Tusi Mathematical Research Group

ORIGINAL PAPER



On hyperplane sections and projections in I_p^n

Hermann König¹

Received: 21 March 2024 / Accepted: 26 July 2024 / Published online: 8 August 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

For $2 , the hyperplane section of the <math>l_p^n$ -unit ball B_p^n perpendicular to $a^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1,\ldots,1)$ for large n has larger volume than the one orthogonal to $a^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1,1,0,\ldots,0)$, as shown by Oleszkiewicz. This is different from the case of l_∞^n considered by Ball. We give a quantitative estimate for which dimensions n this happens, namely for $n > c(\frac{1}{p_0-p} + \frac{1}{p-2})$ for some absolute constant c > 0. Correspondingly for projections of B_q^n onto hyperplanes, Barthe and Naor showed that projections onto hyperplanes perpendicular to $a^{(n)}$ have smaller volume for large n than onto the one orthogonal to $a^{(2)}$, if $\frac{4}{3} < q < 2$, different from the case q = 1. We show that this happens for all $n > 5(\frac{1}{q-\frac{4}{3}} + \frac{1}{2-q})$.

Keywords Volume · Hyperplane sections · Projections · l_n^n -ball · Random variables

Mathematics Subject Classification 52A38 · 52A40 · 46 B07 · 60F05

1 Introduction and main results

In a well-known paper Ball [2] proved that the hyperplane section of the n-cube $B^n_{\infty} := [-1,1]^n$ perpendicular to $a^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1,1,0,\ldots,0) \in S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has maximal volume among all hyperplane sections. Earlier Hadwiger [6] and Hensley [7] had shown independently of one another that coordinate hyperplanes, e.g. orthogonal to $a^{(1)} = (1,0,\ldots,0) \in S^{n-1}$, yield the minimal (n-1)-dimensional cubic sections.

For $0 , let <math>B_p^n := \{x = (x_j)_{j=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\|_p := (\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le 1 \}$ be the unit ball of $l_p^n = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_p)$. Meyer and Pajor [13] found extremal sections of the l_p^n balls B_p^n : They proved that the normalized volume of sections of B_p^n by a fixed

Dedicated to Bill Johnson on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

Communicated by Gideon Schechtman.



Mathematisches Seminar, Universität Kiel, 24098 Kiel, Germany

64 Page 2 of 26 H. König

hyperplane is monotone increasing in p. This implies that coordinate planes provide the minimal sections for $2 \le p < \infty$, as for $p = \infty$, and the maximal sections for $1 \le p \le 2$. The minimal hyperplane sections of B_1^n are those orthogonal to a main diagonal, e.g. $a^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1, \dots, 1) \in S^{n-1}$, see also [13]. Koldobsky [10] extended this to the full range $1 \le p \le 2$.

This left open the case of the maximal hyperplane section of B_p^n for 2 . The situation there is more complicated, since the maximal hyperplane may depend as well on <math>p as on the dimension n: Oleszkiewicz [14] proved that Ball's result does not transfer to the balls B_p^n if $2 : then the intersection of the hyperplane perpendicular to <math>a^{(n)}$ has larger volume than the one orthogonal to $a^{(2)}$, for sufficiently large dimensions n. The value p_0 is the unique value in $(2,\infty)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(B_p^n\cap(a^{(n)})^\perp)}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(B_p^n\cap(a^{(2)})^\perp)} > 1$ for all 2 . Oleszkiewicz's result is an asymptotic one, not determining dimensions <math>n for which this happens. We derive a quantitative estimate for dimensions n such that this holds, namely for $n > c\left(\frac{1}{p_0-p}+\frac{1}{p-2}\right)$. On the other hand, recently Eskenazis, Nayar and Tkocz [5] proved that Ball's result is stable for l_p^n and very large p: $(a^{(2)})^\perp \cap B_p^n$ is the maximal hyperplane section of B_p^n for all dimensions, provided that $p_1 := 10^{15} \le p < \infty$. They call it "resilience of cubic sections".

Dual to hyperplane sections of convex bodies are projections of convex bodies onto hyperplanes. The known results for l_p^n -balls show a duality between sections and projections, when maximal and minimal directions a and p and the conjugate index $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ are interchanged. Nevertheless the proofs in both situations are different, since volume does not behave well under duality. Barthe and Naor [3] determined the extremal hyperplane projections of l_q^n -balls except for the minimal hyperplane projections when 1 < q < 2, corresponding to the dual maximal section case mentioned above when 2 . For <math>q = 1, the projection of B_1^n onto the hyperplane perpendicular to $a^{(1)} = (1, 0, ..., 0)$ is maximal, the projection onto the hyperplane orthogonal to $a^{(2)}$ is minimal, which essentially is a consequence of Szarek's result [16] on the best constants in the Khintchine inequality for q = 1. Barthe and Naor [3] proved that this does no transfer to $\frac{4}{3} < q < 2$, namely that the projection onto $a^{(n)\perp}$ has smaller volume than the one onto $a^{(2)\perp}$ for large dimensions n. Here $q_0 := \frac{4}{3}$ is the unique value in (1, 2) such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(P_{(a^{(n)})^{\perp}}(B_q^n))}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(P_{(a^{(2)})^{\perp}}(B_q^n))} < 1$ for all $q_0 < q < 2$, where $P_{a^{\perp}}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto the hyperplane a^{\perp} . In this case, we also give a quantitative estimate for dimensions n when this happens, namely when $n > 5\left(\frac{1}{p-\frac{4}{3}} + \frac{1}{2-p}\right)$. Note that there is no complete duality here, since $\frac{4}{3}$ is not the dual index of $p_0 \simeq 26.265$.

Let $a \in S^{n-1} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x||_2 = 1 \}$ be a direction vector. We introduce the normalized section function

$$A_{n,p}(a) := \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(a^{\perp} \cap B_p^n)}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(B_p^{n-1})}$$

and the normalized projection function

$$P_{n,p}(a) := \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(P_{a^{\perp}}(B_p^n))}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(B_p^{n-1})}.$$

In terms of this notation, Ball's result states $A_{n,\infty}(a) \leq A_{n,\infty}(a^{(2)}) = \sqrt{2}$ for all $a \in$ S^{n-1} and Eskenazis, Nayar and Tkocz's result reads $A_{n,p}(a) \leq A_{n,p}(a^{(2)}) = 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}$ for all $a \in S^{n-1}$ and $10^{15} \le p < \infty$. We note that $A_{n,p}(a^{(2)}) = 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}$ is independent of $n \ge 2$. But as shown by Oleszkiewicz, $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_{n,p}(a^{(n)}) > A_{n,p}(a^{(2)}) = 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}$ for $2 . In the projection case, <math>P_{n,1}(a^{(2)}) \le P_{n,1}(a)$ for all $a \in S^{n-1}$, which Eskenazis, Nayar and Tkocz [5] extended to $P_{n,q}(a^{(2)}) \leq P_{n,q}(a)$ for all $a \in S^{n-1}$ and $1 < q \le 1 + 10^{-12}$. Again $P_{n,q}(a^{(2)}) = 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}}$ is independent of $n \ge 2$. However, by Barthe and Naor [3], $P_{n,q}(a^{(2)}) > \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{n,q}(a^{(n)})$ for $\frac{4}{3} < q < 2$.

Our two main results study these limits in more detail.

Theorem 1.1 Let $2 and <math>n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all 2

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{A_{n,p}(a^{(n)})}{A_{n,p}(a^{(2)})} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2^{\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{3}}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)}} > 1.$$

We have the following quantitative estimate: $A_{n,p}(a^{(n)}) > A_{n,p}(a^{(2)})$ holds if

- (a) either $5 \le p < p_0 \text{ and } n \ge \frac{650}{p_0 p}$ or
- (b) $2 \frac{65}{n-2} \text{ is satisfied.}$

Remarks. (a) The constant 650 in the statement for $5 \le p < p_0$ is not optimal, but

by necessity fairly large since the *p*-derivative of $f(p) := \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \left| \frac{2^{\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)} \right|$ at p_0

with $f(p_0) = 1$ is small, $f'(p_0) \simeq -\frac{1}{1316}$. The derivative at 2 is positive and larger in modulus, namely $f'(2) = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \ln 2) \simeq \frac{1}{13}$.

(b) The case of complex hyperplane sections of $l_n^n(\mathbb{C})$ is considered in [8].

Theorem 1.2 Let 1 < q < 2 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $\frac{4}{3} < q < 2$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{P_{n,q}(a^{(n)})}{P_{n,q}(a^{(2)})} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \sqrt{2^{\frac{2}{q}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right)} < 1.$$

We have the following quantitative estimate: $P_{n,q}(a^{(n)}) < P_{n,q}(a^{(2)})$ holds if

$$n > \frac{\frac{32}{15}}{q - \frac{4}{3}} + \frac{\frac{24}{5}}{2 - q}.$$

64 Page 4 of 26 H. König

Remark. For the derivative of $g(q) := \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \sqrt{2^{\frac{2}{q}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right)}$ we have $g'(\frac{4}{3}) = \frac{9}{32} (4 - \pi - 2 \ln 2) \simeq -\frac{1}{6.73}$ and $g'(2) = \frac{1}{4} (1 - \ln 2) \simeq \frac{1}{13}$.

