Aus dem Institut für Pflanzenbau und Pflanzenzüchtung der Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Random and CRISPR-Cas mutagenesis to create oilseed rape with reduced seed phytic acid content

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades

der Agrar- und Ernährungswissenschaftlichen Fakultät

der Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

vorgelegt von

M.Sc. Niharika Sashidhar

aus Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, India

Kiel, 2019

Dekan: Prof. Dr. Dr. Christian Henning

Berichterstatter: Prof. Dr. Christian Jung
 Berichterstatter: Prof. Dr. Frank Kempken

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 06.02.2020

Table of Contents

| 1. | Ge | neral | introduction | 1 |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|---|------------|
| | 1.1. | Bio | logy and genomics of Brassica napus L | 1 |
| | 1.2. | Bre | eding of oilseed rape to improve seed quality traits | 1 |
| | I.3. P | hosp | hate storage in plants | 2 |
| | 1.3 | .1 | Phosphorus uptake in plants | 2 |
| | 1.3 | .2 | Phytic acid or inositol hexakis phosphate | 4 |
| | l.4. S | trate | gies to attenuate PA contents in the meal | 5 |
| | 1.4 | .1. | Use of external phytases | 5 |
| | 1.4 | .2. | Development of transgenic animals and plants | 6 |
| | 1.4 | .3. | Phytic acid storage in rapeseed | 7 |
| | 1.5. | Mu | tant screening in crop plants | . 11 |
| | 1.5 | .1. | Random mutagenesis | . 11 |
| | 1.5 | .2. | Precise genome editing | . 13 |
| | 1.6. | Scie | entific hypothesis and objectives | . 13 |
| 2. mu | Ide tant j | ntific popul | cation of phytic acid mutants in oilseed rape (<i>Brassica napus</i>) by large scale screening of lations through amplicon sequencing | of . 15 |
| 4 | 2.1. S | umm | nary | . 15 |
| 4 | 2.2. Iı | ntrod | uction | . 15 |
| 4 | 2.3. N | /later | ials and Methods | . 18 |
| | 2.3 | .1. P | lant material | . 18 |
| | 2.3 | .2. | In silico analysis of phytic acid pathway genes | . 18 |
| | 2.3 | .3. | Designing paralog specific primers | . 18 |
| | 2.3 | .4. | Expression analysis | . 18 |
| | 2.3 | .5. | Construction of 3D pools | . 19 |
| | 2.3 | .6. | Candidate gene amplification, library preparation and sequencing | . 20 |

| | 2.3 | 3.7. | Parameters used for the data analysis | . 20 |
|----------|--------------|-------------------|--|------|
| | 2.3 | 3.8. | Phytic acid measurements | . 20 |
| | 2.3 | 3.9. | Availability of sequencing data | . 21 |
| | 2.4. | Res | sults | . 21 |
| | 2.4 | 4.1. | Target gene selection | . 21 |
| | 2.4 | 4.2. | Library preparation | . 23 |
| | 2.4 | 4.3. | Illumina sequencing and data analysis | . 23 |
| | 2.4 | 4.4. | Confirmation of mutations by Sanger sequencing | . 25 |
| | 2.4 | 4.5. | Analysis of phytic acid contents in single and double mutants | . 25 |
| | 2.5. | Dis | cussion | . 27 |
| | 2.6. | Sup | porting information | . 30 |
| | 2.7. | Acl | knowledgements | . 30 |
| | 2.8. | Ref | èrences | . 30 |
| 3. ph | Ge ytic a | ene eo acid ii | liting of three <i>BnITPK</i> genes in tetraploid oilseed rape leads to significant reduction of n seeds | . 36 |
| | 3.1. | Sur | nmary | . 36 |
| | 3.2. | Key | y words | . 36 |
| | 3.3. | Intr | oduction | . 36 |
| | 3.4. | Res | sults | . 38 |
| | 3.4 | 4.1. | Identification of <i>ITPK</i> genes and selection of paralogs for mutagenesis | . 38 |
| | 3.4 | 4.2. | CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis of BnITPK genes | . 41 |
| | 3.4 | 4.3. | Generating homozygous double and triple mutants | . 41 |
| | 3.4 | 4.4. | Triple mutants showed reduced PA contents and increased Pi levels | . 43 |
| | 3.5. | Dis | cussion | . 44 |
| | 3.6. | Exp | perimental procedures | . 46 |
| | 3.6 | 6.1. | Plant material and growth conditions | . 46 |
| | 3.6 | 6.2. | Phylogenetic analysis | . 46 |

| 3.6 | 5.3. | Target site design and plasmid vector construction | 46 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|----|
| 3.6 | 5.4. | Rapeseed hypocotyl transformation | 47 |
| 3.6 | 5.5. | Verification of the mutations by molecular marker analysis | 47 |
| 3.6 | 5.6. | Measuring seed phytic acid and inorganic phosphorus contents | 47 |
| 3.6 | 5.7. | Statistical Analysis | 48 |
| 3.7. | Ack | nowledgements | 48 |
| 3.8. | Sup | porting information | 48 |
| 3.9. | Ref | erences | 48 |
| 4. Kno <i>Brassic</i> | ckout <i>a nap</i> | of <i>MULTI-DRUG RESISTANT PROTEIN 5</i> genes lead to low phytic acid contents in <i>us</i> L | 54 |
| 4.1. 4 | Abstra | act | 54 |
| 4.2. | Intr | oduction | 54 |
| 4.3. | Mat | erials and Methods | 55 |
| 4.3 | 3.1. | Plant Material and growth conditions | 55 |
| 4.3 | 3.2. Id | lentification of MRP5 genes and mutant screening | 56 |
| 4.3 | 3.3. E | xpression analysis | 57 |
| 4.3 | 3.4. P. | A and Pi measurements | 57 |
| 4.3 | 3.5. St | tatistical Analysis | 57 |
| 4.4. | Res | ults | 57 |
| 4.4 61 | 4.1. 7 | Dynamics of phytic acid (PA) and inorganic phosphorous (Pi) accumulation in Express 57 | |
| 4.4 | 4.2. | Bioinformatic analysis | 58 |
| 4.4 | 4.3. | Expression analysis | 59 |
| 4.4 | 4.4. | Identification of EMS mutants | 59 |
| 4.4 | 4.5. | CRISPR-Cas9 knockout analysis | 61 |
| 4.4 | 4.6. | Phenotypic analysis of <i>bnmrp5</i> mutants obtained by EMS | 62 |
| 4.5. | Dise | cussion | 64 |
| 4.6. | Ref | erences | 66 |

Table of contents

| 5. Closing discussion |
|--|
| 6. Summary |
| 7. Zusammenfassung |
| 8. Appendix |
| 8.1. Supplementary Figures |
| 8.3. Supplementary Tables |
| 9. References |
| 10. Supplementary data on CD/DVD |
| 11. Curriculum Vitae and Publications |
| 11.1. Curriculum Vitae |
| 11.2. Own publications |
| 11.2.1. Oral presentations and posters |
| 12. Declaration of co-authorship |
| 13. Publications and declaration of own contribution |
| 14. Acknowledgements |

List of Abbreviations

| 2D | Two dimensional |
|-----------------|---|
| 3D | Three dimensional |
| % | Percent |
| °C | Degree Celsius |
| μl | Microliter |
| μm | Micrometer |
| X ² | Chi square |
| А | Adenine |
| ABC | ATP binding cassette |
| Amp | Ampicillin |
| ANOVA | Analysis of variance |
| A. thaliana, At | Arabidopsis thaliana |
| A. tumefaciens | Agrobacterium tumefaciens |
| Bar | Bialaphos resistance |
| BLAST | Basic local alignment search tool |
| B. napus, Bn | Brassica napus |
| B. oleracea, Bo | Brassica oleracea |
| bp | Base pairs |
| BRAD | Brassica database |
| B. rapa, Br | Brassica rapa |
| C | Cytosine |
| Cas | CRISPR-associated |
| cDNA | Complementary DNA |
| CDS | Coding sequence |
| cm | Centimeter |
| CRISPR | Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats |
| CTAB | Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide |
| cv | Cultivar |
| Ct | Cycle threshold |
| d | Day |
| DAP | Days after pollination |
| DAG | Diacyl glycerol |
| ddH2O | Double distilled water |
| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| DNase | Deoxyribonuclease |
| dNTP | Deoxynucleotide triphosphate |
| DSB | Double-stranded break |
| DW | Dry weight |
| EC | Exome capture |
| E. coli | Escherichia coli |
| EMS | Ethyl methanesulfonate |
| IMP | Myo-Inositol monophosphatase |
| InDel | Insertions and deletions |
| Ins | Inositol |
| InsP1 | Inositol monophosphate |
| InsP2 | Inositol bisphosphate |
| InsP3 | Inositol trisphosphate |
| InsP4 | Inositol tetrakisphosphate |
| | |

List of Abbreviations

| InsP5 | Inositol pentakisphosphate |
|---------|---|
| InsP6 | Inositol hexakisphosphate Phytic acid |
| InsP7 | Inositol pyrophosphate |
| InsP8 | Inositol pyrophosphate |
| IPK1 | Inositol pentakis-phosphate 2-kinase |
| IPK2 | Inositol multi phosphate kinase |
| ITPK | Inositol tetrakis-phosphate kinase |
| g | Relative centrifugal force |
| gm | Gram |
| ĥ | Hour |
| kb | Kilobase (s) |
| min | Minutes |
| MIPS | myo-inositol phosphate synthase |
| MIK | myo-inositol kinase |
| ml | Milliliter |
| mM | Millimolar |
| MRP | Multi drug resistance protein |
| NGS | Next generation sequencing |
| ng/µl | Nano gram per micro liter |
| nmol | Nano molar |
| PA | Phytic acid |
| PCR | Polymerase Chain Reaction |
| Pi | Inorganic phosphorus |
| Ptd | Phosphatidyl |
| PGK2 | 2-phosphoglyceric acid kinase |
| PHT | Phosphate transporters |
| PSV | Protein storage vacuoles |
| RNA | Ribonucleic acid |
| RPM | Rotations Per Minute |
| RT-qPCR | Quantitative real-time PCR |
| TILLING | Targeted Induced Local Lesions IN Genomes |
| TbyS | TILLING by Sequencing |
| Taq | Thermus acquaticus |
| RNase | Ribonuclease |
| SNP | Single Nucleotide Polymorphism |
| Х | Fold coverage |
| V | Volt |
| W | Watt |
| WGS | Whole genome sequencing |
| w/v | Weight / Volume |

1.1. Biology and genomics of Brassica napus L.

Brassica napus L. (oilseed rape or rapeseed) cultivation has started in the 16th century in Europe and later in the 20th century, it became one of the most important oil crops in the world (Robson et al. 2002). Varieties from *B. napus* are not only grown for the production of cooking oil and biodiesel, but also as vegetables, for condiments and animal feed. Rapeseed is cultivated in three different growth types such as winter, semi-winter and spring types, depending on the growing region with an average lifecycle of 3-12 months. The difference between the growth types is underlined by the vernalization requirement and subsequent onset of seed formation. The seeds are majorly formed after self-pollination with occasional outcrossing (Vollmann and Istvan 2010).

B. napus is an allotetraploid which formed by spontaneous interspecific hybridization of *B. oleracea* and *B. rapa* between ~6700 years (Sun et al. 2017) and ~7500 years (Chalhoub et al. 2014) ago, based on two synonymous substitution estimations and a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation, respectively (Lu et al. 2019). A recent genome wide association study with 588 *B. napus* accessions including transcriptome analyses from 11 different tissues revealed that the *B. napus* A genome originated from European turnip, whereas the C genome originates from a common ancestor of kohlrabi, cauliflower, broccoli, and Chinese kale (Lu et al. 2019). The genome size of *B. napus* is ~1.13 Gbp with 38 chromosomes (2n = 4x). During evolution, several genome duplications occurred and in the genus *Brassica*, an additional triplication event caused a complex genetic framework, where each gene is encoded by several paralogous genes. As a result, the ancestral function of a gene is separated to different gene copies, which is referred to as sub-functionalization (Schiessl et al. 2019). Alternatively, gene copies might gain a new function during evolution referred to as neo-functionalization (Xia et al. 2016).

The cultivation of so called double zero or '00' varieties that are low in erucic acid and glucosinolates which are derived from the mother plants cv. Liho and cv. Bronowski, respectively have narrowed the germplasm. In order to circumvent this situation, new crosses between *B. oleracea* and *B. rapa* were performed to develop the so called re-synthetic rapeseeds (Girke et al. 2012). Crosses between *B. napus* and *B. rapa* were also performed to obtain early maturing cultivars in Canada, Japan and China. In addition, crosses were performed between *B. napus* and *B. oleracea* to increase the existing gene pools with no consequences on fertility of the hybrids (Rahman et al. 2015). Furthermore, use of resynthesized rapeseed can be beneficial in hybrid production as it is encouraged due to the underlined heterotic effects (Rahman 2013).

Considering that oilseed rape has an important economic value breeders aim for improving seed yield, enhance meal quality and disease resistance. To achieve this, several QTLs were identified in respect to seed yield (Lu et al. 2019; Luo et al. 2017), disease resistance for key pathogens causing clubroot (*Plasmodiophora brassicae*), blackleg (*Leptosphaeria maculans* and *L. biglobosa*), sclerotinia stem rot (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*), and downy mildew (*Hyaloperonospora parasitica*) (reviewed in Neik et al. 2017) as well as meal quality with low glucosinolate contents (He et al. 2018; Nour-Eldin et al. 2017). With the change in climate, increasing pathogen pressures and increase in demand for human needs, all above mentioned goals are a major focus in rapeseed breeding.

1.2. Breeding of oilseed rape to improve seed quality traits

The versatile uses of oilseed rape with its well-balanced amino acid profiles and protein contents made it the third most important oil crop in the world. Tremendous increase of research has been performed

for improving oil and meal quality. *B. napus* meal contains 33- 48% proteins after oil extraction (Nesi et al. 2008). However, the negative correlation of oil and protein content made it challenging to breed for both traits simultaneously (Gu et al. 2017; Jasinski et al. 2018; Balalic et al. 2017; Tang et al. 2019). Subsequently, reducing the antinutritive compounds in the meal can enhance the rapeseed protein contents with no loss of oil contents. Several studies showed that by reducing compounds such as tannins, sinapins, glucosinolates or fibers, which hinder the rapeseed meal quality, no negative effects on oil contents were observed. The production of double 00 varieties reduced the aliphatic glucosinolates to less than 18 µmol/g seed and erucic acid to very low contents thereby facilitating for better feed palatability (Downey and Rimmer 1994). Furthermore, cultivars with high oleic acid and low linolenic acid content were developed which increased the oil oxidative stability and hence reducing the formation of deleterious *trans*-fatty acids during frying processes (Long et al. 2018). Currently, the possibility to increase the value of protein in rapeseed is limited by the negative correlation between oil and protein contents. Therefore, new breeding targets should be identified for increasing both contents without affecting each other (Jasinski et al. 2018).

Seed color in *B. napus* varies from light yellow over dark brown to black color which might be due to the amount of tannins present in the respective genotypes. Tannins are a distinctive group of high molecular weight phenolic compounds, which are belonging to three groups referred to as phlorotannins, hydrolysable and condensed tannins (Lipsa et al. 2012). Tannins form insoluble complexes with proteins and reduce their bioavailability in the meal (Naczk et al. 2000). The yellow seed trait was widely desired for its higher oil and protein contents as compared to the brown varieties. The yellow seeds have thinner seed coats owing to less anti-nutritive crude fiber and phenolics. Several QTLs have been identified for yellow seed color, which can be used in further breeding programs (Vollmann and Istvan 2010). Besides this, interspecific crosses have been performed to get yellow seeded oilseed rape. Furthermore, a recent study showed that knockout of two paralogous genes of *BnTT8* by CRISPR-Cas resulted in yellow seeds, which can be of importance in future rapeseed breeding (Zhai et al. 2019b).

In line with reducing bitter taste and increasing digestibility, sinapins were also targeted. Sinapic acid is an alkaloid amine, which is widespread in the plant kingdom and is the most predominant phenolic compound in seeds (Nićiforović and Abramovič 2014). It is synthesized by the shikimate/phenyl alanine pathway (Milkowski and Strack 2010). The presence of sinapins in the meal also causes an unpleasant taste and reduces the palatability for animals. Several genes like ferulate acid 5-hydroxylase, UDP-glucose:sinapate glucosyltransferase, sinapoylglucose:choline sinapoyltransferase and reduced epidermal fluorescence 1 were either downregulated by antisense suppression, RNAi or knocked out by random mutagenesis to reduce the sinapine contents in seeds (Nair et al. 2000; Hüsken et al. 2005a; Hüsken et al. 2005b; Bhinu et al. 2009; Emrani et al. 2015; Wolfram et al. 2010). In all these studies, a reduction of 40-90% of sinapine was achieved in the homozygous offspring.

1.3. Phosphate storage in plants

1.3.1 Phosphorus uptake in plants

Phosphorus is an essential mineral for various physiological processes in plants. The primary source of phosphorus for plants is inorganic phosphorus/orthophosphate (Pi) which occurs mainly in the form of phosphate salts in the soil. Maintaining the phosphorus balance is crucial in plants due to the wide range of environmental factors and soil types influencing their growth. Plants have developed various physiological and morphological responses to maintain phosphorus homeostasis by changing the root architecture, exudation of different kinds of acid phosphates, accumulation of anthocyanin pigments in

response to Pi starvation (Misson et al. 2005) and arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiotic mechanisms which are regulated by PHT1 transporters (Młodzińska and Zboińska 2016; Srivastava et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2012). Different kind of transporters act according to the phosphorus availability (Figure 1a,b). In plants, the phosphate transporters are classified into five families namely PHT1, PHT2, PHT3, PHT4 and PHT5 (Zhang et al. 2016a). In Arabidopsis, there are nine PHT1 genes which are highly redundant in function and sequences (PHT1;1- PHT1;9) (Nussaume et al. 2011) along with one PHT2 (Versaw and Harrison 2002), three PHT3 (Rausch and Bucher 2002), six PHT4 (Guo et al. 2008) and three PHT5 genes (Liu et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2018). Another class of transporters is PHO1 that has 11 members in Arabidopsis, which are involved in phosphate homeostasis (Wang et al. 2004).

Each of the phosphate transporters has specific roles in mobilizing phosphorus in an organelle dependent manner based on the Pi availability. In regard to this PHT1 transporters are exceptional and are localized in the plasma membranes where they are responsible for the external Pi acquisition or Pi translocation between cells or tissues (Figure 1a). In Arabidopsis, PHT1;1 and PHT 1;4 were shown to be important for up taking Pi by the root at both high and low Pi conditions (Shin et al. 2004) next to PHT 1;2 and PHT 1;3 (Ayadi et al. 2015). Whilst the other members of PHT1, like PHT1;5, PHT 1;8 and PHT1;9 play a major role in the relocation of Pi from shoots to roots and to reproductive organs (Nagarajan et al. 2011; Ayadi et al. 2015). The PHT2 and PHT3 transporters are specific to plastids and mitochondrial phosphate transport, respectively (Daram et al. 1998; Zhu et al. 2012b). From the PHT4 group five members are located in the Golgi membrane and one is specifically located in the plastid envelope (Guo et al. 2008) where as PHO1 and PHO2 translocate Pi from roots to shoots (Stefanovic et al. 2007). The uptake and distribution of Pi from soil to its final storage in seeds is shown in Figure 1b. Vacuoles are the major storage compartments for Pi and the transport is carried out by PHT5 proteins (Liu et al. 2016). PHT5 belong to the major facility superfamily (MFS) where SPX-MFS1 and SPX-MFS3/PHT5;1 mediate vacuolar Pi influx and efflux, respectively as shown in Figure 1b. Furthermore, the levels of PHT1, PHO1 and PHO2 transporters are regulated by miR399 and cis-natural antisense transcripts (cis-NAT_{PHO1;2}) in the xylem and miR399 and IPS1/AT4 in roots (Figure 1b) (Srivastava et al. 2018). During phosphate deficient conditions, cis-NAT_{PHO1:2} positively regulates the expression of PHO 1;2 to maintain phosphate homeostasis in plants (Jabnoune et al. 2013). Finally, with the help of ABC-MRP type transporters, Pi is transported from leaves to seeds where it is stored in the form of phytic acid.



Figure 1: Overview of phosphate (Pi) transport in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. (a): Different PHT transporters showing organelle localization. (Figure taken from Roch et al. (2019)) (b): Transport mechanisms of phosphorous from soil by root to final storage in seeds. ABC-MRP, ATP binding cassette-multidrug resistance-associated protein; AT4, *Arabidopsis thaliana* 4; IPS1, induced by phosphate starvation 1; cis-NATPHO_{1;2}, cis-natural antisense transcript phosphate transporter, PHT 1;2; high-affinity phosphate transporter; PHR1, phosphate starvation response 1; Pi, phosphate; PHO1, phosphate transporter 1; SPX-MFS3, SYG1/PHO81/XPR1 major facility superfamily 3; SPX-MFS1, SPX major facilitator superfamily 1; VPT1, vacuolar phosphate transporter. (Figure taken from Srivastava et al. (2018))

1.3.2 Phytic acid or inositol hexakis phosphate

Another anti-nutrient compound is phytic acid (PA), for which low PA varieties still have to be introduced in rapeseed breeding. PA is *myo*-inositol hexakis phosphate and due to its negative charge at physiological pH, it chelates essential divalent ions and also proteins (Reddy et al. 1982). This study aimed at identifying low PA mutations that could be introduced as a novel trait in the current elite varieties. So far, in rapeseed the information regarding the number of genes involved in the synthesis of PA and the expression profiles of the genes was lacking. In the following paragraphs, I will describe the pathway for PA synthesis, transport and strategies to reduce PA contents.

The history of PA analysis started in the 18th century with the isolation of small particles or grains from the seeds of various plants (Reddy et al. 1982). From then it was realized that PA is the principal storage form of phosphorus in seeds. Most of the plants store 65 to 80 % of phosphorus in the form of PA in seeds, which can be utilized during germination (Raboy 2009). PA is synthesized via a lipid dependent and a lipid independent pathway, where series of kinases and phosphatase form the product, which is also known as inositol hexakis phosphate (InsP6). The PA synthesis takes place in the cytosol, with subsequent transportation of PA to the seed vacuoles via MRP transporters (Figure 1b). The transported PA is stored in protein storage vacuoles (PSV) in the form of complex salts referred to as phytins. In eukaryotes, PSVs can be found in three distinct compartments: matrix, globoids and crystalloids. PA is stored in the globoids as phytin (PA bound to K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²) while the others serve as protein storage compartments (Jiang et al. 2001). Upon germination, the seedlings utilize the Pi after hydrolysis of PA by endogenous phytases.

The first proof that *myo*-inositol monophosphate synthase (MIPS) was the initial enzyme in the PA biosynthesis chain was established in rice (Yoshida et al. 1999). PA started to accumulate in the aleurone layer at 4 days after anthesis (DAA), which coincided with the accumulation of rice Ins(3)P1 synthase gene (*RINO1*; referred as *MIPS* in other species). To examine this further, Mitsuhashi et al (2005), performed studies in suspension-cultured cells of *Catharanthus roseus* (commonly known as periwinkle). They could show that the available levels of Pi influence the synthesis of PA in protoplasts and vacuoles. High levels of Pi resulted in higher PA in vacuoles and lower levels of PA under low Pi conditions (Mitsuhashi et al. 2005).

In addition to the physiological importance in the plants, PA has gained the attention in treating many types of cancers because of its antioxidative properties (Vucenik et al. 2005; Vucenik and Shamsuddin 2006). Furthermore, it has also been shown in some studies that PA can be used as a preservative for many kinds of food (Yang et al. 2015; Du et al. 2012; Silva and Bracarense 2016).

1.4. Strategies to attenuate PA contents in the meal

1.4.1. Use of external phytases

Monogastric animals cannot digest PA due to lack of phytases and thereby leading to reduced bioavailability of phosphorus and other essential minerals. Therefore, external phosphate was supplemented to the meal in the form of dicalcium phosphate to compensate the low availability. However, the decrease in the natural resources of rock phosphate led to commercial production of phytases, which are supplemented to seed meals. It was estimated from laboratory and field experiments that 500–1000 units of phytase can replace 1 g of Pi (in the form of dicalcium phosphate) supplementation, and reduce total phosphorus excretion by 30–60% (Yao et al. 2012; Lei et al. 2013). In addition, it was estimated that supplemental phytase at 1000 units/kg of feed costs approximately 0.5–2US\$/ton of feed, whereas addition of 1% Pi to the meal costs 12US\$/ton (Lei et al. 2013).

Phytases are enzymes which hydrolyze PA into one molecule of inositol and six molecules of Pi (Figure 2a). Phytases can be classified based on the position, where they dephosphorylate the carbon ring. There are three types of phytases: 3-phytases (mvo-inositol hexakis phosphate-3phosphohydrolase, EC 3.1.3.8), 4/6-phytases (myo-inositol hexakis phosphate 6-phosphohydrolase, EC 3.1.3.26) and 5-phytases (myo-inositol hexakis phosphate 5-phosphohydrolase, EC 3.1.3.72) (Yao et al. 2012). 3-phytases are derived from bacteria and fungi (Sajidan et al. 2004), 4/6-phytases were discovered in plants along with 5-phytases, but 5-phytases were also found in the rumen bacterium Selenomonas ruminantium (Chu et al. 2004). Furthermore, based on their catalytic mechanism of hydrolysis they are further grouped into four classes, histidine acid phosphatases (HAPhy or acid phytases), β-propeller phosphatase (BPPhy or alkaline phytases), purple acid phosphatase (PAPhy or metalloenzymes), protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTPhy; also referred to as dual-specificity phosphatase (DSP) or cysteine phytase) (Lei et al. 2013; Mullaney and Ullah 2003). Each class of phytases hydrolyzes PA depending on the pH conditions (Suleimanova et al. 2015) (Figure 2b). Initially, phytases from Aspergillus niger were used to break down the PA in the meal (Irving and Cosgrove 1972). Later, bacterial phytases from Escherichia coli, which belongs to the HAP class of phytases, showed higher specificity for PA and hydrolyzed PA more efficiently into free phosphates and myo-inositol (Greiner et al. 1993).



Figure 2: Mechanism of phytases and their crystal structures. (a): Phytic acid (PA), which is bound to starch, protein and other divalent ions is hydrolyzed by phytases into six phosphates, *myo*-inositol, free divalent ions and proteins (Figure taken from Yao et al. (2012)). (b): Types of phytases. Histidine acid phosphatases (HAPhy or acid phytases), β-propeller phosphatase (BPPhy or alkaline phytases), purple acid phosphatase (PAPhy or metalloenzymes), protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTPhy; also referred to as dual-specificity phosphatase (DSP) or cysteine phytase) (Figure taken from Lei et al. (2013)).

In this scenario addition of microbial phytases paved ways in the animal feed industries. More recently, to cut down the costs of production of phytases, especially in developing countries, a study was performed using a fungal phytase gene from *Acremonim zeae* and expressing it in the yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus* (Pires et al. 2019). This was the first study to express phytases in yeast with high yields. Another interesting way was to express a fungal phytase in the cell wall deficient microalgae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, which can be supplemented to animals as a source of carbohydrates, proteins and other nutrients together with the overexpressed phytase (Erpel et al. 2016; Gantar and Svirčev 2008).

1.4.2. Development of transgenic animals and plants

Albeit the addition of phytases to the meal has solved the problem of increasing free Pi in the animal diets, there are still few drawbacks. Many factors such as protein solubility, pH, temperature for phytate hydrolysis, type of isolate and organism, effect the phytate degrading activity (Vashishth et al. 2017). However, due to the catalytic properties at certain pH and the thermostability of external phytases it was not considered as an ideal option. Alternatively, transgenic animals and plants overexpressing phytases were produced. These included pigs (Enviropig) overexpressing phytases in their salivary glands (Golovan et al. 2001), and medaka fishes constitutively expressing phytases from *A. niger* (Hostetler et al. 2003). Furthermore, the expression of foreign proteins in animals might develop allergenic properties and therefore Cho et al. (2016) expressed avian multiple inositol polyphosphate phosphatase (MINPP) which has phytase like properties in chicken and observed improved digestion of PA (Cho et al. 2006). In addition, phytases from *A. niger* were expressed in tomato, wheat, soybean, alfalfa, Arabidopsis, canola and maize (Li et al. 1997; Brinch-Pedersen et al. 2000; Gutknecht 1997; Mudge et al. 2003; Ponstein et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2008; Ma et al. 2012) and bacterial phytases from *E.coli* and *S. ruminantium* were also expressed in rice (Hong et al. 2004).

All above-mentioned transgenic approaches did not met consumer's acceptance and therefore, strategies to identify low PA mutants gained more importance. In this regard, several studies have been performed to either identify or induce mutations resulting in low PA contents in cereal crops, legumes and oilseed plants, or identifying natural mutants within the existing germplasms. Noteworthy, due to the importance of PA in plant metabolic processes, null mutants were never a favorable option for biofortification in seeds. In Table 1, a summary of low PA mutants available from various crop species is given. Furthermore, the need for iron and zinc, as major micronutrients essential for human nutrition in developing countries has motivated to breed for low PA crops (Perera et al. 2018).

1.4.3. Phytic acid storage in rapeseed

Studies performed in different canola grade varieties for PA contents revealed 4.0 - 4.7 % in defatted seed meals (Uppström and Svensson 1980). It has been found that the amount of PA varies from 2.0 - 4.0 % in seeds, 2.0 - 5.0 % in defatted meals and 5.0-7.5 % in protein concentrates in oilseed rape (Matthäus et al. 1995). Furthermore, oilseed rape has higher PA contents when compared to the other oil crops like soybean, sunflower and cotton (Matthäus et al. 1995). A latest study in oilseed rape quoted, 1-6% PA contents in matured seeds (Von Der Haar et al. 2014). However, all these values depend on growth conditions and the type of method used for PA measurements.

An external supply of Pi in the soil at different concentrations showed the higher the Pi the more PA is accumulated in seeds of the two tested oilseed rape genotypes (cv. Lirajet and cv. Bristol) (Möllers et al. 1999). Furthermore, Ponstein et al. (2002) have expressed fungal phytase *phyA* from *A. niger* under the control of a seed specific cruciferin promoter. They found that the *phyA* was stably expressed over generations with a slight decrease under field conditions (Ponstein et al. 2002). Subsequently expression of both bacterial and fungal phytases (*phyA* and *appA*) in oilseed rape demonstrated that the transgenic lines not only had lower PA levels in seeds, but also showed an increase in seed yield and shoot biomass, which increased by 14.7% and 36.8%, respectively (Wang et al. 2013). Furthermore, the study from Wang et al. (2013) showed that the phytases were exuded from the roots under PA rich conditions to hydrolyze PA.

The studies mentioned above were either focused on identifying natural variation in PA contents or on transgenic approaches overexpressing bacterial phytases. Until now, the complete biosynthesis pathway of PA in *B. napus* was not explored and the underlying genes were not identified except for the initial enzyme BnMIPS. However, the starting gene of the PA pathway *BnMIPS* was first identified in 2006 and a functional characterization was done by using antisense and co-suppression approach in cv. Westar (Georges et al. 2006). This was the first attempt to identify a low PA mutant and was patented under US 7,148,064 B1. Later the same group had approached a different method of reducing PA contents in seeds. They have introduced a new metabolic step by using the gene *myo*-inositol methyltransferase (*IMT*) which was isolated from *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* (ice plant) and transformed into *B. napus* under the control of napin and phaseolin promoters. The idea was to divert the free *myo*-inositol as a substrate for the PA biosynthesis and by this approach, a reduction of 19-35% PA was observed in seeds (Dong et al. 2013).

| Gene | Species | % Phytic acid in dry weight of seeds * | Gene | % phytic acid reduced* | Kind of mutation | Reference |
|-------|-------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| MIPS1 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At4g39800 | Nd | T-DNA insertion | (Murphy et al. 2008; Meng et al. 2009; Chen and Xiong 2010; Donahue et al. 2010; Kim and Tai 2011) |
| MIPS2 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At2g22240 | Nd | T-DNA insertion | (Murphy et al. 2008; Kim and Tai 2011; Luo et al. 2011) |
| MIPS3 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At5g10170 | Nd | T-DNA insertion | (Meng et al. 2009; Kim and Tai 2011; Luo et al. 2011) |
| MIPS1 | Glycine max | 1.55 | Glma18g02210 | 50 | Gamma rays | (Yuan et al. 2007; Frank et al. 2009; Yuan et al. 2009) |
| MIPS1 | Glycine max | 1.55 | Glma18g02210 | 50 | <i>N</i> -nitroso- <i>N</i> methylurea (NMU) | (Hitz et al. 2002; Meis et al. 2003) |
| MIPS1 | Glycine max | 1.55 | Glma18g02211 | 50 | - | (Maupin et al. 2011; Gao et al. 2008) |
| MIPS1 | Glycine max | 1.55 | Glma18g02212 | 35-95 | RNAi | (Nunes et al. 2006) |
| MIPS | Solanum tuberosum | | | Nd | antisense | (Murphy et al. 2008; Keller et al. 1998) |
| MIPS | Oryza sativa | 0.90 | Os03g09250 | 75 | antisense | (Kuwano et al. 2009) |
| MIPS | Gossypium hirsutum | 2.94 | GhMIPS1D | Nd | - | (Ma et al. 2019) |
| IMP1 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At3g02870 | Nd | T-DNA insertion | (Torabinejad et al. 2009; Kim and Tai 2011) |
| IMPL1 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At1g31190 | Nd | T-DNA insertion | (Kim and Tai 2011) |
| IMPL2 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At4g39120 | Nd | T-DNA insertion | (Kim and Tai 2011) |

Table 1: Summary of *lpa* mutants in various plant species (Modified after Sparvoli and Cominelli 2015)

| IMP2 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At5g05090 | Nd | T-DNA insertion | (Kim and Tai 2011) |
|----------|-------------------------|------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|--|
| MIK | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At5g58730 | 62-66 | T-DNA insertion | (Kim and Tai 2011) |
| MIK | Zea mays | 0.86 | GRMZM2G361593 | 45 | Mu insertion | (Shi et al. 2005) |
| MIK+ITPK | Zea mays | 0.86 | GRMZM2G361593 GRMZM2G456626 | 66 | Mu insertion | (Shi et al. 2005) |
| MIK | Oryza sativa | 0.90 | Os03g52760 | 64 | Gamma rays + sodium azide | (Liu et al. 2007; Frank et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2008) |
| MIK | Oryza sativa | 0.90 | - | 75 | EMS | (Kim et al. 2008) |
| 2-PGK | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At5g60760 | 66 | T-DNA insertion | (Kim and Tai 2011; Kim and Tai 2010) |
| 2-PGK | Oryza sativa | 0.90 | Os02g57400 | 40 | Gamma rays | (Zhao et al. 2008) |
| ΙΡΚ2β | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At5g61760 | 35 | T-DNA insertion | |
| ΙΡΚ2β | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At5g61760 | 48 | T-DNA insertion | (Kim and Tai 2011) |
| ITPK1 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At5g16760 | 46 | T-DNA insertion | (Kim and Tai 2011) |
| ITPK4 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At2g43980 | 40-51 | T-DNA insertion | (Kim and Tai 2011) |
| ITPK | Zea mays | 0.86 | GRMZM2G456626 | 30 | Mu insertion | (Raboy et al. 2000; Shi et al. 2003) |
| ITPK | Oryza sativa | 0.90 | Os09g34300 | 46-68 | EMS | (Kim and Tai 2014) |
| ITPK | Oryza sativa | 0.90 | Os09g0518700 | 32 | CRISPR-Cas | (Jiang et al. 2019) |
| IPK1 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At5g42810 | 49-83 | T-DNA insertion | (Kim and Tai 2011; Stevenson- Paulik et al. 2005) |
| IPK1 | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At5g42810 | nd | T-DNA insertion | (Murphy et al. 2008; Kuo et al. 2014) |
| IPK1 | Glycine max | 1.55 | Glyma14g07880 | 46 | Gama rays | (Yuan et al. 2007) |
| IPK1 | Glycine max | 1.55 | Glyma12G240900 | 45 | RNAi | (Punjabi et al. 2018) |
| IPK1 | Triticum aestivum | 1.02 | Ta.41955 | 56 | RNAi | (Aggarwal et al. 2018) |

| IPK1 | Zea mays | 0.86 | GRMZM2G067299 | 50 | ZFN-DNA editing | (Shukla et al. 2004) |
|--------------|-------------------------|------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|---|
| IPK1 | Oryza sativa | 0.90 | Os04g56580 | 70 | RNAi under Oleosin 18 promoter | (Ali et al. 2013) |
| MRP | Arabidopsis thaliana | 1.41 | At1g04120 | 73-80 | T-DNA insertion | (Nagy et al. 2009; Kim and Tai 2011) |
| MRP | Glycine max | 1.55 | Glyma03g32500 Glyma19g35230 | 80 | EMS | (Gao et al. 2008; Maupin et al. 2011; Wilcox et al. 2000; Oltmans et al. 2005; Hulke et al. 2004; Pilu et al. 2009; Pandey et al. 2018) |
| MRP | Phaseolus vulgaris | 1.41 | Phvul001g165500 | 90 | EMS | (Panzeri et al. 2011) |
| MRP | Zea mays | 0.86 | GRMZM5G820122 | 90 | EMS | (Pilu et al. 2003; Pilu et al. 2005; Cerino Badone et al. 2012; Doria et al. 2009; Badone et al. 2010; Landoni et al. 2013) |
| MRP | Zea mays | 0.86 | GRMZM5G820123 | 66 | EMS | (Shi et al. 2003) |
| MRP | Oryza sativa | 0.90 | Os03g04920 | 20-100 | Gamma rays + sodium azide | (Xu et al. 2009; Naidoo et al. 2012) |
| MRP | Oryza sativa | 0.90 | Os03g04920 | 90 | T-DNA insertion | (Liu et al. 2007) |
| MRP (ABCC13) | Triticum aestivum | 1.02 | Ta.74676 | 22-34 | RNAi | (Bhati et al. 2016) |
| Sultr3;3 | Hordeum vulgare | 1.19 | | 50 | sodium azide | (Bregitzer and Raboy 2006; Raboy et al. 2015; Karner et al. 2004) |
| Sultr3;3 | Oryza sativa | 0.90 | Os04g0652400 | 44 | Gamma rays | (Yamaji et al. 2016; Frank et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2007) |

* Phytic acid values are from (Lott et al. 2007). *Nd- not determined

1.5. Mutant screening in crop plants

Mutations are the key to understand the function of a gene. In nature, due to spontaneous mutations many important traits were discovered which had agronomical importance. For example, dwarf gene *Rht* in wheat (Peng et al. 1999), and low glucosinolate and erucic acid contents in oilseed rape, which were influential milestones for plant breeding. However, natural spontaneous mutations are very rare and recessive alleles are not easily noticeable in plants with higher ploidy levels. Therefore, several approaches have been developed to induce mutations or to identify mutations in plants by forward and reverse genetic tools.

1.5.1. Random mutagenesis

Targeted Induced Local Lesions In Genomes (TILLING) is a reverse genetic approach to screen the mutations in a mutagenized population. Ethyl methane sulfate (EMS), N-nitoso-N-methyl urea (NMU) or ethyl nitrosourea (ENU) are most commonly used chemical mutagens for creating point mutations randomly across the genome (McCallum et al. 2000). In classical TILLING, induced mutations are screened by using a mismatch-specific endonuclease (CEL1) that cleaves heteroduplexes formed by the mutated and non-mutated strands of PCR products at the position of a SNP. The cleaved products can be identified on denaturing gels or by High Resolution Melting (HRM) analysis. However, these technologies might be limited because of many technical reasons like efficiency of the CEL1 enzyme to cleave the heteroduplex formations or in the latter case the detection of base changes like C to G or A to T due to the smaller difference in their melting temperature (Tsuda et al. 2015). On the other hand, these methods are labor-intensive and the pooling depth is limited to eight individuals. TILLING by Sequencing (TbyS) allows for an efficient screening of mutations in larger populations in a limited time period and with a high resolution and pooling depths of up to 96 individuals (Tsai et al. 2011). TbyS was used in many crop plants such as wheat, maize, rice, tef, tomato, peanut, quinoa and also in oilseed rape (Krasileva et al. 2017; Tsai et al. 2011; Rigola 2009; Tsuda et al. 2015; Zhu et al. 2012a; Mestanza et al. 2019; Guo et al. 2015; Lu et al. 2018; Wells et al. 2013; Gilchrist et al. 2013). Further improvements in sequencing machines with higher data output facilitated screening of large number of genes or amplicons by stacking them even up to 100. With availability of different barcodes, this method can be used in multi-dimensional pooling studies to identify the mutation along with its type (missense, nonsense or splice site mutations) in a single step (Tsai et al. 2011; Tsuda et al. 2015; Pan et al. 2015). Today bioinformatic tools such as CAMBA and MAPS are available that are exclusively dedicated for TbyS approaches to detect mutations more efficiently in a limited time (Missirian et al. 2011; Rigola 2009; Chi et al. 2014). An overview of TbyS done so far is shown in Table 2 and a more detailed description is given in Chapter 2.

| Species | Populatio n size | Mutation density | Poolin g depth | No. of genes analyzed | Sequencing machine | Approach§ | Bioinformatics pipeline | Reference |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------|---|---|
| Wheat Rice | 768 512 | 1/19.7 Mb 1/3.3 Mb | 64 48 | 7(6) 17(33) | Illumina GA | AS AS | CAMBA CAMBA | (Tsai et al. 2011) |
| Soybean | 1536 | 1/74 kb | 96 | 7 | MiSeq | AS | CLC Genomics work bench | (Tsuda et al. 2015) |
| Canola (DH-line) | 384 | 1/72 kb | 96 | 4 | GAIIx | AS | Bowtie, SAM tools | (Gilchrist et al. 2013; Wells et al. 2013) |
| Rapeseed | 4608 | 10-41/ gene | 8 | 3 | GAII | AS | Maq v0.7.1 | (Wells et al. 2013) |
| Wheat (4x) Wheat (6x) | 1535 1200 | 1/34.8kb 1/39.5kb | - | - | | WGS WGS | MAPS MAPS | (Krasileva et al. 2017) |
| Barley | 20 256 | 1/154kb 30 mutations | - 8 | -10 | Illumina MiSeq | WGS EC | BWA, GATK | (Schreiber et al. 2019) |
| Maize | 1,086 | 6.1 mutations/ gene | | 32069 | | EC | BWA, GATK | (Lu et al. 2018) |
| Peanut | 768 | 1/344 kb and 1 /3,028 kb | 64-96 | 1 | Illumina | AS | BWA, SAM tools | (Guo et al. 2015) |
| Quinoa | 3,072 | 1/203 kb | 96 | 6 | Illumina GA | AS | CODDLE | (Mestanza et al. 2019) |
| Tef (DZ- Cr-37) | 21,210 | 120 mutations / 4 genes | 90-100 | 4 | 454 GS FLX | AS | SIFT (sorting intolerant from tolerant) | (Zhu et al. 2012a) |
| Tomato | 4 EMS mutants | 1/0.8 Mb | - | - | 454 GS FLX | WGS | Bowtie, SAM | (Rigola 2009) |
| Tomato | 3000 | - | - | 3 | | AS | | |
| Sorghum | 256 | >1.8 million | - | - | Illumina HiSeq 2000 | WGS | Bowtie, SAM tools | (Jiao et al. 2016) |

Table 2: TILLING by sequencing (TbyS) studies performed in crop plants.

[§]AS: amplicon sequencing, WGS: whole genome sequencing, ECS: exome capture sequencing

1.5.2. Precise genome editing

The discovery of precise genome editing tools has revolutionized the way to create single and multiple knockout mutants of genes in a short time (Belhaj et al. 2013; Jinek et al. 2012). This might play a crucial role in many crop genomes having multiple, redundant genes and extensive gene family networks as a result of polyploidization (Voytas 2013). Genome editing technologies are based on the introduction of double strand breaks (DSBs) by specific nucleases at desired location of a genome. With the benefit of natural DNA repair mechanisms of cells, these induced DSBs can be repaired either by non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) or by homology directed repair (HDR) (Voytas 2013). NHEJ is an error prone process and after DNA repair insertions, deletions or substitutions can be observed at the repaired locations. Whereas in HDR, DNA is repaired by means of a homologous sequence that serves as a template for the sequence to be repaired (Belhaj et al. 2013). Most of the studies aimed at NHEJ repair mechanism as this creates knockouts in targeted genes that are valuable for studying unknown gene functions. Moreover, the induced mutations are not distinguishable from natural mutations. However, HDR mediated editing involves introduction of a DNA sequence which is a homolog to the target DNA and is similar to transgenesis which is considered to be a GMO technique (Voytas and Gao 2014). With the recent European legislation, regardless of type of the method used, all the obtained mutants by gene editing technologies are considered as GMO and are prohibited to be used in practical breeding approaches.

Many CRISPR-Cas (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats-CRISPR associated) systems from various bacteria like *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus thermophiles* are codon optimized for precise gene editing in plants. This had led to modify many agronomical traits in plants, which are beneficial in breeding for increased human demands (Jung et al. 2018). At present, a plethora of Cas proteins are available for various purposes of gene editing. Within no time, CRISPR-Cas has evolved as a toolbox for various purposes in plant breeding to induce mutations. In addition, genes can be turned on or turned off with the help of deactivated Cas9 (dcas9) fused with enhancers or repressors, respectively. HDR was shown to be possible in plants with the help of Cas12 and more recently, the use of Cas13 was shown to edit RNAs more efficiently than RNAi technologies (Schmidt et al. 2019; Wolter et al. 2019; Wolter and Puchta 2018).

Successful experiments were shown in oilseed rape using CRISPR-Cas for editing several important agronomic traits. Silique shatter resistance was increased by knocking out multiple paralogs of *BnALC*, *BnIND* and *BnFUL* (Braatz et al. 2017; Zhai et al. 2019a; Yang et al. 2017). Seed yield was increased by modifying meristem identity genes like *BnCLV1* (Yang et al. 2018). By knocking out *BnFANCM*, a meiotic recombination gene, higher crossover frequencies were observed which might be useful to create genetic variation (Blary et al. 2018). Fatty acid composition and oil contents were also improved by knocking out *BnFAD2*, *BnLPAT2* and *BnLPAT5*, respectively (Okuzaki et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2019). Other breeding targets like increased resistance to *Sclerotinia* was shown by knocking out *BnWRKY70* (Sun et al. 2018) and more recently yellow-seeded oilseed rape was produced for enhancing the nutritional values by knocking out *BnTT8* (Zhai et al. 2019b).

