{1} T}(\widehat{W}(p+$ $a)[(-p, p)])=\widehat{W}(p+a)$, so that $\tau_{G_{1} T} \mid \Theta=[(p,-p)]$ also holds in this case. Considering the degrees of the canonical basis for $\widehat{W}(p+a)[(-p, p)], \widehat{W}(p+a)[(p,-p)]$, we see that not all weights of these modules are polynomial, so that $\Theta \cap \bmod M_{1} D$ is the wing of $\widehat{W}(p+a)$.
For $1 \leq s \leq 2$, the almost split sequences computed above now show that the leftmost quasi-simple module in the wing of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$ is $\widehat{W}(p+a)[((s-1) p, 0)]$. For $s>2$, one shows by induction that the $i$-th predecessor of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$ on the sectional path starting in the quasi-socle of $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$ is $W((s-i) p+a)[(i p, 0)]$ by shifting the almost split sequence starting in $\widehat{W}((s-i) p+a)[(p, 0)]$ by $[((i-1) p, 0)]$. The definition of the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action on the basis vectors $v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{p+a}$ of $\widehat{W}(p+a)$ shows that $v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{p-1}$ span a simple submodule of $\widehat{W}(p+a)$ while each of the remaining basis vectors generates $\widehat{W}(p+a)$ as an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-module, so that the socle of $\widehat{W}(p+a)$ is spanned by $v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{p-1}$. As $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{a+1}\right)=(p-1, a+1)$, we get $\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}(\operatorname{Soc}(\widehat{W}(p+a)[s p,-p]))=0$, so that $\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}(\widehat{W}(p+a)[s p,-p])=0$ and $W(p+a)[((s-1) p, 0)]$ is projective in $\bmod M_{1} D$ by 1.3 .1 .

For an illustration of the following proposition, see Figure 3.1 below.
Proposition 3.4.4. Let $\Theta$ be the component of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\bmod G_{1} T$ containing the module $\widehat{V}(s p+a)[(i, i)]$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. Then $\Theta$ is a $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}^{\infty}\right]$ component such that $\Theta=\Theta^{\circ}$. There is a column of simple module in $\Theta$ which is a symmetry axis with respect to $(-)^{o}$ and $\Theta \cap \bmod M_{1} D$ consists of the modules on directed paths between $\widehat{V}(s p+a)[(i, i)]$ and $\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}[(i, i)]$. We also have $\tau_{G_{1} T}(\widehat{V}(s p+a)[(i, i)])=$ $\widehat{V}((s+2) p+a)[(i-p, i-p)]$.

Proof. By [17, Section 4.1], $F(\Theta)$ is of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tilde{A}_{12}\right]$ and contains exactly one simple module $L(a)$. By 1.2.5, $F$ induces a morphism of stable translation quivers $\Theta \rightarrow F(\Theta)$. Since $F(\Theta)$ has no quasi-simple modules and $\Theta$ is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right], \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}^{\infty}\right]$ or $\mathbb{Z}\left[D_{\infty}\right]$ by $[16,3.4]$, this shows that $\Theta$ is of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}^{\infty}\right]$. We show that there is an almost
split sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta:(0) \rightarrow \widehat{V}(s p+a) & \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-1) p+a)[(p, 0)] \oplus \widehat{V}((s-1) p+a)[(0, p)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-2) p+a)[(p, p)] \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $s>1$ while the almost split sequence starting in $\widehat{V}(p+a)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta^{\prime}:(0) & \rightarrow \widehat{V}(p+a) \rightarrow \widehat{L}(a)[(p, 0)] \oplus \widehat{L}(a)[(0, p)] \oplus \widehat{P} \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}(p+a)^{o} \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\widehat{P}$ projective indecomposable. By [2, V.5.5], there is an almost split sequence

$$
\xi:(0) \rightarrow \operatorname{Rad}(P) \rightarrow \operatorname{Rad}(P) / \operatorname{Soc}(P) \oplus P \rightarrow P / \operatorname{Soc}(P) \rightarrow(0)
$$

in $\bmod U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$, where $P$ is the projective cover of $L(p-a-2)$. By [13, IV.3.8.3] in conjunction with [19, 2.4], we have $\operatorname{Rad}(P) / \operatorname{Soc}(P) \cong S \oplus S$ for some simple $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ module $S$ such that $S \nsubseteq L(p-a-2)$ and $S, L(p-a-2)$ are the only simple modules in the block of $S$. As $L(a)$ belongs to the block of $L(p-a-2)$, we get $S \cong L(a)$. Hence $\operatorname{Rad}(P)$ has dimension $p+a+1$ and $\operatorname{Soc}(\operatorname{Rad}(P)) \cong L(p-a-2)$, so that 3.4.1 yields $\operatorname{Rad}(P) \cong V(p+a)$. By 1.2 .2 in combination with 1.2 .5 , the almost split sequence in $\bmod G_{1} T$ starting in $\widehat{V}(p+a)$ is mapped to $\xi$ by $F$. Thus, the non-projective part of the middle term is a sum of two shifts of $\widehat{L}(a)$ and is obtained by factoring out the socle of $\widehat{V}(p+a)$. For the canonical basis $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{p+a}$ of $\widehat{V}(p+a)$, the action of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ on the basis vectors shows that the socle is spanned by $v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{p-1}$ and the direct summands of the factor module are spanned by the images of $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{a}$ and $v_{p}, \ldots, v_{p+a}$, respectively. Comparing the degrees of these vectors with the degrees in the canonical basis of $\widehat{L}(a)$, we see that $\widehat{V}(p+a) / \operatorname{Soc}(\widehat{V}(p+a)) \cong \widehat{L}(a)[(p, 0)] \oplus \widehat{L}(a)[(0, p)]$. As the middle term of the almost split sequence starting in $\widehat{V}(p+a)$ is self-dual with respect to $(-)^{o}$, the right term of this sequence is $\widehat{V}(p+a)^{o}$, so that $\zeta^{\prime}$ is almost split. By applying the shift functors $[(0, p)],[(p, 0)]$ to $\zeta^{\prime}$, we see that $\widehat{V}(p+a)[(p, 0)], \widehat{V}(p+a)[(0, p)]$ are predecessors of $\widehat{V}(a)[(p, p)]$ in $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{1} T\right)$. As $\Theta$ is of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}^{\infty}\right]$, this shows that the middle term of the almost split sequence ending in $\widehat{V}(p+a)[(p, p)]$ has the claimed form. Inductively, to show that the almost split sequence for $s>1$ has the claimed form, it suffices to show that if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{\prime}:(0) \rightarrow X_{s} & \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-1) p+a)[(p, 0)] \oplus \widehat{V}((s-1) p+a)[(0, p)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-2) p+a)[(p, p)] \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

is almost split in $\bmod G_{1} T$, then $X_{s} \cong \widehat{V}(s p+a)$. As above, $F\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ is almost split in $\bmod U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ and by exactness, we have $\operatorname{dim}_{k} X_{s}=s p+a+1$. Thus, 3.4.1 yields that $F\left(X_{s}\right) \cong V(s p+a) \cong F(\widehat{V}(s p+a))$ or $F\left(X_{s}\right) \cong V(s p+a)^{*} \cong F\left(\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}\right)$, so that $X_{s}$ is isomorphic to a shift of $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ or $\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}$ by 1.2.1. We show that $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ does not have a submodule isomorphic to a shift of $\widehat{V}((s-1) p+a)^{o}$, so that $\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}$
does not have a factor module isomorphic to a shift of $\widehat{V}((s-1) p+a)$ and the first alternative has to apply. Suppose $A$ is a submodule of $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ isomorphic to a shift of $\widehat{V}((s-1) p+a)^{o}$. Taking the canonical basis $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{s p+a}$ of $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ and comparing the degrees of this basis with the degrees of the basis of $A$ provided by 3.4.2, we see that $A$ is spanned by $v_{i}, \ldots, v_{(s-1) p+a+i}$ for some $0 \leq i \leq p$. Using the action of $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ on the $v_{j}$, we get the following statements:
(1) If $v_{j} \in A$ for some $0 \leq j \leq a$, then $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{a} \in A$,
(2) if $v_{j} \in A$ for some $a+1 \leq j \leq p-1$, then $v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{p-1} \in A$,
(3) if $v_{j} \in A$ for some $(s-1) p+a+1 \leq j \leq s p-1$, then $v_{(s-1) p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{s p-1} \in A$,
(4) if $v_{j} \in A$ for some $s p \leq j \leq s p+a$, then $v_{s p}, \ldots, v_{s p+a} \in A$.