The limits in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 were already determined by Oleszkiewicz [14] and Barthe, Naor [3]. Meyer and Pajor [13] showed that $A_{n,p}(a)$ is monotone increasing in p for any fixed n and a. Barthe and Naor proved that $P_{n,q}(a)$ is monotone increasing in q for any fixed n and a.

2 Formulas

Eskenazis, Nayar and Tkocz [5], Proposition 6, proved the following formula for the normalized volume of hyperplane sections.

Proposition 2.1 Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a = (a_j)_{j=1}^n \in S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$A_{n,p}(a) = \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\xi,R} \frac{1}{||\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j R_j \xi_j||_2}$$
 (2.1)

where $(\xi_j)_{j=1}^n$ are i.i.d. random vectors uniformly distributed on the sphere $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $(R_j)_{j=1}^n$ are i.i.d. random variables with density $c_p^{-1}t^p \exp(-t^p)$ on $[0, \infty)$, $c_p := \frac{1}{p}\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)$, independent of the $(\xi_j)_{j=1}^n$.

For $p = \infty$ with $R_j = 1$ one has $A_{n,\infty}(a) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \frac{1}{\|\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \xi_j\|_2}$, see König, Koldobsky [11]. We will use another formula for $A_{n,p}(a)$ derived from (2.1).

Proposition 2.2 Let $1 \le p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a = (a_j)_{j=1}^n \in S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$A_{n,p}(a) = \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \prod_{j=1}^n \gamma_p(a_j s) \, ds \,,$$

$$\gamma_p(s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)} \int_0^\infty \cos(sr) \exp(-r^p) \, dr \,. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof Define $sinc(x) := \frac{\sin x}{x}$ for $x \neq 0$, sinc(0) := 1. Let t > 0, $e \in S^2$ be fixed and m denote the normalized Haar surface measure on S^2 . Then

$$\operatorname{sinc}(t) = \int_{S^2} \exp(it\langle e, u \rangle) \ dm(u). \tag{2.3}$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . This implies for $(b_j)_{j=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{sinc}(b_{j}s) = \int_{(S^{2})^{n}} \exp\left(is\left\langle e, \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}u_{j}\right\rangle\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} dm(u_{j})$$

$$= \int_{(S^{2})^{n}} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}u_{j}\right\|_{2} s\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} dm(u_{j}) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}\xi_{j}\right\|_{2} s\right),$$
(2.4)

where the second equality follows from (2.3) by integration over dm(e). Note that the first equality holds for all $e \in S^2$.

For all t > 0 we have $\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \operatorname{sinc}(ts) ds = \frac{1}{t}$ and (2.1) may be rewritten

$$A_{n,p}(a) = \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{\xi,R} \int_0^\infty \operatorname{sinc}\left(\left\|\sum_{j=1}^n a_j R_j \xi_j\right\|_2 s\right) ds$$
$$= \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}_{\xi,R} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\left\|\sum_{j=1}^n a_j R_j \xi_j\right\|_2 s\right) ds.$$

The sinc-integral is only a conditionally convergent Riemann integral. The verification that $\mathbb{E}_{\xi,R}$ and \int_0^∞ may be interchanged is the same as in the proof of Proposition 3.2 (a) of König, Rudelson [12]. Using (2.4) and the independence of the $(R_j)_{j=1}^n$, we get

$$A_{n,p}(a) = \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}_R\left(\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{sinc}(a_j R_j s)\right) ds$$
$$= \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{R_j} \operatorname{sinc}(a_j R_j s) ds.$$

Denoting $\gamma_p(s) := \mathbb{E}_{R_1} \operatorname{sinc}(R_1 s)$, integration by parts gives

$$\begin{split} \gamma_p(s) &= c_p^{-1} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{sinc}(sr) r^p \exp(-r^p) dr \\ &= c_p^{-1} \frac{1}{p} \int_0^\infty \cos(sr) \exp(-r^p) dr = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)} \int_0^\infty \cos(sr) \exp(-r^p) dr \;. \end{split}$$

Equation (2.1) yields $A_{n,p}(a^{(2)}) = 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}$, see [5, Section 3.2].

Remarks. (a) Proposition 2.2 is also found in Koldobsky [9, Theorem 3.2], with a different proof.

(b) For $1 \le p \le 2$ the γ_p are just the densities of the (positive) p-stable random variables. In the case interesting for us, namely $2 , the functions <math>\gamma_p$ take

Birkhäuser

64 Page 6 of 26 H. König

positive and negative values. For $p \notin 2\mathbb{N}$, γ_p has only finitely many real zeros, see Pólya [15], whereas for $p \in 2\mathbb{N}$, γ_p has infinitely many real zeros, see Boyd [4].

Barthe and Naor [3] proved the following formula for the volume of the orthogonal projection of B_q^n onto hyperplanes.

Proposition 2.3 Let $1 \le q < \infty$, $p := \frac{q}{q-1}$ be the conjugate index, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a = (a_j)_{j=1}^n \in S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$P_{n,q}(a) = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j X_j\right|$$
 (2.5)

where the X_j are i.i.d. symmetric random variables with density function $d_q^{-1}|t|^{p-2}\exp(-|t|^p)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $d_q = \frac{2}{p}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)$. A second formula for $P_{n,q}(a)$ is

$$P_{n,q}(a) = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \prod_{j=1}^n \delta_q(a_j s)}{s^2} ds ,$$

$$\delta_q(s) := \frac{p}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \int_0^\infty \cos(sr) \, r^{p-2} \exp(-r^p) dr . \tag{2.6}$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}|X_1|=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}$. To deduce (2.6) from (2.5), apply the usual formula $|x|=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1-Re(\exp(ixs))}{s^2}ds$ to find

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} X_{j}\right| = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 - \mathbb{E} \exp(i(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} X_{j})s)}{s^{2}} ds = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \exp(ia_{j} X_{j}s))}{s^{2}} ds$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \cos(a_{j} X_{j}s))}{s^{2}} ds = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{q}(a_{j}s)}{s^{2}} ds ,$$

$$\delta_{q}(s) = \frac{p}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos(sr) r^{p-2} \exp(-r^{p}) dr .$$

Differentiation and integration by parts yields a relation between the functions δ_q and γ_p in (2.6) and (2.2):

$$\begin{split} \delta_q'(s) &= -\frac{p}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \int_0^\infty \sin(sr) \ r^{p-1} \exp(-r^p) dr \\ &= -\frac{s}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \int_0^\infty \cos(sr) \exp(-r^p) dr = -\frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \ s \ \gamma_p(s) \ . \end{split} \tag{2.7}$$

Since $\gamma_4''(s) = -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}{4\Gamma(\frac{5}{2})} \delta_{\frac{3}{4}}(s)$, we have $\gamma_4'''(s) = \frac{1}{4} s \gamma_4(s)$. Similarly, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_{2k}^{(2k-1)}(s) = (-1)^k \frac{1}{2k} s \gamma_{2k}(s)$. Therefore the functions γ_{2k} studied by Boyd [4] satisfy a linear differential equation.

For $q \setminus 1$, the variables X_i tend to the Rademacher variables with $\delta_q(s) \rightarrow$ $\delta_1(s) = \cos(s)$, and the best constants in the Khintchine inequality, which were determined by Szarek [16], yield the extrema of $P_{n,1}$: $a^{(2)}$ for the minimum and $a^{(1)}$ for the maximum.

3 Prerequisites for the proof of Theorem 1.1

For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we need two lemmas on Γ -functions.

Lemma 3.1 (a) Let
$$f(p) := \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{3}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)}$$
. Then $f(p) \ge 0.9429$ for all $3 \le p < \infty$.

(b) Let $g(p) := \left(\frac{3}{\pi} \frac{2^{\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{e}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then there is exactly one solution $p_0 \in (2, \infty)$ of g(p) = 1, $p_0 \approx 26.265$. For all 2 we have <math>g(p) > 1. The function g' has exactly one zero $p_1 \in [2, \infty)$, $p_1 \simeq 4.192$. For $2 \le p < p_1$, g is strictly increasing, for $p_1 , g is strictly decreasing. The following lower$ estimates hold:

$$g(p) \ge 1 + \frac{p_0 - p}{1317} \ p \in [5, p_0], \ g(p)$$

> $\frac{25}{24} \ p \in [4, 5], \ g(p) \ge 1 + \frac{p - 2}{44} \ p \in [2, 4].$

Proof (a) In terms of the Digamma function $\Psi := (\ln \Gamma)'$ we have

$$f'(p) = \frac{f(p)}{p^2} \left(\Psi\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) - 3\Psi\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right) \right).$$

For F(p) := $\Psi\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) - 3\Psi\left(1+\frac{3}{p}\right)$ one has F'(p) $\frac{1}{p^2} \left(9\Psi' \left(1 + \frac{3}{p} \right) - \Psi' \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \right)$. By Abramowitz, Stegun [1, 6.3.16 and 6.4.10] for all x > 0

$$\Psi(1+x) = -\gamma + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{n(n+x)} , \ \Psi'(1+x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^2}$$
 (3.1)

where $\gamma \simeq 0.5772$ denotes the Euler–Mascheroni constant. Therefore Ψ' is decreasing, and we conclude for all $0 \le x \le 1$ that $\frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1 = \Psi'(2) \le \Psi'(1+x) \le 1$ $\Psi'(1) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$. Hence $F'(p) \ge \frac{1}{p^2} \left(\frac{4\pi^2}{3} - 9 \right) > 0$ for all $p \ge 3$. Thus F is increasing. Since $F(9) \simeq -0.012$, $F(10) \simeq 0.084$, F has exactly one zero $p_1 \in (3, \infty)$, **64** Page 8 of 26 H. König

 $p_1 \simeq 9.115$. Hence f is decreasing in $(3, p_1)$ and increasing in (p_1, ∞) . For all $p \ge 3$, $f(p) \ge f(p_1) > 0.9429$.