1.6. Scientific hypothesis and objectives

This study was focused on reducing phytic acid contents in oilseed rape by using state of the art methods for the identification and characterization of responsible genes. Polyploids often can tolerate mutations without any visible effects and knocking out multiple copies is essential to observe a noticeable phenotype. Therefore, I hypothesized that multiple knockout mutations in only one gene family can result in reduced PA contents with no pleiotropic effects on agronomical traits. Since it was not known at the beginning of the study which genes might be the best candidates, I have broaden the analysis and concentrated on different gene families during my study. Furthermore, I had the following aims and the following questions to answer:

- I aimed to identify all genes in rapeseed involved in PA synthesis and intracellular transport and to study their expression in developing seeds.
- Is it possible to identify and recover mutations when sequencing DNA of highly pooled M₂ plants?
- In addition, how efficient is TbyS in terms of time and costs as compared to classical gel based TILLING approaches?
- I aimed to produce double mutants because I expected single mutants might not show a visible phenotype in a polyploid crop like oilseed rape.
- I also aimed to analyze whether the obtained mutants showed pleiotropic effects on yield related traits by a reduction of PA.

2. Identification of phytic acid mutants in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) by large scale screening of mutant populations through amplicon sequencing

Niharika Sashidhar, Hans-J Harloff, Christian Jung

Published in New Phytologist 11th October 2019 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16281</u>

2.1. Summary

- *Brassica napus* L. (oilseed rape) is an important oil crop in temperate regions, which originated from hybridization of *B. oleracea* and *B. rapa*. Due to its polyploidy, the functional study of single genes is cumbersome. Phytic acid is considered as an anti-nutritive compound and we aimed to knockout the underlying synthesis and transporter genes to identify low phytic acid mutants.
- We implemented a high throughput NGS screening protocol for an EMS population of 7680 plants in 6 gene families (*BnMIPS, BnMIK, Bn2-PGK, BnIPK1, BnIPK2* and *BnMRP5*) with two paralogs for each gene.
- A total of 1487 mutations were revealed and the vast majority (96%) were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Furthermore, the characterization of double mutants of *Bn.2-PGK2* showed a significant reduction of phytic acid contents.
- We propose to use three-dimensional pooling combined with amplicon stacking and next generation sequencing to identify mutations in polyploid rapeseed in a fast and cost-effective manner for complex metabolic pathways. Furthermore, the identified mutants in *Bn2-PGK2* might be a very valuable resource for industrial production of rapeseed protein for human consumption.

2.2. Introduction

Brassica napus L. (oilseed rape) is a recent polyploid that emerged ~7500 years ago and is gaining more importance for its versatile uses as a crop for oil, bioenergy and livestock feed (Fu et al. 2019; Gacek et al. 2018). As a result, breeding of oilseed rape has become an essential task in the recent years. Dry mature seeds from oilseed rape accumulate oil (45-50%) and proteins (20-25%), while the meal remaining after oil extraction contains about 40% proteins (Nesi et al. 2008). Often, the meal is considered as anti-nutritive due to the presence of glucosinolates, tannins, phenolic acids and phytic acid that cannot be metabolized (Campbell et al. 2016). Phytic acid is the major phosphorus storage compound in seeds with levels from 2-4 % in oilseed rape seeds, 2-5% in the defatted meal and 5-7% for the protein concentrates (Thompson 1990). Many low phytic acid mutants have been identified in barley, rice, wheat, soybean, maize, peas and sesame (Sparvoli and Cominelli 2015). In oilseed rape no stable mutants have been reported, but a downregulation of MIPS genes by RNAi has been described in a patent with a reduced phytate content (Georges et al. 2006). Phytic acid is synthesized via two different pathways which include seven biosynthetic enzymes. These are myo-inositol phosphate synthase (MIPS), myo-inositol kinase (MIK), myo-inositol monophosphatase (IMP), inositol tetrakisphosphate kinase (ITPK), inositol multi phosphate kinase (IPK2), 2-phosphoglyceric acid kinase (2-PGK), inositol pentakis-phosphate 2-kinase (IPK1) and one transporter protein, a multidrug resistanceassociated protein (MRP5) (Figure 3) (Raboy 2009). The model plant Arabidopsis thaliana has multiple loci for the encoding genes, namely three AtMIPS, three AtIMP, one AtMIK, four AtITPK, two

IPK2, two *At2-PGK*, two *AtIPK1* and one *AtMRP5* (Kim and Tai 2011). We expected even more copies in polyploid *B. napus* (2n=4x=38), which complicates the search for low phytic acid mutants.

Screening of metabolic mutants is difficult if the metabolite is formed in a complex biochemical pathway involving many genes. In oilseed rape, due to its amphidiploid genome, a forward genetic approach is not very promising because single gene mutations did not result in a visible phenotype (Uauy et al. 2017; Emrani et al. 2015). To date no low phytic acid oilseed rape cultivars are available for commercialization of rapeseed meal as a protein source. Therefore, external phytases are applied to the meal for improving the meal quality (Adem et al. 2014). In this scenario, mutational breeding is an alternative for identification of low phytic acid mutants. So far, high throughput mutation screening techniques are lacking in oilseed rape. Among the reverse genetic tools, TILLING (Targeted Induced Local Lesions IN Genomes) is a valuable platform for characterization of point mutations across the whole genome. However, the classical screening methods based on mismatch-specific endonucleases is laborious and time consuming, especially when mutations for multiple genes are needed. Therefore, studies performed until now were limited to one or two genes (Blary et al. 2018; Braatz et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2008). In this study, a TILLING population of 7680 M₂ plants derived from 1902 EMS (ethylmethane sulfonate) treated M₁ plants was used. This population had been proven to be an excellent resource due to its high mutation frequencies (Harloff et al 2012).

Genome editing mediated by CRISPR-Cas has been successfully implemented to induce mutations in oilseed rape (Sun et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2018; Braatz et al. 2017). This method has the advantage of precise modification of the targeted genes unlike random mutagenesis in TILLING. Unfortunately, any kind of genome editing is legally classified as genetic modification especially in the EU which prevents its application in plant breeding. Today, with the availability of advanced bioinformatics and reduced sequencing costs, TILLING by Sequencing (TbyS) can be implemented in every crop in various approaches like whole genome, exome capture or amplicon sequencing (Rigola et al. 2009; Tsai et al. 2011; Tsuda et al. 2015; Krasileva et al. 2017; Kim et al. 2018). The selection of a suitable method depends on the size of the genome and on underlying costs for library preparation and sequencing. With these advancements, TbyS was performed in oilseed rape as a proof of concept at a small scale with one to three genes in small populations by amplicon sequencing (Gilchrist et al. 2013; Wells et al. 2013).



Figure 3: Proposed phytic acid pathway in rapeseed with all *Brassica napus*. L genes identified in this study (after Raboy, 2009). Targeted paralogs from the respective families are shown in green circles. MIPS: *myo*-inositol phosphate synthase, MIK: *myo*-inositol kinase, IMP: *myo*-inositol mono phosphatase, 2-PGK: 2-phosphoglyceric acid kinase, ITPK: inositol tetrakis-phosphate kinase, IPK2β: inositol multi phosphate kinase, IPK: inositol pentakis-phosphate 2-kinase, MRP5: multi drug resistance protein, DAG: Diacyl glycerol, PLC: phospholipase C, PtdIS: phosphatidyl inositol synthase. The paralog number of each subgene family is given in the circles.

It has been demonstrated for several crops that a knockout of phytic acid synthesis genes results in low phytic acid accumulation in the seed (Larson et al. 2000; Raboy 2000; Guttieri et al. 2004; Campion et al. 2009). In this study, we identified all paralogs of phytic acid pathway genes known from Arabidopsis in oilseed rape. We hypothesized that nonsense mutations in these genes lead to a reduction of phytic acid. We describe in detail the screening of a winter type EMS population of *B. napus* with 7680 plants by using a 3D-pooling strategy and amplicon stacking. Single nucleotide polymorphisms identified by NGS were verified by Sanger sequencing, with low number of false positives. We propose to use NGS for future screening of rapeseed mutant populations for large number of genes in an effortless manner. We have identified knockout mutants in five key genes of the phytic acid biosynthetic pathway (*MIPS*, *MIK*, *IPK2*, *2-PGK*, *IPK1*) and another gene encoding the transporter MRP5. Furthermore, we found that 2-*PGK2* double mutants had significantly reduced phytic acid contents.

2.3. Materials and Methods

2.3.1. Plant material

An EMS population of the inbred line Express 617 (F_{11}) derived from the German cultivar Express was used for mutation screening (Harloff et al. 2012). A total of 7680 M₂ DNA samples, normalized to 5 ng μ l⁻¹ and stored in eighty 96-well microtiter plates were used for a 3D-pooling strategy.

2.3.2. In silico analysis of phytic acid pathway genes

Genes from the phytic acid pathway in *Arabidopsis thaliana* were used for identifying the respective homologs in the *B. napus* reference genome (Kim and Tai 2011)

(http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicanapus/). All possible hits were retrieved and compared for the exon intron structure and conserved domains (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi). Protein domains were identified by searching the pFam database (http://pfam.xfam.org/). All hits were confirmed using TAIR (https://www.arabidopsis.org/servlets/Search?type=gene&action=new_search) and NCBI annotations (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene) and the *Brassica* database (BRAD) (http://brassicadb.org/brad/).

2.3.3. Designing paralog specific primers

Five genes encoding enzymes of the phytic acid synthesis pathway and one phytic acid transporter were chosen for screening an EMS population by using paralog specific primers. For this purpose, paralogs of each family were aligned separately using the CLC Main work bench 7.9.1 (CLC, Aarhus, Denmark) software. Then, an existing SNP within the paralogs was placed at the 3' end of a primer and the 3rd base from the 3' region was modified to another base to increase allele specificity (Liu et al. 2012) (Figure S1). The modified base was preferred to be C or G due to its high bonding. Primer properties were checked by Primer3Plus (http://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-

bin/primer3plus/primer3plus.cgi). Only primers with GC content above 45%, a melting temperature above or equal to 58°C were chosen for amplification. The primer combinations covered 60-90% of the coding sequences and the specificity of primers was tested by Sanger sequencing of all targeted amplicons from non-mutated Express 617.

2.3.4. Expression analysis

Five biological replicates of Express 617 were used for qPCR analysis. Plants were grown under controlled conditions in a growth chamber at 22°C under long day conditions for three weeks and subsequently vernalized at 4°C for eight weeks (16h: 8h, light: dark). Later, the plants were transferred back to 22°C, (16h: 8h, light: dark). The opening of the flower was marked and the following days were recorded as days after pollination (DAP). Seeds from developing siliques from 15 DAP, 25 DAP, 35 DAP and 45 DAP were harvested and frozen in liquid nitrogen. mRNA was isolated from the homogenized seed samples using buffer P from the PeqGold Plant RNA isolation kit (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNase digestion was performed after RNA isolation using the peqGOLD DNase I Digest Kit (PEQLAB, Erlangen, Germany) to remove genomic DNA. cDNA first strand synthesis was carried out using a first strand cDNA synthesis kit (ThermoFisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, United States) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RT-qPCR was performed with three technical repeats using Platinum® SYBR® Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG with ROX (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) on a CFX96 Real-Time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) in a volume of 20µl. *BnActin2* was used as a house keeping gene for normalization. Relative expression was calculated using the ΔC_t method.

2.3.5. Construction of 3D pools

For 3D pooling, 7680 M_2 DNA samples, which were distributed in 80 microtiter plates, were used. The microtiter plates were arranged in 10 stacks and 96 individual DNA samples were pooled in 80 plate, 80 row and 80 column pools (Figure 4). Each pool was prepared by pipetting 2 μ l of DNA from each M_2 individual. The plate pools were prepared by combining all 96 samples of each plate into individual pools. Row pools were prepared by mixing eight rows, i.e. for the first sample A1 to A12 from plate one to plate eight, into one pool of stack one. Column pools were prepared by mixing corresponding columns of each plate, i.e. for the first sample A1 to H1 from plate one to eight and A2 to H2 from plate one to four were mixed (Figure 4).



Figure 4: Set up of the TILLING by sequencing (TbyS) platform: DNA from 7680 M₂ plants was stored in 80 microtiter plates, which were arranged into 10 stacks of 8 plates each. 3D pools were prepared as shown in maroon, yellow and grey markings. Plate pools were prepared by combining the 96 samples of each plate into individual pools. Column pools were prepared by pipetting the samples from A1 to H1 from plate 1 to 8 and A2 to H2 from plate 1 to 4 for the first pool and accordingly for the next pools. Row pools were prepared by pipetting the samples from A1 to A12 from plate 1 to 8. In total, out of 80 individual M₂ microtiter plates, 80 plate pools, 80 row pools, and 80 column pools were formed. Six genes were selected as candidate genes for TbyS, with two paralogs from each gene family, resulting in 12 paralogs (G1 through G 12). A- and C-genome copies were grouped into paralog set 1 and 2, respectively. Ten long genes were divided into two

TILLING amplicons each, and the remaining two short genes were represented by one TILLING amplicon each (T1 to T22). All TILLING amplicons were amplified from 3D pools as shown in shades of blue and green arrows. Each super pool was formed by combining the amplicons of 11 PCRs of the respective dimension from each paralog library as shown in shades of blue and green. 80 unique barcodes were added to each super pool forming super pool libraries, which were sequenced on individual lanes. Combining the data from lane 1, 2 and 3 showed mutations as 3D SNPs for paralog set 1 while lanes 4, 5 and 6 showed mutations as 3D SNPs for paralog set 2.

2.3.6. Candidate gene amplification, library preparation and sequencing

Locus specific primers were used to amplify each paralog specifically. Primers were designed to span a minimum of 900 bp to a maximum of 1.5 kb of the respective gene (Table S). DNA aliquots of 2 µl of the prepared 3D pools were used as a PCR template for amplifying the candidate genes (92°C: 3min, [92°C: 30 sec, 58°C to 63°C: 30 sec, 72°C: 65 sec] 36x, 72°C: 5 min). The amplicons from A and C sub genomes were combined separately to form two paralog sets as shown in the blue and green colors in Figure 4. PCR products were purified using the magnetic bead MagSi-NGS^{*PREP*} Plus kit (Version 1.0|03/09/2015) (Steinbrenner Labor Systeme GmbH, Wiesenbach, Germany). After purification, the quality of samples was checked with the Quant iT dsDNA HS Kit (Thermo Fischer, Germany) prior to library preparation and all samples met the required conditions of >1ng µl⁻¹. The 80 plate, row and column pools of the paralog sets were tagged, respectively, with 80 unique barcodes consisting of ten i7 and eight i5 adaptors forming six super pool libraries. A Nextera XT kit was used for library preparation according to the manufacturer's protocol (Illumina document # 15031942 v01). Sequencing was done on an Illumina HiSeq4000 at CeGaT (Tübingen, Germany) using 2x 100 bp paired-end reads (Figure 4).

2.3.7. Parameters used for the data analysis

Raw data were obtained from CeGaT GmbH (Tübingen, Germany) in a FastQ format. The specifications for FastQ files were read out using the program FASTQC (version 0.10.1) (Cock et al. 2010; Andrews 2014). Illumina CASAVA (1.8.2) was used to de-multiplex the sequenced reads. Adapter sequences were removed from the sequence files using skewer (version 0.1.116) (Jiang et al. 2014). The obtained reads were analyzed with CLC Genomics Workbench 8.1 (Aarhus, Denmark). After de-multiplexing the reads, the 100 bp paired-end reads were imported into CLC Genomic Workbench 8.1 using the NCBI/Sanger or Illumina 1.8 and later tool with insert sizes 50 to 350 bp. The chosen trimming parameters in CLC were: ambiguous limit - two, quality limit - 0.01 and removal of three 5' and 3' terminal nucleotides - yes, discard read pairs with minimum a number of nucleotides - less than 60 bp. The trimmed paired end reads were mapped using Darmor-bzh as a reference sequence (Chalhoub et al. 2014). All the reads were mapped using the following parameters: no global alignment, auto detect paired distances- yes, insertion open cost -5, deletion open cost -5, insertion extension cost and deletion extension cost - 1, similarity fraction - 0.99. Mapped reads were called for single nucleotide variation (SNV, also referred to as SNP) using the basic variant detection tool to identify the amino acid changes. The parameters were as follows: ignore nonspecific matches and broken read pairs, minimum read coverage -10, minimum count -2, minimum frequency (%) -0.1. The obtained data were combined from the respective stacks and sorted according to the reference position using Microsoft excel worksheets. The final 3D SNPs were recovered by using count value from CLC, which is calculated as (coverage*frequency)/100 of the SNP. Coverage per lane was calculated using the following formula: number of reads* read length/ (library ratio* pool depth*total input DNA) (Tsai et al. 2011).

2.3.8. Phytic acid measurements

Plants from an F_2 population segregating for the mutant alleles and the donor variety Express 617 were grown in 11 cm x11cm pots in the glasshouse (16h: 8h, light: dark) without P fertilizer. Five plants

from each homozygous F_2 genotype were used as biological replicates (Figure S2). Dry matured seeds (200 mg) were ground into fine powder and phytic acid was extracted in three replicates of 50 mg according to Matthäus et al. (1995) with minor modifications. Phytic acid was measured by HPLC according to Rounds and Nielsen (1993) calibrating with a phytic acid standard (Sigma P-8810).

2.3.9. Availability of sequencing data

All the raw sequence reads from amplicon sequencing are available at NCBI under Bio-project Id: PRJNA578364 with Sequence Read Archive (SRA) accession number 'SAMN13058248 to SAMN13058647' (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/578364).

2.4. Results

2.4.1. Target gene selection

As a first step, we identified putatively functional paralogs of the *A. thaliana* genes encoding the key enzymes of the phytic acid synthesis pathway. In *B. napus*, these enzymes are encoded by 19 subgene families comprising a total of 59 genes (Figure 3,Table S1). To identify the functional gene copies, transcript abundance was measured in developing seeds of Express 617. Upon normalization with *BnActin2*, one (*BnMIK*, *BnIPK2β*), two (*BnMIPS1*, *Bn2-PGK2*, *BnIPK1*,1), or three paralogs (*BnMRP5*) were found to be highly expressed at all developmental stages (Figure 5). For the TbyS analysis, paralogs from the subgene families that had no effect on phytic acid reduction in *A. thaliana* were excluded from the analysis with the exception of *MIPS1* (Kim and Tai 2011; Kim and Tai 2010) (Figure 3), because a *MIPS1* soybean knockout mutant and also a *B. napus* RNAi mutant displayed a low phytic acid phenotype (Kuwano et al. 2009; Georges et al. 2006; Meis et al. 2003). Paralogs were selected that showed a constitutive expression over the whole seed filling period (Figure 5), thereby, we chose the 12 most highly expressed genes for TbyS from six gene families (Table S2).



Figure 5: A heat map showing the relative expression of phytic acid pathway genes in developing seeds of Express 617. The normalized C_t values of the paralogs from 15, 25, 35, and 45 days after pollination (DAP) were used for calculation of the heatmap. For construction of the heatmap, the Heatmaply package (Galili et al. 2017) was used in R 3.6.1. High and low expressed genes are shown in red and green colors, respectively.

2.4.2. Library preparation

Due to the high sequence homology between the paralogs and the limited number of indexing tags, a strategy was chosen to combine pools paralog-wise. The paralogs of the twelve genes were divided into two groups according to their origin from the A and C genomes, with the exception of the *Bn2-PGK2* gene, for which the two highest expressed A genome paralogs have been chosen (Figure 4, Table S2). Larger genes (> 1 kb transcript length) were amplified with two primer pairs to screen 60-90 % of the total exon sequence, whereas for the smaller gene *BnIPK2β* (transcript length ~860 bp), only one primer pair was used. The amplicons of *BnMIPS*, *BnMIK*, *Bn2-PGK2*, *BnIPK2β* and *BnIPK1*, *I* covered 90 % to 100 % of the open reading frame and the amplicons for *BnMRP5* comprised 60 % of the coding region including the transmembrane domains. The targeted regions contained most of the predicted stop codons that might occur by EMS treatment (G/C to A/T transitions).

2.4.3. Illumina sequencing and data analysis

A total number of 22 amplicons derived from 12 genes were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 (Figure 4). The raw data in FastQ format were obtained by FASTQC (version 0.10.1) from CeGat, which resulted in an average lane yield of 60 Gb. The Phred score for most of the reads was Q30 with a base call accuracy of 92.67 %. In order to reduce the mapping errors, reads from the imported raw data that had lengths below 100 bp and also ambiguous SNPs (typically N) were trimmed. After trimming an average of 55 million reads were retained for a total of 22 amplicons. Trimmed reads passing the quality parameters were mapped to the Darmor-bzh reference sequence. High stringent mapping parameters were used in CLC Genomics work bench 8.1, which resulted in only few to no gaps in the mapped sequences. The total number of mapped reads for the 12 analyzed genes comprising 22 amplicons ranged from 10 million to 100 million reads (Figure S3a). The average coverage of the aligned reads to the reference in all plate, row and column pools of the 12 genes ranged from 5 x 10^5 to 6 x 10^6 (Figure S3b). The total number of reads from the overall pools obtained at each step is shown in Figure S3c. SNPs identified using the CLC Genomics work bench 8.1 were filtered for C to T and G to A transitions for all 240 pools. After retrieval of the SNP data, mutations that appeared in corresponding 3D pools were selected from the processed data according to the reference position. A 3D mutation is characterized by the presence of SNPs in all three dimensions, 2D SNPs present in either of the two dimension pools and any SNPs that could not be clearly assigned to two or three corresponding pools were considered as noise. On combining the data from lanes one to three for paralog library 1 and from the lanes four to six for paralog library 2, 3D SNPs could be identified. We obtained an overall coverage per allele of 143. However, based on 'coverage', we could not select true SNPs, as 'noise' attained similar coverage values. Therefore, this was not an appropriate parameter to determine a detection limit. Instead, we considered 'frequency' as a second parameter which in combination with 'coverage' allowed detecting true SNPs. Hence, a threshold value based on the count parameter, which is calculated as (coverage* frequency)/100, was used. Any 3D SNP which had at least two values \geq 30, was considered as a putative 3D SNP. SNPs that had a threshold value below 30 and also SNPs that could not be narrowed down to single plant even though their count values were higher than the threshold were considered as noise and were thus eliminated (Figure 6, Figure S4). Furthermore, the SNPs from the modified base from the 3' end of the primers occurred with very high frequency and they were present in most of the pools. We eliminated the SNPs from the primer mismatches along with the ubiquitous SNPs that were regarded as genotype variations to the reference genome (Figure S3d). We only focused on C>T and G>A transitions, as these are the causal mutations from EMS treatments. Putative stop codon mutations were found for all targeted genes except for Bn.MIK.A10. The total number of mutations identified in the whole population is given in Table 3. The overall mutation frequencies (F) are calculated according to the formula 1/ [(number of

 M_1 plants* amplicon length)/ (number of mutations*1000)] and ranged from 1/15 kb to 1/64 kb from all screened amplicons.

| Table 3: Three dimensional (3D) mutations identified | ed by Illumina sequencing of 7680 M ₂ DNA |
|--|--|
| samples in 12 genes involved in the phytic acid meta | abolic pathway. |

| | Number of Mutations | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------|----------|--------|----------|-------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Paralog | Nonsens e | Missense | Silent | Intronic | Splice site | Total No. | Mutation frequency (F) (1/kb) [§] | | | |
| Bn.MIPS1.A01 | 3 | 45 | 29 | 38 | 3 | 118 | 1/22 | | | |
| Bn.MIPS1.C01 | 3 | 48 | 31 | 21 | 1 | 104 | 1/39 | | | |
| Bn.MIK.A10 | 0 | 53 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 96 | 1/22 | | | |
| Bn.MIK.C09 | 1 | 64 | 42 | 31 | 0 | 138 | 1/24 | | | |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A02 | 2 | 39 | 21 | 14 | 4 | 80 | 1/36 | | | |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | 3 | 136 | 63 | 42 | 4 | 248 | 1/18 | | | |
| Bn.IPK1,1.A09 | 4 | 38 | 18 | 19 | 0 | 79 | 1/30 | | | |
| Bn.IPK1,1.C09 | 7 | 30 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 67 | 1/34 | | | |
| Bn.IPK2β.A09 | 6 | 62 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 1/15 | | | |
| Bn.IPK2β.C09 | 2 | 45 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 1/64 | | | |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | 8 | 109 | 54 | 5 | 3 | 179 | 1/20 | | | |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | 10 | 103 | 48 | 11 | 1 | 173 | 1/32 | | | |
| Total | 49 | 772 | 462 | 188 | 16 | 1487 | 1/27 | | | |

§ F is calculated as 1/ [Number of M1 plants* amplicon length)/ (Number of mutations*1000)]



Figure 6: Classification of mutation types from the NGS data set by Sanger sequencing. The total number of SNPs obtained after basic variant detection calling. Each dot represents one SNP at the particular reference position. A true 3D SNP, shown in green is represented by three dots corresponding to the three-dimensional pooling strategy. 'Noise' was referred to as SNPs which could not be assigned to single plants or SNPs with a threshold value <30. These SNPs are displayed as grey dots. Red dots are mutations confirmed by Sanger sequencing. 'Count' was calculated as (coverage*frequency)/100 for every SNP. The threshold (count = 30) is shown in black dotted lines.

2.4.4. Confirmation of mutations by Sanger sequencing

A subset of the 3D mutations obtained by NGS was verified by Sanger sequencing in order to determine a possible false positive rate. This comprised 71 stop codon, splice site and putative functional missense mutations, as these were considered for further analysis. The amplification of single M₂ DNA samples by paralog specific primers confirmed 70 out of 71 mutations in all genes targeted in this study. For future phenotypic analyses and combination of single mutations by crossing, out of 70 confirmed M₂ mutations 19 putative loss of function mutations were analyzed and confirmed also in the M₃ generation. All mutant alleles segregated in M₂ according to Mendelian inheritance (Table S3).

2.4.5. Analysis of phytic acid contents in single and double mutants

For measuring the effect of knockout mutations, we focused on the 2-phosphoglyceric acid kinase (Bn2-PGK2), which is involved at the early stage of the lipid independent pathway (Figure 3). It was hypothesized that this enzyme in addition to producing 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate (2,3-BPG) also uses *myo*-inositol monophosphate as a substrate resulting in the synthesis of inositol bisphosphate (Raboy 2007; Lu et al. 2016). The enzyme was initially identified in rice and knockout mutants are available from rice and Arabidopsis which showed a phytic acid reduction of 45% and 57%, respectively (Kim et al. 2008; Kim and Tai 2011; Kishor et al. 2019). Paralogs of *Bn2-PGK2* showed a conserved P-loop

kinase domain with two conserved motifs (Walker A and Walker B) (Figure 7a, b) (Snider et al. 2008). The two targeted paralogs of Bn2-PGK2, Bn.2-PGK2.A02 and Bn.2-PGK2.A10, contained two and three stop codon mutations and three splice site mutations, respectively (Figure 7a). For ease of understanding, the alleles from Bn.2-PGK2.A02 and Bn.2-PGK2.A10 are named with a letter H or I, respectively, where the suffix 'e' describes the allele of the non-mutagenized donor Express 617. For the phenotyping analysis, stop codon mutations (H_1 and I_1) preceding the Walker B motif were chosen (Table 4, Figure 7a).

Since single mutants have not shown significant phenotypic effects in previous studies (Emrani et al. 2015), a segregating F₂ population was created by combining the mutant alleles (H_1H_1 and I_1I_1) from M₃ parents to form a double mutant for *Bn2-PGK2* (Figure S2). H_1 contained a C1511T and I_1 a C1689T transition, resulting in premature stop codons at Q269* and Q283*, respectively (Figure 7a). Homozygous double mutants ($H_1H_1I_1I_1$) and single mutants ($H_1H_1I_eI_e$ and $H_eH_eI_1I_1$) were selected from the segregating F₂ population and the phytic acid contents were measured in matured F₃ seeds. Mutants and control plants were grown under 16h: 8h, light: dark conditions under controlled glasshouse conditions. Plants were randomized every week and no external phosphorus was added to the plants. During harvest, plant height and thousand kernel weights (TKW) were recorded (Table S4). Both were slightly but not significantly reduced in the segregating F₂ as compared to Express 617. The phytic acid content in single mutants and internal wild type controls ($H_eH_eI_eI_e$) did not vary significantly (4.76 % – 4.73 %) and was in the range of the non-mutated Express 617 control (4.89 %). However, the phytic acid content in the double mutant (3.65 %) was significantly lower (Figure 7c) which constitutes a 25.4 % phytic acid reduction compared to the non-mutagenized EMS donor Express 617. In contrast, single mutants did not show any reduction in phytic acid contents.

| Gene | Mutation type | Mutation site (bp) [§] | Allele* | Mutation |
|---------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| Bn.2-PGK2A02 | Nonsense | 1511 | H_{l} | C to T |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A02 | Splice site | 1866 | H_2 | G to A |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A02 | Nonsense | 2212 | H_3 | C to T |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A02 | Splice site | 1139 | H_4 | G to A |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | Nonsense | 1689 | I_{l} | C to T |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | Splice site | 1231 | I_2 | G to A |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | Splice site | 1821 | I_3 | G to A |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | Nonsense | 2783 | I_4 | C to T |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | Splice site | 3024 | I_5 | G to A |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | Nonsense | 3190 | I_6 | C to T |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A02 | - | - | H_e | - |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | - | - | Ie | - |

Table 4: All *Bn2-PGK2* mutant alleles detected in this study.

[§] distance from the Start codon

 * A single letter code was used to display M₂ genotypes where 'e' denotes the allele of non-mutagenized Express 617 donor variety.



Figure 7: Phenotypic analysis of bn2-pgk2 mutants. (a): Gene structure showing the positions of the identified mutations. Exons are shown in grey bars. Primer pairs used for amplicon sequencing are shown in black and yellow arrows. The double-headed red arrow indicates the P loop kinase domain and green and yellow boxes show the Walker A and B motifs, respectively. Stop codons are shown as black triangles and splice site mutations as blue triangles. The mutant alleles are indicated with respective numbers (b): Conserved Walker A and Walker B motifs in different Bn2-PGK2 enzymes (c): Box plot of phytic acid contents in seeds of bn2-pgk2 mutants. Statistical analysis was performed using Anova and a posthoc test using Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; p=0.05. Same letters indicate no significant differences. The bottom and top boxes represent the upper and lower quartile, respectively, middle line indicates the median, and the whiskers represent the maximum and minimum values. Means of 15 samples are indicated by '+' and dots indicate the outliers. The non-mutated Express 617 alleles are indicated by 'e'.

2.5. Discussion

Alike oilseed rape, many economically important crops are polyploids such as wheat, potato, soybean, cotton, sugarcane, coffee and sunflower. Polyploids are often better adapted to adverse environments, show improved growth vigor and increased stress tolerance. However, due to gene redundancy identification of mutant alleles is more difficult in polyploids because mutations are mostly recessive impeding a direct forward genetics approach (Krasileva et al. 2017). This is the reason why a sequence based mutant detection pipeline is very attractive for polyploid species. In addition, intensive selection

breeding has narrowed genetic diversity. As an example, for low phytic acid content in the seeds, which is a desired trait in rapeseed meal as an animal feed, so far, no low phytic acid rapeseed cultivars are available (Lickfett et al. 1999). Therefore, new strategies to increase genetic variation are needed. While transgenic technologies are not available to breeders in many parts of the world due to legal constraints, mutation breeding became an interesting alternative. Moreover, polyploid *B. napus* tolerates a high mutation load which gave rise to numerous mutants with altered agronomical traits (Harloff et al. 2012; Uauy et al. 2017).

We had established an EMS-TILLING platform for rapeseed which gave rise to many desired knockout mutants for important agronomic traits (Guo et al. 2014; Emrani et al. 2015; Braatz et al. 2018). Although whole genome sequencing would be the gold standard for identification of mutations, at present high coverage sequencing of all 7,680 plants from our EMS population with a high coverage is still not feasible due to the underlying costs. Exome capture approaches would offer an alternative and have been successfully used in wheat and maize (Krasileva et al. 2017; Lu et al. 2018). Unfortunately, for oilseed rape an exome capture kit is not yet available. In contrast, amplicon sequencing offers high coverage for screening of large populations by implementing multidimensional pooling and amplicon stacking. Furthermore, as TILLING experiments mainly aim at the identification of knockout mutations, screening of coding regions is in most cases sufficient. In our lab, the TbyS approach took four months and cost about 50% less than the classical gel-based TILLING.

In previous studies, the mutation frequencies in the Express 617 mutant population ranged from 1/15kb to 1/54 kb (Guo et al. 2014; Emrani et al. 2015; Braatz et al. 2018). In this study, a mutation frequency from 1/15 kb to 1/64 kb across the targeted genes was observed when only 3D SNPs were considered. In addition to 3D SNPs, we found that 2D SNPs also occur at mutation frequencies between 1/16 kb to 1/120 kb. Upon verification of a subset of 2D SNPs, all the tested 15 2D SNPs encompassing 10 stop codon and 5 splice site mutations were proven to be positive (Table S5). With this, we could show that screening of our population by NGS outperformed our previous studies because we could identify more mutations. Moreover, we clearly demonstrated the advantage of amplicon sequencing to the previously used method based on CEL1 digestion followed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. We reason that the 2D SNPs mainly occur due to either loss of samples during the preparation which is quite often observed in bead based library preparations or bias of Taq polymerase during PCR amplification (Marroni et al. 2012; Van Dijk et al. 2014). In addition, the coverage values varied between pools and in some cases two dimensions showed sufficient coverage, whereas the third dimension was less covered, which could have led to loss of the third dimension (Figure S5). Nonetheless, we conclude that amplicon sequencing could recover higher mutation frequencies than the traditional screening procedures, showcasing the efficiency of our EMS population to screen for higher number of genes.

In the following, we will compare our data with those from previously published TbyS studies. One of the problems with polyploid crops is to develop gene specific primers, which is often a backbreaker. In comparison to previous studies in oilseed rape (Gilchrist et al. 2013; Wells et al. 2013), the availability of a published reference genome of the *B. napus* variety Darmor-*bzh* helped to specifically amplify all the underlying gene copies (Figure S1). This was only possible by introducing mismatches close to the 3' end of primers to generate paralog specific amplicons for qPCR and TILLING by NGS. Another problem in data analysis after sequencing is to avoid occurrence of false positives. Most of the studies lack to discuss how to overcome the occurrence of false positive SNPs. In a previous study in allotetraploid *Eragrostis tef* only 60% of true SNPs could be recovered, indicating the complexity of assigning a true SNP in a polyploid genome when using higher pool depths (Zhu et al. 2012). As of now, there is no hard end rule to avoid the false positive rates. Today, only few bespoke bioinformatic pipelines are available for the identification of mutations in polyploids, namely MAPS (Henry et al. 2014) and CAMBA (Missirian et al. 2011; Tsai et al. 2013). A recent study in tomato discussed all the
existing bioinformatics pipelines for reducing the occurrence of false positives (Gupta et al. 2017). The authors of this study claimed the need for establishing robust pipelines for studies involving multidimensional pooling and amplicon stacking. In our study, we used a correlation of coverage and frequency values for the obtained NGS SNPs, which allowed a clear differentiation between noise and 3D SNPs (Figure S4). Using the parameter count, derived from this plot and calculated as (coverage*frequency)/100 for each SNP, we could reduce the occurrence of false positives to less than 5 %. The noise levels obtained during sequencing could be the result of errors during the initial cycles of PCR. By our classification of data, we can safely assess mutations *in silico* in the future without ample Sanger sequencing saving additional costs.

The pipeline proposed here can be easily scaled up for higher numbers of genes with the availability of more advanced sequencing machines and at reduced costs. Although CRISPR-Cas mediated mutagenesis is thriving technology for creating mutations in multiple copies of genes and without off-target effects, the availability of this technology is restricted due to legal constrains. In particular, the recent decision of the European court made genome edited plants inaccessible to breeders in Europe. Moreover, the limited transformation ability of many cultivars and unpredictable editing efficiencies at desired target sites might impede a general use of CRISPR-Cas (Wang et al. 2008; LeBlanc et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018). Therefore, we will further select EMS mutants from our population which is also available to the scientific community.

In this study we could identify novel mutations in genes involved in the phytic acid synthesis pathway. We propose a further reduction of phytic acid contents by pyramiding more mutated paralogs in one genotype, which could be very valuable for further oilseed rape breeding. The double mutants that have been produced in this study could be crossed with elite cultivars to breed low phytic acid varieties. One of the major aims of oilseed rape breeding is to improve the meal quality, which is rich in favorable proteins and amino acid profiles for human and mono-gastric animal nutrition (Campbell et al. 2016; Rodrigues et al. 2017). Hitherto, external phytases are added to the meal to decrease phytic acid contents and to increase the bio-availability of minerals (Al-Asheh and Duvnjak 1995; Campbell et al. 2016). Although, studies have shown the benefits of the usage of external phytases, there are still many drawbacks regarding the activity of phytases in the crude meal to digest phytic acid. These include also the type of organism used as a source for phytases, the used pH and the method of phytase extraction (Dersjant-Li et al. 2015). Therefore, the use of low phytic acid mutants that are identified in this study is a first step to increase the quality of the meal for food and feed production. Moreover, high quality rapeseed meal can also be an alternative for soybean meal for human and animal nutrition in regions where soybean cannot be grown. Finally, the use of low phytic acid varieties will have also an important impact on less water eutrophication, because the P content in the manure will be lower (Raboy 2000; Ockenden et al. 2004).

Since the biochemical function of the 2-PGK2 protein is largely unknown in plant species (Lu et al. 2016; Kishor et al. 2019), the novel *bn2-pgk2* mutants can be used to investigate its biochemical properties in the phytic acid pathway in *B. napus*. Since we have not seen pleiotropic effects on plant growth and thousand kernel weights between the mutants and the controls there are no adverse effects of the induced mutations (Table S4). Albeit the experiments were conducted under controlled conditions, evaluation of the mutant's field trails will be an essential task for the future. Furthermore, the high mutation load of EMS mutants prevents the direct use of these mutants in breeding due to sometimes observed low vigor and poor yielding capacities and therefore we initiated a program for a fast elimination of background mutations by backcrossing with distantly related early flowering spring type parents under speed breeding conditions (Watson et al. 2018).

2.6. Supporting information

Figure S1: Designing paralog specific primers.

Figure S2: Crossing scheme for production of *bn2-pgk2* double mutants.

Figure S3: Overview of reads, coverage across the pools and eliminated reads.

Figure S4: Threshold for selecting the putative three-dimensional (3D) SNPs.

Figure S5: Coverage across the total number of pools.

Table S1: In silico analysis of phytic acid pathway genes in Brassica napus. L

Table S2: Primers used in this study for TILLING by Sequencing (TbyS).

Table S3: M₃ generation genotyping to select homozygous mutant lines.

Table S4: Evaluation of plant height and thousand-kernel weights (TKW) of *bn2-pgk2* mutants.

Table S5: Total number of two-dimensional (2D) mutations obtained by TILLING by Sequencing (TbyS).

2.7. Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a grant from the German Research Foundation, DFG (grant No. JU 205/26-1). We thank Dr. Siegbert Melzer for valuable discussions and carefully reading the manuscript and Dr. Mario Hasler for his help in data evaluation. We also thank the Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology in Kiel for providing Sanger sequencing as supported in part by the DFG Clusters of Excellence "Precision Medicine in Chronic Inflammation" and "ROOTS" and thank T. Naujoks, Dr. D. Langfeldt and Dr. B. Löscher for technical support. and the breeding company Norddeutsche Pflanzenzucht Hans-Georg Lembke KG for providing M₃ seed material. We thank Dipl. Biol. Jens Hermann and Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Bilger from the Department of Ecophysiology of Plants in Kiel for HPLC measurements.

2.8. References

Adem HN, Tressel R-P, Pudel F, Slawski H, Schulz C (2014) Rapeseed use in aquaculture. *OCL* 21 (1): D105

Al-Asheh S, Duvnjak Z (1995) Phytase production and decrease of phytic acid content in canola meal by *Aspergillus carbonarius* in solid-state fermentation. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 11 (2):228-231. doi:10.1007/bf00704655

Andrews S (2014) FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. Available online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc [accessed 15 October 2019].

Blary A, Gonzalo A, Eber F, Bérard A, Bergès H, Bessoltane N, Charif D, Charpentier C, Cromer L, Fourment J, Genevriez C, Le Paslier M-C, Lodé M, Lucas M-O, Nesi N, Lloyd A, Chèvre A-M, Jenczewski E (2018) FANCM Limits Meiotic Crossovers in Brassica Crops. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9:368-368. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00368

Braatz J, Harloff H-J, Jung C (2018) EMS-induced point mutations in ALCATRAZ homoeologs increase silique shatter resistance of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). *Euphytica* 214 (2):29. doi:10.1007/s10681-018-2113-7

Braatz, J., Harloff, H.-J., Mascher, M., Stein, N., Himmelbach, A., Jung, C. (2017). CRISPR-Cas9 targeted mutagenesis leads to simultaneous modification of different homoeologous gene copies in polyploid oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). *Plant Physiology* 174 (2): 935-942. doi:10.1104/pp.17.00426

Campbell L, Rempel CB, Wanasundara JP (2016) Canola/Rapeseed Protein: Future Opportunities and Directions-Workshop Proceedings of IRC 2015. *Plants* (Basel, Switzerland) 5 (2). doi:10.3390/plants5020017

Campion B, Sparvoli F, Doria E, Tagliabue G, Galasso I, Fileppi M, Bollini R, Nielsen E (2009) Isolation and characterisation of an lpa (low phytic acid) mutant in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 118 (6):1211-1221. doi:10.1007/s00122-009-0975-8

Chalhoub B, Denoeud F, Liu S, Parkin IA, Tang H, Wang X, Chiquet J, Belcram H, Tong C, Samans B, Correa M, Da Silva C, Just J, Falentin C, Koh CS, Le Clainche I, Bernard M, Bento P, Noel B, Labadie K, Alberti A, Charles M, Arnaud D, Guo H, Daviaud C, Alamery S, Jabbari K, Zhao M, Edger PP, Chelaifa H, Tack D, Lassalle G, Mestiri I, Schnel N, Le Paslier MC, Fan G, Renault V, Bayer PE, Golicz AA, Manoli S, Lee TH, Thi VH, Chalabi S, Hu Q, Fan C, Tollenaere R, Lu Y, Battail C, Shen J, Sidebottom CH, Canaguier A, Chauveau A, Berard A, Deniot G, Guan M, Liu Z, Sun F, Lim YP, Lyons E, Town CD, Bancroft I, Meng J, Ma J, Pires JC, King GJ, Brunel D, Delourme R, Renard M, Aury JM, Adams KL, Batley J, Snowdon RJ, Tost J, Edwards D, Zhou Y, Hua W, Sharpe AG, Paterson AH, Guan C, Wincker P (2014) Plant genetics. Early allopolyploid evolution in the post-Neolithic *Brassica napus* oilseed genome. *Science* 345 (6199):950-953.

Cock PJA, Fields CJ, Goto N, Heuer ML, Rice PM (2010) The Sanger FASTQ file format for sequences with quality scores, and the Solexa/Illumina FASTQ variants. *Nucleic Acids Research* 38 (6):1767-1771. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp1137

Dersjant-Li Y, Awati A, Schulze H, Partridge G (2015) Phytase in non-ruminant animal nutrition: a critical review on phytase activities in the gastrointestinal tract and influencing factors. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 95 (5):878-896. doi:10.1002/jsfa.6998

Downes CP, Mussat MC, Michell RH (1982) The inositol trisphosphate phosphomonoesterase of the human erythrocyte membrane. *Biochemical Journal* 203 (1):169. doi:10.1042/bj2030169

Emrani N, Harloff H-J, Gudi O, Kopisch-Obuch F, Jung C (2015) Reduction in sinapine content in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) by induced mutations in sinapine biosynthesis genes. *Molecular Breeding* 35 (1):37. doi:10.1007/s11032-015-0236-2

Fu Y, Zhang Y, Mason AS, Lin B, Zhang D, Yu H, Fu D (2019) NBS-Encoding Genes in *Brassica napus* Evolved Rapidly After Allopolyploidization and Co-localize With Known Disease Resistance Loci. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 10 (26). doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.00026

Gacek K, Bartkowiak-Broda I, Batley J (2018) Genetic and Molecular Regulation of Seed Storage Proteins (SSPs) to Improve Protein Nutritional Value of Oilseed Rape (*Brassica napus* L.) Seeds. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9:890-890. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00890

Galili T, O'Callaghan A, Sidi J, Sievert C (2017) heatmaply: an R package for creating interactive cluster heatmaps for online publishing. *Bioinformatics* 34 (9):1600-1602. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx657

Georges F, Hussain AA, Keller WA (2006) *Method for reducing phytate in canola meal using genetic manipulation involving myo-inositol 1-phospathe synthase gene.* In: Canada NRCo, ed, Vol. U.S. patent 7148064. U.S.A.

Gilchrist EJ, Sidebottom CHD, Koh CS, MacInnes T, Sharpe AG, Haughn GW (2013) A Mutant *Brassica napus* (Canola) Population for the Identification of New Genetic Diversity via TILLING and Next Generation Sequencing. *PLOS ONE* 8 (12):e84303. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084303

Guo Y, Hans H, Christian J, Molina C (2014) Mutations in single FT- and TFL1-paralogs of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) and their impact on flowering time and yield components. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5 (282). doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.00282

Gupta P, Reddaiah B, Salava H, Upadhyaya P, Tyagi K, Sarma S, Datta S, Malhotra B, Thomas S, Sunkum A, Devulapalli S, Till BJ, Sreelakshmi Y (2017) Next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based identification of induced mutations in a doubly mutagenized tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) population. *The Plant Journal* 92 (3):495-508. doi:10.1111/tpj.13654

Guttieri M, Bowen D, Dorsch JA, Raboy V, Souza E (2004) Identification and characterization of a low phytic acid wheat. *Crop Science* 44. doi:10.2135/cropsci2004.4180

Harloff H-J, Lemcke S, Mittasch J, Frolov A, Wu JG, Dreyer F, Leckband G, Jung C (2012) A mutation screening platform for rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) and the detection of sinapine biosynthesis mutants. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 124 (5):957-969. doi:10.1007/s00122-011-1760-z

Henry IM, Nagalakshmi U, Lieberman MC, Ngo KJ, Krasileva KV, Vasquez-Gross H, Akhunova A, Akhunov E, Dubcovsky J, Tai TH, Comai L (2014) Efficient Genome-Wide Detection and Cataloging of EMS-Induced Mutations Using Exome Capture and Next-Generation Sequencing. *The Plant Cell* 26 (4):1382. doi:10.1105/tpc.113.121590

Jiang, Hongshan; Lei, Rong; Ding, Shou-Wei; Zhu, Shuifang (2014): Skewer: a fast and accurate adapter trimmer for next-generation sequencing paired-end reads. In: *BMC Bioinformatics* 15, S. 182.