Thus, $A$ is spanned by either $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{(s-1) p+a}$ or by $v_{p}, \ldots, v_{s p+a}$. However, we have $e . v_{(s-1) p+a}=((s-1) p+a+1) v_{(s-1) p+a+1}$ and $f . v_{p}=((s-1) p+a+1) v_{p-1}$, so that in both cases, $A$ is not a submodule, a contradiction. Hence $X_{s} \cong \widehat{V}(s p+a)[\lambda]$ for some $\lambda \in X(T)$. Since $\xi^{\prime}$ is almost split, $\widehat{V}(s p+a)[\lambda]$ has factor modules $A_{1} \cong \widehat{V}((s-1) p+$ $a)[(p, 0)], A_{2} \cong \widehat{V}((s-1) p+a)[(0, p)]$. Comparing degrees of bases and applying the arguments on submodules from above to factor modules and kernels of the canonical projections, we see that $A_{1}$ resp. $A_{2}$ are spanned by the images of the basis vectors $v_{p}, \ldots, v_{s p+a}$ resp. $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{(s-1) p+a}$ under the canonical projections and that $\lambda=0$, so that $X_{s} \cong \widehat{V}(s p+a)$ and $\zeta$ is almost split. The statement about $\tau_{G_{1} T}$ now follows by shifting the sequence for $s+2$ by $[(i-p, i-p)]$. By applying the shift functor $\left[\left(l p, l^{\prime} p\right)\right]$ to the almost split sequences $\zeta, \zeta^{\prime}$, we see that for all $l, l^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$, the element directly above resp. below $\widehat{V}(s p+a)\left[\left(l p, l^{\prime} p\right)\right]$ in the column of $\widehat{V}(s p+a)\left[\left(l p, l^{\prime} p\right)\right]$ is $\widehat{V}(s p+a)\left[\left((l+1) p,\left(l^{\prime}-1\right) p\right)\right]$ resp. $\widehat{V}(s p+a)\left[\left((l-1) p,\left(l^{\prime}+1\right) p\right)\right]$. Thus, if $0 \leq j \leq s$ and we follow the upper resp. lower sectional path starting in $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ for $j$ steps, we arrive at the module $\widehat{V}((s-j) p+a)[(j p, 0)]$ resp. $\widehat{V}((s-j) p+a)[(0, j p)]$. The modules above $\widehat{V}((s-j) p+a)[(j p, 0)]$ have the form $\widehat{V}((s-j) p+a)[((j+l) p,-l p)]$ while the modules below $\widehat{V}((s-j) p+a)[(0, j p)]$ have the form $\widehat{V}((s-j) p+a)[(-l p,(j+l) p)]$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the degree of either $v_{0}$ or $v_{(s-j) p+a}$ in their canonical bases has a coordinate which is a negative multiple of $p$, so that these modules and their shifts by $[(i, i)]$ are not polynomial $G_{1} T$-modules. All modules between $\widehat{V}((s-j) p+a)[(j p, 0)]$ and $\widehat{V}((s-j) p+a)[(0, j p)]$ in their column can be reached via directed paths starting in $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$. As all arrows on these paths correspond to irreducible epimorphisms, all modules obtained this way are polynomial $G_{1} T$-modules by 3.2 .1 . The arguments above show in particular that there is a column of simple modules in $\Theta$ which is equal to $\{\widehat{L}(a)[(s p+j p,-j p)] \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and that a module to the left of this column belongs to $\bmod M_{1} D$ iff it lies on a directed path from $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ to this column. Since $S^{o} \cong S$ for every simple $G_{1} T$-module $S$ by [26, II.6.9(13)], we have $\Theta^{\circ}=\Theta$ and the column of simple modules in $\Theta$ is a symmetry axis with respect to $(-)^{o}$. As $V \in \bmod G_{1} T$ is a polynomial $G_{1} T$-module iff $V^{o}$ is a polynomial $G_{1} T$-module, we get that any module to
the right of the column of simple modules belongs to $\bmod M_{1} D$ iff it lies on a directed path from the column of simple modules ending in $\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}$. As a result, $\Theta \cap \bmod M_{1} D$ consists of all modules on directed paths from $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ to $\widehat{V}(s p+a)^{o}$ for $i=0$. For $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, the statement follows by applying the functor $[(i, i)]$ to the component containing $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$, noting that the above arguments about negative coordinates also apply in this case.


Figure 3.1: Component of $\Gamma_{s}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ containing the module $V=\widehat{V}(2 p+a)$. The blue vertices are $M_{1} D$-modules and the blue line is the column of simple modules.

By 1.2 .5 , the shifts of $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ with a $G_{1} T$-structure are exactly those $\widehat{V}(s p+a)[\lambda]$ with $\lambda \in X(T)$ such that $\left.\lambda\right|_{\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{1} \cap T}=0$. These are the elements of $p X(T)+\left.\mathbb{Z} \operatorname{det}\right|_{T}$. The proof of 3.4.4 shows that all these modules belong to components of the type described in 3.4.4. As modules of the form $V(s p+a)$ and their duals are the only $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$-modules contained in components of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tilde{A}_{12}\right]$ by [17, Section 4], we have found all of these modules for $r=1$.
Since the restriction functor $\bmod M_{1} D \rightarrow \bmod G_{1} T$ is fully faithful, almost split sequences in $\bmod G_{1} T$ such that all constituents lift to $M_{1} D$ are also almost split in $\bmod M_{1} D$. In the following, we determine the almost split sequences in $\bmod M_{1} D$ which are not almost split in $\bmod G_{1} T$.