(b) Let
$$h(p) := \frac{2^{\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)}$$
. Then

$$h'(p) = \frac{h(p)}{p^2} \left(3\Psi \left(1 + \frac{3}{p} \right) - 3\Psi \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) - 2\ln 2 \right).$$

By (3.1) and the geometric series we find for p > 3

$$(\ln h)'(p) = \frac{h'(p)}{h(p)} = \frac{1}{p^2} \left(3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\frac{3}{p}}{n(n+\frac{3}{p})} - \frac{\frac{1}{p}}{n(n+\frac{1}{p})} \right) - 2 \ln 2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p^2} \left(3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^{k+2}} \frac{3^{k+1} - 1}{p^{k+1}} - 2 \ln 2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p^2} \left(3 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \zeta(k+2) \frac{3^{k+1} - 1}{p^{k+1}} - 2 \ln 2 \right).$$

The sum is an alternating series with decreasing coefficients. We find that

$$(\ln h)'(p) \le -\frac{2\ln 2}{p^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{p^3} - \frac{24\zeta(3)}{p^4} + \frac{13}{15}\frac{\pi^4}{p^5} - \frac{240\zeta(5)}{p^6} + \frac{242}{315}\frac{\pi^6}{p^7} < 0$$
(3.2)

holds for all $5 \le p < \infty$. Therefore $\ln h$, h and $g(p) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}}h(p)$ are strictly decreasing in $[5,\infty)$. We have $\lim_{p\to\infty}g(p)=\sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}}<1$, $g(26)\simeq 1.00020$, $g(27)\simeq 0.99945$: There is exactly one $p_0\in[5,\infty)$ with $g(p_0)=1$, $p_0\simeq 26.265$, and for $5\le p< p_0$ we have g(p)>1. Inequality (3.2) yields for $5\le p\le p_0$ that $(\ln h)'(p)\le -\frac{1.04768}{p^2}$. Hence for these p

$$g'(p) = g(p)(\ln g)'(p) = \frac{1}{2}g(p)(\ln h)'(p) \le -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1.04768}{p^2} \le -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1.04768}{p_0^2} < -\frac{1}{1317}.$$

This implies $g(p) \ge 1 + \frac{1}{1317}(p_0 - p)$ for all $5 \le p \le p_0$. To show g(p) > 1 also for 2 , note that <math>g(2) = 1 and

$$g'(p) = \frac{1}{2}g(p)(\ln h)'(p) = \frac{3}{2}\frac{g(p)}{p^2}\left(\Psi\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right) - \Psi\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\ln 2\right).$$

Again by (3.1)

$$\Psi\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right) - \Psi\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\frac{3}{p}}{n(n + \frac{3}{p})} - \frac{\frac{1}{p}}{n(n + \frac{1}{p})}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2p}{(np+1)(np+3)}.$$

All summands are decreasing in p. Thus $k(p) := \Psi(1 + \frac{3}{p}) - \Psi(1 + \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{2}{3} \ln 2$ is strictly decreasing in p, with $k(4) = \pi - \frac{8}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \ln 2 \simeq 0.0128 > 0$ and $k(5) \simeq$ -0.0470 < 0. Thus g' has exactly one zero $p_1 \in (2, \infty)$, $p_1 \simeq 4.193$, and g is strictly increasing in $(2, p_1)$ and strictly decreasing in (p_1, ∞) . We know already that g(5) > 1 and hence g(p) > 1 for all $2 . For <math>p \in [4, 5], g(p) \ge$ $\min(g(4), g(5)) = g(5) > \frac{25}{24}$. Further

$$\left(\frac{g(p)}{p^2}\right)' = \frac{3}{2} \frac{g(p)}{p^4} \left(k(p) - \frac{4}{3}p\right) < 0$$

since $k(p) - \frac{4}{3}p \le k(2) - \frac{8}{3} = -\left(2 + \frac{2}{3}\ln(2)\right) < 0$. Therefore $\frac{g(p)}{n^2}$ and k(p) are both strictly decreasing and positive for $p \in [2, p_1)$, and with $g'(p) = \frac{g(p)}{p^2}k(p)$, g' is decreasing and hence g is concave in $[2, p_1]$. Therefore for $2 \le p \le 4$

$$g(p) \ge 1 + \frac{g(4) - 1}{2}(p - 2) > 1 + \frac{p - 2}{44}$$

which proves all lower estimates stated in Lemma 3.1.

For $p \to \infty$, the functions γ_p in (2.2) tend to γ_∞ , $\gamma_\infty(s) = \text{sinc}(s)$. We estimate their difference for p > 2.

Lemma 3.2 *Let* $2 \le p < \infty$. *Then for all* s > 0

$$\left|\operatorname{sinc}(s) - \int_0^\infty \cos(sr) \exp(-r^p) dr\right| \le 0.3926.$$

This implies $\gamma_p(s) > 0$ for all $0 \le s \le \frac{2}{3}\pi$.

Proof We have $\int_0^\infty \exp(-s^p) ds = \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) < 1$. Since $\operatorname{sinc}(s) = \int_0^1 \cos(sr) dr$, we find

$$\begin{vmatrix} \operatorname{sinc}(s) - \int_0^\infty \cos(sr) \exp(-r^p) dr \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \left| \int_0^1 \cos(sr) (1 - \exp(-r^p)) dr - \int_1^\infty \cos(sr) \exp(-r^p) dr \right|$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) + 2 \int_1^\infty \exp(-r^p) dr$$

$$= \left(1 - \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) + \frac{2}{p} \int_1^\infty u^{\frac{1}{p} - 1} \exp(-u) du =: \phi(p) .$$

64 Page 10 of 26 H. König

Then $\phi' < 0$, since for $p \ge 2$

$$\phi'(p) = -\frac{1}{p^2} \left(2 \int_1^\infty u^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \left(1 + \frac{\ln(u)}{p} \right) \exp(-u) du - \Gamma'\left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \right).$$

Since $\Gamma(x)$ has a (positive) minimum at $\tilde{x}\simeq 1.4616$, $\Gamma'(1+\frac{1}{\tilde{p}})=0$ for $\tilde{p}\simeq 2.1662$. For $p>\tilde{p}$, $\Gamma'(1+\frac{1}{p})<0$ and for $2\leq p<\tilde{p},0\leq \Gamma'(1+\frac{1}{p})<\frac{3}{100}$. The last inequality holds since Γ is log-convex and positive in (1,2), thus convex, and for $2\leq p<\tilde{p},$ $\Gamma'(1+\frac{1}{p})$ is decreasing, with $\Gamma'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)=\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Psi\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi}(1-\ln 2-\frac{\gamma}{2})<\frac{3}{100}$, see Abramowitz, Stegun [1, 6.3.4]. Therefore for all $p\geq 2$

$$\phi'(p) \le -\frac{1}{p^2} \left(2 \int_1^\infty u^{-1} \exp(-u) du - \frac{3}{100} \right) < -\frac{2}{5} \frac{1}{p^2} < 0$$

using that $\int_1^\infty u^{-1} \exp(-u) du \simeq 0.219$. We conclude $\phi(p) \leq \phi(2) < 0.3926$. This yields for all $0 \leq s \leq \frac{2}{3}\pi$ and $p \geq 2$

$$\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)\gamma_p(s) = \int_0^\infty \cos(sr) \exp(-r^p) dr \ge \operatorname{sinc}(s)$$
$$-0.3926 \ge \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} - 0.3926 > \frac{1}{50} > 0.$$

Remark. The derivative of $p\phi(p)$ is increasing with

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} (p\phi(p))' = \gamma + 2 \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{u} \exp(-u) du \le 1.016.$$

Thus for all $p \ge 1$, $\left| \operatorname{sinc}(s) - \int_0^\infty \cos(sr) \exp(-r^p) dr \right| \le \frac{1.016}{p}$. Actually, $\gamma_p(s) > 0$ for all $p \ge 1$ and $s \in [0, \pi]$. However, we do not need this.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

(i) To estimate $A_{n,p}(a^{(n)})$ from below, we first find a lower bound for $\gamma_p\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ for all $s \leq \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{n}$. By the series representation for $\cos x$ we have for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{3}{2}$, by pairing up terms in the alternating series,

$$\cos x - \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{26}\right) = \frac{x^4}{312} - \frac{x^6}{720} + \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{x^{2m}}{(2m)!} > 0$$

Birkhäuser

 $1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{26} > 0$. Therefore for $s \le \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{n}$

$$\gamma_{p}\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \ge \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)} \left[\int_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}} \left(1 - \frac{s^{2}r^{2}}{2n} + \frac{s^{4}r^{4}}{26n^{2}}\right) \exp(-r^{p}) dr + \int_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}}^{\infty} \cos\left(\frac{sr}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \exp(-r^{p}) dr \right].$$