Kim H, Yoon M, Chun A, Tai TH (2018) Identification of novel mutations in the rice starch branching enzyme I gene via TILLING by sequencing. *Euphytica* 214 (6):94. doi:10.1007/s10681-018-2174-7

Kim SI, Tai TH (2011) Identification of genes necessary for wild-type levels of seed phytic acid in *Arabidopsis thaliana* using a reverse genetics approach. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* 286 (2):119-133. doi:10.1007/s00438-011-0631-2

Kim SI, Andaya CB, Goyal SS, Tai TH (2008) The rice OsLpa1 gene encodes a novel protein involved in phytic acid metabolism. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 117 (5):769-779. doi:10.1007/s00122-008-0818-z

Kim SI, Tai TH (2010) Genetic analysis of two *OsLpa1*-like genes in Arabidopsis reveals that only one is required for wild-type seed phytic acid levels. *Planta* 232 (5):1241-1250. doi:10.1007/s00425-010-1243-5

Kishor DS, Lee C, Lee D, Venkatesh J, Seo J, Chin JH, Jin Z, Hong S-K, Ham J-K, Koh HJ (2019) Novel allelic variant of *Lpa1* gene associated with a significant reduction in seed phytic acid content in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *PLOS ONE* 14 (3):e0209636. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0209636

Krasileva KV, Vasquez-Gross HA, Howell T, Bailey P, Paraiso F, Clissold L, Simmonds J, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Wang X, Borrill P, Fosker C, Ayling S, Phillips AL, Uauy C, Dubcovsky J (2017) Uncovering hidden variation in polyploid wheat. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114 (6):E913-E921. doi:10.1073/pnas.1619268114

Kuwano M, Mimura T, Takaiwa F, Yoshida KT (2009) Generation of stable 'low phytic acid' transgenic rice through antisense repression of the 1D-myo-inositol 3-phosphate synthase gene (*RINO1*) using the 18-kDa oleosin promoter. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 7. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7652.2008.00375.x

Larson SR, Rutger JN, Young KA, Raboy V (2000) Isolation and genetic mapping of a non-lethal rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) low phytic acid 1 mutation. *Crop Science* 40. doi:10.2135/cropsci2000.4051397x

LeBlanc C, Zhang F, Mendez J, Lozano Y, Chatpar K, Irish VF, Jacob Y (2018) Increased efficiency of targeted mutagenesis by CRISPR/Cas9 in plants using heat stress. *The Plant Journal : for cell and molecular biology* 93 (2):377-386. doi:10.1111/tpj.13782

Lickfett T, Matthäus B, Velasco L, Möllers C (1999) Seed yield, oil and phytate concentration in the seeds of two oilseed rape cultivars as affected by different phosphorus supply. *European Journal of Agronomy* 11 (3):293-299. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301(99)00038-6

Liu J, Huang S, Sun M, Liu S, Liu Y, Wang W, Zhang X, Wang H, Hua W (2012) An improved allelespecific PCR primer design method for SNP marker analysis and its application. *Plant Methods* 8 (1):34. doi:10.1186/1746-4811-8-34

Lu H, Pang W, Li W, Tan Y, Wang Q, Zhao H-j, Shu Q (2016) Tissue-specific expression, developmentally and spatially regulated alternative splicing, and protein subcellular localization of OsLpa rice. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science B* 17 (2):100-109. doi:10.1631/jzus.B1500205

Lu X, Liu J, Ren W, Yang Q, Chai Z, Chen R, Wang L, Zhao J, Lang Z, Wang H, Fan Y, Zhao J, Zhang C (2018) Gene-Indexed Mutations in Maize. *Molecular Plant* 11 (3):496-504. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.11.013

Marroni F, Pinosio S, Morgante M (2012) The Quest for Rare Variants: Pooled Multiplexed Next Generation Sequencing in Plants, *Frontiers in Plant Science* vol 3. doi:10.3389/fpls.2012.00133

Matthäus B, Lösing R, Fiebig H-J (1995) Determination of Inositol Phosphates IP3 – IP6 in Rapeseed and Rapeseed Meal by an HPLC Method, Part 1: Method. Lipid / Fett 97 (7-8):289-291. doi:10.1002/lipi.19950970713

Meis SJ, Fehr WR, Schnebly SR (2003) Seed source effect on field emergence of soybean lines with reduced phytate and raffinose saccharides. *Crop Science* 2003 v.43 no.4 (no. 4):pp. 1336-1339

Missirian V, Comai L, Filkov V (2011) Statistical Mutation Calling from Sequenced Overlapping DNA Pools in TILLING Experiments. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12 (1):287. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-287

Nesi N, Delourme R, Bregeon M, Falentin C, Renard M (2008) Genetic and molecular approaches to improve nutritional value of *Brassica napus* L. seed. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 331 (10):763-771. doi:10.1016/j.crvi.2008.07.018

Ockenden I, Dorsch JA, Reid MM, Lin L, Grant LK, Raboy V, Lott JNA (2004) Characterization of the storage of phosphorus, inositol phosphate and cations in grain tissues of four barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) low phytic acid genotypes. *Plant Science* 167. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2004.06.008

Raboy V (2000) Low-phytic-acid grains. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 21. doi:10.1177/156482650002100416

Raboy V (2007) Forward genetics studies of seed phytic acid. *Israel Journal of Plant Sciences* 55 (2):171-181. doi:https://doi.org/10.1560/IJPS.55.2.171

Raboy V (2009) Approaches and challenges to engineering seed phytate and total phosphorus. *Plant Science* 177 (4):281-296. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2009.06.012

Rigola D, van Oeveren J, Janssen A, Bonné A, Schneiders H, van der Poel HJA, van Orsouw NJ, Hogers RCJ, de Both MTJ, van Eijk MJT (2009) High-Throughput Detection of Induced Mutations and Natural Variation Using KeyPoint[™] Technology. *PLOS ONE* 4 (3):e4761. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004761

Rodrigues IM, Carvalho MGV, Rocha JM (2017) Increase of protein extraction yield from rapeseed meal through a pretreatment with phytase. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 97 (8):2641-2646. doi:10.1002/jsfa.8087

Rounds MA, Nielsen SS (1993) Anion-exchange high-performance liquid chromatography with postcolumn detection for the analysis of phytic acid and other inositol phosphates. *Journal of Chromatography A* 653 (1):148-152. doi:10.1016/0021-9673(93)80404-v

Snider J, Thibault G, Houry WA (2008) The AAA+ superfamily of functionally diverse proteins. *Genome Biology* 9 (4):216-216. doi:10.1186/gb-2008-9-4-216

Sparvoli F, Cominelli E (2015) Seed Biofortification and Phytic Acid Reduction: A Conflict of Interest for the Plant? *Plants* 4 (4):728

Sun Q, Lin L, Liu D, Wu D, Fang Y, Wu J (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Multiplex Genome Editing of the BnWRKY11 and BnWRKY70 Genes in *Brassica napus* L. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 19 (9). doi:10.3390/ijms19092716

Thompson LU (1990) Phytates in Canola/Rapeseed. In: Shahidi F. (eds) Canola and Rapeseed. Springer, Boston, MA, 173-192

Tsai H, Howell T, Nitcher R, Missirian V, Watson B, Ngo KJ, Lieberman M, Fass J, Uauy C, Tran RK, Khan AA, Filkov V, Tai TH, Dubcovsky J, Comai L (2011) Discovery of Rare Mutations in Populations: TILLING by Sequencing. *Plant Physiology* 156 (3):1257

Tsai H, Missirian V, Ngo KJ, Tran RK, Chan SR, Sundaresan V, Comai L (2013) Production of a High-Efficiency TILLING Population through Polyploidization. *Plant Physiology* 161 (4):1604. doi:10.1104/pp.112.213256

Tsuda M, Kaga A, Anai T, Shimizu T, Sayama T, Takagi K, Machita K, Watanabe S, Nishimura M, Yamada N, Mori S, Sasaki H, Kanamori H, Katayose Y, Ishimoto M (2015) Construction of a highdensity mutant library in soybean and development of a mutant retrieval method using amplicon sequencing. *BMC Genomics* 16 (1):1014. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-2079-y

Uauy C, Wulff BBH, Dubcovsky J (2017) Combining Traditional Mutagenesis with New High-Throughput Sequencing and Genome Editing to Reveal Hidden Variation in Polyploid Wheat. *Annual Review of Genetics* 51 (1):435-454. doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-120116-024533 Van Dijk EL, Auger H, Jaszczyszyn Y, Thermes C (2014) Ten years of next-generation sequencing technology. *Trends in Genetics*: TIG 30 (9):418-426. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2014.07.001

Wang N, Wang Y, Tian F, King GJ, Zhang C, Long Y, Shi L, Meng J (2008) A functional genomics resource for *Brassica napus*: development of an EMS mutagenized population and discovery of FAE1 point mutations by TILLING. *New Phytologist* 180 (4):751-765. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02619.x

Wang Z, Wang S, Li D, Zhang Q, Li L, Zhong C, Liu Y (2018) Optimized paired-sgRNA/Cas9 cloning and expression cassette triggers high-efficiency multiplex genome editing in kiwifruit. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 16 (8):1424-1433. doi:10.1111/pbi.12884

Watson A, Ghosh S, Williams MJ, Cuddy WS, Simmonds J, Rey M-D, Asyraf Md Hatta M, Hinchliffe A, Steed A, Reynolds D, Adamski NM, Breakspear A, Korolev A, Rayner T, Dixon LE, Riaz A, Martin W, Ryan M, Edwards D, Batley J, Raman H, Carter J, Rogers C, Domoney C, Moore G, Harwood W, Nicholson P, Dieters MJ, DeLacy IH, Zhou J, Uauy C, Boden SA, Park RF, Wulff BBH, Hickey LT (2018) Speed breeding is a powerful tool to accelerate crop research and breeding. *Nature Plants* 4 (1):23-29. doi:10.1038/s41477-017-0083-8

Wells R, Trick M, Fraser F, Soumpourou E, Clissold L, Morgan C, Pauquet J, Bancroft I (2013) Sequencing-based variant detection in the polyploid crop oilseed rape. *BMC Plant Biology* 13 (1):111. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-13-111

Yang Y, Zhu K, Li H, Han S, Meng Q, Khan SU, Fan C, Xie K, Zhou Y (2018) Precise editing of *CLAVATA* genes in *Brassica napus* L. regulates multilocular silique development. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 16 (7):1322-1335. doi:10.1111/pbi.12872

Zhu Q, Smith SM, Ayele M, Yang L, Jogi A, Chaluvadi SR, Bennetzen JL (2012) High-throughput discovery of mutations in tef semi-dwarfing genes by next-generation sequencing analysis. *Genetics* 192 (3):819-829. doi:10.1534/genetics.112.144436

3. Gene editing of three *BnITPK* genes in tetraploid oilseed rape leads to significant reduction of phytic acid in seeds

Niharika Sashidhar, Hans-J Harloff, Lizel Potgieter, Christian Jung (Under review)

3.1.Summary

Commercialization of *Brassica napus*. L (oilseed rape) meal as protein diet is gaining more attention due to its well-balanced amino acid and protein contents. Phytic acid (PA) is a major source of phosphorus in plants but is considered as anti-nutritive for mono-gastric animals including humans due to its adverse effects on essential mineral absorption. The undigested PA causes eutrophication, which potentially threatens aquatic life. PA accounts to 2-5% in mature seeds of oilseed rape and is synthesized by complex pathways involving multiple enzymes. Breeding polyploids for recessive traits is challenging as gene functions are encoded by several paralogs. Gene redundancy often requires to knockout several gene copies to study their underlying effects. Therefore, we adopted CRISPR-Cas mutagenesis to knock out three functional paralogs of *BnITPK*. We obtained low PA mutants with an increase of phosphorus in the canola grade spring cultivar Haydn. These mutants could mark an important milestone in rapeseed breeding with an increase in protein value and no adverse effects on oil contents.

3.2. Key words

Brassica napus, BnITPK, CRISPR-Cas, inositol (1, 3, 4) P₃ 5/6 Kinase, inorganic phosphorus, *lpa* mutants, phytic acid, polyploidy, rapeseed meal.

3.3. Introduction

Seeds are major reserves for nutritional elements. Inositol-hexakis phosphate, also known as phytic acid (PA), contributes to 65-90% of total phosphorus in seeds across a wide range of plant species from cereals to oilseeds (Raboy 2000). PA is a negatively charged molecule and chelates essential minerals, thereby leading to the so-called hidden hunger (Perera et al. 2018; Gibson et al. 2018). Furthermore, due to lack of phytases, mono-gastric animals including humans cannot digest PA and the undigested PA is causing eutrophication (Raboy et al. 2000). In plants, PA is synthesized in two different ways, via a lipid dependent and a lipid independent pathway (Raboy 2003). The PA pathway is initiated by oxidative cyclization of glucose 6-phosphate to myo-inositol monophosphate via myoinositol phosphate synthase. Subsequently, myo-inositol monophosphate is converted to free myoinositol via de-phosphorylation by myo-inositol monophosphatase. Concurrently, a reverse reaction occurs by myo-inositol kinase, where the free myo-inositol is phosphorylated again to myo-inositol monophosphate. These reactions are considered to be the housekeeping pathway for various myoinositol dependent pathways and commonly known as Loewus pathway (Loewus and Murthy 2000; Donahue et al. 2010). Furthermore, interaction of *myo*-inositol with phosphatidyl lipids leads to the lipid dependent, whereas subsequent phosphorylation by respective kinases leads to the lipid independent pathway (Figure 8) (Raboy 2009). Although, both pathways contribute to PA biosynthesis, the lipid independent pathway is considered as the predominant pathway for PA accumulation in seeds (Raboy 2000). Finally, the synthesized PA is transported by a multi-drug resistant protein into vacuoles where it is stored as calcium, magnesium and potassium salts referred to as phytins (Figure 8) (Otegui et al. 2002). Upon seed germination, endogenous phytases are activated and PA is hydrolyzed to free inorganic phosphate (Pi) and myo-inositol (Yao et al. 2012). The released

P_i and partially phosphorylated inositols are utilized in various physiological processes during plant development including DNA repair, mRNA export, cellular signaling, and biotic and abiotic stress tolerance (Sparvoli and Cominelli 2015; Dieck et al. 2012). In oilseed rape, PA contents vary from 2-5%. This includes quality type ('canola') varieties with reduced erucic acid and glucosinolate contents (Tan et al. 2011; Thompson 1990; Uppström and Svensson 1980; Zhao et al. 2008). So far, approaches to lower the PA content in extracted rapeseed meal focused either on adding phytases to the meal or to remove PA by technical processes (Adem et al. 2014; El-Batal and Abdel Karem 2001). In spite of these strategies to reduce PA, there are still several obstacles for an efficient purification of the meal (Dersjant-Li et al. 2015). In this study we aimed to knockout the key enzyme ITPK (inositol tetrakisphosphate kinase), which is catalyzing the penultimate step for the synthesis of PA in plants (Raboy 2009). ITPK is a highly conserved enzyme across various species from plants to humans and has been shown to have diverse functions (Jiang et al. 2019). It belongs to the super family of ATP-grasp fold proteins and is involved in photomorphogenesis by interacting via the COP9 signalosome, in stress responses, in signal transduction and in seed coat development (Du et al. 2011; Qin et al. 2005; Sweetman et al. 2007; Tang et al. 2013). In our previous study, we have identified EMS (ethyl methane sulfonate) induced loss-of-function mutations in six genes of the PA biosynthesis pathway (Sashidhar et al. 2019). However, due to gene redundancy in polyploid rapeseed noticeable phenotypic effects could only be observed in plants carrying multiple mutations which required time consuming crossing experiments. Thereby, functional analysis of traits that are encoded by several paralogs need a fast forward approach for simultaneous knockouts. In this study we aimed to knockout multiple copies of the key enzyme ITPK (inositol tetrakis-phosphate kinase), which is catalyzing the synthesis of inositol pentakis phosphate by implementing CRISPR-Cas mediated mutagenesis (Figure 8) (Zhang et al. 2012), to obtain lpa mutants with no pleiotropic effects. Therefore, our study offers new perspectives for breeding low PA rapeseed.



Figure 8: Proposed phytic acid pathway in plants (modified after Raboy et al (2009)). The grey color box indicates the Loewus pathway, which is a housekeeping pathway for various *myo*-inositol dependent pathways. Blue and red arrows indicate the lipid independent pathway and lipid dependent pathway, respectively. The targeted gene (*ITPK*) for CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis is highlighted in green. MIPS: *myo*-inositol phosphate synthase, MIK: *myo*-inositol kinase, IMP: *myo*-inositol mono phosphatase, 2-PGK: 2-phosphoglyceric acid kinase, ITPK: inositol tetrakis-phosphate kinase, IPK2β:

inositol multi phosphate kinase, IPK: inositol pentakis-phosphate 2-kinase, MRP5: multi drug resistance protein, DAG: Diacyl glycerol, PLC: phospholipase C, PtdIS: phosphatidyl inositol synthase.

3.4.Results

3.4.1. Identification of *ITPK* genes and selection of paralogs for mutagenesis

So far, no oilseed rape orthologs of Arabidopsis thaliana ITPK genes have been identified. We have used four known AtITPK sequences for a BLAST query in the B. napus database (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicanapus/) which resulted in 15 paralogs (Table 5). The gene families in *B. napus* were referred to as *BnITPK1* to *BnITPK4* and the homology between members of the same gene family ranged between 89-99%. BnITPK1 shared approx. 80% similarity with BnITPK2 and BnITPK3, but less than 20% similarity with BnITPK4 (Table 5). In order to find suitable target genes for a CRISPR-Cas9 knockout experiments, a phylogenetic tree was constructed between *BnITPKs* and *ITPK* orthologs from other plants. The analysis resulted in three distinct clustered α , β and γ groups (Figure 9). Typically, *ITPK* orthologs which had been successfully targeted for mutations in previous studies belonged to the α and γ groups (Sparvoli and Cominelli 2015). We expected that knocking out the orthologs in rapeseed would give comparable results and therefore focused on the a and γ group genes BnITPK1 and BnITPK4 (Figure 9). The BnITPK1 and BnITPK4 genes could be amplified by PCR in the cv. Haydn, with the exception of Bn.ITPK4.C04a (Table S6). However, several primer combinations failed to amplify the putative Bn.ITPK4.C04a ortholog from five different rapeseed genotypes (cv. Haydn, cv. Express 617, cv. Mozart, cv. Tapidor and RS 306) which was therefore excluded from further studies. For CRISPR-Cas9 knockout studies, we choose to knockout paralogs of BnITPK1 and BnITPK4 gene families in a single plant.

Chapter 3



Figure 9: Phylogenetic tree of *ITPK* genes in different plant families. The tree was constructed using the Maximum Likelihood method with Nucleotide Substitution Model as General Time reversible. Bootstrapping using 500 replicates. *At-A. thaliana, Bn-B. napus, Os- Oryza sativa, Gm-Glycine max, Zm-Zea mays, Hs- Homo sapiens, Eh- Entamoeba histolytica.* Accession numbers of rice ITP5/6Ks are as follows: *OsITPK5/6-1* (AK106544), *OsITPK5/6-2* (AK100971), *OsITPK5/6-3* (AK067068), *OsITPK5/6-4* (AK071209), *OsITPK5/6-5* (AK059148) and *OsITPK5/6-6* (AK102571) (Kim and Tai 2014). Arabidopsis and *B. napus* genes are mentioned in the Table 1. *Eh:* AF118848, *Hs:* NP055031, *Gm:* type 1-EU033958, type 2-EU033959, type 3- EU03396h, type 4-EU033961, *Zm:* AY172635 (Stiles et al. 2008). The groups of ITPKs are shown as α , β and γ

Table 5: Features of *BnITPK* genes used in this study.

| Arabidopsis gene | <i>B. napus</i> sequence annotation | <i>B. napus</i> paralogs | Genomic sequence - length (bp) | Exon/Intron structure | | Coding | Protein | Genome sequence identity | Amino acid sequence identity |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------|-----------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | Exons | Introns | (bp) | size (aa) | with AtITPK (%) | with AtITPK (%) |
| AtITPK1 | BnaA10g17710D | Bn.ITPK1.A10 | 960 | 1 | 0 | 960 | 320 | 86.7 | 89.3 |
| | BnaC09g41080D | Bn.ITPK1.C09 | 960 | 1 | 0 | 960 | 320 | 86.1 | 89.3 |
| | BnaC03g07940D | Bn.ITPK1.C03 | 975 | 1 | 0 | 975 | 325 | 84.8 | 88.4 |
| | BnaA03g06170D | Bn.ITPK1.A03 | 981 | 1 | 0 | 981 | 327 | 84.9 | 88.4 |
| | BnaAnng34680D | Bn.ITPK2.Ann | 2454 | 9 | 8 | 1164 | 388 | 89.2 | 84.5 |
| | BnaC01g04480D | Bn.ITPK2.C01 | 2456 | 9 | 8 | 1155 | 385 | 89.3 | 85.4 |
| AAITDVO | BnaA03g50630D | Bn.ITPK2.A03 | 2316 | 9 | 8 | 1158 | 386 | 88.5 | 84.9 |
| AtHPK2 | BnaC07g44490D | Bn.ITPK2.C07 | 2391 | 9 | 8 | 1185 | 395 | 88.5 | 82.1 |
| | BnaC03g66400D | Bn.ITPK2.C03 | 2539 | 10 | 9 | 1041 | 347 | 89.7 | 82.3 |
| | BnaA01g03220D | Bn.ITPK2.A01 | 969 | 5 | 4 | 654 | 218 | 88.8 | 83.1 |
| AtITPK3 | BnaCnng47190D | Bn.ITPK3.Cnn | 1964 | 9 | 8 | 1077 | 359 | 88.8 | 80.3 |
| | BnaA03g38640D | Bn.ITPK3.A03 | 1451 | 8 | 7 | 930 | 310 | 82.6 | 80.5 |
| AtITPK4 | BnaA05g03660D | Bn.ITPK4.A05 | 2634 | 12 | 11 | 1467 | 489 | 88 | 86.9 |
| | BnaC04g03240D | Bn.ITPK4.C04a | 2455 | 12 | 11 | 1374 | 458 | 88.7 | 86.7 |
| | BnaC04g03250D | Bn.ITPK4.C04b | 2751 | 12 | 11 | 1452 | 484 | 89 | 86.7 |

3.4.2. CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis of BnITPK genes

Due to different gene structures between *BnITPK1* and *BnITPK4*, two different target sites, referred to as target site 1 and 2, respectively were chosen (Figure 10). Within each family, the target sequences differed by one nucleotide between the respective members of the family (position 10 upstream of the PAM sequence) (Figure 10). Since the BLAST search against the rapeseed reference genome did not show any off-targets, neither in *BnITPK2* and *BnITPK3* genes nor in any other coding sequence of the rapeseed genome, these target sites were used for subsequent gene editing. Moreover, the genes containing the SNPs were lowly expressed in developing seeds of Express 617 and leaves of a Chinese semi-winter line compared to the other members of the respective family (Shah et al. 2018) (Figure S6), indicating that they might play a minor role in PA biosynthesis in oilseed rape.

Hypocotyl transformation was performed to introduce the CRISPR-Cas9 constructs into the spring cv. Haydn. A total of 321 hypocotyls were co-cultivated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* containing the Cas9-sgRNA cassette with both target sites. From the treated hypocotyls, 23 shoots from 10 independent transgenic events (termed T₁ generation) were regenerated to whole plants (itpk_C1 to itpk_C10) on BASTA selection media (Table S7). All T₁ plants were tested positive for the presence of the transgene using primers flanking the *Cas9* gene (Table S6), which is corresponding to a transformation efficiency of 3.1%. PCR amplicons from leaf DNA of each transformant were sequenced to search for mutations within the targeted regions. All T₁ plants showed gene editing in up to four genes. Three mutants were selected for further studies (itpk_C2.1, itpk_C3.5, and itpk_C6.1). Sanger sequencing revealed an overlay of more than two different sequences, suggesting that these were chimeric plants and that some mutations might have occurred somatically. Therefore, amplicons containing the target regions were cloned into plasmids and were subsequently sequenced. We observed presence of three to eight different mutant alleles (single letter code *A*, *B*, *E*, *G*) for each gene along with non-edited alleles for each of the genes targeted thus confirming the chimeric nature of the T₁ plants (Figure 11).



Figure 10: Gene structure and CRISPR-Cas9 target sites in six *BnITPK* genes. Transcribed sequences of *BnITPK1* and *BnITPK4* gene families. Grey and green boxes indicate the 5' UTR and 3' UTR, respectively. Open boxes indicate exons and the black lines indicate the introns. The *Bn.ITPK1.A10* sequence represents target site 1 whereas the *Bn.ITPK4.C04b* sequence represents target site 2 (Express 617). SNPs are indicated in blue letters. The *Streptococcus pyogenes* PAM sequence is underlined.

3.4.3. Generating homozygous double and triple mutants

We expected that chimeric T_1 plants give rise to complex segregation patterns in the T_2 generation and that homozygous mutants can only be selected from later generations. Of each T_2 populations 10 (itpk_C2.1 and itpk_C6.1) and 55 plants (itpk_C3.5), respectively were genotyped with locus specific primers (Figure 11). All T_1 mutant alleles were found in the T_2 generations except in the itpk_C3.5 offspring where not any of the *Bn.ITPK4.A05* mutations (*E*₁, *E*₂, *E*₃ and *E*₄ alleles) was inherited to the

next generation. This was also consistent with the T_1 plasmid sequencing results where the ratio of edited to non-edited alleles was considerably low. Interestingly, new mutant alleles appeared in two T₂ populations (offspring of itpk C2.1 and itpk C6.1) which had not been detected in their T₁ parents (Figure 11). Furthermore, complex editing patterns were found even in T₂ plants illustrating that Cas9 activity giving rise to de novo mutations in this generation. However, no editing was observed in Bn.ITPK1.A03 and Bn.ITPK1.C03 (C_h and D_h). The presence of a transgene in all analyzed T₂ plants suggested that more than one copy of the transgene was inserted which explains that Cas9 was still active in all T₂ plants analyzed. Since the mutant alleles were not fixed in T₂ plants, three genotypes from each family (seed codes: 180716,180663 and 180705) were randomly chosen and selfed to get homozygous non-transgenic mutants in the T₃ generation. Firstly, the segregation ratios for the transgene loci were determined. T₃ offspring of itpk C2.1, itpk C3.5 and itpk C6.1 showed a 3:1 segregation (transgenic: non-transgenic) indicating a single insertion event (Table S9). Secondly, homozygous double mutants (BnITPK1) and homozygous triple mutants (BnITPK1 and BnITPK4) were identified in the T₃ generation. Heterozygous triple mutations for *BnITPK1* and *BnITPK4* genes were found in the itpk C3.5 progenies (Figure 11, Table S8). Finally, T₄ populations were produced where non-transgenic homozygous plants for the ITPK mutations were selected for phenotypic analysis.



Figure 11: Pedigree of three *BnITPK* mutant generations derived from three different T_1 plants. Target sites and T-DNA insertion sites were genotyped with primer combinations given in Table S6. Red boxes indicate transgenic and black boxes indicate non-transgenic plants, respectively. Homozygous plants used for phenotyping were obtained in the T_4 generation. Seed codes are written on top of each genotype. A non-edited Haydn allele is indicated by a suffix '*h*' whereas edited alleles are numbered according to Table S8. Dotted arrows indicate progenies that were not further analyzed in this study. The number of plants used for genotyping is given in blue color.

3.4.4. Triple mutants showed reduced PA contents and increased Pi levels

Four T₄ mutant lines homozygous for the mutations were chosen to examine the effect of the edited alleles. Two triple mutants (No. 190665, 190666) with different *Bn.ITPK1.C09* mutant alleles and two double mutants (No. 190667, 190669) with the same mutated *BnITPK1* alleles were analyzed. A T₄ line homozygous for the Haydn alleles (190668) and the donor line Haydn were used as controls (Figure 12 a). Only the two triple mutants displayed significantly reduced (27.2% - 35.3%) seed PA contents while the reduction in double mutants was not significant as compared to the control plants (Figure 12 b). Furthermore, no partially phosphorylated inositol phosphate intermediates could be detected. To find out whether a decreased amount of PA led to an increase of P_i contents in the seeds, P_i contents were measured in all the genotypes with the colorimetric ammonium molybdate test. As expected, the two triple mutants showed higher P_i contents as compared to the control. These results demonstrate that in oilseed rape, a decrease of PA is highly correlated with an increase of free phosphorus (Figure 12 b). However, this increase is not molar equivalent to the reduced PA contents, which might indicate that less phosphorus is taken up by the plants.

We questioned whether the CRISPR-Cas9 mutations could have pleiotropic effects on yield related traits and seed vigor. We observed that mutant plants grew normally and did not show any obvious phenotype. Only minor differences were observed between mutant and control lines. Plant height and thousand kernel weight varied slightly between mutants. The vigor of T₅ seedlings was evaluated five days after germination. Root length and hypocotyl length did not vary significantly as compared to the controls. The seed oil content, a major yield component of *B. napus*, was between 40-50%, which is within the range of modern rapeseed cultivars (Figure 13). Although the experiments were conducted under controlled conditions, the data suggest that altered PA and P_i contents might not have a negative impact on important agronomic traits in rapeseed.



Figure 12: Genotypes and phenotypes of *BnITPK* mutants. (a): A non-edited allele is indicated by a suffix '*h*' while edited alleles carry numbers (see Table S8). WT stands for the Haydn allele (wild type). CRISPR-Cas9 mutations are indicated by red letters (insertions) and by '-' (deletions). (b): Phytic acid and free inorganic P were measured in homozygous T₅ seeds. ANOVA and posthoc test using Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; p=0.05 were performed for statistical significance. Similar letters indicate no significant differences.



Figure 13: Yield related traits of *BnITPK* double and triple mutants. Plants were grown in the greenhouse under long day conditions (16 light/ 8h dark) at 22°C and T₅ seeds were analyzed. Line 180800 is a mutant offspring carrying the Haydn alleles. Root and hypocotyl length were measured five days after germination. The oil content was measured using the n-hexane method. Statistical significance was calculated using ANOVA followed by a post hoc test (Tuckey) in R. 3.6.1. Same letters indicate no significant effect. + indicates the mean of the samples.

3.5.Discussion

This study describes low phytic acid mutants in oilseed rape by targeting genes from the PA biosynthesis pathway. We selected the *BnITPK* gene family as a suitable target for knockout analysis by CRISPR-Cas in the canola grade cv. Haydn. We found a reduction of about 35% of PA with a simultaneous increase of Pi by knocking out three essential *BnITPK* genes. Interestingly, a significant reduction in PA content was only observed in triple mutants. This clearly demonstrates that knockout of multiple paralogs is necessary to observe a noticeable phenotype which can only be achieved by CRISPR-Cas mutagenesis. Our data are in line with previous studies in wheat and potato where only multiple knockouts of different paralogs resulted in a desired phenotype (Wang et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2019; Andersson et al. 2017). In rapeseed, the situation is even more complicated because during evolution the genome underwent several whole genome duplication and triplication events resulting in an average copy number of two to eight for each Arabidopsis ortholog (Chalhoub et al. 2014). Another important aspect of our study adds to our understanding of CRISPR-Cas mutations during plant development and between generations. We observed mutations in T₂ generation that had not been

found in their T_1 parents. One reason could be that only a small sector of the T_1 plant carried the mutation, which was not detected by sequencing. However, we favor another explanation that de novo mutations appeared in the T_2 generation. This could be due to the low activity of the Cas9 gene which is influenced by the position of the T-DNA in the genome and by multiple T-DNA insertions which can result in low transcriptional activities or even gene silencing (De Buck et al. 2009; Tang et al. 2006). In conclusion, future studies should carefully examine also later generations even if T_1 plants were lacking mutations.

Our study adds to our understanding of PA biosynthesis and storage in rapeseed. We demonstrate that ITPKs play an important role in PA metabolism and thus are a suitable target for reducing PA amounts. The ITPK gene was first identified in maize lpa2 mutants (zmitpk) which showed a 30% reduction in PA without any effect on plant performance. Subsequently, knockout mutants with reduced PA contents in seeds have also been described in rice, Arabidopsis and maize (Shi et al. 2003; Raboy 2009). A study in rice identified two knockout mutants of OsITPK6 showing 46% reduction of PA seed content caused by a missense mutation and 68% caused by a splice site mutation without any adverse effects on seedling performance (Kim and Tai 2011). Another study in rice showed contradictory result. While the PA content was reduced by 32% due to the loss of two amino acids in the OsITPK6 protein, negative effects on seed set, seed weight and germination were reported (Jiang et al. 2019). Mutants in Arabidopsis atitpk1 and atipk4 showed a 46% and 51% reduction, respectively with no pleiotropic effects on seed germination and seedling performances (Kim and Tai 2011). We also did not observe any negative effects on germination rate and seedling vigor which suggests that PA reduction in our mutants is below a critical threshold. We observed that the triple mutant with a 6 bp deletion (line No. 190666) had the lowest PA content. According to protein modelling, this mutation results in the loss of two amino acids within an ATP-binding site (see Figure S7, Figure S8) which has also been proposed for a *StITPK1* ortholog in potato (Caddick et al. 2008). Although the contribution of each gene to the synthesis of PA in B. napus is unknown, we reason that BnITPK genes act in an additive manner and that an even higher reduction could be achieved by a complete knockout of all BnITPK1 and BnITPK4 genes. It is important to know what happens to inorganic seed Pi if it is not bound in PA. Due to the loss of PA, the triple mutants showed a threefold increase of Pi. Similar results were obtained with a maize lpa2 mutant where the Pi content was increased by three fold (Shi et al. 2003). The lower increase of Pi was also shown previously as a general pattern of *itpk* mutants in rice and Arabidopsis with decreased contents of PA accompanied by a minor increase of P_i and lower inositol phosphates (Sparvoli and Cominelli 2015). It is noteworthy, that in our study the increase in seed Pi was also not a molar equivalent to the reduced PA contents, which might indicate that less phosphorus is needed by the mutants.

Lowering the PA amounts in seeds is an important milestone for enhancing rapeseed meal quality for human and animal diets. Moreover, the mutants offer new perspectives for oilseed rape breeding. 1) Reduced PA content will be beneficial to combat the mineral deficiencies of the meal. 2) Recovery of rapeseed protein will be more efficient due to lower the amount of tightly bound PA. 3) Protein contents will be improved with no effect on oil contents and thus overruling their negative correlation (Jasinski et al. 2018). 4) Increase of Pi contents might pave ways to reduced dependency on phosphate fertilizers, which is a non-renewable resource (Cordell et al. 2009). 5) Increased bioavailability of Pi attenuates eutrophication of waters (Conley et al. 2009). *B. napus* is primarily an oil crop but can also be used as a nutritional source due to its rich protein and well-balanced amino acid contents for human and animal diets (Campbell et al. 2016; Wanasundara et al. 2016). There is increasing effort to improve the nutritional value of *B. napus* meal in order to overcome the dependence on the expensive soybean imports in regions where soybean cannot be grown (Jasinski et al. 2018). So far, rapeseed meal is used for aquatic and poultry industries as a feed, but it can also be used as a valuable protein source for humans and non-ruminants (Gacek et al. 2018). However, the presence of various anti-

nutritive compounds like phytic acid, sinapine, fiber and glucosinolates impedes its commercialization as a major protein source. Whilst rapeseed cultivars and lines exist which are low in glucosinolates, sinapic acid and erucic acid, no reduced PA lines are available. Furthermore, the protein extraction from seeds is impaired by the presence of tightly bound phytins and tannins (Wanasundara et al. 2016), which reduces the digestion by enzymes like trypsin and pepsin but also inhibits α -amylases at physiological pH in monogastric animals (Reddy et al. 1996). Therefore, the established mutants pave the way to breed rapeseed with improved meal quality and reduced phosphorus demand.

3.6. Experimental procedures

3.6.1. Plant material and growth conditions

The German spring cultivar Haydn (double low '00' or 'canola' quality) was used for transformation experiments. Greenhouse experiments were performed under long day conditions (16h light and 8h dark) at 22°C. Plants were grown in 11x 11cm pots and they were not fertilized to avoid phosphorus contamination. Upon flowering, the inflorescences were bagged, and dry mature seeds were harvested. For phenotyping experiments, five biological replicates for each genotype were grown. Plants were randomized every week and plant height (length between base of the stem to the tip of the inflorescence) and thousand kernel weight (TKW) were measured at the time of harvesting. Seed oil content was measured using the n-hexane method. To measure the seedling's vigor, 20 seeds of each genotype were sown on ½ Murashige-Skoog (MS) medium and germinated in the dark under long day conditions. Five days after germination the root and hypocotyl lengths were measured.

3.6.2. Phylogenetic analysis

Published *ITPK* sequences were retrieved from respective crop's databases. Sequences from rice were obtained from <u>http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/cgi-bin/gbrowse/rice/#search, Arabidopsis from TAIR (https://www.arabidopsis.org/servlets/Search?action=new_search&type=gene), soybean, maize and <u>human sequences were retrieved from NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).</u> The amino acid sequences of the ITPK proteins were aligned using MAFFT v7.313 with the G-INS-i strategy (Katoh and Standley 2013). The alignment was manually curated. The substitution model for the maximum likelihood analysis of the curated alignment was determined by ProtTest v3.4.2 (Abascal et al. 2005). The maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis was conducted with MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018). A JTT model was applied, and 500 bootstrap replicates were performed. The resulting phylogenetic tree was visualized with iTOL (Letunic and Bork 2007; Letunic and Bork 2019).</u>

3.6.3. Target site design and plasmid vector construction

The vectors pChimera and pCas9_TPC were obtained from Prof. Holger Puchta (Karlsruhe institute of technology, Germany). pChimera contains the sgRNA sequence driven by an *Arabidopsis thaliana* U6-26 promoter and pCas9_TPC vector has the Cas9 gene from *Streptococcus pyogenes* driven by an Ubi4-2 promoter from parsley. These vectors have ampicillin and spectinomycin resistance genes respectively, as selective markers for bacteria and BASTA resistance to select transgenic shoots (Fauser et al. 2014).

The *BnITPK* CRISPR-Cas9 cassette was constructed according to published protocols (Fauser et al. 2014) with minor modifications. sgRNAs targets were cloned into the pCas9-TPC vector by using *Avr*II and *Bcu*I restriction enzymes, as these are isocaudomeres. The final sgRNA-target vector was transformed into the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 pMP90RK, which was then used for rapeseed hypocotyl transformation.

We used four Arabidopsis sequences encoding *ITPK* genes to BLAST against the rapeseed reference genome (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicanapus/) (Kim and Tai 2011). Retrieved sequences were annotated according to the Genoscope browser using the CLC Main Work Bench 7.9.1 (CLC, Aarhus, Denmark) software. For the target design, all paralogs of each *ITPK* gene family were aligned and regions of 20bp length and a GC content >40% were chosen which were next to a PAM sequence (NGG). Conserved regions with a maximum of one SNP upstream of 10th position of the PAM site were considered as suitable targets because they can be tolerated by Cas9 (Braatz et al. 2017). All target sites chosen were blasted against the *B. napus* genome database using CLC Main Work Bench 7.0.3 for verification of any off targets. Sequences lacking BLAST hits were selected for further analysis. Oligonucleotides were ordered from Eurofins Genomics Company (Ebersberg, Germany) and purified by a high purity salt free (HPSF) method with a purity of >70 %. (http://www.eurofins.de/de-de.aspx).

3.6.4. Rapeseed hypocotyl transformation

We used a protocol kindly provided by Dr. José Orsini (Saaten-Union Biotec GmbH, Germany) with minor adaptations from a published protocol (Zarhloul et al. 1999). Seeds from cultivar Haydn were surface sterilized with 70% EtOH for two minutes followed by 3% sodium hypochlorite and 0.01% Tween 20 for 10 min. Seeds were washed thoroughly with autoclaved water and sown on MS medium. Five days old hypocotyls were used for transformation. The hypocotyls were cut into 1cm explants and incubated for 45 to 60 min with an *A. tumefaciens* overnight culture adjusted to OD₆₀₀ 1.2. The hypocotyls were co-cultivated for two days and transferred to shoot-inducing medium containing 500 mg L⁻¹ ticarcillin disodium / clavulanate potassium (Duchefa-T0190.0002). After 4 weeks on shoot inducing medium, the regenerated shoots were transferred to shoot inducing medium containing 5 mg L⁻¹ BASTA (phosphinotricin) (Duchefa: P0159.0250). Surviving shoots were transferred to root inducing medium for regeneration of complete plants.

3.6.5. Verification of the mutations by molecular marker analysis

Paralog specific primers were designed using the Darmor-*bzh* reference sequence to amplify the individual copies according to the protocol (Table S6) (Liu et al. 2012). DNA was isolated from the leaf samples using the CTAB method. Sanger sequencing was performed from the paralog specific amplicons to verify the putative editing events. Furthermore, PCR amplicons from chimeric T_1 plants were cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega, Germany) and plasmids were Sanger sequenced. For verification of the underlying alleles in the chimeric plants in the T₂ generation we used an online software tool called Tracking of InDels by Decomposition (TIDE) (<u>https://tide.deskgen.com/</u>) (Brinkman et al. 2014). Raw Sanger sequencing reads of individual paralogs (.abi files) were used as query, which were then compared to the provided reference sequences (non-edited sequences). The analysis resulted in a plot for each sequence of a gene showing the probable nucleotide changes caused by the NHEJ repair mechanism.

3.6.6. Measuring seed phytic acid and inorganic phosphorus contents

Phytic acid and inorganic phosphorus (Pi) were extracted following the procedure of (Matthäus et al. 1995) with slight modifications. Dry matured seeds of 200 mg were ground into fine powder and three technical replicates of ~50 mg were made from each sample. Defatting was done by extraction with 1 ml n-hexane overnight at room temperature on an overhead shaker. After centrifugation (10 min at 20,800 g), the defatted seed cake was extracted with 1 ml of 0.5 M HCl by vigorous shaking on a Bioer mixing block (MB-102) at 30°C for 4h. After centrifugation at 20,800 g, the supernatant was freeze-dried, re-dissolved in 1 ml double distilled water. A 0.5 ml aliquot was applied on a 2 ml

column of Dowex 1x2 (Acros Organics, A0346424), washed with 2x10 ml water and eluted with 25 ml 2 M HCl. The eluates were freeze-dried and re-dissolved in 1 ml double distilled water. Phosphoinositols (IP1 to IP6) were analyzed by HPLC following the procedure published by (Rounds and Nielsen 1993) with minor modifications. Fifty microliter samples were injected onto a PL-SAX 1000 HPLC column (50 x 4.6 mm, 5µ, Agilent), and IP1 to IP6 were eluted at 30°C with a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹. A 25-min linear gradient from 0.01 M 1-methylpiperazine (pH 4.0) to 0.5 M NaNO₃ dissolved in 0.01 M 1-methylpiperazine (pH 4.0) with a further elution for 2 min, 1 min returning to starting conditions and 10 min equilibration was used. Analytes were detected at 500 nm using a Pinnacle PCX device (LCTech, Germany) with a post-column reagent consisting of 0.015% (w/v) FeCl₃ x 6H₂O and 0.15% (w/v) sulfosalicylic acid. A calibration curve was plotted using 10-50 µg of PA sodium salt hydrate (Sigma: P8810). For determining Pi contents, 50µl of the purified column extracts were mixed with 500 µl of coloring reagent (10% w/v of ascorbic acid (Roth- Art.Nr.3666.1) and 5% w/v of ammonium molybdate (Roth, Art. No.3525.2), adjusted to 1.5 ml with double distilled water and incubated at 40°C for one hour. The samples were measured against a reagent blank in a spectrophotometer at 655 nm. A calibration curve 0 to 100 nmol Pi was used.

3.6.7. Statistical Analysis

For greenhouse experiment, five plants of each line were grown (biological replicates). Of each plant, three technical replicates were taken for PA, inorganic P, and oil measurements. An ANOVA was performed using R 3.6.0 and the MulticomView package and post hoc test was done by using Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; p=0.05.

3.7.Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Siegbert Melzer for his valuable discussions and Birgit Defant and Ines Schütt for helping with the tissue culture and greenhouse experiments. We also thank the Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology in Kiel for providing Sanger sequencing as supported in part by the DFG Clusters of Excellence "Precision Medicine in Chronic Inflammation" and "ROOTS" and thank T. Naujoks, Dr. D. Langfeldt and Dr. B. Löscher for technical support. We thank Dipl. Biol. Jens Hermann and Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Bilger from the Department of Ecophysiology of Plants at the CAU Kiel for HPLC measurements and Prof. Holger Puchta from KIT, Karlsruhe for supplying the CRISPR vectors. This study was supported by a grant from the German Research Foundation, DFG (grant No. JU 205/26-1).

3.8. Supporting information

Figure S6: Expression analysis of *BnITPK* paralogs in seeds and leaves.

- Figure S7: Protein alignment of ITPK showing the inositol, ATP and Mg²⁺ binding sites.
- Figure S8: Predicted protein structure of Bn.ITPK1.C09 in Haydn and in the mutant line 190666.
- Table S6: Primer sequences used in this study.
- Table S7: Editing status in regenerated T₁ plants
- Table S8: Gene acronyms for four *BnITPK* genes used in this study.
- Table S9: Segregation analysis in T₃ generations.