Lemma 3.4.5. There are almost split sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{1}:(0) & \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-l) p+a))[(0, l p)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-l) p+a)[(0, l p)] \oplus \widehat{W}((s-l-1) p+a)[(0,(l+1) p)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-l-1) p+a)[(0,(l+1) p)] \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq l \leq s-1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{2}:(0) & \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-l) p-a-2))[(a+1+l p, a+1)]^{o} \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-l) p+a)[(l p, 0)] \\
& \oplus \widehat{V}((s-l+1) p-a-2)[(a+1+(l-1) p, a+1)]^{o} \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-l+1) p+a)[((l-1) p, 0)] \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $s>1$ and $1 \leq l \leq s-1$ in $\bmod M_{1} D$, where $\widehat{W}(a)=0$.
Proof. We have almost split sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{1}^{\prime}:(0) & \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-l+1) p+a))[(-p, l p)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-l) p+a)[(0, l p)] \oplus \widehat{V}((s-l) p+a)[(-p,(l+1) p)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-l-1) p+a)[(0,(l+1) p)] \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{2}^{\prime}:(0) & \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-l+1) p+a))[(l p,-p)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-l) p+a)[(l p, 0)] \oplus \widehat{W}((s-l+2) p+a)[((l-1) p,-p)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-l+1) p+a)[((l-1) p, 0)] \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\bmod G_{1} T$ by the preceding results and their proofs. We want to prove $\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}\left(\xi_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\xi_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}\left(\xi_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\xi_{2}$. We first show $\left.A:=\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}(\widehat{V}((s-l+1) p+a))[(-p, l p)]\right)=$ $\left\langle v_{p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-l+1) p+a}\right\rangle$, where $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{(s-l+1) p+a}$ is the canonical basis of $\widehat{V}((s-l+$ 1) $p+a))[-(p, l p)]$. As every weight space of $\widehat{V}((s-l+1) p+a))[(-p, l p)]$ is onedimensional and $A$ is a homogeneous submodule, $A$ is spanned by a subset of the $v_{i}$. Since the first coordinates of their weights are negative, we have to delete at least $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{p-1}$, and since the $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$-module spanned by each of the vectors $v_{p}, \cdots v_{p+a}$ contains $v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{p-1}$, we have to delete those as well, so that only the basis vectors spanning $\left\langle v_{p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-l+1) p+a}\right\rangle$ remain. As $\left\langle v_{p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-l+1) p+a}\right\rangle$ is stable under the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action on $\left.\widehat{V}((s-l+1) p+a)\right)[(-p, l p)]$ and spanned by homogeneous elements, it is a homogeneous submodule. Since all weights of the basis vectors occurring are polynomial, we get $A=\left\langle v_{p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-l+1) p+a}\right\rangle$. In particular, 1.3.1,(1) shows that the right-hand term of $\xi_{1}^{\prime}$ is not Ext-projective in $\bmod M_{1} D$. Hence 1.3.1,(2) implies that $A$ is indecomposable, so that $F(A)$ is indecomposable by 1.2.1. Since $v_{p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{2 p-1}$ span a simple $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-submodule which is isomorphic to $L(p-a-2)$ by 3.4.1, $L(p-a-2)$ occurs in the socle of $F(A)$. As $\operatorname{dim}_{k} A=(s-l) p$, 3.4.1 now yields $F(A) \cong W((s-l) p+a)$ or $F(A) \cong W((s-l) p+a)^{w_{0}}$. Using the action of $f$ on $A$, we see that the for $0 \leq i \leq s-l-1$, the vectors $v_{p+a+1+i p}, \ldots, v_{2 p+a+i p}$ span a $p$-dimensional $U_{0}(\langle f\rangle) \cong k[f] /\left(f^{p}\right)$-module which is generated by $v_{2 p+a+i p}$ and is hence projective indecomposable for $U_{0}(\langle f\rangle)$. As $\left.A\right|_{U_{0}(\langle f\rangle)}$ is the direct sum of these modules, $\left.A\right|_{U_{0}(\langle f\rangle)}$ is projective, so that $f$ does not belong to the rank variety of $F(A)$. Since $f$ belongs to the
rank variety of $W((s-l) p+a)^{w_{0}}$, we get $F(A) \cong W((s-l) p+a)$. Thus, $A$ is isomorphic to a shift of $\widehat{W}((s-l) p+a)$ and comparing the degrees in the canonical basis of $\widehat{W}((s-l) p+a)$ to those of $v_{p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-l+1) p+a}$ yields $A \cong \widehat{W}((s-l) p+a)[(0, l p)]$. This also shows that $\left.\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}(\widehat{V}((s-l) p+a))[(-p,(l+1) p)]\right) \cong \widehat{W}((s-l-1) p+a)[(0,(l+1) p)]$. Now 1.3.1 shows that $\xi_{1}$ is almost split in $\bmod M_{1} D$.
We now compute $\left.B:=\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}(\widehat{W}(s-l+1) p+a)[(l p,-p)]\right)$. As above, $B$ is spanned by a subset of the $v_{i}$ as a vector space. Since their weigths have a negative second coordinate, we have to delete at least the last $p$ base vectors $v_{(s-l) p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-l+1) p+a}$. The $U_{0}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)-$ module spanned by each of the vectors $v_{(s-l) p+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-l) p+a}$ contains the last $p$ basis vectors, so they also have to be deleted. As the remaining vectors $v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-l) p}$ span a homogeneous submodule of $W(s-l+1) p+a)[(l p,-p)]$, we get $B=\left\langle v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{(s-l) p}\right\rangle$. As above, $B$ is indecomposable. As $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(B)=(s-l+1) p-a-1,3.4 .1$ shows that $F(B) \cong V((s-l+1) p-a-2)$ or $F(B) \cong V((s-l+1) p-a-2)^{o}$. As $\left\langle v_{a+1}, \ldots, v_{p-1}\right\rangle$ is a simple $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-submodule isomorphic to $L(p-a-2)$, we get $F(B) \cong V((s-l+1) p-a-2)^{o}$ since $L(p-a-2)$ does not occur in the socle of $V((s-l+1) p-a-2)$. Now 1.2.1 yields $B \cong \widehat{V}((s-l+1) p-a-2)^{o}[\lambda]$ for some $\lambda \in X(T)$. Comparing the degrees of $v_{a+1}, \ldots v_{(s-l) p}$ with those of the canonical basis of $\widehat{V}((s-l+1) p-a-2)^{o}$ yields $\lambda=(a+1+l p, a+1)$. Thus, $B \cong \widehat{V}((s-l+1) p-a-2)^{o}[(a+1+l p, a+1)]$. This also shows $\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}(\widehat{W}((s-l+2) p+a)[((l-1) p,-p)]) \cong \widehat{V}((s-l) p-a-2)[(a+1+(l-1) p, a+1)]^{\circ}$. Now 1.3.1 shows that $\xi_{2}$ is almost split in $\bmod M_{1} D$.
Lemma 3.4.6. There are almost split sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{1}:(0) & \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-l) p+a))^{w_{0}}[(l p, 0)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-l) p+a)[(l p, 0)] \oplus \widehat{W}((s-l-1) p+a)^{w_{0}}[((l+1) p, 0)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-l-1) p+a)[((l+1) p, 0)] \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq l \leq s-1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{2}:(0) & \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s-l) p-a-2))[(a+1, a+1+l p)]^{o} \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-l) p+a)^{w_{0}}[(0, l p)] \\
& \oplus \widehat{V}((s-l+1) p-a-2)[(a+1, a+1+(l-1) p)]^{o} \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{W}((s-l+1) p+a)^{w_{0}}[(0,(l-1) p)] \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $s>1$ and $1 \leq l \leq s-1$ in $\bmod M_{1} D$, where $\widehat{W}(a)=0$.
Proof. Twisting with $w_{0}$ is an auto-equivalence of $\bmod M_{1} D$ mapping the almost split sequences from 3.4.5 to $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$. The result now follows.

Lemma 3.4.7. There is an almost split sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(0) & \rightarrow \widehat{V}(s p-a-2)[(a+1, a+1)]^{o} \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{W}(s p+a) \oplus \widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{o}} \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}(s p+a) \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\bmod M_{1} D$ for $s \geq 1$.
Proof. By the proof of 3.4.4, there is an almost split sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(0) & \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s+2) p+a)[-(p, p)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}((s+1) p+a)[(-p, 0)] \oplus \widehat{V}((s+1) p+a)[(0,-p)] \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{V}(s p+a) \rightarrow(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\bmod G_{r} T$. In the proof of 3.4.5, it was shown that $\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}(\widehat{V}((s+1) p+a)[(-p, 0)]) \cong$ $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$. Since $\widehat{V}((s+1) p+a)[(-p, 0)]^{w_{0}} \cong \widehat{V}((s+1) p+a)[(0,-p)]$, this also shows $\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}(\widehat{V}((s+1) p+a)[(0,-p)]) \cong \widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$. We compute $C=\mathfrak{F}_{M_{r} D}(\widehat{V}((s+2) p+$ $a)[-(p, p)])$. Taking the canonical basis $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{(s+2) p+a}$ of $\widehat{V}((s+2) p+a)[-(p, p)]$, the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action on the base vectors shows that $C$ is spanned by $v_{p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{(s+1) p-1}$ as all other base vectors generate submodules which are not polynomial. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(C)=$ $s p-a-1$ and $v_{p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{2 p-1}$ span an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-module isomorphic to $L(p-a-2)$, 3.4.1 yields $F(C) \cong V(s p-a-2)^{*} \cong F\left(\widehat{V}(s p-a-2)^{o}\right)$. An application of 1.2.1 and a comparison of degrees of the basis $v_{p+a+1}, \ldots, v_{(s+1) p-1}$ of $C$ and the canonical basis of $\widehat{V}(s p-a-2)^{o}$ yields $C \cong \widehat{V}(s p-a-2)[(a+1, a+1)]^{o}$. The claim now follows from 1.3.1.