To estimate this from below, write $\int_0^{\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}} = \int_0^\infty - \int_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}}^\infty$ and use that $|\cos(x)| \le 1$,

$$\gamma_{p}\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1-\frac{s^{2}r^{2}}{2n} + \frac{s^{4}r^{4}}{26n^{2}}\right) \exp(-r^{p}) dr - R \right] \\
= \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)} \left[\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) - \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{3}{p}\right)s^{2}}{6n} + \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{5}{p}\right)s^{4}}{130n^{2}} - R \right], \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$R := \int_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}}^{\infty} \left(2 - \frac{s^2 r^2}{2n} + \frac{s^4 r^4}{26n^2}\right) \exp(-r^p) dr$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}\right)^p}^{\infty} \left(2u^{\frac{1}{p}-1} - \frac{s^2}{2n}u^{\frac{3}{p}-1} + \frac{s^4}{26n^2}u^{\frac{5}{p}-1}\right) \exp(-u) du \; ; \; u \ge 1 \; . \quad (4.2)$$

(ii) We first consider the case $p \ge 5$. Since $u \ge 1$ in the above integral, $u^{\frac{5}{p}-1} \le 1$, $2u^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \le 2\left(\frac{2}{3}\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{p-1}$ and $\int_{\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}\right)^p} \exp(-u)du = \exp\left(-\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}\right)^p\right)$. The remainder

term R will be smaller than the fourth order term $\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{5}{p})s^4}{130n^2}$ provided that

$$\frac{1}{p\Gamma\left(1+\frac{5}{p}\right)}\left(2\left(\frac{2}{3}\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{p-1}+\frac{s^4}{26n^2}\right)\exp\left(-\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}\right)^p\right)<\frac{s^4}{130n^2}.$$

For $s \le \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{n}$ the left side is decreasing in p, and therefore this condition is the strongest for p = 5. Writing $y := \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$, it means

$$\frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{32}{81} y^4 + \frac{1}{26} y^4 \right) \exp \left(-\left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{y} \right)^5 \right) < \frac{1}{130} y^4$$

64 Page 12 of 26 H. König

or
$$\frac{913}{81} < \exp\left(\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{y}\right)^{5}\right)$$
, $y < \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{913}{81}\right)^{\frac{1}{5}}} \simeq 1.2567$. Choosing $s \leq \frac{7}{6}\sqrt{n}$, $R \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{5}{p}\right)s^{4}}{130n^{2}}$ is satisfied and therefore

$$\gamma_p\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \ge 1 - c\frac{s^2}{n}, \quad c := \frac{1}{6} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}.$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.1 (a), $\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{3}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)}$ is decreasing for $5 \le p \le p_1 \simeq 9.115$ and increasing for $p > p_1$. Its value at p_0 is less than the one at 5, so that $c \le 0.1622 < \frac{8}{49}$, for its value at p = 5. Then for $s \le \frac{7}{6}\sqrt{n}$, $x := c\frac{s^2}{n} \le \frac{8}{49}\frac{49}{36} = \frac{2}{9}$. We have

$$\ln(1-x) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{j} \ge -x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k = -x - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{1-x} \ge -x - \frac{9}{14}x^2$$

and hence

$$\gamma_p\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n \ge \left(1-c\frac{s^2}{n}\right)^n \ge \exp\left(-cs^2 - \frac{9}{14}c^2\frac{s^4}{n}\right) \ge \exp(-cs^2)\left(1-\frac{9}{14}c^2\frac{s^4}{n}\right).$$

By Lemma 3.1 (a), $\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{3}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)} \ge 0.9429$ and hence $c \ge 0.1571$ and for $s \le \frac{7}{6}\sqrt{n}$

$$\begin{split} & \int_0^{\frac{7}{6}\sqrt{n}} \gamma_p \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n \, ds \geq \int_0^{\frac{7}{6}\sqrt{n}} \exp(-cs^2) \left(1 - \frac{9}{14}c^2 \frac{s^4}{n}\right) \, ds \\ & = \int_0^\infty \exp(-cs^2) \left(1 - \frac{9}{14}c^2 \frac{s^4}{n}\right) \, ds - \int_{\frac{7}{6}\sqrt{n}}^\infty \exp(-cs^2) \left(1 - \frac{9}{14}c^2 \frac{s^4}{n}\right) \, ds \end{split}$$

For $s \ge \frac{7}{6}\sqrt{n}$ and $c \ge 0.1571$ we have $1 - \frac{9}{14}c^2\frac{s^4}{n} < 0$ for all $n \ge 35$. Actually, evaluating the last integral in terms of the error function shows that the integral is already negative for $n \ge 24$. Thus for $n \ge 24$

$$\int_{0}^{\frac{7}{6}\sqrt{n}} \gamma_{p} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{n} ds \ge \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-cs^{2}) \left(1 - \frac{9}{14}c^{2}\frac{s^{4}}{n}\right) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}} \left(1 - \frac{27}{56}\frac{1}{n}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)}} \left(1 - \frac{27}{56}\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

where we used that $\int_0^\infty \exp(-cs^2)ds = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}}$ and $\int_0^\infty \exp(-cs^2)c^2s^4ds = \frac{3}{8}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}}$. By Lemma 3.2 we have $\gamma_p(s) > 0$ for all $0 \le s \le 2$. Hence

$$0 < \sqrt{n} \int_{\frac{7}{6}}^{2} \gamma_{p}(s)^{n} du = \int_{\frac{7}{6}\sqrt{n}}^{2\sqrt{n}} \gamma_{p} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{n} ds.$$

By the proof of Proposition 2.2

$$|\gamma_p(s)| = \left| \frac{p}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)} \int_0^\infty \operatorname{sinc}(sr) r^p \exp(-r^p) dr \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{s\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)} \int_0^\infty \sin(sr) \ pr^{p-1} \exp(-r^p) dr \right|, \ |\sin(sr)| \le 1$$

$$\le \frac{1}{s\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)} \int_0^\infty \exp(-u) du = \frac{1}{s\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{p})}.$$

This yields the tail estimate for $p \ge 5$

$$\int_{2\sqrt{n}}^{\infty} \left| \gamma_p \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right|^n ds = \sqrt{n} \int_2^{\infty} \left| \gamma_p(s) \right|^n ds \le \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)^n} \int_2^{\infty} s^{-n} ds$$
$$= \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{n - 1} \left(\frac{1}{2\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)} \right)^n < \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{n - 1} 0.5446^n.$$

We conclude for $p \ge 5$ and $n \ge 24$ that

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma_{p} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{n} ds &\geq \int_{0}^{\frac{7}{6}\sqrt{n}} \gamma_{p} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{n} ds - \int_{2\sqrt{n}}^{\infty} \left| \gamma_{p} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right|^{n} ds \\ &\geq \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)}} \left(1 - \frac{27}{56} \frac{1}{n} \right) - \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{n - 1} 0.5446^{n} \\ &\geq \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)}} \left(1 - \frac{27}{56} \frac{1}{n} - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n - 1} 0.5446^{n} \right) \,, \end{split}$$

64 Page 14 of 26 H. König

using $\sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{3}{p}\right)}} > 2$. For $n \ge 24$, $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n-1}0.5446^n < \frac{10^{-5}}{n}$ and $\frac{27}{56} + 10^{-5} < \frac{193}{400}$, so that

$$A_{n,p}(a^{(n)}) = \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \gamma_p \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n ds$$
$$\geq \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}} \left(1 - \frac{193}{400} \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

This is $> A_{n,p}(a^{(2)}) = 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}$, provided that

$$\frac{A_{n,p}(a^{(n)})}{A_{n,p}(a^{(2)})} \ge \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2^{\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)}} \left(1 - \frac{193}{400} \frac{1}{n}\right) > 1.$$

By Lemma 3.1 (b), the quotient $g(p) := \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2^{\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)}}$ is > 1 for all $2 , with <math>g(p) \ge 1 + \frac{1}{1317}(p_0 - p)$ for all $5 \le p \le p_0$. We find for $p \ge 5$ and $p \ge 24$,

$$\frac{A_{n,p}(a^{(n)})}{A_{n,p}(a^{(2)})} \ge \left(1 + \frac{1}{1317}(p_0 - p)\right) \left(1 - \frac{193}{400} \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

This is > 1 provided that $5 \le p \le p_0$ and $n \ge \frac{650}{p_0 - p}$; $n \ge 24$ being automatically satisfied.