3.9.References

Abascal F, Zardoya R, Posada D (2005) ProtTest: selection of best-fit models of protein evolution. *Bioinformatics* (Oxford, England) 21 (9):2104-2105. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti263

Adem HN, Tressel R-P, Pudel F, Slawski H, Schulz C (2014) Rapeseed use in aquaculture. *OCL* 21 (1):D105

Andersson M, Turesson H, Nicolia A, Fält A-S, Samuelsson M, Hofvander P (2017) Efficient targeted multiallelic mutagenesis in tetraploid potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) by transient CRISPR-Cas9 expression in protoplasts. *Plant Cell Reports* 36 (1):117-128. doi:10.1007/s00299-016-2062-3

Braatz J, Harloff HJ, Mascher M, Stein N (2017) CRISPR-Cas9 Targeted Mutagenesis Leads to Simultaneous Modification of Different Homoeologous Gene Copies in Polyploid Oilseed Rape (*Brassica napus*). *Plant Physiology* 174 (2):935-942. doi:10.1104/pp.17.00426

Brinkman EK, Chen T, Amendola M, van Steensel B (2014) Easy quantitative assessment of genome editing by sequence trace decomposition. *Nucleic Acids Research* 42 (22):e168-e168. doi:10.1093/nar/gku936

Caddick SEK, Harrison CJ, Stavridou I, Mitchell JL, Hemmings AM, Brearley CA (2008) A *Solanum tuberosum* inositol phosphate kinase (*StITPK1*) displaying inositol phosphate–inositol phosphate and inositol phosphate–ADP phosphotransferase activities. *FEBS Letters* 582 (12):1731-1737. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2008.04.034

Campbell L, Rempel CB, Wanasundara JP (2016) Canola/Rapeseed Protein: Future Opportunities and Directions-Workshop Proceedings of IRC 2015. *Plants* (Basel) 5 (2). doi:10.3390/plants5020017

Chalhoub B, Denoeud F, Liu S, Parkin IA, Tang H, Wang X, Chiquet J, Belcram H, Tong C, Samans B, Correa M, Da Silva C, Just J, Falentin C, Koh CS, Le Clainche I, Bernard M, Bento P, Noel B, Labadie K, Alberti A, Charles M, Arnaud D, Guo H, Daviaud C, Alamery S, Jabbari K, Zhao M, Edger PP, Chelaifa H, Tack D, Lassalle G, Mestiri I, Schnel N, Le Paslier MC, Fan G, Renault V, Bayer PE, Golicz AA, Manoli S, Lee TH, Thi VH, Chalabi S, Hu Q, Fan C, Tollenaere R, Lu Y, Battail C, Shen J, Sidebottom CH, Wang X, Canaguier A, Chauveau A, Berard A, Deniot G, Guan M, Liu Z, Sun F, Lim YP, Lyons E, Town CD, Bancroft I, Wang X, Meng J, Ma J, Pires JC, King GJ, Brunel D, Delourme R, Renard M, Aury JM, Adams KL, Batley J, Snowdon RJ, Tost J, Edwards D, Zhou Y, Hua W, Sharpe AG, Paterson AH, Guan C, Wincker P (2014) Plant genetics. Early allopolyploid evolution in the post-Neolithic *Brassica napus* oilseed genome. *Science* (New York, NY) 345 (6199):950-953. doi:10.1126/science.1253435

Conley DJ, Paerl HW, Howarth RW, Boesch DF, Seitzinger SP, Havens KE, Lancelot C, Likens GE (2009) Controlling Eutrophication: Nitrogen and Phosphorus. *Science* 323 (5917):1014. doi:10.1126/science.1167755

Cordell D, Drangert J-O, White S (2009) *The story of phosphorus: Global food security and food for thought. Global Environmental Change* 19 (2):292-305. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2008.10.009

De Buck S, Podevin N, Nolf J, Jacobs A, Depicker A (2009) The T-DNA integration pattern in Arabidopsis transformants is highly determined by the transformed target cell. *The Plant Journal* 60 (1):134-145. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03942.x

Dersjant-Li Y, Awati A, Schulze H, Partridge G (2015) Phytase in non-ruminant animal nutrition: a critical review on phytase activities in the gastrointestinal tract and influencing factors. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 95 (5):878-896. doi:10.1002/jsfa.6998

Dieck C, Boss W, Perera I (2012) A Role for Phosphoinositides in Regulating Plant Nuclear Functions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 3 (50). doi:10.3389/fpls.2012.00050

Donahue JL, Alford SR, Torabinejad J, Kerwin RE, Nourbakhsh A, Ray WK, Hernick M, Huang X, Lyons BM, Hein PP, Gillaspy GE (2010) The *Arabidopsis thaliana Myo-Inositol 1-Phosphate Synthase1* Gene Is Required for *Myo*-inositol Synthesis and Suppression of Cell Death. *The Plant Cell* 22 (3):888. doi:10.1105/tpc.109.071779

Du H, Liu L, You L, Yang M, He Y, Li X, Xiong L (2011) Characterization of an inositol 1,3,4trisphosphate 5/6-kinase gene that is essential for drought and salt stress responses in rice. *Plant Molecular Biology* 77 (6):547-563. doi:10.1007/s11103-011-9830-9

El-Batal AI, Abdel Karem H (2001) Phytase production and phytic acid reduction in rapeseed meal by Aspergillus niger during solid state fermentation. *Food Research International* 34 (8):715-720. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-9969(01)00093-X

Fauser F, Schiml S, Puchta H (2014) Both CRISPR/Cas-based nucleases and nickases can be used efficiently for genome engineering in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant journal: for Cell and Molecular Biology* 79 (2):348-359. doi:10.1111/tpj.12554

Gacek K, Bartkowiak-Broda I, Batley J (2018) Genetic and Molecular Regulation of Seed Storage Proteins (SSPs) to Improve Protein Nutritional Value of Oilseed Rape (*Brassica napus* L.) Seeds. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9:890-890. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00890

Gibson RS, Raboy V, King JC (2018) Implications of phytate in plant-based foods for iron and zinc bioavailability, setting dietary requirements, and formulating programs and policies. *Nutrition Reviews* 76 (11):793-804. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuy028

Jasinski S, Chardon F, Nesi N, Lécureuil A, Guerche P (2018) Improving seed oil and protein content in *Brassicaceae*: some new genetic insights from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *OCL* 25 (6):D603

Jiang M, Liu Y, Liu Y, Tan Y, Huang J, Shu Q (2019) Mutation of Inositol 1,3,4-trisphosphate 5/6kinase6 Impairs Plant Growth and Phytic Acid Synthesis in Rice. *Plants* 8 (5):114

Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30 (4):772-780. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010

Kim S-I, Tai TH (2014) Identification of novel rice low phytic acid mutations via TILLING by sequencing. *Molecular Breeding* 34 (4):1717-1729. doi:10.1007/s11032-014-0127-y

Kim SI, Tai TH (2011) Identification of genes necessary for wild-type levels of seed phytic acid in *Arabidopsis thaliana* using a reverse genetics approach. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* 286 (2):119-133. doi:10.1007/s00438-011-0631-2

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35 (6):1547-1549. doi:10.1093/molbev/msy096

Letunic I, Bork P (2007) Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): An online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Bioinformatics* (Oxford, England) 23 (1):127-128. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl529

Letunic I, Bork P (2019) Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: Recent updates and new developments. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47 (W1):W256-W259. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz239

Liu J, Huang S, Sun M, Liu S, Liu Y, Wang W, Zhang X, Wang H, Hua W (2012) An improved allelespecific PCR primer design method for SNP marker analysis and its application. *Plant Methods* 8 (1):34. doi:10.1186/1746-4811-8-34

Loewus FA, Murthy PPN (2000) *Myo*-Inositol metabolism in plants. *Plant Science* 150 (1):1-19. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00150-8

Matthäus B, Lösing R, Fiebig H-J (1995) Determination of Inositol Phosphates IP3 – IP6 in Rapeseed and Rapeseed Meal by an HPLC Method, Part 1: *Method. Lipid / Fett* 97 (7-8):289-291. doi:10.1002/lipi.19950970713

Otegui MS, Capp R, Staehelin LA (2002) Developing Seeds of Arabidopsis Store Different Minerals in Two Types of Vacuoles and in the Endoplasmic Reticulum. *The Plant Cell* 14 (6):1311. doi:10.1105/tpc.010486

Perera I, Seneweera S, Hirotsu N (2018) Manipulating the Phytic Acid Content of Rice Grain Toward Improving Micronutrient Bioavailability. *Rice* (N Y) 11 (1):4-4. doi:10.1186/s12284-018-0200-y

Qin ZX, Chen QJ, Tong Z, Wang XC (2005) The Arabidopsis inositol 1,3,4-trisphosphate 5/6 kinase, *AtItpk-1*, is involved in plant photomorphogenesis under red light conditions, possibly via interaction with COP9 signalosome. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*: PPB 43 (10-11):947-954. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2005.08.006

Raboy V (2000) Low-phytic-acid Grains. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 21 (4):423-427. doi:10.1177/156482650002100416

Raboy V (2003) myo-Inositol-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexakisphosphate. Phytochemistry 64 (6):1033-1043

Raboy V (2009) Approaches and challenges to engineering seed phytate and total phosphorus. *Plant Science* 177 (4):281-296. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2009.06.012

Raboy V, Gerbasi PF, Young KA, Stoneberg SD, Pickett SG, Bauman AT, Murthy PPN, Sheridan WF, Ertl DS (2000) Origin and Seed Phenotype of Maize *low phytic acid 1-1* and *low phytic acid 2-1*. *Plant Physiology* 124 (1):355-368. doi:10.1104/pp.124.1.355

Reddy MB, Hurrell RF, Juillerat MA, Cook JD (1996) The influence of different protein sources on phytate inhibition of nonheme-iron absorption in humans. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 63 (2):203-207. doi:10.1093/ajcn/63.2.203

Rounds MA, Nielsen SS (1993) Anion-exchange high-performance liquid chromatography with postcolumn detection for the analysis of phytic acid and other inositol phosphates. *Journal of Chromatography A* 653 (1):148-152. doi:10.1016/0021-9673(93)80404-V

Sashidhar N, Harloff H-J, Jung C (2019) Identification of phytic acid mutants in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) by large scale screening of mutant populations through amplicon sequencing. *New Phytologist* 0 (ja). doi:10.1111/nph.16281

Shah S, Weinholdt C, Jedrusik N, Molina C, Zou J, Grosse I, Schiessl S, Jung C, Emrani N (2018) Whole-transcriptome analysis reveals genetic factors underlying flowering time regulation in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Plant Cell & Environment* 41 (8):1935-1947. doi:10.1111/pce.13353

Shi J, Wang H, Wu Y, Hazebroek J, Meeley RB, Ertl DS (2003) The Maize Low-Phytic Acid Mutant *lpa2* Is Caused by Mutation in an Inositol Phosphate Kinase Gene. *Plant Physiology* 131 (2):507. doi:10.1104/pp.014258

Sparvoli F, Cominelli E (2015) Seed Biofortification and Phytic Acid Reduction: A Conflict of Interest for the Plant? *Plants* (Basel) 4 (4):728-755. doi:10.3390/plants4040728

Stiles AR, Qian X, Shears SB, Grabau EA (2008) Metabolic and signaling properties of an *Itpk* gene family in *Glycine max. FEBS Letters* 582 (13):1853-1858. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2008.04.054

Sweetman D, Stavridou I, Johnson S, Green P, Caddick SEK, Brearley CA (2007) *Arabidopsis thaliana* inositol 1,3,4-trisphosphate 5/6-kinase 4 (*AtITPK4*) is an outlier to a family of ATP-grasp fold proteins from Arabidopsis. *FEBS Letters* 581 (22):4165-4171. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2007.07.046

Tan SH, Mailer RJ, Blanchard CL, Agboola SO (2011) Canola proteins for human consumption: extraction, profile, and functional properties. *Journal of Food Science* 76 (1):R16-R28. doi:10.1111/j.1750-3841.2010.01930.x

Tang W, Newton RJ, Weidner DA (2006) Genetic transformation and gene silencing mediated by multiple copies of a transgene in eastern white pine. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58 (3):545-554. doi:10.1093/jxb/erl228

Tang Y, Tan S, Xue H (2013) Arabidopsis inositol 1,3,4-trisphosphate 5/6 kinase 2 is required for seed coat development. *Acta Biochimica et Biophysica Sinica* 45 (7):549-560. doi:10.1093/abbs/gmt039

Thompson LU (1990) Phytates in Canola/Rapeseed. In: Shahidi F (ed) *Canola and Rapeseed: Production, Chemistry, Nutrition and Processing Technology*. Springer US, Boston, MA, pp 173-192. doi:10.1007/978-1-4615-3912-4_10

Uppström B, Svensson R (1980) Determination of phytic acid in rapeseed meal. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 31 (7):651-656. doi:10.1002/jsfa.2740310706

Wanasundara JPD, McIntosh TC, Perera SP, Withana-Gamage TS, Mitra P (2016) Canola/rapeseed protein-functionality and nutrition. *OCL* 23 (4):D407

Wang Y, Cheng X, Shan Q, Zhang Y, Liu J, Gao C, Qiu J-L (2014) Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew. *Nature biotechnology* 32:947. doi:10.1038/nbt.2969 https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.2969#supplementary-information

Yao M-Z, Zhang Y-H, Lu W-L, Hu M-Q, Wang W, Liang A-H (2012) Phytases: crystal structures, protein engineering and potential biotechnological applications. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 112 (1):1-14. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05181.x

Zarhloul MK, Friedt W, M.R KY, Lühs.W (1999) Genetic transformation and shoot regeneration ability of resynthesised *Brassica napus* line 'RS 306'. *EUCARPIA*

Zhang C, Majerus PW, Wilson MP (2012) Regulation of inositol 1,3,4-trisphosphate 5/6-kinase (ITPK1) by reversible lysine acetylation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, USA 109 (7):2290-2295. doi:10.1073/pnas.1119740109

Zhang Z, Hua L, Gupta A, Tricoli D, Edwards KJ, Yang B, Li W (2019) Development of an *Agrobacterium*-delivered CRISPR/Cas9 system for wheat genome editing. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 17 (8):1623-1635. doi:10.1111/pbi.13088

Zhao J, Jamar DCL, Lou P, Wang Y, Wu J, Wang X, Bonnema G, Koornneef M, Vreugdenhil D (2008) Quantitative trait loci analysis of phytate and phosphate concentrations in seeds and leaves of *Brassica rapa*. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 31 (7):887-900. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2008.01791.x

4. Knockout of *MULTI-DRUG RESISTANT PROTEIN 5* genes lead to low phytic acid contents in *Brassica napus* L.

4.1. Abstract

Understanding phosphate uptake and storage is interesting in optimizing the plant's performances to the phosphorus fluctuations. Phytic acid (PA) is the major source of inorganic phosphorus (Pi) storage in plants. Genetic analyses of PA pathway transporter genes (*BnMRP5*) and their functional characterization might provide clues in better utilizing the available phosphate resources. Furthermore, the failure to assimilate PA by monogastric animals results in an excess accumulation in soils, which ultimately causes eutrophication of waters. As a first step towards breeding low PA mutants in *Brassica napus* L. (oilseed rape), I identified knockout mutants in PA biosynthesis and transporter genes (as described in Chapter 2). The obtained M₃ single mutants of *Bn.MRP5.A10* and *Bn.MRP5.C09* were combined by crossing to produce double mutants. Simultaneously, crosses were performed with EMS donor plants Express 617 to reduce background mutation load. Double mutants identified from the F₂ progeny of M₃ crosses and once backcrossed plants showed 15% reduction in PA contents with no significant differences in Pi. In this chapter, I will discuss in detail the functional characterization of *BnMRP5* single and double mutants and the benefits for breeding with *bnmrp5* mutants in respect to low PA, yield and stress tolerances.

4.2.Introduction

Phosphorus is an essential macronutrient required for plant development. In plants, numerous transporters such as PHT, SPX-MFS and phosphate antiporters facilitate the uptake of phosphorus from the soil and its mobilization across various tissues (Kopriva and Chu 2018). The up taken phosphorus is utilized in various metabolic processes and is finally stored in seed vacuoles in the form of phytic acid (PA; also referred as inositol hexakis phosphate), which is readily available for seedling development. The stored PA in vacuoles is referred to as phytin, which is a complex salt of divalent ions. Dry mature seeds contain PA up to 80% of total phosphorus across various plant species (Raboy et al. 2000). PA storage in seeds is correlated to the amount of phosphorus available in soil. Therefore, low PA mutants are desirable for a reduced application of external phosphorus in the form of fertilizers. Furthermore, they could help to decrease the dependency on non-renewable rock phosphates (Lott et al. 2007). In this regard, many PA biosynthetic genes were knocked out in plants to obtain low PA mutants with simultaneous increase of inorganic phosphorus (Pi) (Sparvoli and Cominelli 2015). In some cases, the knockout of biosynthetic genes involved in PA has proven to have adverse effects on plant performances such as poor seed set, delayed germination, low yield (Shi et al. 2007). Therefore, targeting the transporters that are accumulating PA in seeds gained more attention.

A multi- drug resistant protein (MRP5), acting as a tonoplast transporter was shown to have high affinity towards PA (Nagy et al. 2009). MRP5 is a member of the ATP binding cassette (ATP) super family and belongs to the ABCC subfamily (Kang et al. 2011). The protein has two transmembrane domains and two nucleotide-binding domains encasing the walker A, B and C motifs (Verrier et al. 2008) and it has been shown that the transport of PA is strictly dependent on ATP (Nagy et al. 2009). However, in rice knockout of SULTR-like phosphorus distribution transporter (SPDT) also leads to 25-32% reduced PA contents in seeds (Yamaji et al. 2016). This indicates that the loading of phosphorus in seeds is not only limited to MRP5 protein but also to other transporters. Furthermore, the accumulation of PA in the vacuoles depends on the amount of Pi availability, as it is highly important to maintain the ratio of vacuolar to cytoplasmic Pi for overall phosphorus homeostasis (Pratt et al. 2009).

Brasscia napus. L (oilseed rape) is the third major oil crop in the world and its seed meal is rich in proteins and amino acid contents (Gacek et al. 2018). However, the presence of anti-nutritive compounds in *B. napus* impedes its use as a valuable protein source for human and animal feed. The usage of the meal is limited by the presence of compounds such as glucosinolates, tannins, fiber and high PA contents. PA is a strong chelator of positive ions like magnesium, potassium, zinc and iron, thereby reducing their bioavailability in monogastric animals. Several knockout and knockdown mutants of PA transporter genes were identified in cereal crops such as maize, rice, wheat and barley with reduced PA contents from 32% to 90% in seeds and a simultaneous increase of Pi (Shi et al. 2007; Panzeri et al. 2011; Dorsch et al. 2003; Bhati et al. 2016; Xu et al. 2009). In addition, MRP mutants were also identified from common bean and soybean, which also showed a reduction of up to 90% (Wilcox et al. 2000; Campion et al. 2009; Shi et al. 2007).

In this chapter along with obtained EMS mutants by a TILLING by Sequencing (TbyS) approach (described in Chapter 2), I further aimed to select mutants by CRISPR-Cas9. Since, multiple knockouts are necessary to observe a noticeable phenotype in polyploids, I reasoned that CRISPR-Cas9 mutants might have higher reductions in PA contents than EMS double mutants. I will discuss the generation of EMS double mutants and their phenotypic effects. Since, to my surprise, I could not obtain CRISPR-Cas9 mutants I will also discuss possible reasons for the failed experiments in the following paragraphs.

4.3.Materials and Methods4.3.1. Plant Material and growth conditions

For accumulation analysis of PA and Pi in developing stages of seed, five plants from Express 617 were used for each time point. During flowering, young buds were emasculated and were hand pollinated with Express 617 pollen and the day of pollination is recorded. For PA and Pi extractions developing seeds at 15, 25, 35, 45 and 55 days after pollination (DAP) were collected and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were kept at -80°C until extraction.

 M_3 seeds of the identified mutants were obtained from the breeding company Norddeutsche Pflanzenzucht Hans-Georg Lembke KG, Hohenlieth. Homozygous M_3 plants of *Bn.MRP5.A10* (M_3 seed code-170482) were crossed with *Bn.MRP5.C05* (M_3 seed code-170481) to produce F_1 plants with mutant alleles for both genes. Simultaneously, M_3 homozygous plants from each of the families were further crossed with EMS donor Express 617 to obtain F_1 plants with reduced background mutation load. All obtained F_1 plants were selfed to obtain F_2 seeds (Figure 14).

Unless mentioned, all the plants for the experiments were grown in 11cm x 11 cm pots under 16h of light and 8h of dark at 22°C in greenhouse. For plant growth, no external phosphorus was added to the soil. Three week old plants were vernalized for eight weeks at 4°C (16h light and 8h dark) and after eight weeks plants were transferred back to 22°C (16h light and 8h dark) until harvest. For phenotyping analysis, Express 617 controls were grown along with the mutants for every experiment.



Figure 14: Crossing scheme for production of *BnMRP5* single and double mutants. The seed codes are written above each genotype. The alleles are explained in Table 2. The genotypes highlighted in green were selfed to produce F_3 seeds, which were used for phenotyping; 'S': selfing. Mu stands for mutation and 1, 2 are for *Bn.MRP5.A10* and *Bn.MRP5.C05* genes, respectively. (a) and (b) shows crossing schemes used for M₃ crosses and once backcrossed plant material for production of double mutants, respectively.

4.3.2. Identification of MRP5 genes and mutant screening

In Arabidopsis, the MRP5 protein is encoded by one gene (At1g0420), which was used for retrieving all paralogous genes from *B. napus* using the Genoscope browser (<u>http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicanapus/</u>). Genes having high sequence identity to the Arabidopsis genes were considered as true paralogous genes. Protein domains were identified using the pFam database search (<u>http://pfam.xfam.org/</u>). All hits were confirmed using TAIR (<u>https://www.arabidopsis.org/servlets/Search?type=gene&action=new_search</u>), NCBI annotations (<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene</u>) and BRAD databases (<u>http://brassicadb.org/brad/</u>).

I have used TILLING by sequencing (TbyS) for screening of the mutations as described in Chapter 2 (Sashidhar et al. 2019). Two *BnMRP5* paralogs were chosen for identifying the putative loss of function mutations. Four primer pairs were used to screen amplicons of a length of 1414 bp to1509 bp (Table S6). Sanger sequencing was used to confirm the mutations in M₂ generation with the help of paralog specific primers.

Mutation frequencies (F) were calculated based on the mutations per M₁ plant (Harloff et al. 2012):

$$F [1/kb] = 1 / \left(\frac{(\text{amplicon size [bp]})*(\text{number of M1 plants})}{(\text{number of mutations})*1,000}\right)$$

For CRISPR-Cas9 experiments, two conserved target sites were used for knocking out four paralogs of the gene family (Table S10). Design of the target sites and their cloning was performed according to the published protocol (Fauser et al. 2014). Rapeseed hypocotyl transformation was done according to the described protocol in Chapter 3 under Section 3.6.4.

4.3.3. Expression analysis

Five Express 617 plants were used for expression analysis. Immature flower buds were emasculated, and hand pollination was done to ensure the day of pollination. Seed samples of 50 mg were harvested at 15, 25, 35 and 45 days after pollination (DAP) and were shock frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was isolated using PeqGOLD RNA isolation kit (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNase digestion was performed after RNA isolation using the peqGOLD DNase I Digest Kit (PEQLAB, Erlangen, Germany) to remove genomic DNA. cDNA first strand synthesis was carried out using a first strand cDNA synthesis kit (ThermoFisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, United States) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RT-qPCR was performed using Platinum® SYBR® Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG with ROX (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) on a CFX96 Real-Time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) in a volume of 20µl. *BnActin2* was used as a reference gene for normalization. Relative expression was calculated using the ΔC_t method.

4.3.4. PA and Pi measurements

Dry seeds of 200 mg were ground into fine powder and phytic acid was extracted in three replicates of 50 mg according to Matthäus et al. (1995) with minor modifications. Phytic acid was measured by HPLC according to Rounds and Nielsen (1993) calibrating with a phytic acid standard (Sigma P-8810). For determining Pi contents, 50 µl of the purified column extracts were mixed with 500 µl of coloring reagent (10% w/v of ascorbic acid (Roth- Art.Nr.3666.1) and 5% w/v of ammonium molybdate (Roth, Art. No.3525.2), adjusted to 1.5 ml with double distilled water and incubated at 40°C for one hour. The samples were measured against a reagent blank in a spectrophotometer at 655 nm. A calibration curve ranging from 0 to 100 nmol was used for both PA and Pi measurements with standards for Pi and PA, respectively.

4.3.5. Statistical Analysis

Data obtained from PA and Pi measurements were used for statistical analysis. Significance was calculated using ANOVA in R 3.6.0 and the MulticomView package and Post hoc test was performed by using Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; p=0.05. Standard deviation was measured between five biological samples along with their three technical repeats.

4.4.Results

4.4.1. Dynamics of phytic acid (PA) and inorganic phosphorous (Pi) accumulation in Express 617

To determine the dynamic changes of PA and Pi in developing seeds of rapeseed, I have collected seed samples at 15,25,35,45 and 55 days after pollination (DAP). The obtained values from HPLC analysis for PA and Pi values by an ammonium molybdate spectrophotometric test are plotted in Figure 15. PA was not observed at 15 DAP but it accumulated significantly from 15 to 35 DAP. In contrast to PA, Pi

was decreased significantly from 15 to 35 DAP. This coordinated decrease of Pi and increased PA might indicate that most of the Pi is assimilated to PA at 35 DAP.



Figure 15: Dynamics of phytic acid (PA) and inorganic phosphorus (Pi) accumulation during seed maturation in winter cv. Express 617. measurements. Plants were grown in green house under 16h of light and 8h of dark at 22°C. Five plants were used as biological replicates for PA and Pi measurements. Error bars denote the SD among five biological samples along with three technical repeats. Statistical significance was calculated using ANOVA and grouping was done using Tuckey test. Different letters indicate statistical significance.

4.4.2. Bioinformatic analysis

Four genes were identified as putative paralogous genes for the Arabidopsis *AtMRP5* from the Brassica Genoscope database (Table 6). However, according to the gene models from a recent RNAseq study in Ningyou7 (Shah et al. 2018), *Bn.MRP5.A09* was annotated to *BnMRP15*. Exon-intron structure and protein similarity of the identified genes is given in Table 6. Indeed, this paralog had less protein similarity to the MRP5 proteins and a low expression from the available RNAseq data of Ningyou7. Therefore, this gene was not considered for TbyS analysis but was considered for CRISPR-Cas9 experiments. All identified genes showed Walker A, B and C motifs, which were encased by the transmembrane domain (Figure 17).

| | | U | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|--------------|--|--|
| | <i>B. napus</i> sequence annotation | <i>B. napus</i> paralogs | CDS length (bp) | Exon/Intron structure | | Protein | Amino acid | |
| Arabidopsis gene | | | | Exons | Introns | size (aa) | identity with <i>AtMRP5</i> (%) | |
| | BnaA10g02400D | Bn.MRP5.A10 | 5284 | 9 | 8 | 1509 | 93.0 | |
| At1g0420 | BnaC05g02300D | Bn.MRP5.C05 | 5278 | 9 | 8 | 1510 | 93.6 | |
| | BnaC09g10390D | Bn.MRP5.C09 | 5399 | 12 | 11 | 1436 | 85.3 | |
| At3g60970 [§] / At1g0420* | BnaA09g10230D | Bn.MRP5.A09 | 4168 | 13 | 12 | 1071 | 84.7 | |

Table 6: In silico analysis of MRP5 genes in Brassica napus L.

[§]Annotation according to (Shah et al. 2018). * Annotation according to published *Brassica napus* genome (Darmor-*bzh*) (Chalhoub et al. 2014). CDS length is from start to stop codon.

4.4.3. Expression analysis

Messenger RNA abundance was measured in developing seeds of Express617 and obtained Ct values were normalized to the house keeping gene *BnActin2*. The three most homologous genes of *BnMRP5* were considered for the expression analysis. All genes showed an increase of transcript accumulation from 15 to 25 DAP, where all showed a peak of expression. The expression levels stayed constant or decreased until 45DAP (Figure 16). For the TbyS strategy, the two highly expressed paralogs were used for knockout analysis. In the CRISPR-Cas9 experiments, a conserved target site sequence for all four paralogs was used to identify complete knockouts.



Figure 16: Expression profiles of three *BnMRP5* genes in Express 617 at seed filling stages. Plants were grown at 16h of light and 8h of dark at 22°C. Seed samples from four developmental stages of Express 617 which were harvested at 15, 25,35 and 45 DAP were used. The expression was normalized to the housekeeping gene *BnActin2*. Error bars indicate SD of five biological samples along with three technical repeats.

4.4.4. Identification of EMS mutants

The coding regions of the targeted genes Bn.MRP5.A10 and Bn.MRP5.C05 from start site to stop site are 5284 bp and 5278 bp long respectively. Both genes have the functional Walker A, B and C motifs (also known as the signature motif of ABC genes). The amplicons used for TbyS approach covered approx. 60% of the coding region including the Walker A and C motifs of the genes (see Chapter 2 for TbyS strategy) (Figure 17a, b). By implementing TbyS, eight stop codon and three splice site mutations for Bn.MRP5.A10 and 10 stop codon and one splice site mutations for Bn.MRP5.C05 were obtained (Table 7), which were also confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Mutations in Bn.MRP5.A10 and Bn.MRP5.C05 were assigned with the allele code J and K, respectively. For a phenotyping analysis, I have chosen the alleles J_1 and K_1 as these mutations were in beginning of the gene and the translation should result in a loss of all the important domains of the BnMRP5 protein (Table 7, Figure 17). Homozygous M₃ mutants were combined according to the crossing scheme shown in Figure 14. All obtained F₁ plants were selfed to generate segregating F₂ plants from which seeds were used for PA measurements. An expected segregation ratio of 1:15 was observed in F₂ generation for double mutants and 1:3 for single mutants (Chi square analysis is shown in Table 8).

| Gene | Mutation type | Mutation site (bp)§ | Allele ^a | Mutation type | Effect on protein sequence |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | Nonsense | 1077 ^{\$} | J_l | G to A | W379* |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | Nonsense | 1072 | J_2 | C to T | Q378* |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | Nonsense | 1242 | J_3 | G to A | W414* |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | Nonsense | 1260 | J_4 | G to A | W420* |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | Nonsense | 1561 | J_5 | C to T | Q521* |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | Splice site | 3557 | J_6 | - | - |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | Splice site | 4093 | J_7 | - | - |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | Nonsense | 4152 | J_8 | G to A | W1216* |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | Nonsense | 4271 | J_9 | G to A | W1255* |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | Splice site | 4390 | J_{10} | - | - |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | Nonsense | 432 | K_2 | G to A | W144* |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | Nonsense | 628 ^{\$} | K_{l} | C to T | Q210* |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | Nonsense | 1174 | K_3 | C to T | Q392* |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | Nonsense | 1244 | K_4 | G to A | W415* |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | Nonsense | 3487 ^{\$} | K_5 | C to T | R1044* |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | Nonsense | 3762 | K_6 | C to T | Q1116* |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | Nonsense | 4206 | K_7 | C to T | Q1239* |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | Nonsense | 4245 | K_8 | C to T | Q1252* |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | Splice site | 4299 | K_9 | - | - |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | - | - | J_e | - | - |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | - | - | K_e | - | - |

Table 7: All Brassica napus BnMRP5. mutant alleles detected by TILLING by sequencing (TbyS).

[§] Mutation site from the start codon.

 a A single letter code was used to display M_{2} genotypes where 'e' denotes the allele of non-mutagenized Express 617 donor variety.

^{\$} Two plants from the EMS population had similar mutation.



Figure 17: Gene structure of *BnMRP5*. (a) Exon-intron structure showing the positions of the identified mutations. Exons are shown in white bars. Primer pairs used for amplicon sequencing are shown in black solid and dotted arrows. C motif, Walker A and Walker B motif are shown in grey, black and dotted boxes, respectively. The C motif is also known as ABC signature motif. Stop codon mutations are shown as black triangles and splice site mutations as grey triangles. The mutant alleles are indicated by respective numbers (See table 7). (b): Conserved C motif, Walker A and Walker B motifs in different *BnMRP5* enzymes.

Table 8: Genotypic segregation for *Bn.MRP5.A10* and *Bn.MRP5.C05* in 4 F₂ populations. Genotypes shown in green were used for phenotyping experiments.

| F ₂ seed | Expected segregation | Gene | Number of | Chi square |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| code | pattern | o ente | plants analyzed | value (χ^2) |
| 180850 | J_1J_1 : J_eJ_e : J_1J_e | Bn.MRP5.A10 | 50 | 4.2 ^a |
| 180823 | $K_1K_1:K_eK_e:K_1K_e$ | Bn.MRP5.C05 | 50 | 3.45 ^a |
| 180844 | $J_{1}J_{1}K_{1}K_{1}: J_{1}J_{1}K_{e}K_{e}:$ $J_{e}J_{e}K_{1}K_{1}: J_{e}J_{e}K_{e}K_{e}:$ $J_{1}J_{1}K_{1}K_{e}: J_{1}J_{e}K_{1}K_{1}:$ $J_{1}J_{e}K_{1}K_{e}: J_{e}J_{e}K_{1}K_{e}:$ $J_{1}J_{e}K_{e}K_{e}$ | Bn.MRP5.A10; Bn.MRP5.C05 | 230 | 3.66 ^b |
| 190690 | $J_{1}J_{1}K_{1}K_{1} : J_{1}J_{1}K_{e}K_{e} : \\ J_{o}J_{e}K_{1}K_{1} : J_{o}J_{e}K_{e}K_{e} : \\ J_{1}J_{1}K_{1}K_{e} : J_{1}J_{e}K_{1}K_{1} : \\ J_{1}J_{e}K_{1}K_{e} : J_{o}J_{e}K_{1}K_{e} : \\ J_{1}J_{e}K_{e}K_{e} K_{e}$ | Bn.MRP5.A10; Bn.MRP5.C05 | 200 | 4.4 ^b |

^a 3:1 segregation, $\chi^2 < 5.99$, at p=0.05, df= 2

^b 15:1 segregation, $\chi^2 < 7.82$, at *p*=0.05, df= 3

4.4.5. CRISPR-Cas9 knockout analysis

Despite the annotation of the fourth paralog was not clear, I aimed to knockout all paralogs by using a conserved sequence as a target site. Upon rapeseed hypocotyl transformation using 640 hypocotyls, 17

shoots from a total of seven independent transgenic events (termed T_1 generation) were regenerated to whole plants (MRP5_TS1_C1 to MRP5_TS1_C7, where TS= target site 1) on BASTA selection media. Out of seven independent events, shoots from only three events were transgenic. The presence of the transgene was confirmed by using primers flanking the *Cas9* region. PCR amplicons of the targeted sites from leaf DNA of each transformant were sequenced to search for mutations within genes of interest. None of the regenerated plants showed editing in the targeted genes. To verify whether the transgenic plants showed any editing patterns in T_2 generation, ten seeds from two of the independent events were sown and leaf samples of T_2 plants were tested for the presence of transgene. Although the regenerated plants were transgenic, no editing was observed. Therefore, a second target site (TS-2) was identified and the corresponding sgRNA was cloned into the transformation vector and subsequently was transformed by using rapeseed hypocotyl transformation. From this second experiment, five plants were obtained. Upon evaluation for presence of the transgene and for editing, all plants were neither transgenic nor edited in the targeted genes (Table S10).

4.4.6. Phenotypic analysis of *bnmrp5* mutants obtained by EMS

Plants were grown after vernalization at 16h of light and 8h of dark at 22°C for phenotyping analyses. Single mutants from the F₂ families 180823 (J_1J_1) and 180850 (K_1K_1) , showed no significant reduction in PA contents when compared to Express 617 (Figure 18). Therefore, double mutants were produced as shown in Figure 14a, for a phenotyping analysis. However, the double mutants obtained from an F₂ family (180844; $J_I J_I K_I K_I$) showed significant reduction only to the internal control plants carrying homozygous alleles for Express 617 ($J_e J_e K_e K_e$) and not with non-treated Express 617 (Figure 18). Furthermore, I expected clear phenotypic effects in the double mutants of F₂ progeny, which were performed between two F1 mutants once backcrossed with Express 617 (crossing scheme shown in Figure 14b). Upon HPLC measurements in the seeds of the segregating F₂ family (190690), I observed that the double mutants showed PA reduction to the internal control plants (JeJeKeKe) as well to the Express 617 plants. A reduction of 15% PA contents was observed indicating that the PA reduction was due to the underlying mutant alleles and not due to the background mutation load. Indeed, a similar reduction was observed in the double mutants of the 180844 family when compared to internal control plants (JeJeKeKe), indicating that the observed reduction of 15% PA is based on the two mutated alleles. In addition, I have measured the Pi contents in matured seeds of the 190690 progeny to see if the reduced PA had any effects on Pi accumulation. I did not find any significant increase in double mutants as compared to control plants (Figure 19). Besides this, the plant height, silique number per plant and thousand kernel weights were also not significantly different, indicating that the low PA was not effecting the overall plant performances (Table 9).



Figure 18: Phenotypic analysis of *bnmrp5* mutants. Box plot of phytic acid contents in seeds of *bn2-pgk2* mutants. Statistical analysis was performed using Anova and a posthoc test using Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; p=0.05. Same letters indicate no significant differences. The non-mutated Express 617 alleles are indicated by 'e'. Mu stands for mutation and 1, 2 are for *Bn.MRP5.A10* and *Bn.MRP5.C05* genes, respectively. Seed codes of F₂ families are given above the flower brackets. Mean is represented by +. See Table 7 for respective allele codes.



Figure 19: Inorganic P (Pi) measurements in *bnmrp5* double mutants of F_2 family (190690). Five plants were grown under 16h of light and 8h of dark at 22°C. The boxplot represents Pi measurements in five plants for each genotype from matured seeds. Of each plant, three technical repeats were taken. Mean is represented by +. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and posthoc test by Tuckey at p = 0.05. Same letters indicate no significant differences between the samples. The non-mutated Express 617 alleles are indicated by 'e'. See Table 7 for respective allele codes.

Table 9: Evaluation of pleiotropic effects in *bnmrp5* mutants in F_3 seeds. Plants were grown under 16h light and 8h dark in 11 x 11 cm pots at 22°C for all measurements. Five plants for each genotype were

| F ₂ Seed code | Generation | Genotype | TKW (g) | Plant height (cm) | Silique number/ plant |
|--------------------------|---|--|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | $J_l J_l K_l K_l$ | 3.29 ± 0.33 | $93.06{\pm}9.57$ | $39 \pm \! 11.98$ |
| | | $J_l J_l K_e K_e$ | $3.37{\pm}0.10$ | $101.80{\pm}9.12$ | 42 ± 7.08 |
| 180844 | $M_3(mu1) \ge M_3(mu2)$ | $J_e J_e K_l K_l$ | 3.32 ± 0.38 | 105.00±9.33 | $37 \pm \! 10.30$ |
| | | JeJ _e K _e K _e | 3.28 ± 0.14 | 103.20±3.56 | $39 \pm \! 9.80$ |
| | | Express 617 | $3.30\pm\!\!0.22$ | $118.02{\pm}~6.12$ | 42 ±6.08 |
| 180823 | M ₃ (mu1) x Express | JIJI | $2.61{\pm}0.16$ | $91.53{\pm}8.56$ | $46 \pm \! 5.08$ |
| | 617 | JeJe | $2.37{\pm}~0.20$ | $98.88{\pm}4.60$ | 52 ± 7.10 |
| 180850 | M ₃ (mu2) x Express | K_1K_1 | 3.24 ± 0.32 | $97.50{\pm}~8.35$ | 39 ± 9.08 |
| | 617 | $K_e K_e$ | 3.09 ± 0.60 | $97.45{\pm}~8.40$ | $46\pm\!6.50$ |
| | | $J_I J_I K_I K_I$ | $2.50{\pm}~0.15$ | $84.80{\pm}\ 3.65$ | 40 ± 4.60 |
| | (M ₃ (mu1) x Express 617) x (M ₃ (mu2) x Express 617) | $J_1J_1K_eK_e$ | $2.15{\pm}~0.14$ | $86.20{\pm}2.13$ | $45\pm\!\!12.20$ |
| 190690 | | $J_e J_e K_l K_l$ | $2.67{\pm}~0.40$ | $90.20{\pm}~8.25$ | $40 \pm \! 8.79$ |
| | | JeJ _e K _e K _e | 2.38 ± 0.15 | $83.66{\pm}3.44$ | $47\pm\!\!8.28$ |
| | | Express 617 | $2.59{\pm}0.49$ | $89.45{\pm}~5.40$ | 49 ±5.23 |

used for measuring TKW, plant height and silique number. Mu stands for mutation and 1, 2 are for *Bn.MRP5.A10* and *Bn.MRP5.C05*, respectively.

4.5. Discussion

In this chapter, I described low phytic acid mutants in oilseed rape by targeting *BnMRP5*, PA transporter genes. Three paralogs were increasingly expressed during seed development until 35DAP. The expression profiles of *AtMRP5* from siliques (Kim and Tai 2011) and *PvMRP1* from common bean seeds (measured at 6 to 24 days after flowering) (Panzeri et al. 2011) showed similar expression profiles as *BnMRP5* paralogs. At 25 DAP the expression of the paralogs peaked and this coincided with the PA and Pi measurements from Express 617. At 35 DAP, I observed only low amounts of Pi and no further increase in PA indicating that the major incorporation of Pi into PA occurred until 35 DAP. These results might also suggest that a knockout of all three paralogs might be essential for obtaining low PA contents in seeds.

The double mutants obtained by combining the mutations of *Bn.MRP5.A10* and *Bn.MRP5.C05* resulted in reduced PA contents of 15%. One of the major concerns regarding EMS mutations is background mutation load. The wild type plants ($J_eJ_eK_eK_e$) from the M₃ mutant crosses (180844) and once backcrossed progeny (190690) did not show any significant differences to Express 617 PA contents. Interestingly, in one of the M₃ single mutant's families (180850), the wild type plants with the alleles K_eK_e showed a significant difference to Express 617 plants and had accumulated more PA in the seeds (Figure 18). Therefore, I hypothesize that crossing of M₃ mutants perhaps might be the cause for no significant differences between double mutants ($J_1J_1K_1K_1$) and Express 617. However, the double mutants obtained from a backcross with Express 617 (F₁: 180821, Figure 14b), could clearly rule out the background noise coming from the M₃ families. A significant reduction was observed when compared to the segregating wild type plants ($J_eJ_eK_eK_e$) as well as to Express 617.
Efficient CRISPR-Cas9 editing depends on three parameters.1) Checking for a complementary sequence on the genome by the sgRNA guided Cas9 nuclease to find the complementary DNA sequence, 2) inducing a DNA double strand break (DSB) by Cas9 endonuclease, and 3) efficient repair of the DSBs by the endogenous DNA repair machinery (Chakrabarti et al. 2019). The study form Chakrabarti et al. showed that upon testing 1000 human genomic loci, the fourth position from the PAM site is most important for efficient editing. The choice of T or A in this position resulted in higher gene editing in human cells (Chakrabarti et al. 2019). Interestingly, out of two selected target sites for *BnMRP5*, one target site (TS1) had nucleotide 'T' at position 4 (Table S10). The regenerated transgenic plants containing this target site sequence for a knockout did not show any editing. Therefore, the conclusions from the human study might not be true for plants and specifically not for rapeseed. Another explanation could be that the T-DNA was inserted in a region, which is not transcriptionally active or that multiple T-DNA insertions might have resulted in silencing of the transgene (De Buck et al. 2009; Tang et al. 2007). Furthermore, the chromatin accessibility at the position of the chosen target site in the genomic DNA might also influence an efficient binding and subsequent induction of DSB (Uusi-Mäkelä et al. 2018). In conclusion, the failure to the edit target genes remains elusive and further experiments with other target sites should allow to find the gene editing in BnMRP5 paralogs. In addition, the expression analysis of Cas9 in the obtained mutants might provide additional information regarding the transgene silencing.

Knocking out mutants of MRP transporters showed significant reductions in PA contents in maize, rice, wheat, barley, Arabidopsis, soybean and common bean of up to 90% (Sparvoli and Cominelli 2015). Demonstrating that PA transporters are potential targets for low PA breeding across different crops without pleiotropic effects. I expected that the two knockout mutants resulted in a truncated protein, where the Walker A, B and C motifs were completely lost, thereby leading to a failure to transport PA. In comparison to knockout mutants from other crop species which ranged from 32% to 90%, I observed only 15% reduction of PA. One of the reason for a smaller reduction could be due to the relative high expression of three paralog in seeds, and the knockout effects of two genes might be compensated by the third functional paralog (Figure 16). Moreover, it was shown in several studies simultaneous knockout of multiple genes is required for loss of function in targeted genes in polyploids (Wang et al. 2014; Del Pozo and Ramirez-Parra 2015). Regardless, in wheat a reduction of 22% PA was observed when all three paralogous genes of *TaABCC5* were downregulated by using RNAi (Bhati et al. 2016). Taken together the results from wheat (Bhati et al. 2016) and from this study might indicate that probably in polyploids alternative transporters exist which might compensate for the loss of knockout mutations in MRP5 proteins.

It was shown in common bean, where *PvMRP2* compensates for the loss of *PvMRP1* function. However, compensation of the loss of *PvMRP1* was not observed in seeds but in other tissues (Cominelli et al. 2018). In addition, knockout mutants of *OsSPDT* in rice, a sulphur transporter has shown reduced PA contents in seeds (Yamaji et al. 2016), which might also contribute to PA loading in seeds. Since, loss of function mutants in PA transporters were never identified before in oilseed rape, the hypothesis that other MRP transporters compensate the knockout effects need to be further analyzed. In future to observe higher reductions, an additional mutation in the third paralog *Bn.MRP5.C09* could be an alternative in oilseed rape. Besides this, the obtained *bnmrp5* mutants can also be used for crossing with previously identified *bn2-pgk2* mutants to have higher PA reduction. Since in both the cases no negative effects on plant performances such as TKW, plant height, silique number/plant were observed, this could be a promising alternative.

Loss of *BnMRP5* function is attractive in three different aspects. 1) Knockout mutants of *BnMRP5* reduced the PA contents in seeds, which is beneficial for monogastric animals to improve their dietary requirements. 2) It is interesting to question, what happens with the PA if the transport function is

Chapter 4

knocked out. PA transporter mutants in various species do have higher inositol kinases (Vip/VIH) referred to as inositol hexakis phosphate kinases (Desai et al. 2014), which synthesize InsP7 (also referred as PP-InsP₅) and InsP8 (also referred as 1,5PP₂-InsP₄). For instance, the knockout mutants of atmrp5 and zmmrp4 showed an increased accumulation of InsP7. Therefore, one can conclude that loss of function in MRP5 might lead also to an increased accumulation of InsP6 (or PA), which can be used as a substrate to produce InsP7 or InsP8. Indirectly, a reduction of PA can be observed as the substrate is used up by the inositol hexakis phosphate kinases. Accumulating InsP7 and InsP8 might be beneficial to the plants as these are energy rich molecules having energy rich pyrophosphate moieties, which are comparable to free energy of hydrolysis by ATP (Desai et al. 2014; Hand and Honek 2007). By an increased accumulation of such molecules, the yield capacity can be improved, and fertilizer demands might be reduced (Williams et al. 2015). Finally, inositol pyrophosphates are regulating the jasmonic acid based defense mechanisms, which might be beneficial for an improved growth under stress conditions (Laha et al. 2015). 3) Mutants of PA transporter, AtMRP5 have been shown to have an effect on drought tolerance with a reduced ABA sensitivity resulting in stomatal closure (Gaedeke et al. 2001; Klein et al. 2003). In atmrp5 mutants, failure to transport PA into vacuoles resulted in reduced K⁺ uptake into the guard cells by inhibiting K⁺ inward rectifying channels (Nagy et al. 2009). The stomatal closure might reduce water loss from leaves and might foster plants to cope with drought stress and it was shown that atmpr5 mutants showed reduced water uptake and survived longer than the wild type plants under low water levels (Klein et al. 2003).