By using the results about stable AR-components of $\bmod G_{1} T$ and almost split sequences in $\bmod M_{1} D$, we are able to determine the Auslander-Reiten quiver for the blocks of $S_{s p+a}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ containing $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$.

Theorem 3.4.8. The component of the $A R$-quiver of $S_{s p+a}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ for $s \geq 1$ and $0 \leq$ $a \leq p-2$ containing $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ has the following form:


Here, the leftmost and the rightmost column are identified, the brown dots are projective-injective, the blue squares are the $M_{1} D$-part of the $G_{1} T$-component containing $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$, the green squares are the $M_{1} D$-part of the $G_{1} T$-component containing $\widehat{V}(s p-a-2)[(a+1, a+1)]$, the red squares on the left side are the $M_{1} D$-part of the $G_{1} T$-component containing $\widehat{W}(s p+a)$, the orange squares on the left side are the $M_{1} D$ part of the $G_{1} T$-component containing $\widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$ and the red and orange squares on the right side are the duals of those on the left side. All colored arrows represent morphisms which are irreducible in $\bmod G_{1} T$, while the black arrows represent morphisms which are irreducible in $\bmod M_{1} D$, but not in $\bmod G_{1} T$. Thus, upon deleting projective-injective vertices, the underlying directed graph of the component is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{2 s+1}\right] /\left\langle\tau^{2 s+1}\right\rangle$.

Proof. For the left part of the quiver, one uses the shape of the $M_{r} D$-part of the $G_{1} T$ components containing $\widehat{W}(s p+a), \widehat{V}(s p+a), \widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}$ and $\widehat{V}(s p-a-2)^{o}[(a+1, a+1)]$ and the almost split sequences from 3.4.5, 3.4.7 and 3.4.6. The right part is now obtained from the left part by contravariant duality.

Remark. Using calculations similar to those in the proofs of this section, one can show that $\widehat{W}(s p+a)^{o} \cong \widehat{W}((s+1) p-a-2)^{w_{0}}[(a+1, a+1-p)]$ and $\left(\widehat{W}(s p+a)^{w_{0}}\right)^{o} \cong \widehat{W}((s+1) p-a-2)[(a+1-p, a+1)]$ and use this to give a different description of the modules in the right part of the component given above.
3.2.11 shows that components of this shape also occur for $r>1$. We will show that all components of $S_{d}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ are shifts of a component of this shape.

For this, we first show that some shifts induce Morita-equivalences between blocks of different $S_{d}\left(G_{1} T\right)$.

Proposition 3.4.9. Let $s \geq 0$ and $0 \leq a \leq p-2$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq p-a-2$, the shift functor $[(i, i)]$ restricts to an equivalence of categories between the categories of finite dimensional modules belonging to the block of $S_{s p+a}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ containing $\widehat{V}(s p+a)$ and $a$ block of $S_{s p+a+2 i}\left(G_{1} T\right)$.

Proof. Since the block contains the simple module of highest weight $(s p+a, 0), \rho=$ $\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right), W=\left\{1, w_{0}\right\}$ and $\left.\operatorname{det}\right|_{T}=(1,1)$, this follows from an application of 2.2.2 to our situation.

By counting the number of shifts and comparing this to the number of non-semisimple blocks of $S_{s p+a}\left(G_{1} T\right)_{1}$ determined in [10], we see that every non-semisimple block arises this way.

Corollary 3.4.10. Let $b$ be a non-simple block of $S_{d}\left(G_{1} T\right)$. Then $b$ is the shift of the block of $S_{d}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ containing $\widehat{V}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ for some $d^{\prime} \leq d$ such that $d^{\prime}=s p+a, 0 \leq a \leq p-2$.

Proof. Write $d=s p+a$ with $0 \leq a \leq p-1$ and $s>1$. We first consider the case $a \neq p-1$. By 3.4.9, the shifts by $[(i, i)]$ define a Morita-equivalence of the block of $S_{s p+a-2 i}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ containing the module $\widehat{V}(s p+a-2 i)$ to a block of $S_{d}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{a}{2}\right\rfloor$. By the
same token, the shifts by $[(a+i+1, a+i+1)]$ define a Morita-equivalence of the block of $S_{(s-1) p+(p-a-2)-2 i}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ containing $\widehat{V}((s-1) p+(p-a-2)-2 i)$ to a block of $S_{d}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{p-a-2}{2}\right\rfloor$. These blocks are pairwise distinct since the indecomposable modules of largest dimension belonging to them are $\widehat{V}(s p+a-2 i)$ with dimension $s p+a-2 i+1$ resp. $\widehat{V}((s-1) p+(p-a-2)-2 i)$ with dimension $(s-1) p+(p-a-2)-2 i+1$. For $a=p-1$, only the first kind of blocks occurs with $1 \leq i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$. Thus, we have found $\frac{p-1}{2}$ distinct blocks in both cases. By [10, 2.2], we have found all blocks not associated to a shift of a Steinberg module, hence all non-simple blocks. If now $d=a$ with $0 \leq a \leq p-1$, then $S_{d}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ is semisimple by [8, Theorem 3]. If $d=p+a$ for $0 \leq a \leq p-1$, then we get all blocks not associated to Steinberg modules as above, but only the first kind of blocks is non-simple since the second kind of blocks is a shift of a block of $S_{d^{\prime}}\left(G_{1} T\right)$ with $d^{\prime} \leq p-1$.

Now let $r, n$ be arbitrary again. By reducing to the case $r=1$, we are able to determine the $M_{r} D$-parts of $G_{r} T$-components $\Theta$ whose restrictions to $G_{r}$ have type $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tilde{A}_{12}\right]$. Since the restriction to $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)_{r}$ induces a morphism of stable translation quivers by 1.2 .5 , so that the restriction of $\Theta$ is a component of $\Gamma_{s}\left(\left(\mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)_{r}\right),[15,4.1]$ implies that the restriction of $\Theta$ to $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{n}\right)_{r}$ is also of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tilde{A}_{12}\right]$. Now [15, 4.2] implies $n=2$ as $\mathrm{SL}_{n}$ is almost simple.

Corollary 3.4.11. Suppose that $F(\Theta)$ is of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tilde{A}_{12}\right]$ and $\Theta \cap \bmod M_{r} D \neq \emptyset$. Then $\Theta$ is of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}^{\infty}\right], \Theta^{\circ}=\Theta$ and there is a column of simple modules in $\Theta$ which is a symmetry axis with respect to $(-)^{o}$. There is an $M_{r} D$-module $V \in \Theta$ such that $\bmod M_{r} D \cap \Theta$ consists of all modules on directed paths from $V$ to $V^{o}$.