(iii) Secondly we consider the case 2 . To estimate the remainder <math>R in (4.2), we use that in this case $u^{\frac{5}{p}-1} \le u^{\frac{3}{2}}$. For x > 0

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} u^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp(-u) \ du \le \left(\left(\int_{x}^{\infty} u \exp(-u) \ du \right) \left(\int_{x}^{\infty} u^{2} \exp(-u) \ du \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= \left((1+x)(2+2x+x^{2}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-x) \ ,$$

Since the function $\phi(x) := \frac{(1+x)(2+2x+x^2)}{x^4} = \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \left(2 + \frac{2}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\right)$ is decreasing in x with $\phi\left(\frac{9}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{5\sqrt{110}}{81}\right)^2 < \left(\frac{13}{20}\right)^2$, we conclude that $\int_x^\infty u^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp(-u) \ du \le \frac{13}{20}x^2 \exp(-x)$ for all $x \ge \frac{9}{2}$. Now choose $s \le \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}$ for $2 \le p \le 5$. Then $x := \frac{13}{20}x^2 \exp(-x)$

$$\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}\right)^p \ge \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^p \ge \frac{9}{2}$$
 and

$$R \le \frac{1}{p} \left(2 \left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{p-1} + \frac{s^4}{26n^2} \frac{13}{20} \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{s} \right)^{2p} \right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{s} \right)^p \right).$$

Again we want this to be smaller than the fourth order term $\Gamma(1+\frac{5}{p})\frac{s^4}{130n^2}$, a condition which is strongest for p=2. We then require for $y:=\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{4}{3}y + \frac{81}{640}\right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{y}\right)^2\right) < \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)\frac{y^4}{130}.$$

This is equivalent to $g(y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(26\frac{16}{9}\frac{1}{y^3} + 13\frac{27}{80}\frac{1}{y^4} \right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{y}\right)^2 \right) < 1$. The function g is increasing and positive in y > 0, with g(0.7161) < 1. Hence the condition is satisfied for all $0 < y \le 0.7161$, and in particular for our choice $y = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Therefore for $s \le \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}$, as in part (ii),

$$\gamma_p\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \ge 1 - c\frac{s^2}{n}, \quad c := \frac{1}{6} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}.$$

We have $c \le \frac{1}{4}$ for $2 \le p \le 5$ and $x := c \frac{s^2}{n} \le \frac{1}{8}$. Similarly as in (ii), $\ln(1-x) \ge -x - \frac{x^2}{1-x} \ge -x - \frac{4}{7}x^2$ and

$$\gamma_p\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \ge \left(1 - c\frac{s^2}{n}\right)^n = \exp\left(n\ln\left(1 - c\frac{s^2}{n}\right)\right)$$
$$\ge \exp\left(-cs^2 - \frac{4}{7}c^2\frac{s^4}{n}\right) \ge \exp(-cs^2)\left(1 - \frac{4}{7}c^2\frac{s^4}{n}\right)$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}} \gamma_{p} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{n} ds \ge \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp(-cs^{2}) \left(1 - \frac{4}{7}c^{2}\frac{s^{4}}{n}\right) ds$$

$$\ge \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-cs^{2}) \left(1 - \frac{4}{7}c^{2}\frac{s^{4}}{n}\right) ds - \int_{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}}^{\infty} \exp(-cs^{2}) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{7}\frac{1}{n}\right) - \int_{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}}^{\infty} \exp(-cs^{2}) ds.$$

64 Page 16 of 26 H. König

To estimate the error term, note that $c \ge .16219$, its approximate value for p = 5, and

$$\int_{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}}^{\infty} \exp(-cs^2) ds = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{c}} \int_{\frac{c}{2}n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} \exp(-u) du$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{c\sqrt{2n}} \int_{\frac{c}{2}n}^{\infty} \exp(-u) du = \frac{1}{c\sqrt{2n}} \exp(-\frac{c}{2}n) \leq \frac{4.36}{\sqrt{n}} \ 0.9222^n \ .$$

Again $\int_{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}}^{2\sqrt{n}} \gamma_p \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n ds \ge 0$, since by Lemma 3.2 $\gamma_p(x) > 0$ for all $0 \le x \le 2$ and, as in (ii), $\int_{2\sqrt{n}}^{\infty} \left|\gamma_p\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right|^n ds \le \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{n-1} 0.5446^n$, so that for $2 \le p \le 5$

$$\int_0^\infty \gamma_p \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n ds \ge \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{7} \frac{1}{n}\right) - \left(\frac{4.36}{\sqrt{n}} \ 0.9222^n + \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{n-1} \ 0.5446^n\right).$$

Since $c \le \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}} \ge \sqrt{\pi}$. Further for $n \ge 33$

$$\frac{3}{7} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(4.36\sqrt{n} \ 0.9222^n + \frac{2n\sqrt{n}}{n-1} \ 0.5446^n \right) \le 1.405$$

so that $\int_0^\infty \gamma_p \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n ds \ge \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}} \left(1 - \frac{1.405}{n}\right)$. For $p \in [2, 4]$, using Lemma 3.1 (b),

$$\frac{A_{n,p}(a^{(n)})}{A_{n,p}(a^{(2)})} \ge \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2^{\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{3}}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right)}} \left(1 - \frac{1.405}{n}\right)$$
$$\ge \left(1 + \frac{p-2}{44}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1.405}{n}\right).$$

For $n \geq \frac{65}{p-2}$ this is > 1, with $n \geq 33$ being automatically satisfied. For $p \in [4, 5]$, again by Lemma 3.1 (b), $\sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2^{\frac{2}{p}} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{3}{p}\right)}} > \frac{25}{24}$ and $\frac{A_{n,p}(a^{(n)})}{A_{n,p}(a^{(2)})} > 1$ is satisfied for $n \geq \frac{65}{p-2}$, too.

5 Prerequisites for the proof of Theorem 1.2

We need two lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 5.1 (a) For
$$q \in [1, 2]$$
, let $f(q) := \frac{\Gamma\left(2-\frac{1}{q}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}$. Then f is decreasing, with $f(1) = 1$, $f(2) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $f\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \leq 0.7397$.

(b) For $q \in [1, 2]$, let $g(q) := \sqrt{\frac{2^{\frac{2}{q}}}{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right)$. Then g' has exactly one zero in $q_1 \in (1, 2)$, $q_1 \simeq 1.612$, and g is strictly decreasing in $[1, q_1)$ and strictly increasing in $(q_1, 2]$, with $g\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) = g(2) = 1$. For $q \in \left(\frac{4}{3}, 2\right)$, we have

$$g(q) \le 1 - M\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{q}\right), \quad M = 0.86326.$$

Proof (a) Differentiation gives $f'(q) = \frac{f(q)}{q^2} \left(\Psi\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) + \Psi\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \right)$. Since Γ is logarithmic convex, Ψ is increasing. Hence $\Psi\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \leq \Psi(1) = -\gamma$ and $\Psi\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \leq \Psi\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = 2\left(1 - \ln(2)\right) - \gamma$, $\Psi\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) + \Psi\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \leq -2\left(\gamma + \ln(2) - 1\right) < 0$. Therefore f is decreasing in [1, 2]. Moreover, $f\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \leq 0.7397$.

(b) For g we find $(\ln(g))'(q) = \frac{1}{2q^2} \left(\Psi\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - 2\ln(2) \right)$. The function $h(q) := \Psi\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - 2\ln(2)$ is strictly increasing, since with $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ we have, using (3.1),

$$h'(q) = \frac{1}{q^2} \left(\Psi'\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \Psi'\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \right) = \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(n + \frac{1}{p})^2} + \frac{1}{(n - \frac{1}{p})^2} \right) > 0.$$

Moreover $h(1) = -2 \ln(2) < 0$, $h(2) = 2 (1 - \ln(2)) > 0$. Thus h has exactly one zero $q_1 \in (1, 2)$, $q_1 \simeq 1.612$. We get that g is decreasing in $[1, q_1)$ and increasing in $(q_1, 2]$. We have $g(1) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} > 1$, $g(\frac{4}{3}) = g(2) = 1$ and g(q) < 1 for $q \in (\frac{4}{3}, 2)$.

For $\frac{4}{3} < q < 2$, choose $\theta \in (0, 1)$ with $\frac{1}{q} = (1 - \theta)\frac{1}{2} + \theta\frac{3}{4}$, $\theta = \frac{4}{q} - 2$, $1 - \theta = 3 - \frac{4}{q}$. Since Γ is logarithmic convex, $F := \ln \Gamma$ satisfies $F'' = \Psi' > 0$ and by Taylor's formula with second degree remainder we have for some $\eta \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$

$$F\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \leq (1-\theta)F\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \theta F\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) - \frac{\Psi'(\eta)}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Since by (3.1) Ψ' is decreasing, $\min_{\eta \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}\right]} \Psi'(\eta) = \Psi'\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)$ and

$$F\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \leq \left(3 - \frac{4}{q}\right)F\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{4}{q} - 2\right)F\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) - \frac{\Psi'\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Similarly, for $\frac{5}{4} < 2 - \frac{1}{q} < \frac{3}{2}$, choose $\theta \in (0,1)$ with $2 - \frac{1}{q} = (1 - \theta)\frac{5}{4} + \theta\frac{3}{2}$, $\theta = 3 - \frac{4}{q}$, $1 - \theta = \frac{4}{q} - 2$, such that with $\min_{\eta \in [\frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}]} \Psi'(\eta) = \Psi'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$

$$F\left(2-\frac{1}{q}\right) \leq \left(\frac{4}{q}-2\right)F\left(\frac{5}{4}\right) + \left(3-\frac{4}{q}\right)F\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - \frac{\Psi'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right).$$

64 Page 18 of 26 H. König

This yields after exponentiation with $c := \frac{\Psi'\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) + \Psi'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{4}$

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)\Gamma\left(2-\frac{1}{q}\right) \le \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\right)^{3-\frac{4}{q}} \times \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)\right)^{\frac{4}{q}-2} \exp\left(-2c\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\right).$$

Clearly $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}$, and by the complement formula for the Γ -function, see Abramowitz, Stegun [1], $\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)=\frac{\pi}{4\sin(\frac{\pi}{4})}=\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$, so that

$$g(q) = \sqrt{\frac{2^{\frac{2}{q}}}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \le \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\right) =: k(q).$$

Let $\varepsilon:=\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right)$. Then $\varepsilon\leq\frac{1}{64}$. Numerical evaluation yields $c\geq0.86917$. By Taylor expansion $k(q)\leq 1-c\varepsilon+\frac{c^2\varepsilon^2}{2}=1-c\left(1-\frac{c\varepsilon}{2}\right)\varepsilon\leq 1-d\varepsilon,\, d:=c-\frac{c^2}{128}\geq0.86326=:$ M. Therefore $g(q)\leq1-M\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right)$.