4.6.References

Bhati KK, Alok A, Kumar A, Kaur J, Tiwari S, Pandey AK (2016) Silencing of *ABCC13* transporter in wheat reveals its involvement in grain development, phytic acid accumulation and lateral root formation. Journal of Experimental Botany 67 (14):4379-4389. doi:10.1093/jxb/erw224

Campion B, Sparvoli F, Doria E, Tagliabue G, Galasso I, Fileppi M, Bollini R, Nielsen E (2009) Isolation and characterisation of an *lpa* (low phytic acid) mutant in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). TAG Theoretical and applied genetics Theoretische und angewandte Genetik 118 (6):1211-1221. doi:10.1007/s00122-009-0975-8

Chakrabarti AM, Henser-Brownhill T, Monserrat J, Poetsch AR, Luscombe NM, Scaffidi P (2019) Target-Specific Precision of CRISPR-Mediated Genome Editing. Molecular Cell 73 (4):699-713.e696. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.11.031

Chalhoub B, Denoeud F, Liu S, Parkin IA, Tang H, Wang X, Chiquet J, Belcram H, Tong C, Samans B, Correa M, Da Silva C, Just J, Falentin C, Koh CS, Le Clainche I, Bernard M, Bento P, Noel B, Labadie K, Alberti A, Charles M, Arnaud D, Guo H, Daviaud C, Alamery S, Jabbari K, Zhao M, Edger PP, Chelaifa H, Tack D, Lassalle G, Mestiri I, Schnel N, Le Paslier MC, Fan G, Renault V, Bayer PE, Golicz AA, Manoli S, Lee TH, Thi VH, Chalabi S, Hu Q, Fan C, Tollenaere R, Lu Y, Battail C, Shen J, Sidebottom CH, Wang X, Canaguier A, Chauveau A, Berard A, Deniot G, Guan M, Liu Z, Sun F, Lim YP, Lyons E, Town CD, Bancroft I, Wang X, Meng J, Ma J, Pires JC, King GJ, Brunel D, Delourme R, Renard M, Aury JM, Adams KL, Batley J, Snowdon RJ, Tost J, Edwards D, Zhou Y, Hua W, Sharpe AG, Paterson AH, Guan C, Wincker P (2014) Plant genetics. Early allopolyploid evolution in the post-Neolithic *Brassica napus* oilseed genome. Science (New York, NY) 345 (6199):950-953. doi:10.1126/science.1253435

Cominelli E, Confalonieri M, Carlessi M, Cortinovis G, Daminati MG, Porch TG, Losa A, Sparvoli F (2018) Phytic acid transport in *Phaseolus vulgaris*: A new low phytic acid mutant in the *PvMRP1* gene and study of the *PvMRPs* promoters in two different plant systems. Plant Science: an International Journal of Experimental Plant Biology 270:1-12. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.02.003

De Buck S, Podevin N, Nolf J, Jacobs A, Depicker A (2009) The T-DNA integration pattern in Arabidopsis transformants is highly determined by the transformed target cell. Plant Jornal 60 (1):134-145. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03942.x

Del Pozo JC, Ramirez-Parra E (2015) Whole genome duplications in plants: an overview from Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany 66 (22):6991-7003. doi:10.1093/jxb/erv432

Desai M, Rangarajan P, Donahue JL, Williams SP, Land ES, Mandal MK, Phillippy BQ, Perera IY, Raboy V, Gillaspy GE (2014) Two inositol hexakisphosphate kinases drive inositol pyrophosphate synthesis in plants. Plant Journal 80 (4):642-653. doi:10.1111/tpj.12669

Dorsch J, Cook A, Young K, Anderson J, Bauman A, Volkmann C, Murthy P, Raboy V (2003) Seed phosphorus and inositol phosphate phenotype of barley low phytic acid genotypes. Phytochemistry 62:691-706. doi:10.1016/S0031-9422(02)00610-6

Gacek K, Bartkowiak-Broda I, Batley J (2018) Genetic and Molecular Regulation of Seed Storage Proteins (SSPs) to Improve Protein Nutritional Value of Oilseed Rape (*Brassica napus* L.) Seeds. Frontiers in Plant Science 9 (890). doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00890

Gaedeke N, Klein M, Kolukisaoglu U, Forestier C, Müller A, Ansorge M, Becker D, Mamnun Y, Kuchler K, Schulz B, Mueller-Roeber B, Martinoia E (2001) The *Arabidopsis thaliana* ABC transporter *AtMRP5* controls root development and stomata movement. The EMBO Journal 20 (8):1875-1887. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.8.1875

Hand CE, Honek JF (2007) Phosphate transfer from inositol pyrophosphates InsP5PP and InsP4(PP)2: a semi-empirical investigation. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters 17 (1):183-188. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2006.09.066

Harloff HJ, Lemcke S, Mittasch J, Frolov A, Wu JG, Dreyer F, Leckband G, Jung C (2012) A mutation screening platform for rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) and the detection of sinapine biosynthesis mutants. TAG Theoretical and Applied Genetics Theoretische und angewandte Genetik 124 (5):957-969. doi:10.1007/s00122-011-1760-z

Jasinski S, Chardon F, Nesi N, Lécureuil A, Guerche P (2018) Improving seed oil and protein content in *Brassicaceae*: some new genetic insights from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. OCL 25 (6):D603

Kang J, Park J, Choi H, Burla B, Kretzschmar T, Lee Y, Martinoia E (2011) Plant ABC Transporters. Arabidopsis Book 9:e0153-e0153. doi:10.1199/tab.0153

Kim SI, Tai TH (2011) Identification of genes necessary for wild-type levels of seed phytic acid in *Arabidopsis thaliana* using a reverse genetics approach. Molecular Genetics and Genomics 286 (2):119-133. doi:10.1007/s00438-011-0631-2

Klein M, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Frelet A, Gaedeke N, Reinhardt D, Mueller-Roeber B, Martinoia E, Forestier C (2003) The plant multidrug resistance ABC transporter *AtMRP5* is involved in guard cell hormonal signalling and water use. The Plant Journal 33 (1):119-129. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.016012.x

Kopriva S, Chu C (2018) Are we ready to improve phosphorus homeostasis in rice? Journal of Experimental Botany 69 (15):3515-3522. doi:10.1093/jxb/ery163

Chapter 4

Laha D, Johnen P, Azevedo C, Dynowski M, Weiß M, Capolicchio S, Mao H, Iven T, Steenbergen M, Freyer M, Gaugler P, de Campos MKF, Zheng N, Feussner I, Jessen HJ, Van Wees SCM, Saiardi A, Schaaf G (2015) *VIH2* Regulates the Synthesis of Inositol Pyrophosphate InsP; and Jasmonate-Dependent Defenses in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 27 (4):1082. doi:10.1105/tpc.114.135160

Lott JNA, Ockenden I, Raboy V, Batten GD (2007) Phytic acid and phosphorus in crop seeds and fruits: a global estimate. Seed Science Research 10 (1):11-33. doi:10.1017/S0960258500000039

Matthäus B, Lösing R, Fiebig H-J (1995) Determination of Inositol Phosphates IP3 – IP6 in Rapeseed and Rapeseed Meal by an HPLC Method, Part 1: Method. Lipid / Fett 97 (7-8):289-291. doi:10.1002/lipi.19950970713

Nagy R, Grob H, Weder B, Green P, Klein M, Frelet-Barrand A, Schjoerring JK, Brearley C, Martinoia E (2009) The Arabidopsis ATP-binding cassette protein *AtMRP5/AtABCC5* is a high affinity inositol hexakisphosphate transporter involved in guard cell signaling and phytate storage. Journal of Biological Chemistry 284 (48):33614-33622. doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.030247

Panzeri D, Cassani E, Doria E, Tagliabue G, Forti L, Campion B, Bollini R, Brearley CA, Pilu R, Nielsen E, Sparvoli F (2011) A defective ABC transporter of the MRP family, responsible for the bean *lpa1* mutation, affects the regulation of the phytic acid pathway, reduces seed myo-inositol and alters ABA sensitivity. New Phytologist 191 (1):70-83. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03666.x

Pratt J, Boisson A-M, Gout E, Bligny R, Douce R, Aubert S (2009) Phosphate (Pi) starvation effect on the cytosolic Pi concentration and Pi exchanges across the tonoplast in plant cells: an in vivo 31P-nuclear magnetic resonance study using methylphosphonate as a Pi analog. Plant Physiology 151 (3):1646-1657. doi:10.1104/pp.109.144626

Raboy V, Gerbasi PF, Young KA, Stoneberg SD, Pickett SG, Bauman AT, Murthy PPN, Sheridan WF, Ertl DS (2000) Origin and Seed Phenotype of Maize *low phytic acid 1* and *low phytic acid 2-1*. Plant Physiology 124 (1):355. doi:10.1104/pp.124.1.355

Rounds MA, Nielsen SS (1993) Anion-exchange high-performance liquid chromatography with postcolumn detection for the analysis of phytic acid and other inositol phosphates. Journal of Chromatography A 653 (1):148-152. doi:10.1016/0021-9673(93)80404-V

Sashidhar N, Harloff H-J, Jung C (2019) Identification of phytic acid mutants in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) by large scale screening of mutant populations through amplicon sequencing. New Phytologist 0 (ja). doi:10.1111/nph.16281

Shah S, Weinholdt C, Jedrusik N, Molina C, Zou J, Grosse I, Schiessl S, Jung C, Emrani N (2018) Whole-transcriptome analysis reveals genetic factors underlying flowering time regulation in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Plant, Cell & Environment 41 (8):1935-1947. doi:10.1111/pce.13353

Shi J, Wang H, Schellin K, Li B, Faller M, Stoop JM, Meeley RB, Ertl DS, Ranch JP, Glassman K (2007) Embryo-specific silencing of a transporter reduces phytic acid content of maize and soybean seeds. Nature Biotechnology 25 (8):930-937. doi:10.1038/nbt1322

Sparvoli F, Cominelli E (2015) Seed Biofortification and Phytic Acid Reduction: A Conflict of Interest for the Plant? Plants (Basel, Switzerland) 4 (4):728-755. doi:10.3390/plants4040728

Tang W, Newton RJ, Weidner DA (2007) Genetic transformation and gene silencing mediated by multiple copies of a transgene in eastern white pine. Journal of Experimental Botany 58 (3):545-554. doi:10.1093/jxb/erl228

Uusi-Mäkelä MIE, Barker HR, Bäuerlein CA, Häkkinen T, Nykter M, Rämet M (2018) Chromatin accessibility is associated with CRISPR-Cas9 efficiency in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). PloS ONE 13 (4):e0196238. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0196238

Verrier PJ, Bird D, Burla B, Dassa E, Forestier C, Geisler M, Klein M, Kolukisaoglu Ü, Lee Y, Martinoia E, Murphy A, Rea PA, Samuels L, Schulz B, Spalding EP, Yazaki K, Theodoulou FL (2008) Plant ABC proteins – a unified nomenclature and updated inventory. Trends in Plant Science 13 (4):151-159. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2008.02.001

Wang Y, Cheng X, Shan Q, Zhang Y, Liu J, Gao C, Qiu JL (2014) Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew. Nature Biotechnology 32 (9):947-951. doi:10.1038/nbt.2969

Wilcox J, Premachandra G, Young K, Raboy V (2000) Isolation of High Seed Inorganic P, Low-Phytate Soybean Mutants. Crop Science 40. doi:10.2135/cropsci2000.4061601x

Williams SP, Gillaspy GE, Perera IY (2015) Biosynthesis and possible functions of inositol pyrophosphates in plants. Frontiers in Plant Science 6:67-67. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00067

Xu XH, Zhao HJ, Liu QL, Frank T, Engel KH, An G, Shu QY (2009) Mutations of the multi-drug resistance-associated protein ABC transporter gene 5 result in reduction of phytic acid in rice seeds. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 119 (1):75-83. doi:10.1007/s00122-009-1018-1

Yamaji N, Takemoto Y, Miyaji T, Mitani-Ueno N, Yoshida KT, Ma JF (2016) Reducing phosphorus accumulation in rice grains with an impaired transporter in the node. Nature 541:92. doi:10.1038/nature20610 https://www.nature.com/articles/nature20610#supplementary-information

The two main objectives of my research were 1) to develop mutant detection protocols for obtaining knockout mutations of complex pathways in polyploid crops in an efficient manner and 2) to identify loss of function mutants in the phytic acid (PA) pathway to enrich oilseed rape protein quality for monogastric livestock. To fulfill the aimed objectives, I have targeted all genes of PA biosynthesis and a transporter by a transgenic CRISPR-Cas based and a non-transgenic (TILLING by sequencing, TbyS) approach. I could obtain knockout mutants for all targeted genes of the pathway by either of the used approaches (Figure 20, Table 10). This study was the first to identify low PA mutants from the different steps of the pathway in oilseed rape, which is an essential trait for practical breeding purposes. In the following paragraphs, I will critically discuss the obtained results from successful and failed experiments.

My first aim was to work out a protocol for TbyS using amplicon sequencing for 12 highly expressed genes of the PA pathway in a population of 7680 EMS mutants. By implementing TbyS, mutations in all targeted genes were obtained at an average mutation frequency of 1/27 kb. The use of an Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencing machine resulted a coverage of 143x per allele for each of 22 amplicons, which was high enough to find loss of function mutations for every gene except for *Bn.MIK.A10*. Failure to identify the mutation in *Bn.MIK.A10* might not be due to limitations of the detection method, but more likely due to vital function of this gene for plant development and a loss of function mutation might be lethal. Furthermore, mutations were identified without the need of bespoke bioinformatic pipelines (described in Chapter 2). Altogether, the mutation detection method was efficient when compared to previous studies as shown in Table 2, where a list of all TbyS studies performed so far are given.

Today, with the advancements in sequencing technologies, higher amplicon stacking could be used with the help of dual indexing barcodes. Besides this, an increased pool depth to 192 or 265, as shown in a recent proof of concept study (Tramontano et al. 2018) can be beneficial to screen for larger populations. This not only will reduce the costs of TbyS but will also allow the screening for higher number of genes, especially when multiple genes are responsible for a single trait such as in polyploids. Likewise, development of WGS or exome capture kits for oilseed rape can provide a longterm database for identifying mutants for any kind of genes. By developing the mutant database, a onestep identification of mutants can be achieved where the type of mutation and its effects on protein structure can be easily identified (Henry et al. 2014). Studies in wheat showed that with exome capture sequencing not only SNPs but also larger InDels could be identified, which can be a valuable resource for fast marker development for genotyping approaches. The usage of high EMS concentrations was a reason for the occurrence of InDels in wheat (Krasileva et al. 2017). The available oilseed rape TILLING population from our lab was also established by using high EMS concentrations and therefore, WGS or exome capture sequencing can be beneficial to detect InDels in this population. Moreover, in my amplicon sequencing data, I observed small InDels (data not shown) but these were not tested using Sanger sequencing.

One of the major drawbacks of mutants obtained by random mutagenesis is the high mutational background load, which limits the use of these mutants directly for practical breeding purposes. To solve this problem, the obtained mutants of Bn2-PGK2 and BnMRP5 were crossed with an early flowering spring genotype (cv. Peace) and a BC₁ progeny was produced. By using a 15K SNP array the background mutation load can be reduced in a fast way by selecting genotypes with enriched Peace backgrounds. Further rounds of backcrossing with this early flowering variety can reduce the EMS background in few generations drastically. Thereby, the established varieties will contain mostly the desired mutations, and can be used immediately for further physiological experiments. Likewise, they

can be used for fish and poultry feed experiments to analyze whether a lowered PA content has a direct consequence on their growth performances. A crossing scheme used to generate the BC1 mutants is shown in Figure S10, Table S11.

Gene editing by CRISPR-Cas9 offers a solution to obtain mutants without mutated backgrounds easily and it provides also an elegant solution for simultaneous knockout of multiple genes in polyploids, which is often required to overcome gene redundancy (Wang et al. 2014). Therefore, my second aim was to identify mutants for genes of the PA pathway by using the CRISPR-Cas9 approach. This should have allowed me to obtain multiple knockout mutants for a gene family in a single plant, unlike in the TbyS approach where only single mutants were obtained. Among the CRISPR-Cas9 experiments performed, stable transgenic plants could be only obtained for *BnITPK* and *BnMRP5* genes. However, editing was observed only in *BnITPK* paralogs, but not in *BnMRP5* genes (Table 10, Table S10). A detailed description of the used CRISPR-Cas9 strategy is discussed for *BnITPK* in Chapter 3 and for *BnMRP5* in Chapter 4.

One of the reasons for the failure of CRISPR-Cas9 experiments in the targeted genes, except BnITPK, could be due to the design of inefficient target sites for the cloning of sgRNA that have been used for rapeseed transformations. The design of efficient target sites that are specific to homologous regions of different targeted paralogs is a challenging step. There are different tools available for the design of sgRNAs, but all these tools generate different results for the same query (Unival et al. 2019). Previous studies using CRISPR-Cas9 approaches have shown that the use of multiple sgRNAs is often necessary to edit the genes of interest. For instance in tomato, out of eight used tandem sgRNAs for one promoter region, editing was possible only by six of them (Rodríguez-Leal et al. 2017). In this context it seems desirable to design different target sites for any gene to be knocked out efficiently, and to use multiplexing sgRNAs vector systems that have been developed (Zhang et al. 2016b; Minkenberg et al. 2017). For rapeseed this infers that multiple target sites for several paralogs should be identified, which is challenging and for some genes even might not be feasible, as I have shown for the BnITPK genes. It was possible to design conserved target sites for two BnITPK paralogs, but for the other paralogs a SNP had to be accepted in the end of the sgRNA (see Chapter 3). Therefore, for future experiments designing multiple sgRNAs for efficient knockout of genes of interest is necessary. Besides this, knocking out a complete gene family might also result in lethal phenotypes as intermediate products of the PA pathway are involved in basic plant developmental processes.

Nonetheless, for future experiments using CRISPR-Cas, I would propose to use multiple target sites for targeting different paralogs at once. Moreover, the use of other CRISPR vector systems such as those from *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Streptococcus thermophilus* could increase the chance of obtaining mutants by gene editing (Steinert et al. 2017). It was shown in Arabidopsis that use of *SaCas9* increased the editing efficiency to about 90% when compared to the SpCas9 system (Wolter et al. 2018). In addition, these vectors harbor a kanamycin resistance gene, which was better performing in the regeneration of Brassica tissues when compared to the BASTA resistance which is encoded on the here used pCas9_TPC vector system from *Streptococcus pyogenes* (De Block et al. 1989; Fauser et al. 2014).

Promoters driving the Cas9 gene are highly important for an efficient editing. The SpCas9 system was mainly designed for Arabidopsis floral dip experiments for which a strong expression of the Cas9 gene in egg cells is desirable, which is conferred by the used ubiquitin promoter. However, this promoter might not be the best for a use in tissue culture experiments. A study in *B. napus* stated that use of tissue specific promoters rather than constitutive promoters might increase the editing efficiency by the increased expression level of *Cas9* and *sgRNAs* during plant regeneration (Yang et al. 2017).

Therefore, this system might not be suitable for an efficient gene editing in *B. napus* through hypocotyl transformations.

A further aim of this study was to knockout paralogs of the PA transporter gene *MULTI-DRUG RESISTANT PROTEIN5* (*BnMRP5*). Lowering the PA content in seeds by knocking out the biosynthetic genes is often impeded by negative agronomic traits such as poor yield, reduced stress tolerance and delayed germination (Shi et al. 2007; Sparvoli and Cominelli 2015). Knockout out and functional analysis of *bnmrp5* mutants is described in Chapter 4 and an overview of PA reduction is given in Table 10. Studying PA transporters is essential to understand the source and sink mechanisms of phosphorus from soil uptake to seed storage. In addition, knowing how P homeostasis is established in plants could be useful to improve crop yields, especially for regions where Pi availability is low. It has been shown that winter oilseed rape at flowering needs phosphorus for high yield (Grzebisz et al. 2018). Therefore, the available mutants from this study could be used to decipher phosphorous requirements in oilseed rape.

The next question to answer was whether the obtained mutants showed any significant reductions in PA contents in seeds. Since I hypothesized that single mutants will not show an effect and that more paralogs have to be mutated to see a visible phenotype, single mutants obtained by TbyS were combined to form double mutants, where by CRISPR-Cas9 double and triple mutants were obtained in T₄ generation.

I questioned also about the function of early and later steps of the PA pathway in rapeseed. Interestingly, segregating single mutants ($R_2R_2S_eS_e$ and $R_eR_eS_1S_1$) of the bnmips1 F₂ family (182085) showed a significant PA reduction, whereas double mutants $(R_2R_2S_1S_1)$ showed increased PA levels (Figure S9). However, this increase in double mutants was not significant in comparison to Express 617 and internal control plants carrying homozygous alleles for Express 617 ($R_eR_eS_eS_e$). The observed reduction in single knockout mutants cannot be explained to date, as in most cases single mutants did not show any effect in B. napus (Emrani et al. 2015; Braatz et al. 2018). Henceforth, I take these results at the moment with great care and this experiment should be repeated to confirm the results. Contradictory to this in Arabidopsis, single T-DNA mutants of atmips1, atmips2 and atmips3 did not show any reduced PA contents in seeds (Kim and Tai 2011). However, when RNAi was used in rice and oilseed rape low PA contents have been observed in seeds (Kuwano et al. 2009; Georges et al. 2006). This showed indeed that knockouts have a lower PA content, but since with RNAi more than one gene might be targeted, this situation is not directly comparable to what I have observed. In fact, it further indicates that RNAi cannot suppress the genes completely and therefore PA could be reduced in moderate amounts of up to 30% as in B. napus. Since, knockout mutations might have severe consequences in *bnmips* mutants as it is the initial step of PA synthesis as well as many other *mvo*inositol dependent products, which are needed for the development of plants. More speculative, the increased PA levels in double mutants could be a result of compensation by other paralogs of the MIPS gene family to ensure plant viability.

Besides *bnmips1*, the double mutants of *BnMIK* (F₂ seed code: 183301; $P_1P_1Q_1Q_1$) also did not show any reduced PA contents in seeds (Figure S9). *BnMIK* had two exons separating a single intron for both paralogs (*Bn.MIK.A10* and *Bn.MIK.C09*). Stop codon mutations could be recovered only for the *C09*, but not for the *A10* gene. In addition, I could also not find a splice site mutation as the chance of getting them was only at two positions. Therefore, a missense mutation ($Q_1 - D69N$) was used which changed the protein charge from negative to neutral. Unfortunately, this mutation might have only subtle consequence on the protein function and hence might be the reason for the observed phenotype. Another explanation would be that *BnMIK* is involved in maintaining the *myo*-inositol pools and has a crucial role in different developmental processes. Disturbing this pool might trigger feedback mechanisms to maintain the *myo*-inositol homeostasis. However, these hypotheses need additional experiments in measuring the *myo*-inositol contents and other sugar compounds such as raffinose, UDP-galactose and UDP-glucose that are upstream of the PA pathway, and which might be responsible for the initiation of a possible feedback mechanism.

Knockout mutants of later steps of the PA pathway (*BnIPK2β* and *BnIPK1*) showed pleiotropic effects on plant performance. The double mutants of F₂ family (182087) of *BnIPK2β* (*N*₁*N*₁*O*₂*O*₂) had poor seed set resulting in only 5-15 seeds per plant. However, seeds from single mutants (*N*₁*N*₁*O*_e*O*_e and *N*_e*N*_e*O*₂*O*₂) and mutant plants carrying homozygous Express 617 alleles (*N*_e*N*_e*O*_e*O*_e) could be obtained. Initially, I expected that *BnIPK2β* has only one highly expressed paralog (*Bn.IPK2β.A09*) in seeds and knockout of this paralog might show a greater reduction of PA contents during seed development, which might be the reason for poor seed set. Interestingly, no significant differences were observed between single mutants and control plants (Figure S9). Therefore, I reason that the poor seed set might not be due to low PA contents. Noteworthy, this is the only gene I targeted from lipid dependent pathway and knockout of *BnIPK2β* might have affected the lipid metabolism which might be crucial for seed formation or the knockout might directly affect the function of phosphatidylinositol 4,5bisphosphate (PtdIns(4,5)P2). IPK2β uses the substrates from PtdIns(4,5)P2 which is involved in a multitude of cellular functions and also serving as the precursors of secondary messengers such as diacylglycerol (Heilmann et al. 2001). Although, these data are first observations, they might already indicate that *BnIPK2β* might not be a suitable target for low PA breeding in oilseed rape.

Surprisingly, in the segregating F₂ family (182086_1) of *BnIPK1;1*, no double mutants ($L_2L_2M_1M_1$) were found. To confirm these results, I have sown 200 seeds from a F₁ sister plant (182086_2) from which two double homozygous mutants carrying the alleles $L_2L_2M_1M_1$ were found. Nonetheless, the plants did not survive, and no seeds were obtained for double homozygous mutant plants. The obtained single mutants ($L_2L_2M_eM_e$ and $L_eL_eM_1M_1$) from the F₂ generation did not show any significant differences when compared to the control plants ($L_eL_eM_eM_e$) (Figure S9). By sowing the seeds of the segregating F₂ families, it was remarkable that about 8 to 12 seedlings died two days after germination in both experiments. The number of seedlings that were dead after germination is close to the number of expected plants in a digenic segregation, indicating that the double knockouts might be lethal. In addition, *IPK1*;1 is encoded by only two paralogs, further indicating that the double knockout mutants might have a severe effect on plant growth in initial stages.

In conclusion, from the obtained results in this study, so far only few genes can be emphasized as targets for breeding low PA mutants in oilseed rape. This has also been observed in other crop species (Sparvoli and Cominelli 2015; Kim and Tai 2011). Besides this, knockout mutants of later steps of PA synthesis would be risky for breeding due to the observed pleiotropic effects. Moreover, it is still not known how much PA can be reduced until critical thresholds will be reached that will influence plant development. The obtained double mutants of *bn2-pgk2*, *bnmrp5* and triple mutants of *bnitpk* showed 15-35 % reduction without any pleiotropic effects. I suggest crossing the obtained mutants from *Bn2-PGK2*, *BnITPK* and *BnMRP5* with each other to stack the mutant alleles for further reduction of PA contents in seeds. By obtaining triple, quadruple mutants of these genes, the critical thresholds for PA contents can be defined for long-term breeding of low PA mutants without any pleiotropic effects. Considering that the mutant phenotypes obtained in this study were from greenhouse experiments, further field trials will be essential to confirm the present data.

Taken together, with the increasing knowledge on PA synthesis and PA transport mechanisms, new enzymes such as PHT transporters and sulfate transporters have been shown to have an effect on PA accumulation and could also be possible targets for low PA breeding (Figure 20). PA contents in seeds are highly correlated to available phosphorus levels in soil, which was shown in several studies, including one in oilseed rape (Möllers et al. 1999; Kopriva and Chu 2018; Lott et al. 2007). Breeding

for low PA crops has also been encouraged regarding phosphorus efficiency in plants (Wang et al. 2017). In a review of Kopriva and Chu (2018), it was shown that manipulating phosphorus transporters effects the PA and Pi contents in leaves and seeds of the studied mutants. Furthermore, they stated, "P homeostasis and control of PA content are important targets in approaches for improvement of sustainability of agricultural production" (the text in "" is from Kopriva and Chu (2018)). Additionally, the depletion of phosphate resources reinforces to modulate plant's phosphorus uptake systems for maximizing yields without any external fertilizer applications (Lott et al. 2007). Therefore, new breeding targets which are shown in Figure 20 could be studied further for deeper insights into phosphorus mechanisms in relation to PA accumulation in seeds of oilseed rape. Knockout of PHT transporters were shown to reduce PA contents in rice seeds. Therefore, this class of transporters might not only pave ways to modulate phosphate mobilization in plants but also might have effects on reducing PA contents as observed in rice (Yamaji et al. 2016; Wild et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2015). BnPHT1, a phosphorus transporter involved in phosphate uptake has been exploited and was shown as a potential target for manipulating phosphate mechanisms in oilseed rape (Ren et al. 2014; Li et al. 2019; Huang et al. 2019). This might be a good candidate gene for future experiments to study PA contents by knockout analysis. As an outlook for future experiments, I propose genes as putative targets for low PA contents other than the ones obtained from this study in Figure 20. Moreover, genetic analysis of these genes might broaden our basic understanding of P efficiencies in oilseed rape, which will be indispensable for oilseed breeding. Finally, my study provided a framework of mutants that can be used in future to add more to our understanding of PA biosynthesis and transport in rapeseed and their impact on phosphate homeostasis in this crop.

| Paralog | Mutant detection approach | Mutation type | Allele name | Mutant genotype observed | % phytic acid reduction in seeds |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Rn ITPK1 410 | | 1bp deletion | A_{I} | As As BaBaGi Gi | Nd |
| <i>Dn.111</i> X 1.1110 | | A insertion | A_5 | $M_3M_3D_2D_2O_nO_n$ | 1 VG |
| | CRISPR Cas0 | A insertion | B_2 | $A_1A_2B_2B_2G_2G_2$ | 27* |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 | CRISTR-Casy | T insertion | B_3 | A]A]D3D3O8O8 | 21 |
| | | 6bp deletion | B_7 | $A \cdot A \cdot B - B - C \circ C \circ$ | 25* |
| Bn.ITPK4.C04b | | A insertion | G_8 | A]A]D7D7U8U8 | 55 |
| Pm 2 DCV2 402 | | Q 269 stop | H_{l} | $H_1H_1I_1I_1$ | 25* |
| Dn.2-F GK2.A02 | TILLING by | splice site | H_2 | $H_1H_1I_eI_e$ | Nd |
| | sequencing | Q 283 stop | I_{l} | $H_eH_eI_1I_1$ | Nd |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | | splice site | I_2 | $H_eH_eI_eI_e$ | Nd |
| | | W 250 · | Ŧ | $J_l J_l K_l K_l$ | 15* |
| Bn.MRP3.A10 | TILLING by | W 359 stop | J_{I} | $J_l J_l K_e K_e$ | 5 |
| Dr. MDD5 C05 | sequencing | Q 210 stop | K_{I} | $J_e J_e K_1 K_1$ | 8 |
| DN.MRPJ.C03 | | W 144 stop | K_2 | $J_eJ_eK_eK_e$ | 3 |
| $D_{\rm TM}$ IDV1 1 400 | | Q 234 stop | L_{l} | $L_2L_2M_eM_e$ | Nd |
| DN.11 K1,1.A09 | TILLING by | W 379 stop | L_2 | $L_e L_e M_1 M_1$ | Nd |
| Bn.IPK1,1.C09 | sequeneing | Q 288 stop | M_{I} | $L_e L_e M_e M_e$ | Nd |
| Bn.IPK2ß.A09 | | Q 26 stop | N_I | $N_1 N_1 O_e O_e$ | Nd |
| Dr. IDV20 COO | TILLING by | S105F | O_l | $N_e N_e O_2 O_2$ | Nd |
| Dn.1FK2p.C09 | sequeneing | Q 8 stop | O_2 | $N_e N_e O_e O_e$ | Nd |

Table 10: Overview of the mutants obtained from this study and their phytic acid (PA) reduction in seeds

| Bn.MIK.C09 | | Q 284 stop | P_1 | $P_1P_1Q_1Q_1$ | Nd |
|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|------|
| | TILLING by | | | $P_1P_1Q_eQ_e$ | Nd |
| Rn MIV 110 | sequencing | D 69 N | Q_l | $P_e P_e Q_1 Q_1$ | Nd |
| Bn.MIK.A10 | | C110Y | Q_2 | $P_eP_eQ_eQ_e$ | Nd |
| D. MIDS1 401 | | W 229 stop | R_{I} | $R_2R_2S_1S_1$ | 2 |
| DN.MIPSI.A01 | TILLING by | W 142 stop | R_2 | $R_2R_2S_eS_e$ | 14 * |
| Bn.MIPS1.C01 | sequencing | 0.164 | C. | $R_e R_e S_l S_l$ | 12* |
| | | Q 104 stop | \mathfrak{S}_{I} | $R_e R_e S_e S_e$ | 9 |

Nd represents significant PA reduction was not detectable.

[§] The reduction of PA is in comparison to non-mutated Haydn in case of CRISPR-Cas9 mutants and to Express 617 for EMS mutants. * indicates significant reductions to the control plants. Statistical analysis was performed by using Anova at p=0.05.



Figure 20: Overview of PA mutants obtained in this study with additional targets for future knockout analysis for identifying low PA mutants in oil seed rape (modified after Sashidhar et al. 2019). MIPS: *myo*-inositol phosphate synthase, MIK: *myo*-inositol kinase, IMP: *myo*-inositol mono phosphatase, 2-PGK: 2-phosphoglyceric acid kinase, ITPK: inositol tetrakis-phosphate kinase, IPK2β: inositol multi phosphate kinase, IPK: inositol pentakis-phosphate 2-kinase, MRP5: multi drug resistance protein, DAG: Diacyl glycerol, PLC: phospholipase C, PtdIS: phosphatidyl inositol synthase. The paralog number of each subgene family is given in the circles.

6. Summary

Brassica napus L. is an important oil crop in the temperate regions where it is grown for oil production and feed for animals. Breeders aim to reduce the anti-nutritive compounds in rapeseed meal, which is causing serious problems in monogastric livestock. Since the natural variation of phytic acid (PA) contents in oilseed rape is low, the aim of this study was to reduce PA by a mutational approach. Using gene annotations in Arabidopsis, I identified seven genes for key enzymes and one transporter gene. The genes in oilseed rape were named as *BnMIPS*, *BnMIK*, *BnIMP*, *Bn2-PGK*, *BnITPK*, *BnIPK2* β , *BnIPK1* and *BnMRP5*. Since many PA synthesis intermediates are also substrates for other important metabolic processes, a careful selection of genes was essential in order not to disturb physiological processes. Furthermore, inositol monophosphate is involved in different signaling processes and the basis for purine metabolism and therefore I have not analyzed *BnIMP* in this study.

To identify the total number of genes encoding the seven key enzymes, I performed *in silico* analysis and found in total 59 genes in oilseed rape that corresponded to eight Arabidopsis genes. To narrow down to the candidate genes for knockout approaches, mRNA expression analysis was performed in developing seed stages. For mutational approach gene editing by CRISPR-Cas9 and TILLING by sequencing (TbyS) in an EMS mutagenized rapeseed population were used. Paralog specific primers for all the genes were developed, which became later also very useful in distinguishing the paralog specific mutations. Finally, twelve highly expressed paralogs were selected for TbyS approach and more than 90% of the coding regions were analyzed to obtain putative knockout mutants. I could identify 1437 putative EMS mutations, out of which 49 were stop codon mutations that resulted in loss of function of the encoded proteins. All identified stop codon mutations along with splice site, missense mutations were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Since, the *BnITPK* gene family comprised 14 paralogs, I have chosen these genes for the CRISPR-Cas9 approach and found knockout mutants in three paralogs of *BnITPK1* and *BnITPK4*. I could also show the inheritance of edited alleles from T₁ to T₄ generation, which was a valuable information for selecting the additional mutant alleles in later generations.

Single EMS mutants were combined to produce double mutants of the corresponding gene families. Upon phenotyping, single mutants did not show reduced PA contents supporting the idea of gene redundancy in polyploids. However, in double mutants of *bn2-pgk2* I observed a PA reduction of 25.4 %. The reduction of *bnitpk* was even higher and showed 27.2% - 35.3% less PA in two T₄ plants having different mutants alleles. Finally, in double mutants of the PA transporter *BnMRP5* 15% less PA was observed. EMS knockout mutations in other genes need to be further investigated. In addition to PA reduction, *bnitpk* mutants also showed an increased Pi contents, which might be an added value for its use as animal feed. Finally, with this study promising candidates for breeding of low PA in oilseed rape could be identified, which will be beneficial for fish and poultry feeding as well as for human diets and could also serve as a substitute for soybean proteins in future.

7. Zusammenfassung

Brassica napus L. ist eine bedeutende Ölfrucht in gemäßigten Breiten und wird zur Ölproduktion und zur Nutzung als Tierfutter angebaut. Züchter bemühen sich um eine Verringerung von antinutritiven Substanzen wie Phytinsäure (PS) im Rapsextraktionsschrot, da diese ernsthafte Probleme bei der Fütterung von monogastrischen Nutztieren verursachen.

Weil die natürliche Variation des PS-Gehalts in Raps gering ist, war das Ziel dieser Studie die Verringerung von PS in einem Mutationsansatz. Aufgrund von Gen-Annotationen in Arabidopsis wählte ich sieben Gene, die für Schlüsselenzyme der PS-Biosynthese kodieren, und ein Transportergen aus. Die entsprechenden Rapsgene wurden als *BnMIPS*, *BnMIK*, *BnIMP*, *Bn2-PGK*, *BnITPK*, *BnIPK2β*, *BnIPK1* und *BnMRP5* bezeichnet. Da viele Zwischenprodukte der PS-Synthese auch eine Rolle als Substrate in anderen Stoffwechselprozessen spielen, war ein sorgfältige Auswahl der Zielgene nötig, um keine Störungen in wesentlichen physiologischen Prozessen zu verursachen. Ich habe in dieser Studie das Gen *BnIMP* nicht verwendet, da sein Produkt Inositol-Monophosphat an verschiedenen Signalprozessen und im Purinstoffwechsel beteiligt ist.

Um die Gesamtzahl der Gene zu identifizieren, die für die sieben Schlüsselenzyme kodieren, führte ich eine in silico Analyse durch und fand insgesamt 59 Gene in Raps, die den sieben Arabidopsis-Genen entsprachen. Um die Zahl von Kandidatengenen für Knockout-Studien weiter einzugrenzen, wurde eine Genexpressionsanalyse durchgeführt. Für den Mutationsansatz wurde sowohl Genom-Editing mittels CRISPR-Cas9 sowie TILLING by Sequencing (TbyS) in einer EMS-mutagenisierten Rapspopulation verwendet. Für alle Gene wurden paralog-spezifische Primer entwickelt, die sich auch nachher bei der Unterscheidung paralog-spezifischer Mutanten als nützlich erwiesen. Abschließend wurden 12 hochexprimierte Paraloge für den TbyS-Ansatz ausgewählt und mehr als 90% ihrer kodierenden Regionen untersucht, um mutmaßliche Knockout-Mutanten zu erhalten. Ich konnte insgesamt 1437 putative EMS-Mutationen identifizieren. Für alle untersuchten Gene mit Ausnahme eines BnMIK Paralogs wurden Stopcodon-Mutationen gefunden, die einen Funktionsverlust des kodierten Proteins verursachen. Da die Genfamilie von BnITPK 14 Paraloge umfaßte, habe ich dafür einen CRISPR-Cas9-Ansatz gewählt und fand Knockout-Mutanten in drei Paralogen von BnITPK1 und BnITPK4. Ich konnte die Vererbung der editierten Allele von der T1 bis zur T4 Generation aufzeigen und damit wertvolle Information zur Selektion zusätzlicher Mutantenallele in fortgeschrittenen Generationen gewinnen.

EMS Einzelmutanten wurden durch Kreuzung zu Doppelmutanten kombiniert. Bei der Phänotypisierung zeigten die Einzelmutanten keine reduzierten PS-Gehalte, was die Vorstellung redundanter Gene in Polyploiden unterstützt. In Doppelmutanten von *bn2-pgk2* beobachtete ich jedoch eine PS-Reduktion von 25,4% und in Doppelmutanten des PS-Transportergens *BnMRP5* 15% weniger PS. Weitere Untersuchungen von Doppelmutanten der übrigen EMS-Mutanten stehen noch aus. Die Reduktion in den CRISPR-Cas *bnitpk* Dreifachmutanten war noch größer und betrug 27,2%. Darüberhinaus zeigten sie auch eine Erhöhung der Gehalte an anorganischem Phosphat, was einen zusätzlichen wirtschaftlichen Nutzen für die Verwendung als Tierfutter bedeuten könnte.

Abschließend läßt sich sagen, daß in dieser Studie vielversprechende Kandidaten für die Züchtung von Niedrig-Phytinsäure-Raps gefunden wurden, sowohl für die Nutzung als Tierfutter für Geflügel und Fische als auch für die menschliche Ernährung. Dies könnte ein Ersatz für Sojaprotein in der Zukunft sein.

8. Appendix

8.1. Supplementary Figures



Figure S1: Designing paralog specific primers. Darmor-*bzh* sequences were used for designing the primers. The obtained four paralogs from Bn2-PGK2 were aligned using CLC Main workbench 7.9.1. A unique SNP was used for the primer design of the Bn.2-PGK2.A02 gene (indicated by a green triangle. The whole primer sequence is shown by a black arrow. The unique SNP was placed at the 3' end of the primer and in addition the third base from the 3' end was modified from C to G to increase the primer specificity (shown by a red triangle). The final primer NS_P 214 is shown at the bottom.



Figure S2: Crossing scheme for production of *bn2-pgk2* double mutants. The seed codes are written above each genotype. The alleles are explained in Table 2. The genotypes highlighted in green were selfed to produce F_3 seeds which were used for phenotyping; 'S': selfing



Figure S3: Overview of reads, coverage across the pools and eliminated reads. (a): Total number of reads mapped to the reference sequence Darmor-bzh for paralog library 1 and 2 across 80 row, 80 column and 80 plate pools. *Bn.2-PGK2.A02* showed a poor amplification, therefore, this paralog had lower number of reads compared to the other amplicons. (b): Obtained average coverage across the pools for all the 22 amplicons. The 'average coverage' was calculated with the CLC Genomic workbench 8.1 by considering the mean of all the calculated coverages in all the positions in all target regions. (c): Number of reads obtained at each stage of the data analysis. (d): The green bars show the total number of SNPs that were called during basic variant detection. Few SNPs were present in all the pools irrespective of stacks and these reads were eliminated during the analysis, shown in blue bars.

Appendix



Figure S4: Threshold for selecting the putative three-dimensional (3D) SNPs. The black line shows the threshold value of 30, which was plotted using the formula frequency= (count*100) / coverage. The 3D SNPs above the threshold were considered as putative SNPs for Sanger sequencing.



Figure S5: Coverage across the total number of pools. The obtained coverage per pool is given on the y axis. The distribution of coverage was unequal across the pools, suggesting that certain SNPs in the low coverage pools could be underrepresented.