Proof. Note that taking the Morita-equivalence in 3.2.11 followed by the forgetful functor $\bmod G_{r} T \rightarrow \bmod \left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}\right)_{r}$ is the same as taking the forgetful functor followed by the Morita-equivalence of $[18,5.1]$. As the Morita-equivalence of 3.2 .11 maps the $M_{r-s} D$ modules in a $G_{r-s} T$-block to the $M_{r} D$-modules in a $G_{r} T$-block and commutes with the forgetful functors, we can use the arguments of $[18,5.4]$ to reduce to the case $r=1$. The result now follows from 3.4.4 and its succeeding remarks.

## 4 Polynomial representations of $B_{r} T$

### 4.1 Structure and induction

In this section, let $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ be a closed connected reductive subgroup containing $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right), T \subseteq G$ be a maximal torus and $B, B^{-} \subseteq G$ be opposite Borel subgroups containing $T, D=\bar{T}$ the closure of $T$ in Mat ${ }_{n}, U \subseteq B$ the unipotent radical of $B$ and $U^{-} \subseteq B^{-}$the unipotent radical of $B^{-}$. In contrast to the ordinary Schur algebra, the algebra $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ is not in general quasi-hereditary, see [9, Section 7]. However, as we show below, the algebras $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ are directed, i.e. quasi-hereditary with simple standard modules. See [4] for the definition of a quasi-hereditary algebra.

Proposition 4.1.1. Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ be a reductive group, $T \subseteq G$ a maximal torus and $B$ a Borel subgroup of $G$ containing $T$. Then the algebra $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ is quasi-hereditary and directed.

Proof. Let $\lambda$ be a polynomial weight for $T$ of degree $d$. By [26], II.9.5 and the remark before II.9.3, all weights $\mu$ of the projective cover $\widehat{Z}_{r}(\lambda)$ of $k_{\lambda}$ in $\bmod B_{r} T$ satisfy $\mu \leq \lambda$ and the weight space for the weight $\lambda$ is 1 -dimensional. Since every module with top $k_{\lambda}$ is a factor module of $\widehat{Z}_{r}(\lambda)$, the ordering is adapted (see the remarks below [4, Lemma 1.2] for the definition of adapted ordering). As $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{B_{r} T}}\left(\widehat{Z}_{r}(\lambda)\right)$ is projective in $\bmod \overline{B_{r} T}$ and has simple top $k_{\lambda}$, it is the projective cover of $k_{\lambda}$ in $\bmod \overline{B_{r} T}$ and we get $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{B_{r} T}}\left(\widehat{Z}_{r}(\lambda)\right) \cong \Delta(\lambda)$
 $k_{\lambda}$ occurs only once as a composition factor of $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{B_{r} T}}\left(\widehat{Z}_{r}(\lambda)\right)$, so that $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{B_{r} T}}\left(\widehat{Z}_{r}(\lambda)\right)$ has endomorphism ring isomorphic to $k$ by [4, 1.3]. Now [4, Theorem 1] implies that $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ is quasi-hereditary. Relative to the reverse ordering, $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ obtains the structure of a quasi-hereditary algebra with simple standard modules $\Delta(\lambda)=k_{\lambda}$, so that $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ is directed.

Example. Let $r=1, B=U \rtimes T \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices with $T \subseteq B$ the torus of diagonal matrices and $U \subseteq B$ the subgroup of upper triangular unipotent matrices and $L_{1} D=\overline{B_{1} T}$. Then $\bmod B_{1} T=\bmod U_{1} \rtimes T$ is the category of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded modules for the Nakayama algebra $k U_{r} \cong k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)$, where the grading on $k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)$ is given by $\operatorname{deg}(x)=(1,-1)$, where $x=X+\left(X^{p}\right)$. In the following, we will compute the almost split sequences and the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $B_{1} T$, the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the algebras $S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$ and their quiver and relations.
(1) By [1, V.4.1] combined with the classification of indecomposable $k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)$-modules,
the almost split sequences for $k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)$ have the form

$$
(0) \rightarrow(x) /\left(x^{t+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{array}{c}
q \\
i
\end{array}\right]}(x) /\left(x^{t}\right) \oplus(x) /\left(x^{t+2}\right) \xrightarrow{[-j}^{\left[\begin{array}{ll} 
\\
\hline
\end{array}\right.}(x) /\left(x^{t+1}\right) \rightarrow(0)
$$

with $1 \leq t \leq p-1$, where $q, p$ are the canonical epimorphisms and $i, j$ are the canonical inclusions and where $(x) /\left(x^{p+1}\right)=k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)$. Thus, the stable AuslanderReiten quiver of $k U_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{p-1}\right] /\langle\tau\rangle$ and the directed graph underlying the (non-stable) Auslander-Reiten quiver is $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{p}\right] /\langle\tau\rangle$. Since the image of an almost split sequence in $\bmod B_{r} T$ under the forgetful functor is an almost split sequence in $\bmod k U_{1}$ by 1.2.2, we can find the almost split sequences in $\bmod B_{1} T$ by shifting the canonical grading on almost split sequences in $\bmod k U_{1}$ in such a way that the canonical maps occurring in the sequence become morphisms of graded modules. Here, we identify $(x) /\left(x^{t}\right)$ with the homogeneous submodule $\left(x^{p-t}\right)$ of $k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)$ with the grading given by $\operatorname{deg}(x)=(1,-1)$. Since the projections $p, q$ correspond to multiplication with $x$, we get that almost split sequences in $\bmod B_{1} T$ are shifts of sequences of the form
$\left.(0) \rightarrow(x) /\left(x^{t+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{array}{c}q \\ i\end{array}\right]}(x) /\left(x^{t}\right)[(-1,1)] \oplus(x) /\left(x^{t+2}\right) \xrightarrow{[-j} p\right](x) /\left(x^{t+1}\right)[(-1,1)] \rightarrow(0)$
for $1 \leq t \leq p-1$, so that $\tau_{B_{1} T}=[(1,-1)]$ and all stable components of $\Gamma\left(B_{1} T\right)$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{p-1}\right]$ while the underlying graph of the (non-stable) quiver $\Gamma\left(B_{r} T\right)$ is $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{p}\right]$, where the bottom layer consists of simple modules and the top layer consists of shifts of the projective module $k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)$.
(2) The Auslander-Reiten quiver of $S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$ consists of the $L_{1} D$-part of the component of $\Gamma\left(B_{1} T\right)$ containing $k_{(d, 0)}$ and contains the simple modules $k_{(d, 0)}, k_{(d-1,1)} \ldots, k_{(0, d)}$. Since $\bmod L_{r} D$ is closed with respect to extensions, submodules and factor modules, the $L_{1} D$-part of a component of $B_{1} T$ consists of all indecomposable extensions of these quasi-simple modules. For $d \leq p$, this means that $\Gamma\left(S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)\right)$ is the wing of $(x) /\left(x^{d+1}\right)$. For $d \geq p+1, \Gamma\left(S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)\right)$ is the union of the wings of $k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)[(d-$ $p, p)], k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)[(d-p-1, p+1)], \ldots k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)[(0, d)]$. Since $\mathfrak{F}_{L_{r} D}\left(k_{(d+1,-1)}\right)=0=$ $\mathcal{G}_{L_{r} D}\left(k_{(-1, d+1)}\right), 1.3 .1$ and a dual version of that result imply that all modules in the leftmost part of the leftmost wing are projective in $\bmod S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$ while all modules in the rightmost part of the rightmost wing are injective.
(3) Reading off the extensions of the simple modules in the bottom row of the AuslanderReiten quiver, we see that the Ext-quiver of $S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$ is of the form $A_{d+1}$ with all arrows oriented in the same direction. As every projective indecomposable $S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$ module is a factor module of a graded projective indecomposable $k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)$-module such that the submodule structures of the module in both categories coincide, the fact that $k[X] /\left(X^{p}\right)$ is a Nakayama algebra implies that the same holds for $S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$. The form of the Auslander-Reiten quiver now shows that for $d \leq p-1, S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$ is
hereditary, so that we have $S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right) \cong k A_{d+1}$ in that case. Now let $d \geq p$ and $I$ an admissible ideal of $k A_{d+1}$ such that $S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right) \cong k A_{d+1} / I$. By definition (see [1, II.2.3, II.2.9]), the relations generating $I$ consist of linear combinations of paths with the same source and target. Since there is at most one path in $A_{d+1}$ for every source and target, all relations for $S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$ are given by paths. As all indecomposable $S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$-modules have dimension $\leq p$, all paths of length $p$ are contained in $I$. For $d \geq p, S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$ has $d-p+1$ pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable modules of dimension $p$ by (2). As there are $d-p+1$ paths of length $p-1$ in $A_{d+1}, I$ cannot contain a path of length smaller than $p$; else there would be fewer projective indecomposable modules of dimension $p$ in $k A_{d+1} / I$. Thus, $S_{d}\left(B_{1} T\right)$ is isomorphic to the bound quiver algebra $k A_{d+1} / I$ with relations given by all paths of length $p$.