Lemma 5.2 For all $\frac{4}{3} \le q \le 2$ and $0 \le s \le \frac{16}{5}$, the functions δ_q of (2.6) satisfy $\delta_{\frac{4}{3}}(s) \le \delta_q(s) \le \delta_2(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{4}\right)$. Further $\delta_{\frac{4}{3}}\left(\frac{48}{25}\right) > 0$ and $\delta_{\frac{4}{3}}\left(\frac{16}{5}\right) > -0.588$.

Proof Let $2 \le p = \frac{q}{q-1} \le 4$ be the conjugate index of q and $s \in [\frac{48}{25}, \frac{16}{5}]$. We will show that $\frac{d}{dq}\delta_q(s) > 0$, or equivalently $\frac{d}{dp}\delta_q(s) < 0$. For m > -1 we have

$$\int_0^\infty r^m \exp(-r^p) dr = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{m+1}{p} - 1} \exp(-u) du = \frac{1}{p} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{p}\right). \tag{5.1}$$

Expanding cos(sr) into its Taylor series at zero, we find using (5.1)

$$\delta_q(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(f_{2n}(p) \frac{s^{4n}}{(4n)!} - f_{2n+1}(p) \frac{s^{4n+2}}{(4n+2)!} \right) =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(p, s)$$
 (5.2)

where $f_{2n}(p):=\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{4n-1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}, \ f_{2n+1}(p):=\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{4n+1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}.$ Since Γ is logarithmic convex, we have for x>0 and $0\leq\theta\leq 1$ that $\Gamma(x+\theta)\leq\Gamma(x)^{1-\theta}\Gamma(x+1)^{\theta}=x^{\theta}\Gamma(x).$ Let $n\geq 2, x:=1+\frac{4n-1}{p}\geq 1$ and $\theta:=\frac{2}{p}.$ We claim that $x^{\theta}=\left(1+\frac{4n-1}{p}\right)^{\theta}\leq \frac{4n+1}{p}.$ This is equivalent to $(4n+p-1)^{\frac{2}{p}}p^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\leq 4n+1.$ Applying the inequality $ab\leq \frac{a^r}{r}+\frac{b^{r'}}{r'}$ with $r:=\frac{p}{2}$ and $r'=\frac{p}{p-2},$ we get $(4n+p-1)^{\frac{2}{p}}p^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\leq \frac{2}{p}(4n+p-1)+p-2=$

 $\frac{2}{p}(4n-1)+p$ which is $\leq 4n+1$ if and only if $n\geq \frac{p+1}{4}$, which is satisfied, since $p\leq 4$ and $n\geq 2$. Therefore

$$\frac{f_{2n+1}(p)}{f_{2n}(p)} = \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{4n+1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{4n-1}{p}\right)} \le \frac{4n+1}{p}$$
(5.3)

and

$$F_n(p,s) = f_{2n}(p) \frac{s^{4n}}{(4n)!} \left(1 - \frac{f_{2n+1}(p)}{f_{2n}(p)} \frac{s^2}{(4n+1)(4n+2)} \right)$$

$$\geq f_{2n}(p) \frac{s^{4n}}{(4n)!} \left(1 - \frac{s^2}{2p(2n+1)} \right) > 0$$

for $n \ge 2$ and $s \le \frac{16}{5} < \sqrt{20}$. Hence for all $m \ge 1$, $\delta_q(s) \ge \sum_{n=0}^m F_n(p,s)$. In particular, for $q = \frac{4}{3}$, we find by numerical evaluation $\delta_{\frac{4}{3}}\left(\frac{48}{25}\right) > 0.0026 > 0$, choosing m = 2, and $\delta_{\frac{4}{3}}\left(\frac{16}{5}\right) > -0.588$, choosing m = 4. Formula (5.2) implies

$$\frac{d}{dp}\delta_{q}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dp} F_{n}(p,s) =: -\frac{1}{p^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_{n}(p,s)
=: -\frac{1}{p^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(f_{2n}(p) g_{2n}(p) \frac{s^{4n}}{(4n)!} - f_{2n+1}(p) g_{2n+1}(p) \frac{s^{4n+2}}{(4n+2)!} \right), \tag{5.4}$$

where in terms of the Digamma function Ψ , $g_{2n}(p) = (4n-1)\Psi\left(1+\frac{4n-1}{p}\right) + \Psi\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)$, $g_{2n+1}(p) = (4n+1)\Psi\left(1+\frac{4n+1}{p}\right) + \Psi\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)$. By Abramowitz, Stegun [1], 6.3, Ψ' is positive, decreasing and $\Psi'(1+x) \leq \frac{1}{x+\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \Psi\left(1 + \frac{4n+1}{p}\right) &\leq \Psi\left(1 + \frac{4n-1}{p}\right) + \frac{2}{p}\Psi'\left(1 + \frac{4n-1}{p}\right) \\ &\leq \Psi\left(1 + \frac{4n-1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{2n}(4n+1)\Psi\left(1 + \frac{4n+1}{p}\right) \\ &\leq (4n-1)\Psi\left(1 + \frac{4n-1}{p}\right) + 2\Psi\left(1 + \frac{4n-1}{p}\right) + \frac{4n+1}{2n} \end{split}$$

so that

$$\frac{g_{2n+1}(p)}{g_{2n}(p)} \le 1 + \frac{2\Psi\left(1 + \frac{4n-1}{p}\right) + 2 + \frac{1}{2n}}{g_{2n}(p)} = 1 + \frac{2}{4n-1} + C_n(p) \tag{5.5}$$

64 Page 20 of 26 H. König

where $C_n(p) := \frac{2 - \frac{2\Psi\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)}{4n - 1} + \frac{1}{2n}}{\frac{g_{2n}(p)}{2n}}$. The function $k(x) := \Psi(1 + x) - \ln(1 + x)$ is increasing, since using (3.1) we find

$$k'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^2} - \frac{1}{1+x} > \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{(y+x)^2} - \frac{1}{1+x} = 0.$$

Further, by Abramowitz, Stegun [1, 6.3.18], $\lim_{x\to\infty} \Psi(1+x) - \ln(1+x) = 0$. Therefore for any x>0, k(x)<0. For $x\geq \frac{7}{4}$, $k(x)\geq k\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)\simeq -0.193>-\frac{1}{5}$. Hence for $n\geq 2$, $\Psi\left(1+\frac{4n-1}{p}\right)\geq \ln\left(1+\frac{4n-1}{p}\right)-\frac{1}{5}$ and

$$C_n(p) \le \frac{2 - \frac{2\Psi\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)}{4n - 1} + \frac{1}{2n}}{(4n - 1)\ln\left(1 + \frac{4n - 1}{p}\right) - \frac{4n - 1}{5} + \Psi\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} =: R.$$

We have that $\Psi\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \in [-1.964, -1.085]$. The right side is maximal for p=4, with $\Psi\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \simeq -1.086$, the logarithmic term in the denominator being minimal then,

$$C_n(p) \le \frac{2 - \frac{2\Psi\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}{4n - 1} + \frac{1}{2n}}{(4n - 1)\ln\left(n + \frac{3}{4}\right) - \frac{4n - 1}{5} + \Psi\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}.$$

A tedious calculation yields that $C_n(p) \le c_n(p) := \frac{2}{3n \ln(n)}$ and $\frac{2}{4n-1} + c_n(2) \le \frac{1}{n+\frac{1}{4}}$ for all $n \ge 5$. For n = 2, 3, 4, keeping a dependence on p, we may estimate $C_n(p) \le R \le c_n(p)$, where

$$c_2(p) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{p}{10}, c_3(p) = \frac{1}{11} + \frac{p}{36}, c_4(p) = \frac{1}{18} + \frac{p}{72}.$$

Using this and Eqs. (5.5) and (5.3) we find for $n \ge 2$

$$\frac{f_{2n+1}(p)g_{2n+1}(p)}{f_{2n}(p)g_{2n}(p)} \le \frac{4n+1}{p} \left(1 + \frac{2}{4n-1} + c_n(p) \right) \le \frac{4n+1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{4n-1} + c_n(2) \right)
=: q_n \le \frac{4n+1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+\frac{1}{4}} \right) = \frac{4n+5}{2} ,$$

the last estimate for q_n holding for $n \ge 5$, whereas $q_2 \le \frac{15}{2}$, $q_3 \le \frac{25}{3}$ and $q_4 \le \frac{21}{2}$. This implies

$$G_n(p,s) \ge f_{2n}(p)g_{2n}(p)\frac{s^{4n}}{(4n)!}\left(1 - \frac{q_ns^2}{(4n+1)(4n+2)}\right) =: \tilde{G}_n(p,s) > 0$$

for all $n \ge 2$ and $s \le \frac{16}{5} < \sqrt{12}$. Hence by (5.4) for all $m \ge 1$

$$\frac{d}{dp}\delta_q(s) \le -\frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{n=0}^m G_n(p,s).$$