Figure S6: Expression analysis of *BnITPK* paralogs in seeds and leaves. Seed samples were collected from Express 617 at different time points. Normalization was done using *BnActin2* as a reference gene. Leaf data were taken from an RNAseq study Shah et al. (2018). Y-axis represents the number of reads mapped to the reference sequence Darmor-*bzh*. NV, non-vernalized; V, vernalized. The BBCH scale stands for different developmental stages of the plant (https://www.canolacouncil.org/canola-encyclopedia/crop-development/growth-stages/).

| | | 20 | | 40 | | 60 | | 80 | | 100 |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Pn ITDK1 C00 | M | | ADSIKE - R | | | | | | | XVVG 12 |
| Dn ITDK1 A10 | M | | - ADSIKE - R | | | | | | | |
| AHTOK1 | M | | - SDSIOF-R | | | | | | | |
| Bn ITDK1 CO3 | M | | SDSTOFFR | | | | | | | YRVG 13 |
| Bn ITPK1 403 | M | | SDSTOEKR | | | | | | | YRVG 13 |
| SHITPK1 | M | | KMAEPMRR | | | | | | | YSVG 13 |
| Bn ITPK4 405 | MGGRRTMKCV | LLDESVLLDH | DDEDSTPRVR | GSVSL LL RLL | RYSMIRTGIS | YGLDLAENKV | ELLRKTAVEY | SIDCLPLEKS | LISDAEGDAL | KAWYSDGD11 100 |
| Bn ITPK4 C04h | M KCV | LIDESVILDH | DDEDSTPRVR | GSVSLLLRLL | RYSMIRTGIS | YGLDIPENKV | DITRKTAVEY | SIDCLPLETS | LISVAEGDAL | KAWYSDGD11 94 |
| AtTPK4 | M KGV | LLDESVLESP | ESEDSSPSLR | ESVPSLLRLL | RYSMIRTGIS | YGLDLPENKV | DLLRKTAAEY | SINCLPLETS | LTSVTEGDTL | KAWYSDGS1L 94 |
| | | 120 | | 140 | | 160 | | 180 | | 200 |
| De ITOKA COO | VALATIVONO | - ' | | 1 | | 1 | 1000 | 1 100000001 | | |
| BRITPKI.C09 | YALAEKKONS | F | | | | | IQPS | LIGHSRORGI | DLIKLDPAK- | SLLEQ 51 |
| DILITPALATO | TALAEKKONS | F | | | | | IQPS | LIEHSKRRGI | DLIKLDPAK- | SLLEQ 51 |
| Ph ITOK1 CO2 | YALAAKKOHS | F | | | | | 1005 | LIEHSRORGI | DL IKLOPIK- | SLLEQ 51 |
| DII.ITEKI.C03 | VALACKKOHO | F | | | | | 1005 | LIEHSRORGI | DLIKLOPTK- | PLLEQ 52 |
| SHITDK1 | VALADEKOAS | F | | | | | LOVE | | DLIKLDFIK- | PLIDO 62 |
| Bn ITDKA A05 | VUASSBEET | I DEL SDEO I | WWW LECDER | EDDNMLDICD | LEELDMALCC | MNKKAMCDCA | ALVAVIMEDE | RVEDEAKRGI | I DMYDTEN | CLIELDIMEE 100 |
| Bn ITPK4 C04b | VIASTREET | LRELSPSQ-1 | VVV-LEGDSS | EDPNMLCISP | LEELPMATCC | MNKKAMGDGA | AIVATIMKPS | RVEDEAKRGA | | GLIFLFLMFE 190 |
| Δt/TPK4 | VVASSPEEL | LRELSPSQLV | VILDVECDSI | EDPNILLUIUS | LEELPMITICC | INKKAMODGA | AIVATIMEPS | RVEDEAKRGA | LPWITPTSN | GLIFLFLMFE 191 |
| AUTENA | TVASSKREET | LKELSPSQLV | VLLDVEGDSL | EDPNTITITI | LEELPMITCO | TINKKAWGDGA | AIVATIWKPS | RVEDFARROA | LPMTP13C | GLIFLPLMFE 192 |
| | | 1 | | 240 | | 200 | | 1 | | 1 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 | GNL | DCVIHKLYDV | VWK | | ENLSQFR | EKCPCVPVVD | SPEAIERLHN | RVSMLEVITQ | LT FPVSES | ECFGVPKQVV 122 |
| Bn.ITPK1.A10 | GKL | DCVIHKLYDV | VWK | | ENLSQFR | EKCPRVPVVD | SPEAIERLHN | RVSMLEVITQ | LT FPVSES | ERFGVPKQVV 122 |
| At/TPK1 | GKL | DCIIHKLYDV | YWK | | ENLHEFR | EKCPGVPVID | LPEAIERLHN | RVSMLEVITQ | LR FPVSDS | ERFGVPEQVV 122 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C03 | GKL | DCVIHKLYDL | DWK | | QNLHEFR | EKCPNVPVID | SPEDIEKLHN | RVSMLEVITQ | LN FPVSER | ERFGVPKQVV 123 |
| Bn.ITPK1.A03 | GKL | DCVIHKLYDL | DWK | | QNLQEFR | EKFPNVPVVD | SPEDIEKLHN | RVSMLEVITQ | LN FPVSER | ERFGVPKQVF 123 |
| StITPK1 | GPF | DCVLHKMDGD | DWK | | RQLKEYG | SEFPQALIID | SPEAIERLHN | RISMLQAVGE | VE IDC - EN | ASFGIPKQTV 122 |
| Bn.TTPK4.A05 | FPLSSQLKHA | DLIFHKATDE | ILSIQLNCSD | SKSSVAVTFS | TGMEELRKYM | EDQNACAVVD | PLQHIYPVLD | RLKMQHILLG | LEEITEAGRK | IRGACFLKID 296 |
| Bn.ITPK4.C04b | FPLPSQLKHA | DLIFHKATDE | ILSIRLNCSV | PESSVAVTES | TGMEELRKYM | EDQNACAVVD | PLQHIYPVLD | RLKMQHILLG | LEDLTASGRK | IRGACFHQID 291 |
| 0 +1 1 1 12 4 | | | | | | | | | | 1 5 6 1 6 5 1 1 1 5 6 6 6 |
| AUTPR4 | FPLASQLKHA | DITFHKATDE | ILSIELNCSD | SKSSVAVTFS | TGMEKLKKYM | EDQNACAIVD | PIRNIYPVVD | REKMQHILLG | LEGLGAAGRK | IRGACELKID 292 |
| AUTPR4 | FPLASQLKHA | DIIFHKATDE 320 I | ILSIELNCSD | SKSSVAVTFS 340 | TGMEKLKKYM | EDQNACATVD 360 | PIRNIYPVVD | LKMQHILLG 380 | LEGLGAAGRK | 1RGACELKID 292 400 1 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 | VMDENVLSGD | GALGEL | EFPVIAKPLD | SKSSVAVTFS 340 I ADGSAKSHKM | FLIYDQEGMK | EDQNACATVD 360 1 1LKAPI VL | PIRNIYPVVD GEFVNHGGVI | ELKMQHILLG 380 I FKVYVVGDYV | KCVKRRSLPD | 400 I ISE-EKIGTA 215 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD | 320 I GA LGEL GA LGEL | EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD | SKSSVAVTFS 340 I ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK | EDQNACATVD 360 I ILKAPI VL ILKAPI VL | | FKVYVVGDYV | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD | ISE-EKIGTA 215 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG | 320 I GALGEL GALGEL GALGEL | EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD KFPVIAKPLD | 340 ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK | EDQNACAIVD 360 I ILKAPI VL ILKAPI VL ILKAPI VL | QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI | RECKMQHILLG 380 FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDHV | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AllTPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG | GA LGEL GA LGEL GA LGEL GGG - EGLGEL | EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD KFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD | SKSSVAVTFS 340 I ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK | EDQNACATVD 3800 I ILKAPI VL ILKAPI VL ILKAPI VL ILKAPV VL | PIRNIYPVVD QEFMNHGGVI QEFMNHGGVI QEFMNHGGVI QEFMNHGGVI | RELEMENTIELG 380 FKVYVVGDVV FKVYVVGDVV FKVYVVGDVV FKVYVVGDVV | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD | 100 1 105 - EKIGTA 215 105 - EKIGTA 215 105 - EKIGTA 215 105 - EKIGTS 215 105 - EKIGTS 219 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG | DITFHKATDE 320 I GALGEL GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGGEGLGEL | EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD KFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD | SKSSVAVTFS 40 ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSATSHKM | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK | EDQNACATVD 360 1 1 LKAPT VL 1 LKAPT VL 1 LKAPT VL 1 LKAPT VL | PIRNIYPVVD QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI | RLKMQHTLLG 300 1 FKVYVVGDVV FKVYVVGDVV FKVYVVGDVV FKVYVVGDVV FKVYVVGDVV | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD | 1 NGACFERTD 292 400 1 SE - EKIGTA 215 1 SE - EKIGTA 215 1 SE - EKIGTS 215 1 SE - EKIGTS 219 1 SE - EKIGTS 220 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 StITPK1 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKMVSAI | GALGEL GALGEL GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGEGLGEL NLENEGL | EF <u>PVIAKPLD</u> EF <u>PVIAKPLD</u> KFPVIAKPLD EF <u>PVIAKPLD</u> EF <u>PVIAKPLD</u> EF <u>PVIAKPLD</u> | SKSSVAVTFS 340 ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LLVFNKDGLR | EDQNACAIVD 360 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPV VL KLKPPI VL | PIRNIYPVVD EFVNHGGVI EFVNHGGVI EFVNHGGVI EFVNHGGVI EFVNHGGVI GEFVNHGAVI | RLKMQHTLLG 380 FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDHV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD | 400 1 SE - EKIGTA 215 1 SE - EKIGTA 215 1 SE - EKIGTA 215 1 SE - EKIGTS 219 1 SE - EKIGTS 220 VKE - DGLGRL 216 |
| AUTPK4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AUTPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1 Bn.ITPK4.A05 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL | GALGEL GALGEL GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGGEGLGEL NLENEGL AQNLSRAGL | EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD KFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLV SLPCIVKPQV | SKSSVAVTFS 300 ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LLYPNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK | 800 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | PIRNIYPVVD EEMNHGGVI EEMNHGGVI EEMNHGGVI EEMNHGGVI EEMNHGAVI EEMNHGAVI EEMNHGAVI | FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDHV FKVYVVGDHV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV | LEGLGAAGRK KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRKSLPD KCVKRKSLPD FHAVKKSIPS | 400 ISE - EK IGTA 215 ISE - EK IGTA 215 ISE - EK IGTA 215 ISE - EK IGTS 215 ISE - EK IGTS 219 ISE - EK IGTS 220 VKE - DGLGRL 216 SSTLRKSAEE 392 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1 Bn.ITPK4.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04b | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL | DITFHKATDE 320 GALGEL GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGEGEGEL NLENEGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL | EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD KFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD SLPCIVKPQV SLPCIVKPQV | 340 340 ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSATSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LLYFNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK | EDQNACA IVD 3600 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | PIRNIYPVVD QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGAVI QEYMDHSSRI QEYMDHSSRI | KVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKFYVLGEKI FKFYVLGEKI | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS | 400 1 SE - EK IGTA 215 I SE - EK IGTA 215 I SE - EK IGTA 215 I SE - EK IGTS 215 I SE - EK IGTS 220 VKE - DGLGRL 216 SSTLRKSAEE 392 SSTLRKSAEG 387 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AiTPK1.A10 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1 Bn.ITPK4.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AiTPK4.C04b | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDPTVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IVDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL | DITFHKATDE 320 GALGEL GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGEGEGEL NLENEGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL | EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD KFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLV SLPCIVKPQV SLPCIVKPQV | 340 ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSATSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LLVFNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK | EDQNACAIVD 380 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPV VL 1 LKAPI VL KLKPPI VL DLNTPVPAII NLNTPVPAII | PIRNIYPVVD QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGGVI QEFVNHGAVI QEFVNHGAVI QEFVNHGAVI QEFVDHSSRI QEYDHSSRI | RECKINGHILLG 1300 FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKFYVLGEKI FKFYVLGETI | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS | 400 I SE - EK I GT A 215 I SE - EK I GT A 215 I SE - EK I GT A 215 I SE - EK I GT S 215 I SE - EK I GT S 219 I SE - EK I GT S 220 VKE - DG LGRL 216 SSTLRKSAEE 302 SSTLRKSAEE 307 SSSLRKSAEE 307 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AttTPK1.A10 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 StITPK1 Bn.ITPK4.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AttTPK4 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL | DITFHKATDE GALGEL GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGGEGLGEL NLENEGL - AQNLSRAGL - AQNLSRAGL - AQNLSRAGL - AQNLSRAGL - AQNLSRAGL | EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD KFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLV SLPCIVKPQV SLPCIVKPQV | SKSSVAVTFS ado ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM 440 | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LLVFNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK | EDQNACA1VD 380 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 0 LKAPV VL 0 LNTPVPAII 0 LNTPVPAII 460 | PIRNIYPVVD QEEVNHGGVI QEEVNHGGVI QEEVNHGGVI QEEVNHGGVI QEEVNHGAVI QEVMDHSSRI QEYMDHSSRI QEYMDHSSRI | KINGHILLG | LEGLGAAGRK KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRKSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS | INGACFINIC 000 1 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTS 219 ISE-EKIGTS 219 ISE-EKIGTS 200 VKE-DGLGRL 216 SSTLRKSAEG 382 SSTLRKSAEG 382 SSLRKSAEG 380 500 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b AtITPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IVDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL KGSLPFSQ | DITFHKATDE 320 1 GALGEL GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGEGLGEL AQNLSRAGL - AQNLSRAGL - AQNLS - AQ | EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD KFPVIAKPLD KFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD SLPCIVKPQV SLPCIVKPQV KNKEYGEDRS | SKSSVAVTFS adgsakSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVAD | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LLVFNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACA1VD 300 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL KLKPPI VL 0 LNTPVPAII 0 LNTPVPAII NLNTPVPAII 400 450 1 KSMGLNLFNF | PIRNIYPVVD QEEVNHGGVI QEEVNHGGVI QEEVNHGGVI QEEVNHGGVI QEEVNHGGVI QEYMDHSSRI QEYMDHSSRI QEYMDHSSRI QEYMDHSSRI | | KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKEKSLPD KCVKEKSLPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS | INGRCFICTID 242 400 1 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTS 215 15 ISE-EKIGTS 219 15 VKE-DGLGRL 216 25 SSTLRKSAEG 387 25 SSLRKSAEG 387 25 SVLRKSAEG 387 25 VLTEFFWOM 312 10 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AtITPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKWSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL | DIIFHKATDE 300 1 GALGEL GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGGEGLGEL NLENEGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL 1 ISNLTA-QEE SNLTA-QEE | EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLD EFPVIAKPLV SLPCIVKPQV SLPCIVKPQV SLPCIVKPQV SLPCIVKPQV KNKEYGEDRS | 340 ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM 1 LEKVEMPPTS | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACA1VD 300 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 1 LKAPI VL 0 LNTPVPAII NLNTPVPAII 1 KSMGLNLFNF KSMGLNLFNF | PIRNIYPVVD GEEVNHGGVI GEVNHGGVI GEEVNHGGVI GEEVNHGGVI GEVNHGGVI GEVNHGAVI GEVNHGAVI GEVNDHSSRI GEYMDHSSRI GEYMDHSSRI ØVIRDARDAS | | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS | 400 1 ISE - EK IGTA 215 ISE - EK IGTA 215 ISE - EK IGTA 215 ISE - EK IGTS 219 ISE - EK IGTS 219 ISE - EK IGTS 219 ISE - EK IGTS 220 VKE - DGLGRL 216 SSTLRKSAEE 388 SSLRKSAEE 388 SSLRKSAEE 388 90 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A05 Bn.ITPK4.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AtITPK4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1.A10 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ | 2011FHKATDE 300 1 GALGEL GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGFGLGEL NLENEGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -SQNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE | EFPVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL FFVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL SLPCIVKEPQ SLPCIVKEPQ KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS | SKSSVAVTFS and ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSATSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM 400 410 410 410 410 410 410 410 | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LLVFNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACATIVD 300 1 LKAPI VL ILKAPI VL ILKAPI VL ILKAPI VL LKAPI VL KLKPPI VL DLNTPVPAII DLNTPVPAII MLNTPVPAII KSMGLNLFNF KSMGLNLFNF | GEFVNHGGVI GEFVNHGGVI GEFVNHGGVI GEFVNHGGVI GEFVNHGGVI GEFVNHGGVI GEFVNHGGVI GEFVDHSSRI GEVVDHSSRI GEVVDHSSRI GEVVDHSSRI DVIRDARDAS DVIRDARDAS | | KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE | INGRCFICTIO 222 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTS 215 ISE-EKIGTS 215 ISE-EKIGTS 216 ISSE-KIGTS 210 VKE-DGLGRL 216 SSTLRKSAEG 387 SSSLRKSAEG 387 SSSLRKSAEG 387 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 |
| Autrev4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 Autrev1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Autrev4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Autrev4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 Autrev5 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDPTVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IVDAKWSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ | 300 GALGEL GALGEL GGGEGLGEL GGGGEGLGEL AGNLSRAGL -AQNLS -AQNLS | EFPVIAMPLD EFPVIAMPLD EFPVIAMPLD EFPVIAMPLD EFPVIAMPLD EFPVIAMPLD EFPVIAMPLV SLPCIVMPOV SLPCIVMPOV SLPCIVMPOV SLPCIVMPOV SLPCIVMPOV SLPCIVMPOV | SKSSVAVTFS at ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS | FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LIVFNKGGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACATIVD 300 1 LKAPI - VL 1 LKAPI - VL 0 LNTPVPAII NLNTPVPAII 400 KSMGLNLFNF KSMGLNLFNF ESMGLNLFNF | QEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHSRI GVIRDARDAS QVIRDARDAS QVIRDARDAS QVIRDARDAS | BLKMCH1LLG 300 301 FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVLGEXI FKFYVLGEXI FKFYVLGEXI FKFYVLGEXI RYLVI0 INYF RYLVI0 INYF | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE | IKGACFLKID 240 ISE-EKIGTA 215 1 ISE-EKIGTA 215 1 ISE-EKIGTS 215 1 ISE-EKIGTS 215 1 VKE-DGLGRL 216 220 SSTLRKSAEG 387 25SLRKSAEG 387 SSLRKSAEG 388 500 PVLTEFFWDM 312 2 PVLTEFFWDM 312 2 PVLTEFFWDM 312 2 |
| Autrex4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 Autrex1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AttrPK4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AttrPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 | VMDENVLSGD VMDSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ | 2011FHKATDE 300 1 GALGEL GG-CELGEL GGGEGLGEL GGGEGLGEL GGGEGLGEL AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL 1 SNLTA-QEE I SNLTA-QEE I SNLTA-QEE I SNLTA-QEE I SNLTA-QEE I SNLTATQEE I SNLTATQEE | EFPVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL EFPVIAKEL SLPCIVKEPQV SLPCIVKEPQV SLPCIVKEPQV SLPCIVKEPQ KNKEYGEDRS KNNEYGEDRS | SKSSVATTFS au ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM 41 LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LIYPKVGGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACATIVD | GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGVI GEFMHJ G | BLKMCH1LLG 300 FKVYVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVLGEXI FKYVLGETI FK FVLIDINFF FLIDINFF RYLLIDINFF FLIDINFF RYLLIDINFF FLIDINFF | KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD KCVKERSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE | INGRCFLKID 400 I ISE ISE EKIGTA 215 ISE EKIGTA 215 ISE EKIGTA 215 ISE EKIGTA 215 ISE EKIGTS 215 ISE EKIGTS 215 ISE EKIGTS 219 ISE EKIGTS 220 VELTRKSAEE 382 SSLRKSAEE 388 SOL PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTDFFWDM 312 PVLTDFFWDM 312 PVLTOPHYMM 312 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AuTPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IVDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ | DTIFHKATDE 300 1 GALGEL GGG-CELGEL GGGEGLGEL MLENEGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -BUNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE VSNLNNFEKN | EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD SLPC1VMCPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS | SKSSVAVTFS at ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LENAEYPPLS | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACATIVD 300 11LKAPIVL | QEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMDHSSRI GVIRDARDAS DVIRDARDAS DVIRDARDAS DVIRDARDAS DVIRDARDAS DVIRDARDAS | BLKMCHILLG 300 FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVYVCDYV FKVVLCBYI FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVLCBYI FKFVVLCEKI 600 FKFVVLCEKI FKFVVLCEKI FKFVULCEKI FKFVLCEKI FXLVIDINF FKFVLCEKI FXLVIDINF FKFVLCEKI FXLVIDINF FKFVLCEKI FXLVIDINF FXLVIDINF FXLVIDINF FXLVIDINF | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGTAKMPCYE | IKGACFLKID 240 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTS 215 ISE-EKIGTS 219 ISE-EKIGTS 210 VKE-DGLGRL 216 SSTLRKSAEG 387 SSSLRKSAEG 387 SVLTEFFWOM 312 PVLTEFFWOM 312 PVLTEFFWOM 317 PVLTDFFWOM 317 PVLTDFFWOM 317 SVLTDFWOW 313 PVLTDFFWOM 312 SVLTDFWOW 313 |
| Autrex4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 Autrex1 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK4.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C040 Autrex4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1 Bn.ITPK1.A03 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDFVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG SYDEPDL S | 300 GALGEL GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGGEGLGEL AGNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -SAQNLS -SAQNLSRAGL -SAQNLSRAGL -SAQNLSRAGL -SAQNLS -SAQNLSRAGL -SAQN | EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLV SLPC1VMPQV SLPC1VMPQV SLPC1VMPQV SLPC1VMPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS DDKYV-KLMN QNP | SKSSVATTFS au ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS | TGMERLEKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LUYFNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACCI (VD 300 1 LKAPI - VL 1 LKAPI - V | GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMDHSSRI GEFMDHSSRI GEYMDHSSRI GVI RDARDAS GVI RDARDAS | BLKMCH1LLG 300 FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVLCETI FKFVVLCETI 400 FKVLVIDINYF FKFVLCETI FKVLVIDINYF FXLVIDINYF RYLVIDINYF RYLVIDINYF RYLVIDINYF RYLVIDINYF RYLVIDINYF RYLUIDINYF RYLUIDINYF RYLUIDINYF | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE | IKGACFLKID 240 1 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTS 219 ISE-EKIGTS 219 ISE-EKIGTS 219 ISE-EKIGTS 219 ISE-KIGTS 220 STLRKSAEE 380 SSLRKSAEE 380 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 318 PVLTDFFWDM 318 RVLTDFFWDV 318 NIAVPSFWEA 479 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUITPK1.A03 SUITPK1.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.A05 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ | 2011 FHKATDE 300 300 1 GALGEL GGLGEL GGGEGLGEL MLENEGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL 1 SNLTA-QEE I | EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD SLPC1VMCPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS | SKSSVATTFS and ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM 400 41 LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKAEPPLS VDEIDLE | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LLVFNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEAANWLR | EDQNACCI (VD 300 1 LKAPI - VL 1 LKAPI - V | CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGGVI CEFMNHGVI CEFMHGVI CEFMNHJI CEFMNHJ | BLKMCHILLG 380 JEKVYVUGDYV Y FKVYVUGDYV FKVYVUGDYV FKVYVUGDYV FKVYUUGDYV FKVYVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVYUUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVUUGET FKFVULGET FKFVULGET FKFVULGET FKFVULGET FKVLID NYF RYLLID NYF RYLLID NYF RYLLID NYF RYLLID NYF HVVV0 NYL - HVVV0 NYL | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PSFKEVP - D PSFKEVP - D | IKGACFLKID 240 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTS 215 ISE-EKIGTS 215 ISE-EKIGTS 215 ISE-EKIGTS 220 VKE-DGLGRL 216 SSTLRKSAEG 387 SSTLRKSAEG 387 SSSLRKSAEG 387 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 313 PVLTDFFWDM 313 NIAVPSFWEA 474 NIAVPSFWEA 474 |
| Autrev4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 Autrev1 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1.A03 SUTPK1.Bn.ITPK4.C04b Autrev4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AUTPK1 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1 Bn.ITFK4.A05 Bn.ITFK4.A05 Bn.ITFK4.C04b Autrev4 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IVDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL S | DITFHKATDE 300 1 GALGEL GGLGEL GGGEGLGEL GGGGEGLGEL 4QNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -SNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE VSNLNNFEKN LKSLPVGSAN LKSLPVGSAN | EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD SLPC1VMPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS NKEYGENR NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGENR NKEYGEDRS NKEYGENR NKE | SKSSVAVTFS at ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSKKKM ADGSAKSKKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LENAEYPPLS LENAEYPPLS UEIDLE VDEIDLE | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LIVFNKGGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACATIVD | GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMDHSSRI GVI RDARDAS UVI RDARDAS UVI RDARDAS UVI RDARDAS UVI RDARDAS UVI RDARDAS UVI RDARGAD UVI NOGGTRD UVVI QEGTRD | BLKMCHILLG 300 FKVYVVCDYV 700 FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVVVCDYV FKVYVVCDYV FKVVLODYV FKVYVCDYV FKVVLODYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVLODYV FKVVVCDYV FKVVLODYV FKVVLODYV FKVVLOEKI FKYVLOEKI FK FXLVIDINF FY RYLLVIDINF FY RYLLIDINF FY - HVVVOLNYL - HVVVOLNYL - HVVVOLNYL - HVVOLNYL | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGFAKMPNYE PGFAKMPNYE PSFKEVP - D PSFKEVP - D | IKGRCFLKID 240 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTA 215 ISE-EKIGTS 215 ISE-EKIGTS 210 VKE-DGLGRL 216 SSTLRKSAEG 387 SSLRKSAEG 387 SSLRKSAEG 387 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 313 NIAVPSFWEA 479 NIAVPSFWEA 479 NIAVPSFWEA 479 NIAVPSFWEA 479 NIAVPSFWEA 479 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1.A10 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b AtITPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b AtITPK4 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDFVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG VMDPTVLSCG SYDEPDL S | DTIFHKATDE 300 1 GALGEL GG-EGLGEL GGGEGLGEL GGGEGLGEL AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL 1 SNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE | EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD SLPC1VMPQV SLPC1VMPQV SLPC1VMPQV SLPCIVMPV SLPCIVMPQV SLPCIVMPV SLPCIVM | SKSSVATTFS au ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM 40 LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPS LEKVEMPPS LEKVEMPPS LEKVEMPPS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS LEVAENPSS JEST | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR LVTEAAKWLR LVTEAAKWLR LVTEAAKWLR | EDQNACATIVD | GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGAVI GEFMHG | BLKMCH1LLG 300 FKVYVVCDYV 700 FKVYVCDYV 700 FKVYVCDYV 700 FKVYVCDYV 700 FKYVLOETI 600 FXLII 100 FXLII 100 FYLLII 100 FYLLII 100 FYLLII 100 - HVVVD 100 - HVVVD 100 | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSLPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PSFKEVP - D PSFKEVP - D | 1 1 |
| Autreva Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 Autreva Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Autreva Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL | DTIFHKATDE 300 1 GALGEL GGGLGEL GGGEGLGEL GGGEGLGEL ALENEGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -SNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE | EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD SLPC1VMCPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGENR KNKEY | SKSSVAVTFS and ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LENAEYPPLS VDEIDL E VDEIDL E Show | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACATIVD and and iLKAPIVL ILKAPI- | QEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEYMDHSSRI QVIRDARDAS QVIRDARDAS QVIRDARDAS QVIRDARDAS QVIRDARDAS QVIRDARDGD QVVICEGTRD QVVICEGTRD | ■LKMGHILLG 300 ■FKVYVUGDYV FKVYVUGDYV FKVYVUGDYV FKVYVUGDYV FKVYVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVYVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVYVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVVUGDYV FKVULGEKI FKYVUGEYV FK FYLVIDINF FYLVIDINF RYLUIDINF RYLUIDINF RYLUIDINF -HVVVDINFL -HVVVDINFL -HVVVDINFL -HVVVDINFL -HVVDINFL | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGFAKMPCYE PSFKEVP - D PSFKEVP - D | INGRCFICTIOn 400 1 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTS 210 15 VKE-DGLGRL 216 16 SSTLRKSAEG 387 501 PVLTEFFWDM 312 10 PVLTEFFWDM 312 10 PVLTDFFWDM 313 10 PVLTDFFWDM 313 10 PVLTDFFWDM 313 10 PVLTDFFWDM 314 10 PVLTDFFWDM 317 10 PVLTDFFWDM 317 10 PVLTDFFWDM 317 10 PVLTDFFWDM 317 10 PVLTDFFWDA 317 10 NIAVPSFWEA 476 10 NIAVPSFWEA 475 10 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AuTPPK1 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1.A03 SUTPK1.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AuTPK4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AuTPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AUTPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AUTPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AUTPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDPENVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IVDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ VT | DTIFHKATDE 300 1 GALGEL GGLGEL GGGEGLGEL GGGGEGLGEL 400LSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL 1 SNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-QEE ISNLTA-TQEE VSNLNNFEKN LKSLPVGSAN LKSLPVGSAN 50 | EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD SLPC1VMPQV SLPC1VMPQV SLPC1VMPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS | SKSSVAVTFS au ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LENAEYPPLS UDEIDL E VDEIDL E VDEIDL E VSEIDL E S60 | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LIVFNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR | EDGNACATIVD and iLKAPIVL ILKAPIVL ILKAPIVL ILKAPIVL ILKAPIVL ILKAPIVL ILKAPIVL ILKAPIVL DLNTPVPAII MUTPVPAII MUTPVPAII KSMGLNLFNF RSMGLNLFNF RVTKLHLFNF RVTKLHLFNF RVTKLHLFNF KKLDLTIFGF KKLDLTIFGF 320 320 | GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMDHSSRI GVI RDARDAS GVI RDARDAS GVI RDARDAS GVI RDARDAS GVI RDARDAS GVI RDARDAS GVI RDARDAS GVI RDARDAS GVI RDARGAS GVI RDARGAS GVVI QEGTRD GVVI QEGTRD | ■LKMQHILLG 300 → → ■KVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV ■KVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV ■KVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV ■KVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV ■KVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV ■KVYVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV ■KVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV ■KVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV ■KYVVGDYV FKVVLGEKI ■KYLVI0 FK ■KYLVI0 FK ■KYLVI0 FK ■KYLVI0 FK ■KYLVI0 FK ■KYLVI0 FK ■KYLV10 FK | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGFAKMPNYE PGFAKMPYE PGFAKMPYE PSFKEVP - D PSFKEVP - D | INGRCFICTION 400 1 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTS 215 15 VKE-DGLGRL 216 15 SSTLRKSAEE 388 500 200 PVLTEFFWDM 312 12 PVLTEFFWDM 312 12 PVLTEFFWDM 312 12 PVLTDFFWDM 317 14 PVLTDFFWDM 317 14 NIAVPSFWEA 479 11 NIAVPSFWEA 479 11 NIAVPSFWEA 479 11 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AtITPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A05 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL | DTIFHKATDE 300 300 100 100 100 100 100 100 | EF PV1 AKPLD EF | SKSSVAVTFS and ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKAEPPPLS VDEIDLE VDEIDLE S40 VDEI | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LLVFNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR LVTEAAWULR LVTEAAWULR | EDQNACATIVD 300 301 11 LKAP1VL 11 LKAP1VL 10 LKAP | EFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMHAGVI GEFMHAGVI GEFMHASRI GEYMHASRI | ■LKMQHILLG 380 ■ ■ ■KVYVVGDYV ■ FKVYVVGDYV ■ FKVYVVGDYV ■ FKVYVVGDYV ■ FKYVVUGDYV ■ FKYVVUGDYV ■ FKYVUCEKI ■ FKYVLGEKI ■ FKYVLGEKI ■ FXLII ■ HVUVD ■ HVVVD ■ HVIVD ■ | LEGLGAAGRK KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PSFAKEVP - D PSFKEVP - D | INGRCFICTION 400 1 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTS 210 12 VKE-GGLGRL 216 55 SSTLRKSAEG 387 500 PVLTEFFWDM 312 12 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTDFFWDM 312 PVLTDFFWDM 318 NLAVPSFWEA 476 NLAVPSFWEA 475 14 NLAVPAFWEA 475 14 |
| AutrPK4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AutrPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AutrPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C0 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IVDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL | DITFHKATDE 300 301 302 302 302 302 302 302 302 302 | EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD SLPC1VMPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGENR KNK | SKSSVAVTFS at ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSKKM ADGSAKSKKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS VDEIDL E VDEIDL E VSEIDL E 51 | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACATIVD 300 11LKAP1VL | QEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGGVI GFMNHGVI GFMNHJ GFMNHJ | ■LKMQHILLG 300 → → ■KVYVVGDYV → ■KVYVVGDYV → ■KVYVVGDYV → ■KVYVVGDYV → ■KVYVVGDYV → ■KVYVVGDYV → ■KYVVGDYV → ■KYVVGDYV → ■KYVVGDYV → ■KYVLGEKI → ■KYLVI0 ■ ■KYLVI0 ■ ■KYLVI0 ■ ■KYLVI0 ■ ■KYLV10 ■ | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKKSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGFAKMPCYE PSFKEVPD PSFKEVPD | INGRCFICTION 400 1 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTS 210 15 VKE-DGLGRL 216 5 SSTLRKSAEG 387 5 SVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTDFFWDM 313 NIAVPSFWEA 478 NIAVPSFWEA 474 NIAVPSFWEA 474 NIAVPSFWEA 475 1400 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AuTPK1.A10 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AuTPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C04b AuTPK1 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AuTPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b AuTPK4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDPENVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IVDAKWSAI SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL SYDEPDL KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ KGSLPFSQ VT VT VT | DTIFHKATDE 300 1 GALGEL GGG-EGLGEL GGGGEGLGEL AGNLSRAGL -AQNLSRAGL | EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLD EFPV1AMPLV SLPC1VMPV SLPC1VMPV SLPC1VMPV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS NKKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS | SKSSVAVTFS au ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEKVEMPSS LEVE | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LUYPNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR FLEELAKAMR | EDGNACATIVD 300 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | QEFMNHGGVI QEFMNHGGVI QEFMNHGGVI QEFMNHGGVI QEFMNHGGVI QEFMNHGGVI QEFMDHSSRI QEFMDHSSRI QEFMDHSSRI QVI RDARDAS QVI QEGTRD QVVI QEGTRD | ■LKMQH ILLG 300 1 1 FK/VYWGDYV FK/VYWGDYV FK/VYWGDYV FK/VYWGDYV FK/VYWGDYV FK/VYWGDYV FK/VYWGDYV FK/VYUGDYV FK/VYWGDYV FK/VYUGDYV FK/VYUGDYV FK/VYUGDYV FK/VYUGDYV FK/VYUGDYV FK/VYUGDYV FK/VYUGDYV FK/VYUGDYV FK/FYULGEKI FK/FYULGEKI FK/FYULGEKI FK/FYULGEKI FK/FYULGEKI FK/FYULGENI FK/FYULGEKI FK/FYULGENI FK/FYULGENI FX/LVIDINYF FX/LVIDINYF FX/LVIDINYF FX/LVIDINYF FYLLIDINYF HVVVDINYL HVVVDINYL HVVVDINYL | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PCYAKMPCYE P | 1 SE - 400 1 1 SE - KIGTA 215 1 SE - KIGTS 215 1 SE - |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AuTPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1 Bn.ITPK1.A03 SUTPK1 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AuTPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK4.C04b Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AUTPK1 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL | DITFHKATDE 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 30 | EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD SLPC1VMCPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNEEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KNEYGENR KN | SKSSVAVTFS and ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPS UDEIDL E S00 1 | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACATIVD 300 11LKAP1VL 10LKPVVL 10L | QEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMHGGVI GEFMHGVI GEFMHJ GEFMHJ GEFMHGVI GEFMHJ | ■LKMQHILLG 380 →FKVYVVGDYV →FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV →FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV →FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV →FKVVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV →FKVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV →FKVVLGETI FKYVLGEKI →FKYVLGETI FKYVLGENI →FKYVLGETI FKYVLGENI →FKYVLGETI FKYVLGENI →FKYVLGETI FKYVLIS →FKYVLGENI FXLII →FKYVLGENI FXLII →FKYVLGENI - HVVVDLWYL →FKYL - HVVVDLWYL →FKYL - HVVVDLWYL →FKYL | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGFAKMPCYE PSFKEVP - D PSFKEVP - D | INGRCFICTION 400 1 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTS 210 15 VKE-DGLGRL 216 15 SSTLRKSAEG 387 500 PVLTEFFWDM 312 12 PVLTEFFWDM 312 14 PVLTDFFWDM 313 14 PVLTDFFWDM 313 14 PVLTDFFWDM 313 14 PVLTDFFWDM 314 14 PVLTDFFWDM 317 14 PVLTDFFWDA 317 14 PVLTDFFWDA 317 14 PVLTDFFWDA 317 14 PVLTDFFWEA 474 14 NIAVPSFWEA 475 14 |
| AutrPK4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AutrPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AutrPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AutrPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C04b AutrPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AutrPK4 Bn.ITPK4.C04b AutrPK4 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1. | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IVDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL S | DITFHKATDE 300 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD SLPC1VMCPQV SLPC1VMCPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS NKEYGEDRS KNKEYGEDRS | SKSSVAVTFS au ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPTS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LENAEYPPLS UDE IDL E VDE IDL E VDE IDL E VDE IDL E S40 | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LIVFNKOGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR | EDGNACATIVD and and and and and and and and | GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHAVI GEFM | ■LKMQHILLG 300 300 300 ■KVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVGETI MA FKYVLGEKI FKYVLGEKI FK FXLVIDINYF FYLVIDINYF RYLLVIDINYF FYLVIDINYF RYLLIDINYF FYLUIDINYF FYLLIDINYF FYLLIDINYF HVVVDINYL - HVVVDINYL | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PGFAKMPNYE PSFKEVP - D PSFKEVP - D | IRGREFERTID 400 1 ISE-EKIGTA 215 15 ISE-EKIGTS 210 12 VKE-DGLGRL 216 25 SSLRKSAEE 388 501 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTEFFWDM 312 PVLTDFFWDM 317 PVLTDFFWDM 317 PVLTDFFWDM 317 NIAVPSFWEA 479 NIAVPSFWEA 479 NIAVPSFWEA 479 NIAVPSFWEA 475 14 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AtITPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C05 Bn.ITPK4.C05 Bn.ITPK4.C05 | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IYDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL | DITFHKATDE 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 30 | EF PV1 AKGPLD EF PV1 AKGPLD EF PV1 AKGPLD EF PV1 AKGPLD EF PV1 AKGPLD EF PV1 AKGPLD EF PV1 AKGPLD SLPC1 VKGPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGENRS KNKEYGE | SKSSVAVTFS and ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ACGVADAHSM A | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK LLVFNKDGLR AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACAIVD and and and and and and and and | EFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMHAGVI GEFMHAGVI GEFMHAGVI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHASRI GEFMHAGVI GEFMHASRI GEFMHAGVI GEFMHAVI | ■LKMQHILLG 380 1 T FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKYVVLGEKI FKFVLGEKI FKFVVLGELI FKFVLGEKI FKFVULGELI FKFVLGEKI FKFVULGELI FKFVLGEKI FKFVULGELI FKFVLGEKI FKFVLIGININF FXLIIINNF RYLLVINNF FXLIINNF RYLLIINNF - HVVVOLNYL - HVVVOLNYL - HVVINNKYL - HVVVOLNYL - HVVINNYL | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPSYE PSFKEVP - D PSFKEVP - D | 1 1 1 |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.A10 AuTPK1 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK1.C03 Bn.ITPK4.C04 AuTPK1 Bn.ITPK4.C04 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.A03 Bn.ITPK1.C09 Bn.I | VMDENVLSGD VMDENVLSGD VMDSSVLSGG VMDPTVLSCG IVDAKMVSAI SYDEPDL | DITFHKATDE 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 30 | EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD EFPV1AMCPLD SLPC1VMCPQV KNKEYGEDRS KNKEYGENR KNKEYG | SKSSVAVTFS at ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSHKM ADGSAKSKKM ADGSAKSKKM ADGSAKSKKM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM ACGVADAHSM EKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS LEKVEMPPSS UBAEYPPLS VDEIDL E VDEIDL E SIDL - | TGMEKLKKYM FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK FLIYDQEGMK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK AIVFRVEDFK FLEELAKAMR | EDQNACAIVD 300 301 11LKAPIVL 11LKAPI | QEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGAVI GEFMNHGGVI GEFMNHGVI GEFMNHJ GEFMNHJ GEFMNHJ GEFMNHGVI GEFMNHJ GEFMNHGVI GEFMNHJ | ■LKMQHILLG 300 ■FKVYVVGDYV 700 FKVYVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVYVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVVGDYV FKVVLGEXI FKFVVLGEKI FK FXLVI0 FK FXLVI0 FK FXLVI0 FK FXLV10 FK | KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRRSLPD KCVKRSLPD KCVKKSLPD FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS FHAVKKSIPS PGYAKMPSYE PGYAKMPCYE PGYAKMPCYE PSFKEVPD PSFKEVPD PSFKEVPD | 1 1 1 |

Figure S7: Protein alignment of ITPK showing the inositol, ATP and Mg^{2+} binding sites. Inositol binding residues are shown yellow; ATP binding sites are shown in maroon and Mg^{2+} residues are shown in green. The target sites of *BnITPK1* and *BnITPK4* are shown in blue, where target site 1 contains one of the ATP binding sites (PVIA<u>K</u>P).



Figure S8: Predicted protein structure of *Bn.ITPK1.C09* in Haydn and in the mutant line 190666. Protein sequences from Haydn and from the triple mutant line 190666 carrying the B_5 allele were used as query in Expasy- SWISS-Model (<u>https://swissmodel.expasy.org/interactive</u>). The mutant has a different protein folding structure (marked with green triangles) at one of the ATP binding sites (amino acid 'K').



Figure S9: Phenotyping results of single and double mutants of F_2 segregating families of *BnMIPS1*, *BnMIK*, *BnIPK2* β and *BnIPK1*. Each gene is represented by allele codes indicating the genotype. For mutant allele codes see Table S3. Each bar represents five biological replicates along with three technical replicates. Anova was performed for statistical significance and grouping was done using Tuckey multiple comparison test. Same letters indicate no significant differences.

•



Figure S10: Crossing scheme for production of BC1 seeds for 15K SNP chip assay. Mutants from BnMRP5 and *Bn2-PGK2* genes were considered for SNP chip analysis. Below is given the corresponding seed codes for respective genes and their segregation pattern. See Table S11 for seed and genotype information. Obtained BC1 seeds were genotyped for presence of mutations. DNA was isolated from leaf samples of heterozygous mutant plants, which were used for sequencing at TraitGenetics GmbH, Gatersleben, Germany

8.3.Supplementary Tables

| Gene Gene product | | Enzyme product | TAIR/ Genoscope | Transcript | Protein length | Exon intron | Exon intron structure | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | - | | 10 | size (bp) | (aa) | No. of exons | No. of introns | | |
| | | | At4g39800 | 2235 | 512 | 9 | 8 | | |
| | | | BnaC01g00680D | 2542 | 511 | 8 | 7 | | |
| | | | BnaA01g34710D | 2330 | 451 | 7 | 6 | | |
| | | | At2g22240 | 2039 | 511 | 7 | 6 | | |
| | 11 | | BnaA09g42760D | 2058 | 511 | 7 | 6 | | |
| MIPS | <i>myo</i> -inositoll- | <i>myo</i> -inositol $(3)P_1$ | BnaC08g35240D | 2045 | 511 | 7 | 6 | | |
| | phosphate synthase | | BnaC04g34650D | 2060 | 511 | 7 | 6 | | |
| | | | BnaA04g12720D | 2056 | 511 | 7 | 6 | | |
| | | | At5g10170 | 2624 | 511 | 9 | 8 | | |
| | | | BnaC02g00460D | 3195 | 511 | 10 | 9 | | |
| | | | BnaA02g00400D | 2911 | 511 | 10 | 9 | | |
| | | | At1g31190 | 2028 | 371 | 9 | 8 | | |
| IMDI 1 | | | BnaCnng21130D | 1842 | 372 | 9 | 8 | | |
| INITLI | | | BnaA09g25360D | 1858 | 373 | 9 | 8 | | |
| | | | At3g02870 | 1941 | 272 | 12 | 11 | | |
| | mvo_inositol | | BnaA03g28170D | 645 | 137 | 4 | 3 | | |
| IMP | monophosphatase | <i>myo</i> -inositol | BnaA05g33260D | 1841 | 272 | 11 | 10 | | |
| 11/11 | monophosphaase | | BnaC03g33220D | 1107 | 164 | 5 | 4 | | |
| | | | BnaCnng06030D | 1784 | 272 | 12 | 11 | | |
| | | | At4g39120 | 2411 | 376 | 9 | 8 | | |
| IMPL2 | | | BnaC03g60380D | 2348 | 347 | 9 | 8 | | |
| | | | BnaA08g16710D | 2293 | 342 | 9 | 8 | | |

Table S1: In silico analysis of phytic acid pathway genes in Brassica napus L.

| | | | BnaA01g05350D | 1061 | 154 | 5 | 4 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|------|-----|----|---|
| | | | At4g05090 | 2384 | 398 | 9 | 8 |
| IMP2 | | | BnaA09g19960D | 2074 | 389 | 8 | 7 |
| | | | BnaC09g22270D | 2125 | 389 | 8 | 7 |
| | | | At5g58730 | 1698 | 353 | 2 | 1 |
| MIK myo-inositol kinase | mus inscital liness | mus inscital (2)D | BnaA10g29530D | 1641 | 344 | 2 | 1 |
| IVIII | <i>myo</i> -mositor kinase | myo -mositor (5) r_1 | BnaC09g34030D | 1556 | 339 | 2 | 1 |
| | | | BnaAnng17330D | 2116 | 214 | 4 | 3 |
| | | At5g07370 | 861 | 286 | 1 | 0 | |
| IPK2a | | | BnaC09g48570D | 852 | 284 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | BnaA10g23820D | 852 | 284 | 1 | 0 |
| | Inositol (1,4,5) P3_3/6 | $in a gital (1 4 5) \mathbf{D}_{i}$ | At5g61760 | 903 | 301 | 1 | 0 |
| kinase | kinase | $(1,4,3)^{P_3}$ | BnaA09g05790D | 861 | 287 | 1 | 0 |
| IPK2β | | | BnaC09g05390D | 858 | 286 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | BnaA06g31750D | 846 | 282 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | BnaCnng33290D | 846 | 282 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | At5g16760 | 960 | 320 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | BnaA10g17710D | 960 | 320 | 1 | 0 |
| ITPK1 | | | BnaC09g41080D | 960 | 320 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | BnaC03g07940D | 975 | 325 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | BnaA03g06170D | 981 | 327 | 1 | 0 |
| | Inositol (1,3,4) P3_5/6 | inositol | At4g33770 | 2654 | 392 | 9 | 8 |
| | kinase | (1,3,4,5,6)P5 | BnaAnng34680D | 2454 | 388 | 9 | 8 |
| | | | BnaC01g04480D | 2456 | 385 | 9 | 8 |
| ITPK2 | | | BnaC03g66400D | 2539 | 347 | 10 | 9 |
| | | | BnaC07g44490D | 2391 | 395 | 9 | 8 |
| | | | BnaA03g50630D | 2316 | 386 | 9 | 8 |
| | | | BnaA01g03220D | 969 | 218 | 5 | 4 |

| | | | At4g08170 | 3294 | 354 | 10 | 9 |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|------|------|----|----|
| ITPK3 | | | BnaCnng47190D | 1964 | 359 | 9 | 8 |
| | | | BnaA03g38640D | 1451 | 310 | 8 | 7 |
| | | | At2g43980 | 3168 | 489 | 12 | 11 |
| ΙΤΟΖΛ | | | BnaA05g03660D | 2634 | 489 | 12 | 11 |
| IIPK4 | | | BnaC04g03240D | 2455 | 458 | 12 | 11 |
| | | | BnaC04g03250D | 2751 | 484 | 12 | 11 |
| | | | At5g42810 | 2265 | 451 | 7 | 6 |
| | | | BnaA09g15720D | 2072 | 451 | 7 | 6 |
| | | | BnaC09g17180D | 2059 | 448 | 7 | 6 |
| IDV 1 | Inositol pentakis- | inositol | At5g59900 | 2721 | 907 | 1 | 0 |
| ΙΓΚΙ | phosphate 2-kinase | $(1,2,3,4,5,6)P_6$ | BnaA10g12860D | 2697 | 506 | 4 | 3 |
| | | | BnaC09g35270D | 2688 | 504 | 4 | 3 |
| | | | BnaAnng24160D | 528 | 81 | 4 | 3 |
| | | | BnaC04g32880D | 785 | 111 | 6 | 5 |
| | | | At1g04120 | 6474 | 1514 | 12 | 11 |
| | multi drug registant | | BnaA10g02400D | 5284 | 1509 | 9 | 8 |
| MRP5 | nrotein (transporter) | | BnaC05g02300D | 5278 | 1510 | 9 | 8 |
| | protein (transporter) | | BnaA09g10230D | 4168 | 1071 | 13 | 12 |
| | | | BnaC09g10390D | 5399 | 1436 | 12 | 11 |
| | | | At3g45090 | 4163 | 717 | 8 | 7 |
| | | | BnaA06g18580D | 3386 | 715 | 7 | 6 |
| | | 2,3- | BnaC03g74690D | 3530 | 718 | 7 | 6 |
| (2 DCV) | 2 phasphastroprota | bisphosphoglycerate | At5g60760 | 4017 | 738 | 8 | 7 |
| (2 - PGK) | 2-phosphogrycerate | or inositol | BnaA10g13560D | 3393 | 726 | 7 | 6 |
| | | bisphosphate | BnaC09g35980D | 3619 | 706 | 8 | 7 |
| | | | BnaA02g06110D | 3359 | 704 | 8 | 7 |
| | | | BnaAnng35980D | 2593 | 544 | 6 | 5 |

| Amplicon Number | Paralog | Primer combination | Primer Sequence [§] | Temp (°C) | Amplicon length (bp) | ORF coverage (%) | Predicted stop codon sites | Paralog set |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Bn.MIPS.A01 | NS_P112+236 | GCTTATCAGTGGATCGTGAAG <mark>G</mark> CT + GCGTGGAAGGAGAGATCTCG | 62.0 | 1225 | 88.60 | 26 | |
| 2 | Bn.MIPS.A01 | NS_P255+115 | CACGATGGAGAATCTTATGAACGCC + CTCTTTCTCGGATTTGAACTGAATCC | 62.3 | 1049 | | 18 | |
| 3 | Bn.MIK.A10 | NS_P187+143 | GCCTAACCCACCTCATCGAGTC + CTTCTCTGCACCTCATCCTTTAGTC | 63.0 | 1479 | 07.77 | 7 | |
| 4 | Bn.MIK.A10 | NS_P216+217 | GATTTGACGATGCTTCAGGTCCG + AAACTCTGCATTGGCGGCCTAT | 62.0 | 955 | 97.77 | 7 | |
| 5 | Bn.2-PGK2.A02 | NS_P248+205 | TCTCGCTGAATATAAGTACGTCTGC + CGGATGCACCATTCCATTGCC | 61.5 | 1463 | 01.60 | 15 | |
| 6 | Bn.2-PGK2.A02 | NS_P214+215 | GCATCTAATGGCTCTTCTCAAGAA + GGCACCCAAGACAATCGTAGCT | 61.0 | 1074 | 81.62 | 12 | 1 |
| 7 | Bn.IPK2β.A09 | NS_P159+167 | GTCGTGAATATTTGTGTACATG <mark>C</mark> GG + TATTTGAAGGGACACACAGTCAG | 61.3 | 1489 | 100.00 | 12 | |
| 8 | Bn.IPK1,1.A09 | NS_P179+194 | GATTCGTATCCAGAAGGCTCCC + CTAATTTATCCAGCTTCTGAACTGCC | 62.5 | 1484 | 02.21 | 14 | |
| 9 | Bn.IPK1,1.A09 | NS_P241+242 | CCTTAAAGAACAGGGTCGGAACAAC + TGAGACAATCCATACATGACTT <mark>C</mark> GG | 62.0 | 1015 | 93.21 | 11 | |
| 10 | Bn.MRP5.A10 | NS_P208+209 | TGGCTTGGGCGAATCCT <mark>T</mark> AG + GAATGTTCTTCAAGAGGGGTCAAGA <mark>C</mark> TC | 62.0 | 1434 | (0.12 | 36 | |
| 11 | Bn.MRP5.A10 | NS_P252+253 | ACGTGCGATTCTCAAGTCC <mark>C</mark> TT + GGATCGTCTAGTAAATAAATGTCA <mark>C</mark> CG | 61.0 | 1509 | 60.12 | 17 | |
| 12 | Bn.MIPS.C01 | NS_P116+234 | CGAGAACGTCAACGGTGCTAAC + CCCTAGGTGATTGTAGCTCGCA | 62.0 | 1467 | 81.25 | 34 | 2 |

Table S2: Primers used in this study for TILLING by Sequencing (TbyS).

| 13 | Bn.MIPS.C01 | NS_P256+237 | GACACTATGGAGAATCTTATGAAC <mark>G</mark> CT + TTCTCGGATTTGAACTGAATCCTGG | 61.9 | 1049 | | 18 |
|----|---------------|-------------|---|------|------|-------|----|
| 14 | Bn.MIK.C09 | NS_P188+249 | GCAGCAAACGCCTAATTCG <mark>A</mark> CG + GTTGTGGCTGCTTGAGAGA <mark>C</mark> TG | 62.1 | 1428 | 00.28 | 8 |
| 15 | Bn.MIK.C09 | NS_P218+219 | GGGTTTGGACGTTCCCGAT <mark>T</mark> CA + TCAAATTTAAAACGGAATTTGCTA <mark>G</mark> CG | 62.0 | 1034 | 90.28 | 5 |
| 16 | Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | NS_P45+172 | TGAGATGTATAAAAAGCGCACA <mark>C</mark> CA + CGGCCAAGTTCTTGCAAAAGTC | 60.0 | 1360 | 80.07 | 22 |
| 17 | Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | NS_P212+293 | GGAACCAGTGTACAGCTAACTTGC + GCACCCAAGAGAATCGGACCA | 62.7 | 1154 | 89.07 | 15 |
| 18 | Bn.IPK2β.C09 | NS_P168+207 | CTCATTGTTTGATTCTCTCTCTGTC + CAGCGGTTGTCTGAGCTTTC | 59.8 | 1259 | 98.21 | 12 |
| 19 | Bn.IPK1,1.C09 | NS_P257+258 | CCTGAATCATACACAGTATCAGTT <mark>G</mark> CT+ GCGAAGGAGGTGCCAAT <mark>G</mark> TC | 61.4 | 1491 | 05 47 | 13 |
| 20 | Bn.IPK1,1.C09 | NS_P261+292 | GCACATAACTTGTACCTTAAAGAAGAA + TTTGGGATAGCCGACTTGTTCTTG | 60.0 | 975 | 95.47 | 14 |
| 21 | Bn.MRP5.C05 | NS_P210+211 | CTTGGGCGAATCCTCAA <mark>G</mark> CT + GAATGTTCTTCAAGAGGGTCAAGA <mark>C</mark> TA | 61.0 | 1414 | 60.00 | 27 |
| 22 | Bn.MRP5.C05 | NS_P250+251 | CGTCGTTATAGCTTGTAGAATCCACC + CTCTTCCTGAACCTTAGCCGAC | 63.0 | 1458 | 00.00 | 59 |

§Green letters indicate variations among the paralogs and red letters indicate modified bases to increase the specificity of the primer (see Fig.S1).

| | Mutation | A 11010 | | Seed | Germination | M ₃ Zygosi | ty (Total number | r of plants) [§] |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Paralog | type | name | M ₂ Zygosity | code | rate | Homozygous | Heterozygous | Homozygous |
| | type | nume | | (r | | (mutant allele) | Heterozygous | (Express allele) |
| Rn 2- | Q269* | H_l | Heterozygous | 170561 | 50.00% | 4 | 7 | 4 |
| DR.2- PGK2 402 | splice | H_{2} | Heterozygous | 170562 | 20.00% | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| <i>I</i> 0K2./102 | site | 112 | TheteroZygous | 170302 | 20.0070 | 0 | L | T |
| Rn 2 | Q283* | I_{I} | Heterozygous | 170492 | 46.70% | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| DR.2- PGK2 410 | splice | I. | Hatarozygous | 170405 | 26 70% | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 10K2./110 | site | 12 | Therefozygous | 170495 | 20.7070 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | W359* | J_{l} | Homozygous | 170482 | 80.00% | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| D. MDD5 CO5 | Q210* | K_{l} | Heterozygous | 170481 | 66.60% | 4 | 11 | 5 |
| <i>DN.MKP3.C03</i> | W144* | K_2 | Heterozygous | 170483 | 16.70% | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| $D_{\rm eff}$ $IDV1$ 1 400 | Q234* | L_{l} | Heterozygous | 170484 | 26.70% | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| BN.1PK1,1.A09 | W379* | L_2 | Heterozygous | 170485 | 40.00% | 1 | 7 | 4 |
| Bn.IPK1,1.C09 | Q288* | M_{l} | Heterozygous | 170858 | 46.70% | 2 | 9 | 3 |
| Bn.IPK2ß.A09 | Q26* | N_l | Heterozygous | 170487 | 30.00% | 1 | 8 | 0 |
| D. IDV20 COO | S105F | O_l | Heterozygous | 170863 | 66.70% | 5 | 8 | 7 |
| Вп.1РК2р.С09 | Q8* | O_2 | Homozygous | 170860 | 46.70% | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Bn.MIK.C09 | Q284* | P_{l} | Heterozygous | 170489 | 46.70% | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| $D_m MIV 110$ | D69N | Q_I | Heterozygous | 170490 | 33.30% | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| Dn.MIK.AIU | C110Y | Q_2 | Homozygous | 170491 | 43.30% | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Rn MIDS1 101 | W229* | R_1 | Heterozygous | 170497 | 30.00% | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| Dn.will ⁻ SI.A01 | W142* | R_2 | Heterozygous | 170496 | 30.00% | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| Bn.MIPS1.C01 | Q164* | S_{I} | Homozygous | 170857 | 50.00% | 15 | 0 | 0 |

Table S3: M3 generation genotyping to select homozygous mutant lines.