From now on, we suppose that the closure of $G$ in Mat $_{n}$ is normal. Since $U, U^{-}$ are generated by the root subgroups $U_{\alpha}, U_{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in R^{+}$, see [26, II.1.7, II.1.8], the construction in [26, II.1.16] implies that we can choose the anti-automorphism $\eta$ of 3.1.1 in such a way that $\eta\left(B^{-}\right)=B$. Note that each $\lambda \in P(D)$ can be extended to a character of $\bar{B}$ by [6, Lemma 3.3], which we will also denote by $\lambda$, so that $k_{\lambda}$ has a natural structure as a $\overline{B_{r} T}$-module. By abuse of notation, we will also denote the character of $\overline{B^{-}}$obtained this way by $\lambda$ and the corresponding one-dimensional $\overline{B_{r}^{-} T}$-module by $k_{\lambda}$.
Proposition 4.1.2. For every $\lambda \in P(D)$ of degree $d$, there is an isomorphism of $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$-modules

$$
S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \otimes_{S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right)} k_{\lambda} \cong\left(\operatorname{ind} \frac{\overline{G_{r} T}}{B_{r} T} k_{\lambda}\right)^{o} .
$$

Proof. By [34, 7.1], there is an isomorphism

$$
S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \otimes_{S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right)} k_{\lambda} \cong\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)}\left(S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right), k_{\lambda}^{o}\right)\right)^{o}
$$

of $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$-modules, where $k_{\lambda}^{o}$ is the one-dimensional $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$-module induced by $\lambda$. By definition, $\operatorname{ind} \frac{\overline{G_{r} T}}{B_{r} T} k_{\lambda}=\left\{f \in k\left[\overline{G_{r} T}\right] \mid f(b x)=\lambda(b) f(x)\right.$ for all $x \in \overline{G_{r} T}(A), b \in \overline{B_{r} T}(A)$ and all commutative $k$-algebras $A\}$. Since $\lambda$ has degree $d$ and $A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ is the $d$-weight space for the action of $Z\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\right)$ on $k\left[\overline{G_{r} T}\right]$, this is contained in $A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ and we also have $\lambda \in A_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$. Denote by $\rho: A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \rightarrow A_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right) \otimes_{k} A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ the left $A_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ comodule structure on $A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ and by $\xi . f$ the induced right action of $\xi \in S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ on $f \in A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$. Then for all $f \in \operatorname{ind} \frac{\xi_{r} T}{B_{r} T} k_{\lambda}$, we have $\rho(f)=\lambda \otimes f$, so that for all $\xi \in S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$, we get

$$
\xi . f=\mu \circ\left(\xi \otimes \operatorname{id}_{A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)}\right) \circ \rho(f)=\xi(\lambda) f=\lambda^{\prime}(\xi) f
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime}: S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right) \rightarrow k$ is the representation of $S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ on $k$ induced by the $\overline{B_{r} T}$ module $k_{\lambda}$ and $\mu$ is the multiplication map. Thus, $f \in\left\{g \in A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \mid \forall \xi \in S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)\right.$ : $\left.\xi . g=\lambda^{\prime}(\xi) g\right\}$. Conversely, let $f \in\left\{g \in A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \mid \forall \xi \in S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right): \xi \cdot g=\lambda^{\prime}(\xi) g\right\}$ and extend $f$ to a basis $f=f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}$ of $A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$. Then there are $g_{i} \in S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ such that $\rho(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{l} g_{i} \otimes f_{i}$. For all $\xi \in S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$, we have

$$
\xi(\lambda) f=\lambda^{\prime}(\xi) f=\xi \cdot f=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \xi\left(g_{i}\right) f_{i}
$$

by definition, so that comparing coefficients yields $\xi\left(g_{i}\right)=0$ for $i \neq 1$ and $\xi\left(g_{1}\right)=\xi(\lambda)$. This shows $g_{i}=0$ for $i \neq 1$ and $g_{1}=\lambda$, so that $\rho(f)=\lambda \otimes f$ and $f \in \operatorname{ind} \frac{\overline{G_{r} T}}{B_{r} T} k_{\lambda}$. Hence $\operatorname{ind} \frac{\overline{G_{r} T}}{B_{r} T} k_{\lambda}=\left\{g \in A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \mid \forall \xi \in S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right): \xi \cdot g=\lambda^{\prime}(\xi) g\right\}$. By 3.1.4, the canonical isomorphism of vector spaces

$$
\Phi: A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right), k\right)=S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)^{o}, f \mapsto(\varphi \mapsto \varphi(f))
$$

is an isomorphism of $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$-modules. We show that its restriction to $\operatorname{ind} \frac{\overline{G_{r} T}}{B_{r} T} k_{\lambda}$ is the required isomorphism. Let $f \in \operatorname{ind} \frac{\overline{G_{r} T}}{B_{r} T} k_{\lambda}$. Then for all $\xi \in S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right), \varphi \in S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(f)(\xi \cdot \varphi) & =(\xi \cdot \varphi)(f)=\sum \xi\left(f_{(1)}\right) \varphi\left(f_{(2)}\right)=\varphi(\xi \cdot f) \\
& =\varphi\left(\lambda^{\prime}(\xi) f\right)=\lambda^{\prime}(\xi) \varphi(f)=\lambda^{\prime}(\xi) \Phi(f)(\varphi),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\Phi(f) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)}\left(S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right), k_{\lambda}^{o}\right)$. Conversely, if $\Phi(f) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)}\left(S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right), k_{\lambda}^{o}\right)$ and $\xi \in S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$, then we have

$$
\varphi(\xi \cdot f)=\sum \xi\left(f_{(1)}\right) \varphi\left(f_{(2)}\right)=\Phi(f)(\xi \cdot \varphi)=\xi \cdot \Phi(f)(\varphi)=\varphi\left(\lambda^{\prime}(\xi) f\right)
$$

for all $\varphi \in S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)=A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)^{*}$, so that $\xi . f=\lambda^{\prime}(\xi) f$ and $f \in \operatorname{ind} \frac{\overline{G_{r} T}}{B_{r} T} k_{\lambda}$. Thus, $\Phi\left(\operatorname{ind}_{\frac{\overline{G_{r} T} T}{B_{r} T}}^{k_{\lambda}}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)}\left(S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right), k_{\lambda}^{o}\right)$.