For m = 1, with $g_0(s) = 0$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dp}\delta_q(s) \le +\frac{s^2}{2p^2} \left[a(p) - b(p) \frac{s^2}{12} + c(p) \frac{s^4}{360} \right] =: \phi(p, s)$$

with $-0.972 \le a(p) := f_1(p)g_1(p) \le -0.954$ varying very little, $-0.255 \le b(p) := f_2(p)g_2(p) \le 0.114$, 0 < b(p) for $p \le 2.83$ and $c(p) := f_3(p)g_3(p)$ decreasing in $p \in [2, 4]$, with value 6.66 at p = 2 and 1.64 at p = 4. Therefore $360|a(p)| \ge 343.5$ and $\phi(p, s) < 0$ will be satisfied if

$$s^2 < 15 \frac{b(p)}{c(p)} + \sqrt{\left(15 \frac{b(p)}{c(p)}\right)^2 + \frac{343.5}{c(p)}}.$$

This holds for all $0 \le s \le \frac{16}{5}$, if $c(p) \le 3.275$, i.e. $p \ge 2.81$. For $0 \le p \le 2$, the right side is minimal for p = 2 and we require $s \le 2.72$. If p < 2.81 and s > 2.72 one needs two more terms, m = 3, to show $\frac{d}{dp} \delta_q(s) < 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dp}\delta_{q}(s) \leq -\frac{1}{p^{2}}\left[G_{0}(p,s) + G_{1}(p,s) + \tilde{G}_{2}(p,s) + \tilde{G}_{3}(p,s)\right] < 0.$$

Corollary 5.3 For all $\frac{4}{3} \le q \le 2$ and $\frac{48}{25} \le s \le \frac{16}{5}$, $|\delta_q(s)| \le 0.588$.

Proof By Lemma 5.2, $\delta_{\frac{4}{3}}(s) \leq \delta_{q}(s) \leq \delta_{2}(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{s^{2}}{4}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\left(\frac{24}{25}\right)^{2}\right) < \frac{2}{5}$ for all $s \in [\frac{48}{25}, \frac{16}{5}]$. By (2.7), $\delta'_{\frac{4}{3}}(s) = -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}s\gamma_{4}(s)$. According to Boyd [4], page 83, $\gamma_{4}(s) > 0$ for all $0 \leq s \leq 3.45$, the first positive zero of γ_{4} being at $s_{1} \simeq 3.4535$. Therefore $\delta_{\frac{4}{3}}$ is strictly decreasing in $[\frac{48}{25}, \frac{16}{5}]$, with $\frac{2}{5} > \delta_{\frac{4}{3}}(s) \geq \delta_{\frac{4}{3}}\left(\frac{16}{5}\right) > -0.588$ by Lemma 5.2. We conclude that

$$\max\left\{\left|\delta_q(s)\right| \mid q \in \left[\frac{4}{3}, 2\right] \mid s \in \left[\frac{48}{25}, \frac{16}{5}\right]\right\} \le 0.588.$$

Remark. For $q \searrow 1$, $\delta_q(s) \to \cos(s)$, so that $|\delta_q(\pi)| \to 1$. Corollary 5.3 does not extend to the range $1 \le q < \frac{4}{3}$.

🕲 Birkhäuser

64 Page 22 of 26 H. König

6 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Barthe and Naor [3] showed for $1 \le q < \infty$ that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{P_{n,q}(a^{(n)})}{P_{n,q}(a^{(2)})} = \sqrt{\frac{2^{\frac{2}{q}}}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right)}$$

and this is < 1 if and only if $\frac{4}{3} < q < 2$, see Lemma 5.1 (b). Now consider $\frac{4}{3} < q < 2$ and let $p = \frac{q}{q-1}$ be the conjugate index, $2 . As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we use <math>\cos(x) \ge 1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{26} > 0$ for all $0 \le x \le \frac{3}{2}$, so that by (2.6) for all $s \le \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{n}$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{q}\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) &\geq \frac{p}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}} \left(1 - \frac{s^{2}r^{2}}{2n} + \frac{s^{4}r^{4}}{26n^{2}}\right) r^{p-2} \exp(-r^{p}) dr \\ &+ \int_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}}^{\infty} \cos\left(\frac{sr}{\sqrt{n}}\right) r^{p-2} \exp(-r^{p}) dr \right) \\ &\geq \frac{p}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{s^{2}r^{2}}{2n} + \frac{s^{4}r^{4}}{26n^{2}}\right) r^{p-2} \exp(-r^{p}) dr - R\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \left(\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{s^{2}}{2n} + \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right) \frac{s^{4}}{26n^{2}} - R\right) , \\ R &:= \int_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}}^{\infty} \left(2 - \frac{s^{2}}{2n}r^{2} + \frac{s^{4}}{26n^{2}}r^{4}\right) r^{p-2} \exp(-r^{p}) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}\right)^{p}}^{\infty} \left(2u^{-\frac{1}{p}} - \frac{s^{2}}{2n}u^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{s^{4}}{26n^{2}}u^{\frac{3}{p}}\right) \exp(-u) du . \end{split}$$

Then $u^{-\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{2}{3} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $u^{\frac{3}{p}} \leq u^{\frac{3}{2}}$. As in the proof of Theorem 1 (iii), for $x \geq \frac{9}{2}$

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} u^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp(-u) du \le \left((1+x)(2+2x+x^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-x) \le \frac{13}{20} x^2 \exp(-x).$$

Choose again $s \le \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}$. Then with $x := \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}\right)^p \ge \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 = \frac{9}{2}$ and $y := \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$

$$R \le \frac{1}{p} \left(2\frac{2}{3}y + \frac{y^4}{26} \frac{13}{20} \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{y} \right)^{2p} \right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{y} \right)^p \right).$$

We want $R \leq \Gamma\left(1+\frac{3}{p}\right)\frac{y^4}{26}$, a condition which is strongest for p=2 when it means

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4}{3} y + \frac{81}{640} \right) < \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)}{26} y^4 \exp\left(\frac{9}{4} \frac{1}{y^2}\right)$$

which is the same requirement as in (iii) of the proof of Theorem 1.1, being valid for $0 \le y \le 0.7161$. Thus the choice of $s \le \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}$ is allowed and then

$$\delta_q\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \ge 1 - c\frac{s^2}{n}, \quad c := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}.$$

We have by Lemma 5.1 (a) $\frac{1}{4} \le c \le 0.3699 < \frac{37}{100}$, the lower estimate attained for p=q=2, the maximum for c attained for p=4, $q=\frac{4}{3}$. Therefore for $x:=c\frac{s^2}{n} \le \frac{37}{200}$, $\ln(1-x) \ge -x - \frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2}{1-x} \ge -x - \frac{100}{163}x^2$ and $\left(1-c\frac{s^2}{n}\right)^n \ge \exp(-cs^2)\left(1-\frac{100}{163}c^2\frac{s^4}{n}\right)$. This yields the estimate

$$\begin{split} & \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{1 - \delta_q \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n}{s^2} \, ds \leq \int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{1 - \exp(-cs^2) \left(1 - \frac{100}{163}c^2\frac{s^4}{n}\right)}{s^2} \, ds \\ & \leq \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - \exp(-cs^2)}{s^2} \, ds - \int_{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \exp(-cs^2)}{s^2} \, ds + \frac{100}{163}\frac{c^2}{n} \int_0^{\infty} s^2 \exp(-cs^2) \, ds \\ & = \sqrt{\pi c} \left(1 + \frac{25}{163}\frac{1}{n}\right) - \int_{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \exp(-cs^2)}{s^2} \, ds \; . \end{split}$$

Therefore, using (2.6),

$$P_{n,q}(a^{(n)}) = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \delta_q \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n}{s^2} ds$$

$$= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\int_0^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{1 - \delta_q \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n}{s^2} ds + \int_{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}}^\infty \frac{1 - \delta_q \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n}{s^2} ds\right)$$

$$\leq \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\sqrt{\pi c} \left(1 + \frac{25}{163} \frac{1}{n}\right) + \int_{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}}^\infty \frac{\exp(-cs^2) - \delta_q \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n}{s^2} ds\right)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(1 + \frac{25}{163} \frac{1}{n}\right) + \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} S,$$

64 Page 24 of 26 H. König

 $S := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(-cu^2)^n - \delta_q(u)^n}{u^2} du$. Since $c \ge \frac{1}{4}$ and $\delta_q(s) > 0$ for all $0 \le u \le \frac{48}{25}$ by Lemma 5.2, we find

$$S \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{4}n\right)}{u^2} du + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\frac{48}{25}}^{\infty} \frac{|\delta_q(u)|^n}{u^2} du.$$

For $n \ge 8$, $v = \frac{u^2}{4}n \ge 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{4}n\right)}{u^2} du = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\frac{n}{8}}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(-v)}{v^{\frac{3}{2}}} dv$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{8}{n}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\frac{n}{8}}^{\infty} \exp(-v) dv = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{n}{8}\right) \leq \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} 0.8825^{n}.$$