[§]A maximum of 30 seeds was available from each M₃ population. Stop codons are shown in *

| Genotype | TKW [g] | Plant height [cm] |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| $H_1H_1I_1I_1$ | $2.56\pm0.2^{\rm a}$ | $90.2\pm4.7^{\rm a}$ |
| $H_1H_1I_eI_e$ | 1.92 ± 0.3^{a} | $95.4\pm2.8^{\rm a}$ |
| $H_eH_eI_1I_1$ | 2.61 ± 0.3^{a} | $92.8\pm5.4^{\rm a}$ |
| HeHeIeIe | $2.49\pm0.2^{\rm a}$ | $95.8\pm4.0^{\rm a}$ |
| Express 617 | $3.30\pm0.2^{\rm a}$ | $102\pm3.5^{\rm a}$ |

Table S4: Evaluation of plant height and thousand-kernel weights (TKW) of *bn2-pgk2* mutants.

^a Mutants were statistically insignificant to the controls. Anova was performed using Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test; p=0.05. Standard deviation (SD) is indicated as \pm

| | | Number of mutations | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------|---------------------|--------|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Paralog | Nonsense | Missense | Silent | Intronic | Splice site | outside coding sequence | Total number | Mutation frequency (F) (1/kb) [§] | | |
| Bn.MIPS.A01 | 2 | 39 | 17 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 78 | 1/34 | | |
| Bn.MIPS.C01 | 2 | 44 | 25 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 79 | 1/32 | | |
| Bn.MIK.A10 | 0 | 54 | 37 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 1/20 | | |
| Bn.MIK.C09 | 3 | 28 | 17 | 28 | 1 | 4 | 81 | 1/25 | | |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A02 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1/120 | | |
| Bn.2-PGK2.A10 | 5 | 82 | 47 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 152 | 1/19 | | |
| Bn.IPK1,1.A09 | 2 | 28 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 1/36 | | |
| Bn.IPK1,1.C09 | 1 | 18 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1/48 | | |
| Bn.IPK2ß.A09 | 4 | 59 | 45 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 112 | 1/16 | | |
| Bn.IPK2ß.C09 | 1 | 47 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 1/39 | | |
| Bn.MRP5.A10 | 5 | 132 | 68 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 220 | 1/16 | | |
| Bn.MRP5.C05 | 4 | 45 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 1/42 | | |
| Total | 29 | 587 | 352 | 133 | 10 | 4 | 1115 | 1/36 | | |

Table S5: Total number of two-dimensional (2D) mutations obtained by TILLING by Sequencing (TbyS)

 ${}^{\$}$ F is calculated as 1/ [number of M₁ plants* amplicon length)/ (number of mutations*1000)]

| Gene | Gene/target | Gene | Primer | Primer | Primer sequence* | Temp | Amplicon |
|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|--|------|-------------|
| family | Gene/target | nomenclature | name | type | Timer sequence | (°C) | length (bp) |
| | $D_{no} \wedge 10 = 17710 D$ | Dn ITDV1 110 | NS_P138 | Forward | GTTAACGTTTCCTGTATCGGAA <mark>G</mark> GT | 60 | 250 |
| | DilaAT0g1//T0D | DH.IIF KI.AIU | NS_P147 | Reverse | GTAGACCTTAAAGATCACACCGTCA | 00 | 239 |
| | $D_{ma}C00a/1000D$ | D _m ITDV1 C00 | NS_P222 | Forward | GCATGAATGTTAACCTAACTTCCTC | 60 | 500 |
| D., ITDV1 | DilaC09g41080D | DH.IIFKI.CO9 | NS_P274 | Reverse | CGTGATTCACAAACTCCTG <mark>G</mark> AG | 00 | 399 |
| Bn11PK1 BnaC03g07940D | D_{m} ITDV1 CO2 | NS_P290 | Forward | GTGCCCTAACGTCCCTG <mark>C</mark> CA | 62 | 205 | |
| | BhaC03g0/940D | BN.IIPKI.C03 | NS_P275 | Reverse | CTTGAGTATCTTCATCCCTTCTCGG | 03 | 293 |
| | $D_{max} = 0.02 = 0.6170 D$ | D_{ii} ITDV1 402 | NS_P226 | Forward | GCTCTCGCATCCAAGAAAC <mark>G</mark> AC | 61 | 752 |
| | BhaA03g001/0D | DN.IIPKI.AUS | NS P146 | Reverse | CTTCGCCAACTCCTCCAAGTAC | 01 | /33 |
| | $D_{m} = A 05 = 0.2 ((0) D$ | D. ITDVA 405 | NS_P277 | Forward | TCGATCACGATGATGAAGAT <mark>G</mark> CA | (0 | 429 |
| D. ITDV 1 | BhaA03g03000D | DN.IIPK4.AUJ | NS_P135 | Reverse | CTTCTCCAAAGGTAAGCAATCTCTG | 00 | 428 |
| <i>DN11P</i> Λ4 | $D_{22} = C04 = 02250D$ | D., ITDVA COAL | NS_P279 | Forward | AATGTGTTTTACTCGACGAGT <mark>G</mark> TG | (0 | 321 |
| | BnaC04g03250D | BN.11PK4.C040 | NS_P278 | Reverse | TGTTTTCCGGAAGATCAAG <mark>G</mark> CC | 60 | |
| | Chimana | | pChimera_f | Forward | AGTGAGCGCGACGTAATACG | 50 | 702 |
| | Chimera | - | pChimera_r | Reverse | GTTAATGCAGCTGGCACGAC | 30 | /02 |
| | Cast | SmCard | pCas9_f | Forward | CAGTCTTTCACCTCTCTTTGG | 50 | 1500 |
| | Casy | spcasy | pCas9_r | Reverse | CCATCTTTGGGACCACTGTC | 38 | 1300 |
| | Spectinemycin | | Spec_for | Forward | TGCCGACTACCTTGGTGATC | 50 | 010 |
| | specunomychi | - | Spec_rev | Reverse | GTACAGTCTATGCCTCGGGC | 30 | 910 |
| | XM_013786210.1 | - | | | | | |
| | XM 013888645.1 | - | Actl | Forward | TCTGGTGATGGTGTGTCTCA | | |
| BnACTIN2 | VM_012802021_1 | | | | | 60 | 141 |
| | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$ | - | $\Lambda ct2$ | Davarsa | GGTGAACATGTACCCTCTCTCC | | |
| | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$ | - | ACIZ | Reveise | UTUAACATUTACCTCTCTC | | |
| | XM_013893921.1 XM_013829442.1 XM_013830703.1 | - | Act2 | Reverse | GGTGAACATGTACCCTCTCTCG | | |

Table S6: Primer sequences used in this study for TILLING by sequencing

*Green letters indicate SNPs between the paralogs, red letters indicate the modified base to increase the primer specificity and blue letters indicate SNPs between reference sequence Darmor-*bzh* and Haydn.

_

| Seed code | T ₁ plants | Genotype [§] |
|-----------|-----------------------|--|
| 180906 | itpk_C1.1 | $A_{ch}B_hC_hD_hE_hG_h$ |
| 180624 | itpk_C2.1 | $A_h B_h C_h D_h E_h G_{2,7,8}$ |
| 180625 | itpk_C2.2 | $A_h B_{ch} C_h D_h E_h G_{ch}$ |
| 180626 | itpk_C2.3 | $A_h B_h C_h D_h E_h G_h$ |
| 180616 | itpk_C3.1 | $A_h B_h C_h D_h E_h G_h$ |
| 180617 | itpk_C3.2 | $A_h B_h C_h D_h E_h G_h$ |
| 180618 | itpk_C3.3 | $A_h B_h C_h D_h E_h G_h$ |
| 180619 | itpk_C3.4 | $A_h B_h C_h D_h E_h G_h$ |
| 180620 | itpk_C3.5 | $A_{1,2,3,4}B_{1,2,3,4}C_hD_hE_{1,2,3,4}G_{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ |
| 180621 | itpk_C3.6 | $A_{ch}Bc_hC_hD_hE_{ch}G_{ch}$ |
| 180622 | itpk_C3.7 | $A_{ch}Bc_hC_hD_hE_{ch}G_{ch}$ |
| 180623 | itpk_C3.8 | $A_{ch}Bc_hC_hD_hE_{ch}G_{ch}$ |
| 180907 | itpk_C4.1 | $A_{ch}B_hC_hD_hE_hG_h$ |
| 190662 | itpk_C4.2 | $A_{ch}B_hC_hD_hE_hG_h$ |
| 180908 | itpk_C5.1 | $A_{ch}B_hC_hD_hE_hG_h$ |
| 180627 | itpk_C6.1 | $A_h B_{1,2,3} C_h D_h E_h G_h$ |
| 180628 | itpk_C6.2 | $A_h B_{ch} C_h D_h E_h G_h$ |
| 180629 | itpk_C6.3 | $A_h B_{ch} C_h D_h E_h G_h$ |
| 180630 | itpk_C6.4 | $A_h B_{ch} C_h D_h E_h G_h$ |
| 180909 | itpk_C7.1 | $A_{ch}B_hC_hD_hE_hG_h$ |
| 190663 | itpk_C8.1 | $A_{ch}B_hC_hD_hE_hG_h$ |
| 190664 | itpk_C9.1 | $A_{ch}B_hC_hD_hE_hG_h$ |
| 190844 | itpk_C10.1 | $A_h B_{ch} C_h D_h E_h G_h$ |

Table S7: Editing status in regenerated T1 plants

 $\frac{1}{8}$ *ch* indicates that the plants had more than two alleles, indicating the chimeric nature of the plant. *h* indicates the donor allele from cv. Haydn. The genotypes indicated in bold were used for further propagation of T₂ generation and only these plant DNAs were cloned into plasmids for analyzing the type of mutant alleles. See Table S8 for allele nomenclature codes.

| Gene | Acronym | Allele | Type of mutation | Mutation position (upstream of PAM site) | Type of change in protein structure* | |
|--------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---|--|--|
| Bn.ITPK1.A10 | A | A_h | Wild type (Haydn) | - | no change | |
| | | A_{I} | 1bp deletion | 3 | aa change at 146 and premature stop at 160 | |
| | | A_2 | 2bp deletion | 3,4 | aa change at 146 and premature stop at 148 | |
| | | A_3 | 3bp deletion | 3 | P146T | |
| | | A_4 | GTTT insertion | from 3 rd base | aa change at 146 and premature stop at 150 | |
| | | A_5 | A insertion | 3 | premature stop codon at 150 | |
| | | A_6 | T insertion | 3 | premature stop codon at 150 | |
| | | A_7 | 10bp deletion | from 3 rd base | premature stop codon at 156 | |
| Bn.ITPK1.C09 | | B_h | Wild type | - | no change | |
| | | B_1 | C insertion | 5 | aa change at 146 and premature stop at 150 | |
| | | B_2 | A insertion | 4 | aa change at 146 and premature stop at 150 | |
| | | B_3 | T insertion | 4 | aa change at 146 and premature stop at 150 | |
| | В | B_4 | 2bp deletion | 3,4 | aa change at 146 and premature stop at 148 | |
| | | B_5 | GTT insertion | 5 | aa change at 146 and premature stop at 150 | |
| | | B_6 | G insertion | 3 | aa change at 146 and premature stop at 148 | |
| | | B_7 | 6bp deletion | from 3 rd base | loss of two aa (KP at 144, 145) | |
| | | B_8 | 1bp deletion | 3 | aa change at 146 and premature stop at 150 | |
| Bn.ITPK4.A05 | Ε | E_h | Wild type (Haydn) | - | no change | |

| Table S8: Gene acron | yms for four <i>BnITPK</i> | genes used in this stud | y. All mutant alleles are | listed with their effects on their | protein sequence |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | | | 1 | | |
Appendix

| | | E_{I} | A insertion | 3 | aa change at 36 and premature stop codon at 138 |
|----------------|---|---------|-------------------|---------------|---|
| | | E_2 | AA insertion | 3,4 | premature stop codon at 44 |
| | | E_3 | T insertion | 3 | aa change at 35 and premature at 149 position |
| | | E_4 | T insertion | 6 | aa change at 35 and premature at 149 position |
| | | G_h | Wild type (Haydn) | - | no change |
| | | G_l | AA insertion | 3,4 | aa change at 31 and premature stop codon at 38 |
| | | G_2 | T insertion | 3 | aa change at 31 and premature stop codon at 38 |
| Bn ITDVA COAL | G | G_3 | TT insertion | 3,4 | aa change at 31 and premature stop codon at 38 |
| DH.111 K4.C040 | 0 | G_4 | T insertion | 5 | aa change at 31 and premature stop codon at 38 |
| | | G_5 | 4bp deletion | from 4th base | aa change at 29 and premature stop at 36 |
| | | G_6 | 3bp deletion | from 3rd base | loss of one aa (I at 29) |
| | | G_7 | 6bp deletion | from 4th base | loss of two aa (LI at 28,29) |
| | | G_8 | A insertion | 4 | aa change at 31 and premature stop codon at 38 |

*aa stands for amino acids and deletion of respective amino acids are shown by single letter amino acid code

Appendix

Transgene genotype χ^{2} (3:1) No. of ITPK genotypes seed code Nonplants Transgenic transgenic $A_5A_5B_2B_2E_hE_hG_hG_h$ $A_5A_5B_2B_hE_hE_hG_hG_h$ $A_5A_5B_hB_hE_hE_hG_hG_h$ 0.13 180705 10 8 2 2 5 3 $A_1A_1B_3B_3E_hE_hG_8G_8$ $A_1A_1B_3B_7E_hE_hG_8G_8$ $A_5A_5B_7B_7E_hE_hG_hG_8$ 180716 10 3 0.13 7 4 2 4 $A_hA_7B_hB_3E_hE_hG_hG_2$ $A_hA_5B_hB_3E_hE_hG_hG_h$ $A_hA_6B_hB_3E_hE_hG_hG_2$ 180663 10 9 1.2 1 7 2 1

Table S9: Segregation analysis in T₃ generations.

Three populations were genotyped with locus specific primer combinations. The significance of the chi-square test was validated at p=0.05.

| Gene family | Oligo name | Target site sequence | No. of hypocotyles used for transformation | No. of regenerated plants obtained | No. of transgenic plants obtained | No. of plants with editing |
|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| BnMIDS1§ | NS_C1 | ATTG GTGGGTTGGGGGAGGAAACAA | | | | _ |
| | NS_C2 | AAACTTGTTTCCTCCCCACCCAC | - | - | - | - |
| RnMIK | NS_C3 | ATTG TCATGGATGTTGAAGAGATG | 677 | 11 | _ | _ |
| DNIVIIK | NS_C4 | AAACCATCTCTTCAACATCCATGA | 077 | 11 | - | |
| Rn7_PGK7 | NS_C5 | ATTGAAGAAGACGGAAGCGGGAAT | 652 | 8 | _ | _ |
| <i>Dh2-1</i> UK2 | NS_C6 | AAACATTCCCGCTTCCGTCTTCTT | 052 | 0 | | |
| | NS_C13 | ATTGGATTGCTAGTAACTGGTGGA (TS1) | 640 | 17 | 6 | _ |
| RnMRP5 | NS_C14 | AAACTCCACCAGTTACTAGCAATC (TS1) | 0+0 | 17 | 0 | |
| DRIVINI J | NS_C15 | ATTGTAGATCAGCTCTAACGGCTA (TS2) | 531 | 5 | _ | _ |
| | NS_C16 | AAACTAGCCGTTAGAGCTGATCTA (TS2) | 551 | 5 | - | |
| RuIPK1 | NS_C11 | ATTG AGGAGAAAGATGCATCCGAT | 175 | 15 | | _ |
| | NS_C12 | AAAC ATCGGATGCATCTTTCTCCT | 475 | 13 | - | _ |
| On Sulta 2 28 | NS_C17 | ATTG TTGTTTCCTATATTCACATG | | - | - | |
| Os-Sultr3-3 ⁸ | NS_C18 | AAAC CATGTGAATATAGGAAACAA | - | | | - |

Table S10: Overview of CRISPR-Cas9 experiments performed in this study

Appendix

[§] Rapeseed hypocotyl transformations were not performed but CRISPR-Cas9 vectors are available for these genes. All the experiments were performed using cv. Haydn.

| Kiel code | Gene / Paralog name | crossing type | Generation | Expected segregation |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---|
| 180858 | Bn.MRP5.A10 | F ₁ x Peace | BC ₁ | $J_l J_e : J_e J_e (1:1)$ |
| 180837 | Bn.MRP5.C05 | F ₁ x Peace | BC_1 | $K_l K_e K_e K_e (1:1)$ |
| 180859 | BnMRP5 | $F_1 \ge F_1$ | F ₁ | J1JeKeKe: JeJe KeKe: J1Je K1Ke: JeJe K1Ke (1:1:1:1) |
| 183295 | Bn.PGK2.A10 | F ₁ x Peace | BC_1 | $H_l H_e: H_e H_e$ (1:1) |
| 183296 | Bn.PGK2.A02 | F ₁ x Peace | BC_1 | $I_{l}I_{e}:I_{e}I_{e}(1:1)$ |
| 183297 | BnPGK2 | $F_1 \ge F_1$ | F_1 | $H_1H_eI_eI_e$: H_eH_e I_eI_e : H_1H_e I_1I_e : H_eH_e I_1I_e (1:1:1:1) |

Table S11: Seed material used for 15K SNP chip assay for selecting higher peace background from EMS mutants of *BnMRP5* and *Bn2-PGK2* genes

9. References

Aggarwal S, Kumar A, Bhati KK, Kaur G, Shukla V, Tiwari S, Pandey AK (2018) RNAi-Mediated Downregulation of Inositol Pentakisphosphate Kinase (*IPK1*) in Wheat Grains Decreases Phytic Acid Levels and Increases Fe and Zn Accumulation. Frontiers in Plant Science 9:259-259. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00259

Ali N, Paul S, Gayen D, Sarkar SN, Datta K, Datta SK (2013) Development of Low Phytate Rice by RNAi Mediated Seed-Specific Silencing of Inositol 1,3,4,5,6-Pentakisphosphate 2-Kinase Gene (*IPK1*). PloS ONE 8 (7):e68161. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068161

Ayadi A, David P, Arrighi J-F, Chiarenza S, Thibaud M-C, Nussaume L, Marin E (2015) Reducing the Genetic Redundancy of Arabidopsis PHOSPHATE TRANSPORTER1 Transporters to Study Phosphate Uptake and Signaling. Plant Physiology 167 (4):1511. doi:10.1104/pp.114.252338

Badone FC, Cassani E, Landoni M, Doria E, Panzeri D, Lago C, Mesiti F, Nielsen E, Pilu R (2010) The low phytic acid1-241 (*lpa1-241*) maize mutation alters the accumulation of anthocyanin pigment in the kernel. Planta 231 (5):1189-1199. doi:10.1007/s00425-010-1123-z

Balalic I, Jeromela A, Crnobarac J, Terzić S, Radic V, Miklic V, Jovičić D (2017) Variabilty of oil and protein content in rapeseed cultivars affected by seeding date. Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture 29:404-410. doi:10.9755/ejfa.2017-01-229

Belhaj K, Chaparro-Garcia A, Kamoun S, Nekrasov V (2013) Plant genome editing made easy: targeted mutagenesis in model and crop plants using the CRISPR/Cas system. Plant Methods 9 (1):39. doi:10.1186/1746-4811-9-39

Bhati KK, Alok A, Kumar A, Kaur J, Tiwari S, Pandey AK (2016) Silencing of *ABCC13* transporter in wheat reveals its involvement in grain development, phytic acid accumulation and lateral root formation. Journal of Experimental Botany 67 (14):4379-4389. doi:10.1093/jxb/erw224

Bhinu V-S, Schäfer UA, Li R, Huang J, Hannoufa A (2009) Targeted modulation of sinapine biosynthesis pathway for seed quality improvement in *Brassica napus*. Transgenic Research 18 (1):31-44. doi:10.1007/s11248-008-9194-3

Blary A, Gonzalo A, Eber F, Bérard A, Bergès H, Bessoltane N, Charif D, Charpentier C, Cromer L, Fourment J, Genevriez C, Le Paslier M-C, Lodé M, Lucas M-O, Nesi N, Lloyd A, Chèvre A-M, Jenczewski E (2018) FANCM Limits Meiotic Crossovers in *Brassica* Crops. Frontiers in Plant Science 9:368-368. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00368

Braatz J, Harloff H-J, Mascher M, Stein N, Himmelbach A, Jung C (2017) CRISPR-Cas9 Targeted Mutagenesis Leads to Simultaneous Modification of Different Homoeologous Gene Copies in Polyploid Oilseed Rape (*Brassica napus*). Plant Physiology 174 (2):935. doi:10.1104/pp.17.00426

Braatz J, Harloff HJ, Emrani N, Elisha C, Heepe L, Stanislav N, Gorb., Jung C (2018) The effect of INDEHISCENT point mutations on silique shatter resistance in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 131 (4):959-971. doi:10.1007/s00122-018-3051-4

Bregitzer P, Raboy V (2006) Effects of Four Independent Low-Phytate Mutations on Barley Agronomic Performance. Crop Science 46. doi:10.2135/cropsci2005.09-0301

Brinch-Pedersen H, Olesen A, Rasmussen SK, Holm PB (2000) Generation of transgenic wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) for constitutive accumulation of an *Aspergillus* phytase. Molecular Breeding 6 (2):195-206. doi:10.1023/a:1009690730620

Campion B, Sparvoli F, Doria E, Tagliabue G, Galasso I, Fileppi M, Bollini R, Nielsen E (2009) Isolation and characterisation of an lpa (low phytic acid) mutant in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). TAG Theoretical and Applied Genetics Theoretische und angewandte Genetik 118 (6):1211-1221. doi:10.1007/s00122-009-0975-8

Cerino Badone F, Amelotti M, Cassani E, Pilu R (2012) Study of Low Phytic Acid1-7 (*lpa1-7*), a New *ZmMRP4* Mutation in Maize. Journal of Heredity 103 (4):598-605. doi:10.1093/jhered/ess014

Chalhoub B, Denoeud F, Liu S, Parkin IA, Tang H, Wang X, Chiquet J, Belcram H, Tong C, Samans B, Correa M, Da Silva C, Just J, Falentin C, Koh CS, Le Clainche I, Bernard M, Bento P, Noel B, Labadie K, Alberti A, Charles M, Arnaud D, Guo H, Daviaud C, Alamery S, Jabbari K, Zhao M, Edger PP, Chelaifa H, Tack D, Lassalle G, Mestiri I, Schnel N, Le Paslier MC, Fan G, Renault V, Bayer PE, Golicz AA, Manoli S, Lee TH, Thi VH, Chalabi S, Hu Q, Fan C, Tollenaere R, Lu Y, Battail C, Shen J, Sidebottom CH, Wang X, Canaguier A, Chauveau A, Berard A, Deniot G, Guan M, Liu Z, Sun F, Lim YP, Lyons E, Town CD, Bancroft I, Wang X, Meng J, Ma J, Pires JC, King GJ, Brunel D, Delourme R, Renard M, Aury JM, Adams KL, Batley J, Snowdon RJ, Tost J, Edwards D, Zhou Y, Hua W, Sharpe AG, Paterson AH, Guan C, Wincker P (2014) Plant genetics. Early allopolyploid evolution in the post-Neolithic *Brassica napus* oilseed genome. Science (New York, NY) 345 (6199):950-953. doi:10.1126/science.1253435

Chen H, Xiong L (2010) *myo*-Inositol-1-phosphate synthase is required for polar auxin transport and organ development. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 285 (31):24238-24247. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.123661

Chen R, Xue G, Chen P, Yao B, Yang W, Ma Q, Fan Y, Zhao Z, Tarczynski MC, Shi J (2008) Transgenic maize plants expressing a fungal phytase gene. Transgenic Research 17 (4):633-643. doi:10.1007/s11248-007-9138-3

Chi X, Zhang Y, Xue Z, Feng L, Liu H, Wang F, Qi X (2014) Discovery of rare mutations in extensively pooled DNA samples using multiple target enrichment. Plant Biotechnology Journal 12 (6):709-717. doi:10.1111/pbi.12174

Cho J, Choi K, Darden T, Reynolds PR, Petitte JN, Shears SB (2006) Avian multiple inositol polyphosphate phosphatase is an active phytase that can be engineered to help ameliorate the planet's "phosphate crisis". Journal of Biotechnology 126 (2):248-259. doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2006.04.028

Chu H-M, Guo R-T, Lin T-W, Chou C-C, Shr H-L, Lai H-L, Tang T-Y, Cheng K-J, Selinger BL, Wang AHJ (2004) Structures of Selenomonas ruminantium Phytase in Complex with Persulfated Phytate: DSP Phytase Fold and Mechanism for Sequential Substrate Hydrolysis. Structure 12 (11):2015-2024. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2004.08.010

Cominelli E, Confalonieri M, Carlessi M, Cortinovis G, Daminati MG, Porch TG, Losa A, Sparvoli F (2018) Phytic acid transport in Phaseolus vulgaris: A new low phytic acid mutant in the *PvMRP1* gene and study of the *PvMRPs* promoters in two different plant systems. Plant science : an International Journal of Experimental Plant Biology 270:1-12. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.02.003

Daram P, Brunner S, Persson BL, Amrhein N, Bucher M (1998) Functional analysis and cell-specific expression of a phosphate transporter from tomato. Planta 206 (2):225-233. doi:10.1007/s004250050394

De Block M, De Brouwer D, Tenning P (1989) Transformation of *Brassica napus* and *Brassica oleracea* Using Agrobacterium tumefaciens and the Expression of the *bar* and *neo* Genes in the Transgenic Plants. Plant Physiology 91 (2):694-701. doi:10.1104/pp.91.2.694

De Buck S, Podevin N, Nolf J, Jacobs A, Depicker A (2009) The T-DNA integration pattern in Arabidopsis transformants is highly determined by the transformed target cell. Plant Jornal 60 (1):134-145. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03942.x

Del Pozo JC, Ramirez-Parra E (2015) Whole genome duplications in plants: an overview from Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany 66 (22):6991-7003. doi:10.1093/jxb/erv432

Desai M, Rangarajan P, Donahue JL, Williams SP, Land ES, Mandal MK, Phillippy BQ, Perera IY, Raboy V, Gillaspy GE (2014) Two inositol hexakisphosphate kinases drive inositol pyrophosphate synthesis in plants. Plant Journal 80 (4):642-653. doi:10.1111/tpj.12669

Donahue JL, Alford SR, Torabinejad J, Kerwin RE, Nourbakhsh A, Ray WK, Hernick M, Huang X, Lyons BM, Hein PP, Gillaspy GE (2010) The *Arabidopsis thalian*a Myo-inositol 1-phosphate synthase1 gene is required for *Myo*-inositol synthesis and suppression of cell death. The Plant Cell 22 (3):888-903. doi:10.1105/tpc.109.071779

Dong J, Yan W, Bock C, Nokhrina K, Keller W, Georges F (2013) Perturbing the metabolic dynamics of *myo*-inositol in developing *Brassica napus* seeds through in vivo methylation impacts its utilization as phytate precursor and affects downstream metabolic pathways. BMC Plant Biology 13 (1):84. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-13-84

Doria E, Galleschi L, Calucci L, Pinzino C, Pilu R, Cassani E, Nielsen E (2009) Phytic acid prevents oxidative stress in seeds: evidence from a maize (*Zea mays* L.) low phytic acid mutant. Journal of Experimental Botany 60 (3):967-978. doi:10.1093/jxb/ern345

Dorsch J, Cook A, Young K, Anderson J, Bauman A, Volkmann C, Murthy P, Raboy V (2003) Seed phosphorus and inositol phosphate phenotype of barley low phytic acid genotypes. Phytochemistry 62:691-706. doi:10.1016/S0031-9422(02)00610-6

Downey RK, Rimmer SR (1994) Agronomic improvement in oilseed brassicas. Advances in Agronomy 50:1-66

Du Y, Dou S, Wu S (2012) Efficacy of phytic acid as an inhibitor of enzymatic and non-enzymatic browning in apple juice. Food Chemistry 135 (2):580-582. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.04.131

Emrani N, Harloff H-J, Gudi O, Kopisch-Obuch F, Jung C (2015) Reduction in sinapine content in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) by induced mutations in sinapine biosynthesis genes. Molecular Breeding 35 (1):37. doi:10.1007/s11032-015-0236-2

Erpel F, Restovic F, Arce-Johnson P (2016) Development of phytase-expressing chlamydomonas reinhardtii for monogastric animal nutrition. BMC Biotechnology 16:29-29. doi:10.1186/s12896-016-0258-9

Fauser F, Schiml S, Puchta H (2014) Both CRISPR/Cas-based nucleases and nickases can be used efficiently for genome engineering in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant Journal 79 (2):348-359. doi:10.1111/tpj.12554

Frank T, Meuleye BS, Miller A, Shu QY, Engel KH (2007) Metabolite profiling of two low phytic acid (lpa) rice mutants. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 55 (26):11011-11019. doi:10.1021/jf0723559

Frank T, Norenberg S, Engel KH (2009) Metabolite profiling of two novel low phytic acid (*lpa*) soybean mutants. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 57 (14):6408-6416. doi:10.1021/jf901019y

Gacek K, Bartkowiak-Broda I, Batley J (2018) Genetic and Molecular Regulation of Seed Storage Proteins (SSPs) to Improve Protein Nutritional Value of Oilseed Rape (*Brassica napus* L.) Seeds. Frontiers in Plant Science 9 (890). doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00890

Gaedeke N, Klein M, Kolukisaoglu U, Forestier C, Müller A, Ansorge M, Becker D, Mamnun Y, Kuchler K, Schulz B, Mueller-Roeber B, Martinoia E (2001) The *Arabidopsis thaliana* ABC transporter *AtMRP5* controls root development and stomata movement. The EMBO Journal 20 (8):1875-1887. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.8.1875

Gantar M, Svirčev Z (2008) MICROALGAE AND CYANOBACTERIA: FOOD FOR THOUGHT1. Journal of Phycology 44 (2):260-268. doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2008.00469.x

Gao Y, Biyashev RM, Maroof MAS, Glover NM, Tucker DM, Buss GR (2008) Validation of Low-Phytate QTLs and Evaluation of Seedling Emergence of Low-Phytate Soybeans. Crop Science 48:1355-1364. doi:10.2135/cropsci2007.11.0633

Georges F, Hussain; A, Keller WA (2006) Method for reducing phytate in canola meal using genetic manipulation involving other publications *myo-inositol-phospathe synthase* gene. In: Canada NRCo, ed, Vol. U.S. patent 7148064. U.S.A.

Gilchrist EJ, Sidebottom CHD, Koh CS, MacInnes T, Sharpe AG, Haughn GW (2013) A Mutant *Brassica napus* (Canola) Population for the Identification of New Genetic Diversity via TILLING and Next Generation Sequencing. PloS ONE 8 (12):e84303. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084303

Girke A, Schierholt A, Becker HC (2012) Extending the rapeseed genepool with resynthesized *Brassica napus* L. I: Genetic diversity. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 59 (7):1441-1447. doi:10.1007/s10722-011-9772-8

Golovan SP, Meidinger RG, Ajakaiye A, Cottrill M, Wiederkehr MZ, Barney DJ, Plante C, Pollard JW, Fan MZ, Hayes MA, Laursen J, Hjorth JP, Hacker RR, Phillips JP, Forsberg CW (2001) Pigs expressing salivary phytase produce low-phosphorus manure. Nature Biotechnology 19 (8):741-745. doi:10.1038/90788

Greiner R, Konietzny U, Jany KD (1993) Purification and characterization of two phytases from *Escherichia coli*. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 303 (1):107-113. doi:10.1006/abbi.1993.1261

Grzebisz W, Szczepaniak W, Barłóg P, Przygocka-Cyna K, Potarzycki J (2018) Phosphorus sources for winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) during reproductive growth – magnesium sulfate

management impact on P use efficiency. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. doi:10.1080/03650340.2018.1448389

Gu J, Chao H, Wang H, Li Y, Li D, Xiang J, Gan J, Lu G, Zhang X, Long Y, Li M (2017) Identification of the Relationship between Oil Body Morphology and Oil Content by Microstructure Comparison Combining with QTL Analysis in *Brassica napus*. Frontiers in Plant Science 7:1989-1989. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01989

Guo B, Jin Y, Wussler C, Blancaflor EB, Motes CM, Versaw WK (2008) Functional analysis of the Arabidopsis *PHT4* family of intracellular phosphate transporters. New Phytologist 177 (4):889-898. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02331.x

Guo Y, Abernathy B, Zeng Y, Ozias-Akins P (2015) TILLING by sequencing to identify induced mutations in stress resistance genes of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). BMC Genomics 16 (1):157. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1348-0

Gutknecht K (1997) Green genes: alfalfa biofarming is about to take root. Wisc Agrict Mid-March:8-10

Harloff HJ, Lemcke S, Mittasch J, Frolov A, Wu JG, Dreyer F, Leckband G, Jung C (2012) A mutation screening platform for rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) and the detection of sinapine biosynthesis mutants. TAG Theoretical and Applied Genetics Theoretische und angewandte Genetik 124 (5):957-969. doi:10.1007/s00122-011-1760-z

He Y, Fu Y, Hu D, Wei D, Qian W (2018) QTL Mapping of Seed Glucosinolate Content Responsible for Environment in *Brassica napus*. Frontiers in Plant Science 9:891-891. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00891

Heilmann I, Perera IY, Gross W, Boss WF (2001) Plasma membrane phosphatidylinositol 4,5bisphosphate levels decrease with time in culture. Plant Physiology 126 (4):1507-1518. doi:10.1104/pp.126.4.1507

Henry IM, Nagalakshmi U, Lieberman MC, Ngo KJ, Krasileva KV, Vasquez-Gross H, Akhunova A, Akhunov E, Dubcovsky J, Tai TH, Comai L (2014) Efficient Genome-Wide Detection and Cataloging of EMS-Induced Mutations Using Exome Capture and Next-Generation Sequencing. The Plant Cell 26 (4):1382. doi:10.1105/tpc.113.121590

Hitz WD, Carlson TJ, Kerr PS, Sebastian SA (2002) Biochemical and molecular characterization of a mutation that confers a decreased raffinosaccharide and phytic acid phenotype on soybean seeds. Plant Physiology 128 (2):650-660. doi:10.1104/pp.010585

Hong CY, Cheng KJ, Tseng TH, Wang CS, Liu LF, Yu SM (2004) Production of two highly active bacterial phytases with broad pH optima in germinated transgenic rice seeds. Transgenic Research 13 (1):29-39. doi:10.1023/b:trag.0000017158.96765.67

Hostetler HA, Peck SL, Muir WM (2003) High Efficiency Production of germ-line Transgenic Japanese Medaka (Oryzias latipes) by Electroporation with Direct Current-shifted Radio Frequency Pulses. Transgenic Research 12 (4):413-424. doi:10.1023/a:1024248300592

Huang K-L, Wang H, Wei Y-L, Jia H-X, Zha L, Zheng Y, Ren F, Li X-B (2019) The high-affinity transporter *BnPHT1;4* is involved in phosphorus acquisition and mobilization for facilitating seed germination and early seedling growth of *Brassica napus*. BMC Plant Biology 19 (1):156. doi:10.1186/s12870-019-1765-3

Hulke B, Fehr W, Welke G (2004) Agronomic and Seed Characteristics of Soybean with Reduced Phytate and Palmitate. Crop Science - CROP SCI 44. doi:10.2135/cropsci2004.2027

Hüsken A, Baumert A, Milkowski C, Becker HC, Strack D, Möllers C (2005a) Resveratrol glucoside (Piceid) synthesis in seeds of transgenic oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 111 (8):1553-1562. doi:10.1007/s00122-005-0085-1

Hüsken A, Baumert A, Strack D, Becker HC, Möllers C, Milkowski C (2005b) Reduction of Sinapate Ester Content in Transgenic Oilseed Rape (*Brassica napus*) by dsRNAi-based Suppression of *BnSGT1* Gene Expression. Molecular Breeding 16 (2):127-138. doi:10.1007/s11032-005-6825-8

Irving GC, Cosgrove DJ (1972) Inositol phosphate phosphatases of microbiological origin: the inositol pentaphosphate products of *Aspergillus ficuum* phytases. Journal of Bacteriology 112 (1):434-438

Jabnoune M, Secco D, Lecampion C, Robaglia C, Shu Q, Poirier Y (2013) A Rice *cis*-Natural Antisense RNA Acts as a Translational Enhancer for Its Cognate mRNA and Contributes to Phosphate Homeostasis and Plant Fitness. The Plant Cell 25 (10):4166. doi:10.1105/tpc.113.116251

Jang DE, Lee JY, Lee JH, Koo OJ, Bae HS, Jung MH, Bae JH, Hwang WS, Chang YJ, Lee YH, Lee HW, Yeom SC (2018) Multiple sgRNAs with overlapping sequences enhance CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in efficiency. Experimental & Molecular Medicine 50 (4):16. doi:10.1038/s12276-018-0037-x

Jasinski S, Chardon F, Nesi N, Lécureuil A, Guerche P (2018) Improving seed oil and protein content in *Brassicaceae*: some new genetic insights from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. OCL 25 (6):D603

Jiang L, Phillips TE, Hamm CA, Drozdowicz YM, Rea PA, Maeshima M, Rogers SW, Rogers JC (2001) The protein storage vacuole: a unique compound organelle. Journal of Cell Biology 155 (6):991-1002. doi:10.1083/jcb.200107012

Jiang M, Liu Y, Liu Y, Tan Y, Huang J, Shu Q (2019) Mutation of Inositol 1,3,4-trisphosphate 5/6kinase6 Impairs Plant Growth and Phytic Acid Synthesis in Rice. Plants (Basel, Switzerland) 8 (5):114. doi:10.3390/plants8050114

Jiao Y, Burke J, Chopra R, Burow G, Chen J, Wang B, Hayes C, Emendack Y, Ware D, Xin Z (2016) A Sorghum Mutant Resource as an Efficient Platform for Gene Discovery in Grasses. The Plant Cell 28 (7):1551. doi:10.1105/tpc.16.00373

Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E (2012) A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science 337 (6096):816-821. doi:10.1126/science.1225829

Jung C, Capistrano-Gossmann G, Braatz J, Sashidhar N, Melzer S (2018) Recent developments in genome editing and applications in plant breeding. Plant Breeding 137 (1):1-9. doi:10.1111/pbr.12526

Karner U, Peterbauer T, Raboy V, Jones DA, Hedley CL, Richter A (2004) *myo*-Inositol and sucrose concentrations affect the accumulation of raffinose family oligosaccharides in seeds. Journal of Experimental Botany 55 (405):1981-1987. doi:10.1093/jxb/erh216

Keller R, Brearley CA, Trethewey RN, Müller-Röber B (1998) Reduced inositol content and altered morphology in transgenic potato plants inhibited for 1D-*myo*-inositol 3-phosphate synthase. The Plant Journal 16 (4):403-410. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00309.x

Kim S-I, Tai TH (2010) Genetic analysis of two *OsLpa1*-like genes in Arabidopsis reveals that only one is required for wild-type seed phytic acid levels. Planta 232 (5):1241-1250. doi:10.1007/s00425-010-1243-5

Kim S-I, Tai TH (2014) Identification of novel rice low phytic acid mutations via TILLING by sequencing. Molecular Breeding 34 (4):1717-1729. doi:10.1007/s11032-014-0127-y

Kim SI, Andaya CB, Newman JW, Goyal SS, Tai TH (2008) Isolation and characterization of a low phytic acid rice mutant reveals a mutation in the rice orthologue of maize *MIK*. Theory of Applied Genetics 117 (8):1291-1301. doi:10.1007/s00122-008-0863-7

Kim SI, Tai TH (2011) Identification of genes necessary for wild-type levels of seed phytic acid in *Arabidopsis thaliana* using a reverse genetics approach. Molecular Genetics and Genomics 286 (2):119-133. doi:10.1007/s00438-011-0631-2

Klein M, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Frelet A, Gaedeke N, Reinhardt D, Mueller-Roeber B, Martinoia E, Forestier C (2003) The plant multidrug resistance ABC transporter *AtMRP5* is involved in guard cell hormonal signalling and water use. The Plant Journal 33 (1):119-129. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.016012.x

Kopriva S, Chu C (2018) Are we ready to improve phosphorus homeostasis in rice? Journal of Experimental Botany 69 (15):3515-3522. doi:10.1093/jxb/ery163

Krasileva KV, Vasquez-Gross HA, Howell T, Bailey P, Paraiso F, Clissold L, Simmonds J, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Wang X, Borrill P, Fosker C, Ayling S, Phillips AL, Uauy C, Dubcovsky J (2017) Uncovering hidden variation in polyploid wheat. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114 (6):E913. doi:10.1073/pnas.1619268114