Corollary 4.1.3. We have isomorphisms of $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$-modules

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \otimes_{S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right)} k_{\lambda} & \cong\left(\operatorname{ind}_{\overline{B_{r} T} T}^{G_{r} T} k_{\lambda}\right)^{o} \cong\left(\mathfrak{F}_{\overline{G_{r} T}}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{B_{r} T}^{G_{r} T} k_{\lambda}\right)\right)^{o} \\
& \left.\cong \mathcal{G}_{\overline{G_{r} T}}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{B_{r} T}^{G_{r} T} k_{\lambda}\right)^{o}\right) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\overline{G_{r} T}}\left(\widehat{Z}_{r}(\lambda)^{o}\right) \\
& \cong \mathcal{G}_{\overline{G_{r} T}}\left(\widehat{Z}_{r}^{\prime}(\lambda)\right) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\overline{G_{r} T}}\left(k G_{r} \otimes_{k B_{r}^{-}} k_{\lambda}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For the second isomorphism, one shows $\operatorname{ind} \frac{\overline{G_{r} T}}{\overline{B_{r} T}} k_{\lambda} \cong \mathfrak{F}_{\overline{G_{r} T}}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{B_{r} T}^{G_{r} T} k_{\lambda}\right)$ as in the proof of [9, Proposition 5.1]. Then the third isomorphism follows from 3.1.3, the fourth one from the definition, the fifth one from [26, II.9.3(5)] and the last one from [26, I.8.20] combined with the definition in [26, I.8.14].

Proposition 4.1.4. Multiplication induces an epimorphism of vector spaces $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \rightarrow$ $S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right) \otimes_{k} S_{d}\left(U_{r}\right)$.

Proof. By [26, II.9.7], we have an isomorphism of schemes $U_{r}^{-} \times T \times U_{r} \rightarrow G_{r} T$ induced by multiplication. Since $U_{r}^{-} \times T \cong B_{r}^{-} T$ via multiplication, we get that $\mu \circ\left(i_{B_{r}^{-} T} \times i_{U_{r}}\right)$ : $B_{r}^{-} T \times U_{r} \rightarrow G_{r} T$ is an isomorphism, where $\mu$ is multiplication in $G_{r} T$ and $i_{B_{r}^{-} T}, i_{U_{r}}$ are the canonical inclusions. Taking comorphisms, we see that $\left(\pi_{B_{r}^{-} T} \otimes \pi_{U_{r}}\right) \circ \Delta$ : $k\left[G_{r} T\right] \rightarrow k\left[B_{r}^{-} T\right] \otimes_{k} k\left[U_{r}\right]$ is an isomorphism of $k$-algebras. Since $\Delta\left(A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)\right) \subseteq$ $A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \otimes_{k} A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ and $\pi_{B_{r}^{-} T}\left(A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)\right)=A_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right), \pi_{U_{r}}\left(A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)\right)=A_{d}\left(U_{r}\right)$ by definition, we get a monomorphism $A_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right) \rightarrow A_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right) \otimes_{k} A_{d}\left(U_{r}\right)$. Dualizing this, we get the claimed epimorphism.

It follows that as a vector space, $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$ is generated by $S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right) S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)$. The analogue of this result for the ordinary Schur algebra was shown in [24, Section 4].

Corollary 4.1.5. We have $S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)=\left\langle S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right) S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)\right\rangle$.
Corollary 4.1.6. For all $M, N \in \bmod S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{d}\left(G_{r} T\right)}(M, N)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right)}\left(\left.M\right|_{S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right)},\left.N\right|_{S_{d}\left(B_{r}^{-} T\right)}\right) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)}\left(\left.M\right|_{S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)},\left.N\right|_{S_{d}\left(B_{r} T\right)}\right)$.

### 4.2 Outer tensor products

In this section, let $p \geq 3$. Let $T \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$ be the torus of diagonal matrices, $U \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$ be the group of upper triangular unipotent matrices, $B=U \rtimes T \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$ be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices and $L_{r} D=\overline{B_{r} T}$. It is well known that $U \cong G_{a}$, $k\left[U_{r}\right] \cong k[X] /\left(X^{p^{r}}\right)$ and $k U_{r} \cong k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)$, see for example [18, Section 2]. By considering the action of $T$ on $U_{r}$ and the induced actions on $k\left[U_{r}\right]$ and $k U_{r}$, we see that the $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \cong X(T)$-grading on $k\left[U_{r}\right]$ is given by $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}\right)=p^{i-1}(1,-1)$, where $x_{i}$ is the residue class of $X_{i}$ in $k\left[U_{r}\right]$. Then $\bmod B_{r} T=\bmod U_{r} \rtimes T$ is the category of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded $k U_{r}$-modules. In this section, we consider all algebras $k\left[X_{i}, \ldots, X_{j}\right] /\left(X_{i}^{p}, \ldots X_{j}^{p}\right), 1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$, to be $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded with these degrees. In this case, we can extend our results about modules of complexity one to tensor products of these modules with other indecomposable modules.

If $M, N$ as in the next result are indecomposable, general theory ([3, I.10E]) in combination with 1.2 .1 shows that $M \otimes_{k} N$ is an indecomposable $B_{r} T$-module as $k$ is algebraically closed.

Proposition 4.2.1. Let $M \in \bmod B_{r-h} T$ be indecomposable such that $c x_{B_{r-h} T}(M)=1$ and let $N$ be a finite-dimensional non-projective indecomposable graded module over the algebra $k\left[X_{r-h+1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{r-h+1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)$. Suppose the component $\Theta \subseteq \Gamma_{s}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ containing $M \otimes_{k} N$ is regular. Then $\Theta \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$ and the quasi-length of elements of $\Theta \cap \bmod L_{r} D$ is bounded. If $M \otimes_{k} N$ is quasi-simple, $\Theta \cap \bmod L_{r} D$ is finite.

Proof. As $\Theta$ is regular, we have $c x_{k\left[X_{r-h+1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{r-h+1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)}(N) \geq 1$ and thus $c x_{B_{r} T}\left(M \otimes_{k} N\right)=c x_{B_{r-h} T}(M)+c x_{k\left[X_{r-h+1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{r-h+1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)}(N) \geq 2$ (see for example [27, 3.2.15]), so that $\Theta$ is not periodic. Now [14, Theorem 1] implies $\Theta \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$ as $k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)$ has wild representation type for $r>1$ since $p \geq 3$.

We show that the $\tau_{B_{r} T}$-orbit of $M \otimes_{k} N$ contains only finitely many $L_{r} D$-modules. As $\bmod L_{r} D$ is closed with respect to submodules and factor modules, the result then follows from 1.3.6.

Let $P=\left(P_{l}\right)_{l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ be a minimal projective resolution of $M$ as a graded $k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r-h}\right] /\left(X_{1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r-h}^{p}\right)$-module and $Q=\left(Q_{l}\right)_{l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ be a minimal projective resolution of $N$ as a graded $k\left[X_{r-h+1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{r-h+1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)$-module. Then the complex $P \otimes_{k} Q$ is a minimal projective resolution of $M \otimes_{k} N$ as a $B_{r} T$-module, where

$$
\left(P \otimes_{k} Q\right)_{l}=\bigoplus_{i+j=l} P_{i} \otimes_{k} P_{j}
$$

and

$$
d_{P \otimes_{k} Q, l}=\bigoplus_{i+j=l} d_{P, i} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{Q_{j}}+(-1)^{i} \mathrm{id}_{P_{i}} \otimes d_{Q, j}
$$

for all $l>0$. Thus, for all $i>0$, we get $\Omega_{B_{r-h} T}^{i}(M) \otimes_{k} \Omega_{k\left[X_{r-h+1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{r-h+1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)}^{1}(N) \subseteq$ $\Omega_{B_{r} T}^{i}\left(M \otimes_{k} N\right)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ such that $\Omega_{k\left[X_{r-h+1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{r-h+1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)}^{1}(N)_{\lambda} \neq 0$. By definition of the grading on the tensor product of modules, we get $\operatorname{supp}\left(\Omega_{B_{r-h} T}^{i}(M[\lambda])\right) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{supp}\left(\Omega_{B_{r} T}^{i}\left(M \otimes_{k} N\right)\right)$ for all $i>0$. Let $\alpha=(1,-1)$ be the positive root of $G$ relative to $B$. By the proof of 3.3.1, the Nakayama functor $\mathcal{N}$ of $\bmod B_{r} T$ is just the shift by $-\left(p^{r}-1\right) \alpha$. For every $s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we get