By Corollary 5.3 we have $|\delta_q(s)| \le 0.588$ for all $\frac{48}{25} \le u \le \frac{16}{5}$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\frac{48}{25}}^{\frac{16}{5}} \frac{|\delta_q(u)|^n}{u^2} du \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} 0.588^n \int_{\frac{48}{25}}^{\frac{16}{5}} \frac{du}{u^2} = \frac{5}{24} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} 0.588^n.$$

Integration by parts shows for $\frac{4}{3} \le q < 2, 2 < p \le 4$ that

$$\begin{split} \left| \delta_q(u) \right| &= \left| \frac{p}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(ur)}{u} (p - 2 - pr^p) \, r^{p - 3} \exp(-r^p) \, dr \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{u} \frac{p}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \int_0^\infty \left| p - 2 - pr^p \right| r^{p - 3} \, \exp(-r^p) \, dr \\ &= \frac{1}{u} \frac{2p}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{2}{p}}{e}\right)^{1 - \frac{2}{p}} =: \phi(p) \frac{1}{u}, \end{split}$$

using that $\int (p-2-pr^p) \, r^{p-3} \, \exp(-r^p) \, dr = r^{p-2} \, \exp(-r^p)$. For ϕ , we have that $(\ln \phi)'(p) = \frac{1}{p^2} \left(2 \ln \left(1 - \frac{2}{p} \right) + p - \Psi \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right)$ and $\lambda(p) := 2 \ln \left(1 - \frac{2}{p} \right) + p - \Psi \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)$ is increasing, since $\lambda'(p) = \frac{p(3+(p-1)^2)-(p-2)\Psi'\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}{p^2(p-2)}$ and $\Psi'\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \le \Psi'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi^2}{2}$, so that $\lambda'(p) \ge \frac{p(3+(p-1)^2)-(p-2)\frac{\pi^2}{2}}{p^2(p-2)} > 0$. We have $\lambda(2) < 0, \lambda(4) > 0$, so that ϕ is first decreasing and then increasing in [2, 4]. Since $\phi(2) < \phi(4) < \frac{14}{5}$,

we conclude that $\left|\delta_q(u)\right| < \frac{14}{5} \frac{1}{u}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{\frac{16}{5}}^{\infty} \frac{|\delta_q(u)|^n}{u^2} du \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{14}{5}\right)^n \int_{\frac{16}{5}}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^{n+2}} \\
= \frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}(n+1)} \left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^n \le \frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} 0.875^n .$$

We finally get that

$$S \le \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ 0.8825^n + \frac{5}{24} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \ 0.588^n + \frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ 0.875^n.$$

By Lemma 5.1 (a), $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{q})}{\Gamma(2-\frac{1}{q})} \le \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}$, with equality for p = q = 2, and $\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}S \le \frac{0.10559}{n}$ for all n > 20. We conclude with $\frac{25}{163} + 0.10559 < 0.25896$ that

$$P_{n,q}(a^{(n)}) \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{0.25896}{n}\right).$$

By Barthe, Naor [3] $P_{n,q}(a^{(2)}) = 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}}$, so that together with Lemma 5.2 (b)

$$\frac{P_{n,q}(a^{(n)})}{P_{n,q}(a^{(2)})} \le \sqrt{\frac{2^{\frac{2}{q}}}{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(1 + \frac{0.25896}{n}\right)
\le \left(1 - M\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\right) \left(1 + \frac{0.25896}{n}\right), M = 0.86326.$$

Suppose that n satisfies $n \geq \frac{4}{5} \frac{q^2}{(q-\frac{4}{3})(2-q)}$. Then the last product is <1, since $\frac{0.25896}{n} \leq M\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{q}\right)$ suffices and this requires $n>\frac{\frac{8}{3}\frac{0.25896}{M}q^2}{(q-\frac{4}{3})(2-q)}$, with $\frac{8}{3}\frac{0.25896}{M} < \frac{4}{5}$. The condition $n\geq \frac{4}{5}\frac{q^2}{(q-\frac{4}{3})(2-q)}$ will be satisfied if $n>\frac{\frac{32}{15}}{q-\frac{4}{3}}+\frac{\frac{24}{5}}{2-q}=\frac{\frac{8}{3}q-\frac{32}{15}}{(q-\frac{4}{3})(2-q)}\geq \frac{\frac{4}{5}q^2}{(q-\frac{4}{3})(2-q)}$, where the last inequality is an equality for $q=\frac{4}{3}$ and q=2. Hence for $n>\frac{\frac{32}{15}}{q-\frac{4}{3}}+\frac{\frac{24}{5}}{2-q}=:\phi(q)$ we have $P_{n,q}(a^{(n)})< P_{n,q}(a^{(2)})$. The restriction n>20 is automatically satisfied since the minimum of ϕ is $\phi\left(\frac{8}{5}\right)=20$. We have the equality $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{P_{n,q}(a^{(n)})}{P_{n,q}(a^{(2)})}=\sqrt{\frac{2^{\frac{7}{q}}}{\pi}}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)\Gamma\left(2-\frac{1}{q}\right)$.

Remark. Barthe and Naor [3] show that $P_{n,q}(a) \leq P_{n,q}(a^{(n)})$ for all $a \in S^{n-1}$ and $q \geq 2$. Since the quantities are differentiable and coincide for q=2, they conclude that $\frac{d}{dq}P_{n,q}(a)|_{q=2} \leq \frac{d}{dq}P_{n,q}(a^{(n)})|_{q=2}$ holds. If this inequality were strict,

64 Page 26 of 26 H. König

 $P_{n,q}(a) \ge P_{n,q}(a^{(n)})$ might hold for some $2 - \varepsilon_n < q < 2$. In this case, for q close to 2, $a^{(n)}$ might always yield the minimum, and no singularity $O(\frac{1}{2-q})$ would occur in Theorem 1.2.

Similarly, in the case of sections, Koldobsky [10] showed that $A_{n,p}(a^{(n)}) \le A_{n,p}(a)$ holds for all $1 and <math>a \in S^{n-1}$, which together with $A_{n,2}(a^{(n)}) = A_{n,2}(a)$ implies $\frac{d}{dp}A_{n,p}(a)|_{p=2} \le \frac{d}{dp}A_{n,p}(a^{(n)})|_{p=2}$. If this inequality were strict, it might be that $A_{n,p}(a) \le A_{n,p}(a^{(n)})$ for p close to 2, 2 .

However, numerical evaluation of (2.3) yields for p = 6, p = 8 and n = 3, 4 that $a^{(n)}$ is not maximal, since

$$A_{3,6}(a^{(2)}) = 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \simeq 1.260 > A_{3,6}(a^{(3)}) \simeq 1.250$$

 $A_{4,8}(a^{(2)}) = 2^{\frac{3}{8}} \simeq 1.297 > A_{4,8}(a^{(4)}) \simeq 1.295 > A_{4,8}(a^{(3)}) \simeq 1.270.$

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I.: Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Dover Publ., New York (1972)
- 2. Ball, K.: Cube slicing in \mathbb{R}^n . Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **97**, 465–473 (1986)
- Barthe, F., Naor, A.: Hyperplane projections of the unit ball of lⁿ_p. Discrete Comput. Geom. 27, 215–226 (2002)
- 4. Boyd, J.P.: The Fourier transform of the quartic Gaussian $\exp(-Ax^4)$: hypergeometric functions, power series, steepest descent asymptotics and hyperasymptotics and extensions to $\exp(-Ax^{2n})$. Appl. Math. Comput. **241**, 75–87 (2014)
- 5. Eskenazis, A., Nayar, P., Tkocz, T.: Resilience of cube slicing in l_p (2022). arXiv:2211.01986
- Hadwiger, H.: Gitterperiodische Punktmengen und Isoperimetrie. Monatshefte Math. 76, 410–418 (1972)
- 7. Hensley, D.: Slicing the cube in \mathbb{R}^n and probability. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 73, 95–100 (1979)
- 8. Jakimiuk, J., König, H.: Maximal sections of the unit ball of $l_n^p(\mathbb{C})$ for p > 2. arXiv:2402.12552
- 9. Koldobsky, A.: Fourier Analysis in Convex Geometry. Amer. Math Soc., Providence (2005)
- Koldobsky, A.: An application of the Fourier transform to sections of star bodies. Isr. J. Math. 106, 157–164 (1998)
- König, H., Koldobsky, A.: Volume of low-dimensional slabs and sections in the cube. Adv. Appl. Math. 47, 894–911 (2011)
- König, H., Rudelson, M.: On the Volume of Non-central Sections of a Cube, Advances in Math., p. 360 (2020)
- 13. Meyer, M., Pajor, A.: Sections of the unit ball of l_q^n . J. Funct. Anal. 80, 109–123 (1988)
- 14. Oleszkiewicz, K.: On p-Pseudostable Random Variables, Rosenthal Spaces and l_p^n Ball Slicing, GAFA Seminar 2001–2002, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1807, pp. 188–210
- Pólya, G.: On the zeros of an integral function represented by Fourier's integral. Messenger Math. 52, 185–188 (1923)
- 16. Szarek, S.J.: On the best constants in the Khintchin inequality. Stud. Math. 58, 197–208 (1976)