Kuo HF, Chang TY, Chiang SF, Wang WD, Charng YY, Chiou TJ (2014) Arabidopsis inositol pentakisphosphate 2-kinase, *AtIPK1*, is required for growth and modulates phosphate homeostasis at the transcriptional level. Plant Journal 80 (3):503-515. doi:10.1111/tpj.12650

Kuwano M, Mimura T, Takaiwa F, Yoshida KT (2009) Generation of stable 'low phytic acid' transgenic rice through antisense repression of the 1D*-myo*-inositol 3-phosphate synthase gene (*RINO1*) using the 18-kDa oleosin promoter. Plant Biotechnology Journal 7 (1):96-105. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7652.2008.00375.x

Landoni M, Cerino Badone F, Haman N, Schiraldi A, Fessas D, Cesari V, Toschi I, Cremona R, Delogu C, Villa D, Cassani E, Pilu R (2013) Low phytic acid 1 mutation in maize modifies density, starch properties, cations, and fiber contents in the seed. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 61 (19):4622-4630. doi:10.1021/jf400259h

Lei XG, Weaver JD, Mullaney E, Ullah AH, Azain MJ (2013) Phytase, a New Life for an "Old" Enzyme. Annual Review of Animal Biosciences 1 (1):283-309. doi:10.1146/annurev-animal-031412-103717

Li J, Hegeman CE, Hanlon RW, Lacy GH, Denbow MD, Grabau EA (1997) Secretion of active recombinant phytase from soybean cell-suspension cultures. Plant Physiology 114 (3):1103-1111. doi:10.1104/pp.114.3.1103

Li Y, Wang X, Zhang H, Wang S, Ye X, Shi L, Xu F, Ding G (2019) Molecular identification of the phosphate transporter family 1 (PHT1) genes and their expression profiles in response to phosphorus deprivation and other abiotic stresses in *Brassica napus*. PloS ONE 14 (7):e0220374-e0220374. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0220374

Lipsa FD, Snowdon R, Friedt W (2012) Quantitative genetic analysis of condensed tannins in oilseed rape meal. Euphytica 184 (2):195-205. doi:10.1007/s10681-011-0546-3

Liu N, Shang W, Li C, Jia L, Wang X, Xing G, Zheng W (2018) Evolution of the *SPX* gene family in plants and its role in the response mechanism to phosphorus stress. Open Biology 8 (1):170231. doi:10.1098/rsob.170231

Liu Q-L, Xu X-H, Ren X-L, Fu H-W, Wu D-X, Shu Q-Y (2007) Generation and characterization of low phytic acid germplasm in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 114 (5):803-814. doi:10.1007/s00122-006-0478-9

Liu T-Y, Huang T-K, Yang S-Y, Hong Y-T, Huang S-M, Wang F-N, Chiang S-F, Tsai S-Y, Lu W-C, Chiou T-J (2016) Identification of plant vacuolar transporters mediating phosphate storage. Nature Communications 7 (1):11095. doi:10.1038/ncomms11095

Long W, Hu M, Gao J, Chen S, Zhang J, Cheng L, Pu H (2018) Identification and Functional Analysis of Two New Mutant *BnFAD2* Alleles That Confer Elevated Oleic Acid Content in Rapeseed. Frontiers in Genetics 9:399-399. doi:10.3389/fgene.2018.00399

Lott JNA, Ockenden I, Raboy V, Batten GD (2007) Phytic acid and phosphorus in crop seeds and fruits: a global estimate. Seed Science Research 10 (1):11-33. doi:10.1017/S0960258500000039

Lu K, Wei L, Li X, Wang Y, Wu J, Liu M, Zhang C, Chen Z, Xiao Z, Jian H, Cheng F, Zhang K, Du H, Cheng X, Qu C, Qian W, Liu L, Wang R, Zou Q, Ying J, Xu X, Mei J, Liang Y, Chai Y-R, Tang Z, Wan H, Ni Y, He Y, Lin N, Fan Y, Sun W, Li N-N, Zhou G, Zheng H, Wang X, Paterson AH, Li J (2019) Whole-genome resequencing reveals *Brassica napus* origin and genetic loci involved in its improvement. Nature Communications 10 (1):1154. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09134-9

Lu X, Liu J, Ren W, Yang Q, Chai Z, Chen R, Wang L, Zhao J, Lang Z, Wang H, Fan Y, Zhao J, Zhang C (2018) Gene-Indexed Mutations in Maize. Molecular Plant 11 (3):496-504. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.11.013

Luo Y, Qin G, Zhang J, Liang Y, Song Y, Zhao M, Tsuge T, Aoyama T, Liu J, Gu H, Qu LJ (2011) D*myo*-inositol-3-phosphate affects phosphatidylinositol-mediated endomembrane function in Arabidopsis and is essential for auxin-regulated embryogenesis. The Plant Cell 23 (4):1352-1372. doi:10.1105/tpc.111.083337 Luo Z, Wang M, Long Y, Huang Y, Shi L, Zhang C, Liu X, Fitt BDL, Xiang J, Mason AS, Snowdon RJ, Liu P, Meng J, Zou J (2017) Incorporating pleiotropic quantitative trait loci in dissection of complex traits: seed yield in rapeseed as an example. TAG Theoretical and Applied Genetics Theoretische und angewandte Genetik 130 (8):1569-1585. doi:10.1007/s00122-017-2911-7

Ma R, Song W, Wang F, Cao A, Xie S, Chen X, Jin X, Li H (2019) A Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) *Myo*-Inositol-1-Phosphate Synthase (*GhMIPS1D*) Gene Promotes Root Cell Elongation in Arabidopsis. International Journal of Molecular Science 20 (5):1224. doi:10.3390/ijms20051224

Ma X-F, Tudor S, Butler T, Ge Y, Xi Y, Bouton J, Harrison M, Wang Z-Y (2012) Transgenic expression of phytase and acid phosphatase genes in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) leads to improved phosphate uptake in natural soils. Molecular Breeding 30 (1):377-391. doi:10.1007/s11032-011-9628-0

Matthäus B, Lösingand R, Fiebig H-J (1995) Determination of Inositol Phosphates IP3 – IP6 in Rapeseed and Rapeseed Meal by an HPLC Method, Part 2: Investigations of Rapeseed and Rapeseed Meal and Comparison with other Methods. Lipid / Fett 97 (10):372-374. doi:10.1002/lipi.19950971004

Maupin LM, Rosso ML, Rainey KM (2011) Environmental Effects on Soybean with Modified Phosphorus and Sugar Composition. Crop Science 51:642-650. doi:10.2135/cropsci2010.07.0396

McCallum CM, Comai L, Greene EA, Henikoff S (2000) Targeted screening for induced mutations. Nature Biotechnology 18 (4):455-457. doi:10.1038/74542

Meis SJ, Fehr WR, Schnebly SR (2003) Seed Source Effect on Field Emergence of Soybean Lines with Reduced Phytate and Raffinose Saccharides Project No. 3732 and supported by the Hatch Act, State of Iowa, Raymond F. Baker Center for Plant Breeding, and Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Crop Science 43:1336-1339. doi:10.2135/cropsci2003.1336

Meng PH, Raynaud C, Tcherkez G, Blanchet S, Massoud K, Domenichini S, Henry Y, Soubigou-Taconnat L, Lelarge-Trouverie C, Saindrenan P, Renou JP, Bergounioux C (2009) Crosstalks between *myo*-inositol metabolism, programmed cell death and basal immunity in Arabidopsis. PloS ONE 4 (10):e7364. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007364

Mestanza C, Riegel R, Vásquez SC, Veliz D, Cruz-Rosero N, Canchignia H, Silva H (2019) Discovery of mutations in *Chenopodium quinoa* Willd through EMS mutagenesis and mutation screening using pre-selection phenotypic data and next-generation sequencing. The Journal of Agricultural Science 156 (10):1196-1204. doi:10.1017/S0021859619000182

Milkowski C, Strack D (2010) Sinapate esters in brassicaceous plants: biochemistry, molecular biology, evolution and metabolic engineering. Planta 232 (1):19-35. doi:10.1007/s00425-010-1168-z

Minkenberg B, Wheatley M, Yang Y (2017) Chapter Seven - CRISPR/Cas9-Enabled Multiplex Genome Editing and Its Application. In: Weeks DP, Yang B (eds) Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science, vol 149. Academic Press, pp 111-132. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pmbts.2017.05.003

Missirian V, Comai L, Filkov V (2011) Statistical Mutation Calling from Sequenced Overlapping DNA Pools in TILLING Experiments. BMC Bioinformatics 12 (1):287. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-287

Misson J, Raghothama KG, Jain A, Jouhet J, Block MA, Bligny R, Ortet P, Creff A, Somerville S, Rolland N, Doumas P, Nacry P, Herrerra-Estrella L, Nussaume L, Thibaud M-C (2005) A genomewide transcriptional analysis using *Arabidopsis thaliana* Affymetrix gene chips determined plant responses to phosphate deprivation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102 (33):11934. doi:10.1073/pnas.0505266102

Mitsuhashi N, Ohnishi M, Sekiguchi Y, Kwon Y-U, Chang Y-T, Chung S-K, Inoue Y, Reid RJ, Yagisawa H, Mimura T (2005) Phytic acid synthesis and vacuolar accumulation in suspensioncultured cells of *Catharanthus roseus* induced by high concentration of inorganic phosphate and cations. Plant Physiology 138 (3):1607-1614. doi:10.1104/pp.105.060269

Młodzińska E, Zboińska M (2016) Phosphate Uptake and Allocation - A Closer Look at *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. and *Oryza sativa* L. Frontiers in Plant Science 7:1198-1198. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01198

Möllers C, Lickfett; T, Matthäus; B, Velasco L (1999) Influence of p-fertilizer on phytic acid content in seeds of *Brassica napus* L. and development of a NIRS calibration. Conference paper

Mudge SR, Smith FW, Richardson AE (2003) Root-specific and phosphate-regulated expression of phytase under the control of a phosphate transporter promoter enables *Arabidopsis* to grow on phytate as a sole P source. Plant Science 165 (4):871-878. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(03)00286-3

Mullaney EJ, Ullah AH (2003) The term phytase comprises several different classes of enzymes. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 312 (1):179-184. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2003.09.176

Murphy AM, Otto B, Brearley CA, Carr JP, Hanke DE (2008) A role for inositol hexakisphosphate in the maintenance of basal resistance to plant pathogens. Plant Journal 56 (4):638-652. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03629.x

Naczk M, Amarowicz R, Pink D, Shahidi F (2000) Insoluble Condensed Tannins of Canola/Rapeseed. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 48 (5):1758-1762. doi:10.1021/jf9908401

Nagarajan VK, Jain A, Poling MD, Lewis AJ, Raghothama KG, Smith AP (2011) Arabidopsis *Pht1;5* mobilizes phosphate between source and sink organs and influences the interaction between phosphate homeostasis and ethylene signaling. Plant Physiology 156 (3):1149-1163. doi:10.1104/pp.111.174805

Nagy R, Grob H, Weder B, Green P, Klein M, Frelet-Barrand A, Schjoerring JK, Brearley C, Martinoia E (2009) The Arabidopsis ATP-binding cassette protein *AtMRP5/AtABCC5* is a high affinity inositol hexakisphosphate transporter involved in guard cell signaling and phytate storage. Journal of Biological Chemistry 284 (48):33614-33622. doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.030247

Naidoo R, Tongoona P, Derera J, Laing MD, Watson GMF (2012) Combining ability of low phytic acid (*lpa1*-1) and quality protein maize (QPM) lines for seed germination and vigour under stress and non-stress conditions. Euphytica 185 (3):529-541. doi:10.1007/s10681-012-0677-1

Nair RB, Joy RW, Kurylo E, Shi X, Schnaider J, Datla RSS, Keller WA, Selvaraj G (2000) Identification of a CYP84 Family of Cytochrome P450-Dependent Mono-Oxygenase Genes in *Brassica napus*; and Perturbation of Their Expression for Engineering Sinapine Reduction in the Seeds. Plant Physiology 123 (4):1623. doi:10.1104/pp.123.4.1623 Neik TX, Barbetti MJ, Batley J (2017) Current Status and Challenges in Identifying Disease Resistance Genes in *Brassica napus*. Frontiers in Plant Science 8:1788-1788. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01788

Nesi N, Delourme R, Bregeon M, Falentin C, Renard M (2008) Genetic and molecular approaches to improve nutritional value of *Brassica napus* L. seed. Comptes Rendus Biologies 331 (10):763-771. doi:10.1016/j.crvi.2008.07.018

Nićiforović N, Abramovič H (2014) Sinapic Acid and Its Derivatives: Natural Sources and Bioactivity. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety 13 (1):34-51. doi:10.1111/1541-4337.12041

Nour-Eldin HH, Madsen SR, Engelen S, Jørgensen ME, Olsen CE, Andersen JS, Seynnaeve D, Verhoye T, Fulawka R, Denolf P, Halkier BA (2017) Reduction of antinutritional glucosinolates in *Brassica* oilseeds by mutation of genes encoding transporters. Nature Biotechnology 35 (4):377-382. doi:10.1038/nbt.3823

Nunes AC, Vianna GR, Cuneo F, Amaya-Farfan J, de Capdeville G, Rech EL, Aragao FJ (2006) RNAi-mediated silencing of the *myo*-inositol-1-phosphate synthase gene (*GmMIPS1*) in transgenic soybean inhibited seed development and reduced phytate content. Planta 224 (1):125-132. doi:10.1007/s00425-005-0201-0

Nussaume L, Kanno S, Javot H, Marin E, Pochon N, Ayadi A, Nakanishi TM, Thibaud M-C (2011) Phosphate Import in Plants: Focus on the PHT1 Transporters. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2:83-83. doi:10.3389/fpls.2011.00083

Okuzaki A, Ogawa T, Koizuka C, Kaneko K, Inaba M, Imamura J, Koizuka N (2018) CRISPR/Cas9mediated genome editing of the fatty acid desaturase 2 gene in *Brassica napus*. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 131:63-69. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.04.025

Oltmans SE, Fehr WR, Welke GA, Raboy V, Peterson KL (2005) Agronomic and Seed Traits of Soybean Lines with Low–Phytate Phosphorus. Crop Science 45:593-598. doi:10.2135/cropsci2005.0593

Pan L, Shah AN, Phelps IG, Doherty D, Johnson EA, Moens CB (2015) Rapid identification and recovery of ENU-induced mutations with next-generation sequencing and Paired-End Low-Error analysis. BMC Genomics 16 (1):83. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1263-4

Pandey V, Krishnan V, Basak N, Marathe A, Thimmegowda V, Dahuja A, Jolly M, Sachdev A (2018) Molecular modeling and in silico characterization of *GmABCC5:* a phytate transporter and potential target for low-phytate crops. 3 Biotech 8 (1):54-54. doi:10.1007/s13205-017-1053-6

Panzeri D, Cassani E, Doria E, Tagliabue G, Forti L, Campion B, Bollini R, Brearley CA, Pilu R, Nielsen E, Sparvoli F (2011) A defective ABC transporter of the MRP family, responsible for the bean *lpa1* mutation, affects the regulation of the phytic acid pathway, reduces seed *myo*-inositol and alters ABA sensitivity. New Phytologist 191 (1):70-83. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03666.x

Peng J, Richards DE, Hartley NM, Murphy GP, Devos KM, Flintham JE, Beales J, Fish LJ, Worland AJ, Pelica F, Sudhakar D, Christou P, Snape JW, Gale MD, Harberd NP (1999) 'Green revolution' genes encode mutant gibberellin response modulators. Nature 400 (6741):256-261. doi:10.1038/22307

References

Perera I, Seneweera S, Hirotsu N (2018) Manipulating the Phytic Acid Content of Rice Grain Toward Improving Micronutrient Bioavailability. Rice (N Y) 11 (1):4-4. doi:10.1186/s12284-018-0200-y

Pilu R, Landoni M, Cassani E, Doria E, Nielsen E (2005) The Maize *lpa241* Mutation Causes a Remarkable Variability of Expression and Some Pleiotropic Effects. Crop Science 45:2096–2105.

Pilu R, Panzeri D, Cassani E, Cerino Badone F, Landoni M, Nielsen E (2009) A paramutation phenomenon is involved in the genetics of maize low phytic acid1-241 (*lpa1*-241) trait. Heredity 102 (3):236-245. doi:10.1038/hdy.2008.96

Pilu R, Panzeri D, Gavazzi G, Rasmussen SK, Consonni G, Nielsen E (2003) Phenotypic, genetic and molecular characterization of a maize low phytic acid mutant (*lpa241*). Theory of Applied Genetics 107 (6):980-987. doi:10.1007/s00122-003-1316-y

Pires EBE, de Freitas AJ, Souza FFe, Salgado RL, Guimarães VM, Pereira FA, Eller MR (2019) Production of Fungal Phytases from Agroindustrial Byproducts for Pig Diets. Scientific Reports 9 (1):9256. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-45720-z

Ponstein AS, Bade JB, Verwoerd TC, Molendijk L, Storms J, Beudeker RF, Pen J (2002) Stable expression of Phytase (phyA) in canola (*Brassica napus*) seeds: towards a commercial product. Molecular Breeding 10 (1):31-44. doi:10.1023/A:1020326219687

Punjabi M, Bharadvaja N, Jolly M, Dahuja A, Sachdev A (2018) Development and Evaluation of Low Phytic Acid Soybean by siRNA Triggered Seed Specific Silencing of Inositol Polyphosphate 6-/3-/5-Kinase Gene. Frontiers in Plant Science 9:804-804. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00804

Raboy V (2009) Approaches and challenges to engineering seed phytate and total phosphorus. Plant Science 177 (4):281-296. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2009.06.012

Raboy V, Gerbasi PF, Young KA, Stoneberg SD, Pickett SG, Bauman AT, Murthy PPN, Sheridan WF, Ertl DS (2000) Origin and Seed Phenotype of Maize *low phytic acid 1* and *low phytic acid 2-1*. Plant Physiology 124 (1):355. doi:10.1104/pp.124.1.355

Raboy V, Peterson K, Jackson C, Marshall JM, Hu G, Saneoka H, Bregitzer P (2015) A Substantial Fraction of Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) Low Phytic Acid Mutations Have Little or No Effect on Yield across Diverse Production Environments. Plants (Basel, Switzerland) 4 (2):225-239. doi:10.3390/plants4020225

Rahman H (2013) Review: Breeding spring canola (*Brassica napus* L.) by the use of exotic germplasm. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 93 (3):363-373. doi:10.4141/cjps2012-074

Rahman H, Bennett R, Séguin-Swartz G (2015) Broadening genetic diversity in *Brassica napus* canola: Development of canola-quality spring *B. napus* from *B. napus* X *B. oleracea* var. alboglabra interspecific crosses. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 95:29-41. doi:10.4141/cjps-2014-017

Rausch C, Bucher M (2002) Molecular mechanisms of phosphate transport in plants. Planta 216 (1):23-37. doi:10.1007/s00425-002-0921-3

Reddy NR, Sathe SK, Salunkhe DK (1982) Phytates in Legumes and Cereals. In: C.O. Chichester EMM, Stewart GF (eds) Advances in Food Research, vol Volume 28. Academic Press, pp 1-92. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2628(08)60110-X

Ren F, Zhao CZ, Liu CS, Huang KL, Guo QQ, Chang LL, Xiong H, Li XB (2014) A *Brassica napus* PHT1 phosphate transporter, *BnPht1;4*, promotes phosphate uptake and affects roots architecture of transgenic Arabidopsis. Plant Molecular Biology 86 (6):595-607. doi:10.1007/s11103-014-0249-y

Rigola D (2009) High-Throughput Detection of Induced Mutations and Natural Variation Using KeyPointTM Technology. PLoS ONE 4. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004761

Robson MC, Fowler SM, Lampkin NH, Leifert C, Leitch M, Robinson D, Watson CA, Litterick AM (2002) The Agronomic and Economic Potential of Break Crops for Ley/Arable Rotations in Temperate Organic Agriculture. In: Sparks DL (ed) Advances in Agronomy, vol 77. Academic Press, pp 369-427. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(02)77018-1

Roch V, Maha Rajan T, Ceasar SA, Ignacimuthu (2019) The Role of *PHT1* Family Transporters in the Acquisition and Redistribution of Phosphorus in Plants. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences. doi:10.1080/07352689.2019.1645402

Rodríguez-Leal D, Lemmon ZH, Man J, Bartlett ME, Lippman ZB (2017) Engineering Quantitative Trait Variation for Crop Improvement by Genome Editing. Cell 171 (2):470-480.e478. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.030

Sajidan A, Farouk A, Greiner R, Jungblut P, Muller EC, Borriss R (2004) Molecular and physiological characterisation of a 3-phytase from soil bacterium *Klebsiella* sp. ASR1. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 65 (1):110-118. doi:10.1007/s00253-003-1530-1

Sashidhar N, Harloff H-J, Jung C (2019) Identification of phytic acid mutants in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) by large scale screening of mutant populations through amplicon sequencing. New Phytologist 0 (ja). doi:10.1111/nph.16281

Schiessl S, Williams N, Specht P, Staiger D, Johansson M (2019) Different copies of SENSITIVITY TO RED LIGHT REDUCED 1 show strong subfunctionalization in *Brassica napus*. BMC Plant Biology 19 (1):372. doi:10.1186/s12870-019-1973-x

Schmidt C, Schindele P, Puchta H (2019) From gene editing to genome engineering: restructuring plant chromosomes via CRISPR/Cas. aBIOTECH. doi:10.1007/s42994-019-00002-0

Schreiber M, Barakate A, Uzrek N, Macaulay M, Sourdille A, Morris J, Hedley PE, Ramsay L, Waugh R (2019) A highly mutagenised barley (cv. Golden Promise) TILLING population coupled with strategies for screening-by-sequencing. Plant Methods 15 (1):99. doi:10.1186/s13007-019-0486-9

Shi J, Wang H, Hazebroek J, Ertl DS, Harp T (2005) The maize low-phytic acid 3 encodes a *myo*inositol kinase that plays a role in phytic acid biosynthesis in developing seeds. Plant Journal 42 (5):708-719. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02412.x

Shi J, Wang H, Schellin K, Li B, Faller M, Stoop JM, Meeley RB, Ertl DS, Ranch JP, Glassman K (2007) Embryo-specific silencing of a transporter reduces phytic acid content of maize and soybean seeds. Nature Biotechnology 25 (8):930-937. doi:10.1038/nbt1322

Shi J, Wang H, Wu Y, Hazebroek J, Meeley RB, Ertl DS (2003) The maize low-phytic acid mutant *lpa2* is caused by mutation in an inositol phosphate kinase gene. Plant Physiology 131 (2):507-515. doi:10.1104/pp.014258

References

Shin H, Shin HS, Dewbre GR, Harrison MJ (2004) Phosphate transport in Arabidopsis: *Pht1*;*1* and *Pht1*;*4* play a major role in phosphate acquisition from both low- and high-phosphate environments. Plant Journal 39 (4):629-642. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02161.x

Shukla S, VanToai TT, Pratt RC (2004) Expression and nucleotide sequence of an INS (3) P1 synthase gene associated with low-phytate kernels in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 52 (14):4565-4570. doi:10.1021/jf049976b

Silva EO, Bracarense APFRL (2016) Phytic Acid: From Antinutritional to Multiple Protection Factor of Organic Systems. Journal of Food Science 81 (6):R1357-R1362. doi:10.1111/1750-3841.13320

Sparvoli F, Cominelli E (2015) Seed Biofortification and Phytic Acid Reduction: A Conflict of Interest for the Plant? Plants (Basel, Switzerland) 4 (4):728-755. doi:10.3390/plants4040728

Srivastava S, Upadhyay MK, Srivastava AK, Abdelrahman M, Suprasanna P, Tran L-SP (2018) Cellular and Subcellular Phosphate Transport Machinery in Plants. International Journal of Molecular Science 19 (7):1914. doi:10.3390/ijms19071914

Stefanovic A, Ribot C, Rouached H, Wang Y, Chong J, Belbahri L, Delessert S, Poirier Y (2007) Members of the PHO1 gene family show limited functional redundancy in phosphate transfer to the shoot, and are regulated by phosphate deficiency via distinct pathways. Plant Journal 50 (6):982-994. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03108.x

Steinert J, Schmidt C, Puchta H (2017) Use of Cas9 Orthologs from *Streptococcus thermophilus* und *Staphylococcus aureus* for Non-Homologous End-Joining Mediated Site-Specific Mutagenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana Plant Plant Gerline Development: Methods and Protocols, Methods in Molecular Biology 1660. doi:DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-7286-9_27

Stevenson-Paulik J, Bastidas RJ, Chiou ST, Frye RA, York JD (2005) Generation of phytate-free seeds in Arabidopsis through disruption of inositol polyphosphate kinases. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 102 (35):12612-12617. doi:10.1073/pnas.0504172102

Suleimanova AD, Beinhauer A, Valeeva LR, Chastukhina IB, Balaban NP, Shakirov EV, Greiner R, Sharipova MR (2015) Novel Glucose-1-Phosphatase with High Phytase Activity and Unusual Metal Ion Activation from Soil Bacterium *Pantoea* sp. Strain 3.5.1. Applied Environment Microbiology 81 (19):6790-6799. doi:10.1128/AEM.01384-15

Sun F, Fan G, Hu Q, Zhou Y, Guan M, Tong C, Li J, Du D, Qi C, Jiang L, Liu W, Huang S, Chen W, Yu J, Mei D, Meng J, Zeng P, Shi J, Liu K, Wang X, Wang X, Long Y, Liang X, Hu Z, Huang G, Dong C, Zhang H, Li J, Zhang Y, Li L, Shi C, Wang J, Lee SM-Y, Guan C, Xu X, Liu S, Liu X, Chalhoub B, Hua W, Wang H (2017) The high-quality genome of *Brassica napus* cultivar 'ZS11' reveals the introgression history in semi-winter morphotype. The Plant Journal 92 (3):452-468. doi:10.1111/tpj.13669

Sun Q, Lin L, Liu D, Wu D, Fang Y, Wu J, Wang Y (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Multiplex Genome Editing of the *BnWRKY11* and *BnWRKY70* Genes in *Brassica napus* L. International Journal of Molecular Science 19 (9):2716

Tang M, Zhang Y, Liu Y, Tong C, Cheng X, Zhu W, Li Z, Huang J, Liu S (2019) Mapping loci controlling fatty acid profiles, oil and protein content by genome-wide association study in *Brassica napus*. The Crop Journal 7 (2):217-226. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2018.10.007

Tang W, Newton RJ, Weidner DA (2007) Genetic transformation and gene silencing mediated by multiple copies of a transgene in eastern white pine. Journal of Experimental Botany 58 (3):545-554. doi:10.1093/jxb/erl228

Torabinejad J, Donahue JL, Gunesekera BN, Allen-Daniels MJ, Gillaspy GE (2009) VTC4 Is a Bifunctional Enzyme That Affects Myoinositol and Ascorbate Biosynthesis in Plants. Plant Physiology 150 (2):951. doi:10.1104/pp.108.135129

Tramontano A, Jarc L, Jankowicz-Cieslak J, Hofinger BJ, Gajek K, Szurman-Zubrzycka M, Szarejko I, Inglebrecht I, Till BJ (2018) Fragmentation of pooled PCR products for highly multiplexed TILLING. BioRxiv:451567. doi:10.1101/451567

Tsai H, Howell T, Nitcher R, Missirian V, Watson B, Ngo KJ, Lieberman M, Fass J, Uauy C, Tran RK, Khan AA, Filkov V, Tai TH, Dubcovsky J, Comai L (2011) Discovery of rare mutations in populations: TILLING by sequencing. Plant Physiology 156 (3):1257-1268. doi:10.1104/pp.110.169748

Tsuda M, Kaga A, Anai T, Shimizu T, Sayama T, Takagi K, Machita K, Watanabe S, Nishimura M, Yamada N, Mori S, Sasaki H, Kanamori H, Katayose Y, Ishimoto M (2015) Construction of a highdensity mutant library in soybean and development of a mutant retrieval method using amplicon sequencing. BMC Genomics 16 (1):1014. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-2079-y

Uniyal AP, Mansotra K, Yadav SK, Kumar V (2019) An overview of designing and selection of sgRNAs for precise genome editing by the CRISPR-Cas9 system in plants. 3 Biotech 9 (6):223. doi:10.1007/s13205-019-1760-2

Uppström B, Svensson R (1980) Determination of phytic acid in rapeseed meal. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 31 (7):651-656. doi:10.1002/jsfa.2740310706

Uusi-Mäkelä MIE, Barker HR, Bäuerlein CA, Häkkinen T, Nykter M, Rämet M (2018) Chromatin accessibility is associated with CRISPR-Cas9 efficiency in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). PloS ONE 13 (4):e0196238. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0196238

Vashishth A, Ram S, Beniwal V (2017) Cereal phytases and their importance in improvement of micronutrients bioavailability. 3 Biotech 7 (1):42-42. doi:10.1007/s13205-017-0698-5

Versaw WK, Harrison MJ (2002) A chloroplast phosphate transporter, *PHT2;1*, influences allocation of phosphate within the plant and phosphate-starvation responses. The Plant Cell 14 (8):1751-1766. doi:10.1105/tpc.002220

Vollmann J, Istvan R (2010) Oil Crops. 4:91-126. doi:doi:10.1007/978-0-387-77594-4

Von Der Haar D, Müller K, Bader-Mittermaier S, Eisner P (2014) Rapeseed proteins – Production methods and possible application ranges. OCL 21 (1):D104

Voytas DF (2013) Plant genome engineering with sequence-specific nucleases. Annual Reviews in Plant Biology 64:327-350. doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-042811-105552

Voytas DF, Gao C (2014) Precision Genome Engineering and Agriculture: Opportunities and Regulatory Challenges. PLoS Biology 12 (6):e1001877. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001877

Vucenik I, Ramakrishna G, Tantivejkul K, Anderson LM, Ramljak D (2005) Inositol hexaphosphate (IP6) blocks proliferation of human breast cancer cells through a PKCδ-dependent increase in p27Kip1 and decrease in retinoblastoma protein (pRb) phosphorylation. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 91 (1):35-45. doi:10.1007/s10549-004-6456-5

Vucenik I, Shamsuddin AM (2006) Protection against cancer by dietary IP6 and inositol. Nutrition and Cancer 55. doi:10.1207/s15327914nc5502_1

Wang F, King JDM, Rose T, Kretzschmar T, Wissuwa M (2017) Can natural variation in grain P concentrations be exploited in rice breeding to lower fertilizer requirements? PloS ONE 12 (6):e0179484. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0179484

Wang Y, Cheng X, Shan Q, Zhang Y, Liu J, Gao C, Qiu JL (2014) Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew. Nature Biotechnology 32 (9):947-951. doi:10.1038/nbt.2969

Wang Y, Ribot C, Rezzonico E, Poirier Y (2004) Structure and expression profile of the Arabidopsis *PHO1* gene family indicates a broad role in inorganic phosphate homeostasis. Plant Physiology 135 (1):400-411. doi:10.1104/pp.103.037945

Wang Y, Ye X, Ding G, Xu F (2013) Overexpression of phyA and appA genes improves soil organic phosphorus utilisation and seed phytase activity in *Brassica napus*. PloS ONE 8 (4):e60801-e60801. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060801

Wells R, Trick M, Fraser F, Soumpourou E, Clissold L, Morgan C, Pauquet J, Bancroft I (2013) Sequencing-based variant detection in the polyploid crop oilseed rape. BMC Plant Biology 13 (1):111. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-13-111

Wilcox J, Premachandra G, Young K, Raboy V (2000) Isolation of High Seed Inorganic P, Low-Phytate Soybean Mutants. Crop Science 40. doi:10.2135/cropsci2000.4061601x

Wild R, Gerasimaite R, Jung JY, Truffault V, Pavlovic I, Schmidt A, Saiardi A, Jessen HJ, Poirier Y, Hothorn M, Mayer A (2016) Control of eukaryotic phosphate homeostasis by inositol polyphosphate sensor domains. Science 352 (6288):986-990. doi:10.1126/science.aad9858

Wolfram K, Schmidt J, Wray V, Milkowski C, Schliemann W, Strack D (2010) Profiling of phenylpropanoids in transgenic low-sinapine oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). Phytochemistry 71 (10):1076-1084. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2010.04.007

Wolter F, Klemm J, Puchta H (2018) Efficient in planta gene targeting in Arabidopsis using egg cellspecific expression of the Cas9 nuclease of *Staphylococcus aureus*. The Plant Journal 94 (4):735-746. doi:10.1111/tpj.13893

Wolter F, Puchta H (2018) The CRISPR/Cas revolution reaches the RNA world: Cas13, a new Swiss Army knife for plant biologists. Plant Journal 94 (5):767-775. doi:10.1111/tpj.13899

Wolter F, Schindele P, Puchta H (2019) Plant breeding at the speed of light: the power of CRISPR/Cas to generate directed genetic diversity at multiple sites. BMC Plant Biology 19 (1):176. doi:10.1186/s12870-019-1775-1

Xia S, Wang Z, Zhang H, Hu K, Zhang Z, Qin M, Dun X, Yi B, Wen J, Ma C, Shen J, Fu T, Tu J (2016) Altered Transcription and Neofunctionalization of Duplicated Genes Rescue the Harmful Effects of a Chimeric Gene in *Brassica napus*. The Plant Cell 28 (9):2060. doi:10.1105/tpc.16.00281

Xu XH, Zhao HJ, Liu QL, Frank T, Engel KH, An G, Shu QY (2009) Mutations of the multi-drug resistance-associated protein ABC transporter gene 5 result in reduction of phytic acid in rice seeds. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 119 (1):75-83. doi:10.1007/s00122-009-1018-1

Yamaji N, Takemoto Y, Miyaji T, Mitani-Ueno N, Yoshida KT, Ma JF (2016) Reducing phosphorus accumulation in rice grains with an impaired transporter in the node. Nature 541:92. doi:10.1038/nature20610 <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/nature20610#supplementary-information</u>

Yang H, Wu J-J, Tang T, Liu K-D, Dai C (2017) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing efficiently creates specific mutations at multiple loci using one sgRNA in *Brassica napus*. Scientific Reports 7 (1):7489. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-07871-9

Yang Q, Zhang H, Zhang X, Zheng X, Qian J (2015) Phytic Acid Enhances Biocontrol Activity of *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* against *Penicillium expansum* Contamination and Patulin Production in Apples. Frontiers in Microbiology 6 (1296). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.01296

Yang S-Y, Grønlund M, Jakobsen I, Grotemeyer MS, Rentsch D, Miyao A, Hirochika H, Kumar CS, Sundaresan V, Salamin N, Catausan S, Mattes N, Heuer S, Paszkowski U (2012) Nonredundant Regulation of Rice Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis by Two Members of the *PHOSPHATE TRANSPORTER1* Gene Family. The Plant Cell 24 (10):4236. doi:10.1105/tpc.112.104901

Yang Y, Zhu K, Li H, Han S, Meng Q, Khan SU, Fan C, Xie K, Zhou Y (2018) Precise editing of CLAVATA genes in *Brassica napus* L. regulates multilocular silique development. Plant Biotechnology Journal 16 (7):1322-1335. doi:10.1111/pbi.12872

Yao M-Z, Zhang Y-H, Lu W-L, Hu M-Q, Wang W, Liang A-H (2012) Phytases: crystal structures, protein engineering and potential biotechnological applications. Journal of Applied Microbiology 112 (1):1-14. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05181.x

Yoshida KT, Wada T, Koyama H, Mizobuchi-Fukuoka R, Naito S (1999) Temporal and spatial patterns of accumulation of the transcript of *Myo*-inositol-1-phosphate synthase and phytin-containing particles during seed development in rice. Plant Physiology 119 (1):65-72. doi:10.1104/pp.119.1.65

Yuan FJ, Zhao HJ, Ren XL, Zhu SL, Fu XJ, Shu QY (2007) Generation and characterization of two novel low phytate mutations in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 115 (7):945-957. doi:10.1007/s00122-007-0621-2

Yuan FJ, Zhu DH, Deng B, Fu XJ, Dong DK, Zhu SL, Li BQ, Shu QY (2009) Effects of two low phytic acid mutations on seed quality and nutritional traits in soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 57 (9):3632-3638. doi:10.1021/jf803862a

Zhai Y, Cai S, Hu L, Yang Y, Amoo O, Fan C, Zhou Y (2019a) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing reveals differences in the contribution of INDEHISCENT homologues to pod shatter resistance in *Brassica napus* L. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 132 (7):2111-2123. doi:10.1007/s00122-019-03341-0

Zhai Y, Yu K, Cai S, Hu L, Amoo O, Xu L, Yang Y, Ma B, Jiao Y, Zhang C, Hafeez MUK, Khan SU, Fan C, Zhou Y (2019b) Targeted mutagenesis of *BnTT8* homologs controls yellow seed coat development for effective oil production in *Brassica napus* L. Plant Biotechnology Journal (n/a). doi:10.1111/pbi.13281

Zhang C, Meng S, Li M, Zhao Z (2016a) Genomic Identification and Expression Analysis of the Phosphate Transporter Gene Family in Poplar. Frontiers in Plant Science 7:1398-1398. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01398

Zhang F, Sun Y, Pei W, Jain A, Sun R, Cao Y, Wu X, Jiang T, Zhang L, Fan X, Chen A, Shen Q, Xu G, Sun S (2015) Involvement of *OsPht1;4* in phosphate acquisition and mobilization facilitates embryo development in rice. Plant Journal 82 (4):556-569. doi:10.1111/tpj.12804

Zhang K, Nie L, Cheng Q, Yin Y, Chen K, Qi F, Zou D, Liu H, Zhao W, Wang B, Li M (2019) Effective editing for lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase 2/5 in allotetraploid rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) using CRISPR-Cas9 system. Biotechnology for Biofuels 12 (1):225. doi:10.1186/s13068-019-1567-8

Zhang Z, Mao Y, Ha S, Liu W, Botella JR, Zhu JK (2016b) A multiplex CRISPR/Cas9 platform for fast and efficient editing of multiple genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Reports 35 (7):1519-1533. doi:10.1007/s00299-015-1900-z

Zhao H-J, Liu Q-L, Fu H-W, Xu X-H, Wu D-X, Shu Q-Y (2008) Effect of non-lethal low phytic acid mutations on grain yield and seed viability in rice. Field Crops Research 108 (3):206-211. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2008.05.006

Zhu Q, Smith SM, Ayele M, Yang L, Jogi A, Chaluvadi SR, Bennetzen JL (2012a) High-throughput discovery of mutations in tef semi-dwarfing genes by next-generation sequencing analysis. Genetics 192 (3):819-829. doi:10.1534/genetics.112.144436

Zhu W, Miao Q, Sun D, Yang G, Wu C, Huang J, Zheng C (2012b) The mitochondrial phosphate transporters modulate plant responses to salt stress via affecting ATP and gibberellin metabolism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PloS ONE 7 (8):e43530. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043530

10. Supplementary data on CD/DVD

The following supplemental data are available on CD/DVD and can be distributed upon request (contact: Prof. Dr.Christian Jung, <u>c.jung@plantbreeding.uni-kiel.de</u>).

| File name | Content | Format |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| List of primers.xlsx | List of primers developed | xlsx, Microsoft Excel file |
| | during the study | |
| NGS SNPs identified by | List of total number of NGS | csv, comma separated values |
| TbyS.csv | SNPs identified by TbyS | |
| Sanger sequencing of | Screenshots of Sanger | .docx |
| confirmed M ₂ | sequencing results of NGS | |
| | SNPs in M ₂ DNA samples | |
| Seed material.xlsx | Seed material produced | xlsx, Microsoft Excel file |
| | during this study | |
| PA and Pi measurements of | Phytic acid and inorganic | xlsx, Microsoft Excel file |
| all the genes.xlsx | phosphorus measurements of | |
| | all the genes | |
| Chromatograms | Chromatograms of HPLC | .zip |
| | measurements | |
| Sequences | Annotated gene sequences | .fa |
| | of phytic acid genes | |

11. Curriculum Vitae and Publications

11.1. Curriculum Vitae

| Niharika Sashidhar |
|---|
| 17. 11. 1990 |
| Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh |
| Indian |
| |
| Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, Germany |
| Doctoral candidate in Plant Breeding and Genetics |
| Doctoral Thesis: Random and targeted (CRISPR-Cas) mutagenesis to create oilseed rape with reduced seed phytic acid content Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, Germany |
| M.Sc. in Agricultural Genomics |
| Master's Thesis: Establishing a CRISPR/Cas9 system for genome editing in crops with a case study in <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> . |
| TATA Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd. (MNC) |
| Designation: Assistance systems Engineer |
| Domain: Java |
| GITAM University, India |
| B.Tech in Biotechnology |
| Bachelor's Thesis: Bioreactor design and tissue culture for extraction of anti- cancerous drug from <i>Ophiorrhiza pumila</i> Masters Junior college, India |
| Intermediate (equivalent to High school) |
| Focus subjects: Botany, Zoology, Physics and Chemistry |
| |

11.2. Own publications

Sashidhar N, Harloff H-J, Potgieter L, Jung C. Gene editing of three *BnITPK* genes in tetraploid oilseed rape leads to significant reduction of phytic acid in seeds (Manuscript under review)

Sashidhar N, Harloff H-J, Jung C (2019). Identification of phytic acid mutants in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) by large scale screening of mutant populations through amplicon sequencing. New Phytologist, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16281

Jung C, Capistrano-Gossmann G, Braatz J, Sashidhar N, Melzer S (2017). Recent developments in genome editing and applications in plant breeding. Plant Breeding, 00:1-9. doi:10.1111/pbr.12526

11.2.1. Oral presentations and posters

Sashidhar, N. (2019). Discovering novel phytic acid mutants in Oilseed rape for future breeding, International Rapeseed Conference, Berlin.-16.06.2019. Oral Presentation.

- Sashidhar, N. (2019). Breeding towards low phytic acid content in *Brassica napus*, 2019, Genome Research for Plant Breeding, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart.-28.03.2019. Oral Presentation.
- Sashidhar, N. (2019) ., Harloff, H.-J., Jung, C. High throughput reverse genetic tools for knocking out several genes of the phytic acid pathway in *Brassica napus*, International Plant & Animal Genome XXVII, San Diego, 12.-16.01.2019- Poster Presentation.
- Sashidhar, N. (2018). High throughput reverse genetic tools for knocking out several genes of the phytic acid pathway in *Brassica napus*, Young Scientists Meeting 2018, JKI, Braunschweig.-14.11.2018. Oral Presentation.
- Sashidhar, N. (2018) Genome editing strategies for multiple knock out of phytic acid pathway gene families in *Brassica napus*, PGSC 2018, IPK, Gatersleben .-06.06.2018. Oral Presentation.
- Sashidhar, N. (2018). Reverse genetic tools for mutational analyses of all genes of a complex metabolic pathway in *Brassica napus*. Kiel Plant Science symposium 2018, Kiel.-31.05.2018. Oral Presentation.

Declaration of co-authorship

12. Declaration of co-authorship

Chapters 2 and 3 were prepared as manuscripts for publication. The respective declarations of coauthorship are presented on the following pages. Meanwhile, chapter 4 includes work of the doctoral candidate alone.

13. Publications and declaration of own contribution



| 5. Signatur | e of doctoral candidate | |
|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Date | Name | Signature |
| 27.11.19 | ۹ Niharika Sashidhar | Stuterit. |



Agrar- und Ernährungs-Kiel wissenschaftliche Fakultät

Declaration of co-authorship

If a dissertation is based on already published or submitted co-authored articles, a declaration from each of the authors regarding the part of the work done by the doctoral candidate must be enclosed when submitting the dissertation.

1. Doctoral candidate

Name: Niharika Sashidhar

2. This co-author declaration applies to the following article:

Gene editing of three *BnITPK* genes in tetraploid oilseed rape leads to significant reduction of phytic acid in seeds

The extent of the doctoral candidat's contribution to the article is assessed on the following scale:

- A. Has contributed to the work (0-33%)
- B. Has made a substantial contribution (34-66%)
- C. Did the majority of the work independently (67-100%)

| 3. Declaration on the individual phases of the scientific work (A,B,C) | Extent |
|---|--------|
| Concept: Formulation of the basic scientific problem based on theoretical questions which require clarification, including a summary of the general questions which, it is assumed, will be answerable via analyses or concrete experiments/investigations | В |
| Planning: Planning of experiments/analyses and formulation of investigative methodology, including choice of method and independent methodological development, in such a way that the scientific questions asked can be expected to be answered | С |
| Execution: Involvement in the analysis or the concrete experiments/investgation | С |
| Manuscript preparation: Presentation, interpretation and discussion of the results obtained in article form | С |

| 4. Signature of all co-authors | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Date | Name | Signature |
| 27. M 19 | Hans-Joachim Harloff | in mit |
| 27.11.19 | Lizel Potgieter | B |
| 27-11.1 | g Christian Jung | L. Jung |

| 5. Signature of doctoral candidate | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--|
| Date | Name | Signature | |
| 27.11.19 | Niharika Sashidhar | 5. the have | |

14. Acknowledgements

Finally the day came to thank everyone who made my dream come to true!!

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere thanks to Prof. Christian Jung for providing me an opportunity to do my PhD thesis. I was very happy to work in his lab as things were always well organized providing the best research conditions. He highly encouraged me by giving opportunities to present my work in various conferences.

I would like to thank Dr. Siegbert Melzer for tolerating me for the last four years. He was always there for me to clarify any kind of doubts, discuss all the crazy ideas I had. Without him, I could have breakdown easily in several situations, but he always prepared me to push that extra mile. I can never forget those brain storming discussions at lunch breaks.

I would like to thank my PhD supervisor, Dr. Hans Joachim Harloff for the design of this beautiful project and giving me quick corrections during my thesis writing. My sincere thanks also go to Dr. Gina Capistrano-Goßmann for giving me her time to clarify my doubts regarding NGS data analysis. I thank Dr. Janina Braatz, for introducing me to rapeseed protocols.

I would also like to thank Hilke Jensen, Ines Schuett, Monika Bruish for helping me in laboratory and greenhouse work. A very special thanks to Birgit Defant, for taking care of my tissue culture experiments, for almost five months, when I was not in reach. Without her green thumb, I could have failed getting mutants in time.

Special thanks to Jens Hermann for helping me in HPLC measurements and giving priority for HPLC slots to finish the measurements in time.

I would like to extend my thanking list to all colleagues of the institute for their support and nice environment.

I thank Dr. Schwarting, Dr. Jan, who took good care of me during my illness and Indian embassy who provided all the legal support.

Finally most important, I would like to give big thanks to my ever-loving family: mom and dad, my sissy and my brother-in-law and the newest addition my cutest niece, for their continuous support even when I was not answering their phone calls and texts. I thank my aunt and grandma, whose blessings are always with me no matter where ever they are. Thanks to my sweet Dimpu for always making me to smile. Thanks to my childhood buddy, Chaitanya, who can solve any of my problems. Also, thanks to my tiny fluffy birds Choco and Cookie. They are my biggest stress busters during the last phase of my PhD.