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{B_{r} T}^{p^{s}}\left(M \otimes_{k} N\right)\right) \supseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(\Omega_{B_{r-h} T}^{2 p^{s}}(M[\lambda])\left[-p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \alpha\right]\right) .
$$

By [18, 8.1.1], there is $s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that

$$
\Omega_{B_{r-h} T}^{2 p^{s}}(M[\lambda])\left[-p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \alpha\right]=M[\lambda]\left[-p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \alpha+p^{r-h} \alpha\right]
$$

or

$$
\Omega_{B_{r-h} T}^{2 p^{s}}(M[\lambda])\left[-p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \alpha\right]=M[\lambda]\left[-p^{s}\left(p^{r}-1\right) \alpha\right] .
$$

Since similar computations can also be applied to $\tau_{B_{r} T}^{i}\left(M \otimes_{k} N\right) \cong \mathcal{N}^{i} \circ \Omega_{B_{r} T}^{2 i}\left(M \otimes_{k} N\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p^{s}-1$, arguments analogous to those in the proof of 3.3.2 show that the $\tau_{B_{r} T^{-}}$orbit of $M \otimes_{k} N$ is finite.

Note that if $N$ in the situation of the previous result is projective, then $c x_{B_{r} T}\left(M \otimes_{k}\right.$ $N)=1$ and $\Theta \cap L_{r} D$ is finite by 3.3.3. If the component containing $M \otimes_{k} N$ is not regular, we have $c x_{U_{r}}\left(M \otimes_{k} N\right)=r$ and the Künneth-formula yields $h=r-1$ and $c x_{k\left[X_{r-h+1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{r-h+1}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)}(N)=h$.

Corollary 4.2.2. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}, \Theta \subseteq \Gamma_{s}\left(B_{r} T\right)$ be the component containing $k_{\lambda}$. Then $\Theta \cap \bmod L_{r} D$ is finite.

Proof. For $r=1$, this follows from 3.3.3 since $c x_{B_{r} T}\left(k_{\lambda}\right)=1$. For $r \geq 2, c x_{B_{r} T}\left(k_{\lambda}\right)=$ $r>1$, so that $k_{\lambda}$ is not periodic. Now $[15,5.6]$ shows $F(\Theta) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$, so that $k_{\lambda}$ is a quasi-simple module in a $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$-component of $\Gamma_{s}\left(B_{r} T\right)$. Suppose $\Theta$ is not regular. Then $F(\Theta)$ is not regular and the standard almost split sequence [2, V.5.5] shows that $\Omega_{U_{r}}(k) \in F(\Theta)$. As $\Omega_{U_{r}}$ is an auto-equivalence of the stable module category, this shows that $\Omega_{U_{r}}(F(\Theta))=F(\Theta)$, so that $\Omega_{U_{r}}$ defines an automorphism of $F(\Theta)$. In particular, $k$ and $\Omega_{U_{r}}(k)$ have the same quasi-length, so that $\tau_{U_{r}}=\Omega_{U_{r}}^{2}$ implies $\Omega(k)_{U_{r}}^{2 l+1}(k)=k$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $k$ is periodic, a contradiction. Hence $\Theta$ is regular. Since $U_{r}$ acts trivially on $k_{\lambda}$, we have $k_{\lambda} \cong k_{\lambda \mid B_{1} T} \otimes_{k} k$, where we regard $k$ as the trivial module for $k\left[X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}\right] /\left(X_{2}^{p}, \ldots, X_{r}^{p}\right)$. The result now follows from 4.2.1.

## 4.3 $B_{r}$-components containing regular modules

In this section, we show that certain components of $\Gamma_{s}\left(B_{r}\right)$, where $B$ is a connected solvable group scheme, are of type $\mathbb{Z}\left[A_{\infty}\right]$. We have $B_{r}=U_{r} \rtimes T_{r}$, where $U$ is the unipotent radical and $T$ is a maximal torus of $B$. By [15, 5.6], every infinite component of $\Gamma_{s}\left(U_{r}\right)$ has tree class $A_{\infty}$. We want to use methods from [17] to extend this to components of $B_{r}$ contaning so-called regular modules defined there. Let $F: \bmod B_{r} \rightarrow \bmod U_{r}$ be the canonical restriction functor.

Lemma 4.3.1. Let $p \geq 5, \Theta \subseteq \Gamma_{s}\left(B_{r}\right)$ be a component containing a regular module. Then $F(\Theta)$ is a component of $\Gamma_{s}\left(U_{r}\right)$.

Proof. We use results from [17] to imitate the proof of [16, 2.2(2)]. By [17, 3.1.5], $F: \Theta \rightarrow \Gamma_{s}\left(U_{r}\right)$ is a morphism of stable translation quivers. Hence there exists a unique component $\Psi \subset \Gamma_{s}\left(U_{r}\right)$ such that $F(\Theta) \subset \Psi$. By [17, 3.1.1], $F(\Theta)$ is $\tau_{U_{r}}^{n}$-invariant for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we only have to show that each neighbor of an element of $F(\Theta)$ also belongs to $F(\Theta)$. Let $M \in \Theta$ and

$$
\xi:(0) \longrightarrow \tau_{U_{r}}(F(M)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} E_{i} \longrightarrow F(M) \longrightarrow(0)
$$

be almost split in $\bmod U_{r}, E_{i}$ the indecomposable predecessors of $F(M)$ in $\Psi$. As every module in $\Theta$ is regular, [17, 3.1.4] yields that $\xi$ is isomorphic to

$$
(0) \longrightarrow F\left(\tau_{B_{r}}(M)\right) \longrightarrow F(X) \longrightarrow F(M) \longrightarrow(0),
$$

where

$$
(0) \longrightarrow \tau_{B_{r}}(M) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow(0)
$$

is the almost split sequence in $\bmod B_{r}$ ending in $M$. Let $X=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} s_{i} X_{i}$ be a decomposition of $X$ into indecomposable summands. As $F$ is additive and sends indecomposables to indecomposables by [17, 2.1.2], we get that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} E_{i} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} s_{i} F\left(X_{i}\right)$ with $F\left(X_{i}\right), E_{i}$ indecomposable. By the Krull-Remak-Schmidt Theorem, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is some $j$ such that $E_{i} \cong F\left(X_{j}\right)$, so that all predecessors of $F(M)$ also belong to $F(\Theta)$. Using the bijectivity of $\tau_{U_{r}}$, one shows the same for all successors of $F(M)$.

Remark. The proof works in the more general setting of [17, 3.1.5].
Proposition 4.3.2. Let $p \geq 5$ and $\Theta \subseteq \Gamma_{s}\left(B_{r}\right)$ be an infinite component containing a regular module. Then $\Theta$ has tree class $A_{\infty}$.

Proof. By [15, 5.6] and 4.3.1, $F(\Theta)$ is a component of $\Gamma_{s}\left(U_{r}\right)$ and has tree class $A_{\infty}$. Hence $F(\Theta)$ and $\Theta$ have infinitely many $\tau$-orbits. By [17, 3.1.4], the number of summands of a middle term of an almost split sequence in $\Theta$ is at most two and there are almost split sequences whose terms lie in $\Theta$ with indecomposable middle term. As a component whose tree class is a finite Dynkin diagram has finitely many $\tau$-orbits, the list of possible components in $[15,1.3]$ shows that $\Theta$ has tree class $A_{\infty}$.
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